TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

TEXAS INTERAGENCY COUNCIL FOR THE HOMELESS

Health & Human Services Commission Room PHR 164 909 West 45th Street Austin, Texas

July 11, 2017 10:05 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

MICHAEL DOYLE, Chair D.J. BINGLER
VALINDA BOLTON FRANCES GATTIS KELLY KRAVITZ PAM MAERCKLEIN TODD NOVAK

ADVISORY MEMBERS PRESENT:

ERIC SAMUELS
DR. DENNIS M. SCHOLL
MICHAEL WILT

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT:

CATE TRACZ (TDHCA)
MEGAN SYLVESTER (TDHCA)

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM		PAGE
I.	Welcome and Introductions	3
II.	Remarks from Chair	5
III.	Approval of draft minutes from the April 11, 2017 TICH Meeting	5
IV.	Appoint Board Chair and Vice-Chair	6
V.	85th Session Legislative Update	7
VI.	Use of \$10,000 provided by Texas Workforce Commission for Continuum of Care Coordination by Texas Homeless Network	29
VII.	Discuss TICH Committees (a) Performance Data Committee (b) Annual Report Committee	44
VIII	. Public Comment	55
IX.	Closing Comments	60
Χ.	Adiourn	60

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. DOYLE: Okay. We're going to go around the 3 room and introduce ourselves so you'll know who all is 4 here that you're speaking to, in case you've got a 5 complaint against somebody and you won't get embarrassed. 6 7 I'm Mike Doyle representing the Governor's Office. 8 Eric? 9 MS. TRACZ: I'm Cate Tracz with TDHCA. 10 11 MR. SAMUELS: Eric Samuels, President and CEO of Texas Homeless Network. 12 13 MS. VOYLES: Molly Voyles, VISTA Program 14 Manager at Texas Homeless Network. 15 MR. WILT: Michael Wilt, Texas State Affordable 16 Housing Corporation here on behalf of David Long. 17 MS. BOLTON: Valinda Bolton, Community Affairs 18 Liaison at CPS at DFPS. 19 MS. BINGLER: D.J. Bingler at Texas Workforce 20 Commission. MS. KRAVITZ: Kelly Kravitz, Texas Education 21 22 Agency. MS. MAERCKLEIN: Pam Maercklein for Texas 23 24 Veterans Commission.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. GOURIS: Tom Gouris with TDHCA.

25

1	MS. MOLINARI: Jenniter Molinari with TDHCA.
2	MR. LYTTLE: Michael Lyttle, TDHCA.
3	DR. SCHOLL: Dr. Dennis Scholl, private
4	citizen.
5	MR. NOVAK: Todd Novak, Texas Juvenile Justice
6	Department.
7	MR. DOYLE: Ken, you want to go back there?
8	MR. MARTIN: Ken Martin, Texas Homeless
9	Education Office.
LO	MS. STAMP: Hi, Jeanne Stamp, Texas Homeless
L1	Education Office.
L2	MS. BURNS: Jana Burns, Region 10 Education
L3	Service Center.
L 4	MR. DOYLE: Good.
L 5	MS. TRACZ: Would you guys pull that table
L 6	around to see if you can?
L7	MS. BURNS: If you want us to. We're okay.
L 8	We're fine.
L 9	MS. TRACZ: Okay.
20	MC. MAERCKLEIN: All of the Homeless Education
21	people are here.
22	(Laughter.)
23	MS. GATTIS: Do you want us to finish up, Mike?
24	MR. DOYLE: Oh, yes. I'm sorry.
25	MS. GATTIS: It's okay. Francis Gattis with

1	Texas Department of Criminal Justice.
2	MS. SYLVESTER: Megan Sylvester at TDHCA.
3	MR. DOYLE: And our Court Reporters are?
4	MR. SCHAFER: Joe and Celeste Schafer.
5	MR. DOYLE: Okay. Well, welcome everyone and I
6	will call this meeting to order.
7	The only remarks that I have from the Chair is
8	we have several voting items to take care of, so if you're
9	a voting member, don't leave until we take care of these
10	voting items because we're just right at quorum.
11	We'll announce that we do have a quorum present
12	and voting, so I would want to remind you at the last
13	meeting we asked you to bring today a legislative update
14	from your agencies. It doesn't have to be in writing, but
15	we'd like to hear from all of you about how the session
16	has gone and anything that we need to know about what's
17	going to be there or not be there.
18	So just keep that in mind as we go through.
19	Cate sent us the minutes and I would entertain
20	a motion to approve those minutes?
21	MS. MOLINARI: I'll second.
22	MR. DOYLE: Jennifer seconded. We need a
23	motion.
24	Jennifer made a motion.
25	MS. MOLINARI: All right. I'll make a motion

1	to accept it.
2	MR. DOYLE: And I need a second?
3	MS. MAERCKLEIN: I'll second.
4	MR. DOYLE: Second by Pam.
5	All in favor say, "Aye."
6	MANY VOICES: Aye.
7	MR. DOYLE: Opposed, same sign?
8	(No audible response.)
9	MR. DOYLE: Motions passed.
10	Next voting item was last month you or last
11	time we were together in April you remember it is this
12	time of year that we appoint a Chairman. So we I asked
13	you to send those to Cate and so I'll turn it over to
14	Cate.
15	MS. TRACZ: Sure, but you know, I received
16	nomination of Mike Doyle as the Chair.
17	MR. DOYLE: Which I accepted and need your
18	approval since there were no other nominations.
19	So if you agree to appoint Mike Doyle Chair
20	again for the next fiscal year, say, "Aye."
21	MANY VOICES: Aye.
22	MR. DOYLE: All opposed?
23	(No audible response.)
24	MR. DOYLE: Okay. Thank you very much. I'll
25	be glad to serve again.

I'll be appointing a Vice-Chair as the year goes along in accordance with our bylaws. Legislation only provides a presiding officer, but we'll adhere to bylaws, as well.

Michael Lyttle is going to start us off on Agenda Item 5 with the Legislative updates.

Michael, good to have you again -- with us again.

MR. LYTTLE: Thanks, Mike. Great to be here.

Two items to share for you all from TDHCA's perspective when it comes to the program serving homeless populations. The first is a budget issue and it involves the HHSP program on Homeless and Housing Services Program, which as you know is the only state-funded program that serves homeless populations.

It was a tough budget session for sure. There wasn't much money to be had. A lot of agencies took cuts. We ended up having to decrease our budget, our general revenue in our budget approximately 4 percent, and the HHSP program was not immune to that, so what ended up happening was it lost about \$100,000 over biennium. That's the bad news.

If there's good news, it's that we have focused that \$100,000 on admin costs only. So there will not be an impact on the persons served through HHSP.

And hopefully next session there will be efforts by folks, Eric and others, to get that money increased. I'm not allowed to lobby, of course, being a state employee, but I know that Eric and others tried to fight the good fight this session to make that happen. So HHSP down \$100,000 over the biennium, but again, no impact to direct services.

The other thing to mention -- and this is really exciting news actually -- is that thanks to Senator West, Senator Royce West from Dallas, an Ending Homelessness in Texas Fund has been created. This is a fund that folks that are renewing driver's vehicle registration can give funding to.

Right now the Department of Motor Vehicles has,

I believe, four different -- four or five different funds

that when you sign up to give -- to renew your vehicle

registration, you can give to these funds. One of them, a

new one, will be this Ending Homelessness in Texas Fund.

What we're doing right now at TDHCA is we're working with DMV on that. Also, I believe, TDCJ is involved with this, as well. They're going to be working on that with DMV from their end of things, but we are working with DMV to come up with a logo for this fund and basically a website or web page that will -- people can look at if they're considering giving money to this fund.

So the first thing we're going to do is work on this logo and then basically URL for the web page. We hope to have something on that over the next two months, I would say, and here's why: DMV has told us that it takes about six months from the time you give them a logo and a URL and information for them to get out the information on their printed materials and other things and to basically get it active.

So if we can get this to DMV by early
September, by February or March of 2018, they'll have it
active and at that point people can start to give money to
this fund. Hopefully by the end of next summer, we'll
have a corpus of some funding as a result of this.

This fall, I know that I met with Jennifer Molinari here, and Tom Gouris and some others, on the -- how we're going to do this program. How are we going to fund it? How is the distribution going to happen and what are the rules going to look like because the bill, which was House Bill 4102 directs TDHCA to do that.

So we'll be working on that this fall. We'll be having some roundtables, some public hearings on that, and the plan is that, you know, by the end of this calendar year, everything will be in place and hopefully next year we can really get going on this.

So that's our report.

1 MR. DOYLE: So the money goes to the TDHCA; you 2 don't have to go through the Governor's Office for it or? 3 MR. LYTTLE: We don't. Actually the money 4 will come to us from the Comptroller. 5 MR. DOYLE: Okay. 6 MR. LYTTLE: As we understand it, if someone is 7 for the first time registering a vehicle in Texas, they would provide that money to their local Tax Assessor 8 9 Collector who would then send the funds up to the 10 Comptroller. If it's DMV with renewals, they will send 11 the money that they get over to the Comptroller. 12 Comptroller is establishing an account outside the 13 treasury for this fund, and it will provide access to 14 TDHCA to use the funds accordingly. MR. DOYLE: Wonderful. The new ultimate, once 15 16 the decisions are made on the priorities for that fund, 17 you'll put out RFPs or something to that regard. 18 kind of what you're thinking now? 19 MR. LYTTLE: Yes. 20 MR. DOYLE: Okay. MR. LYTTLE: Basically, and the good news about 21 22 being outside the treasury, too, is that it can't theoretically get swept. 23 2.4 MR. DOYLE: Right.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. LYTTLE: So we wouldn't lose that funding,

2.5

1 per se. The money will stay there. It will build, it will build, it will build. 2 3 MR. DOYLE: Okay. Good. Any anticipation of 4 the potential revenue? 5 MR. LYTTLE: You know, it's hard to say. We've 6 got -- let me see if I've got these numbers here that -- I 7 don't think I have them now, but Jennifer, when we did a fiscal note on the bill back during session, we looked at 8 9 some of the other funds, and you know, some of them that 10 had very popular giving causes, like veterans, grew pretty 11 quickly. You know, several hundred thousand dollars 12 within the first year. 13 Others were more on the level of, you know, 10, 14 20, \$30,000. So you know, it could be somewhere between 15 those two figures. We just don't know. MR. DOYLE: Okay. 16 17 MR. LYTTLE: Hopefully the latter, or yeah, the 18 former, the higher money. 19 MR. DOYLE: Good. 20 MR. SAMUELS: So I would say a couple of things. This is Eric Samuels, Texas Homeless Network. 21 22 I want to thank TDHCA for trying to absorb the 23 4 percent cut through other means. I know we're trying to

that provide direct services was not touched. So I really

make it -- make sure that the HHSP money and other monies

24

25

1 appreciate that. 2 MR. DOYLE: Yes. 3 MR. LYTTLE: And in regard to the Ending 4 Homelessness Fund, I should make you aware, it would be --5 first of all, it would be great if one of the hearings could be at the conference -- the Texas Conference on 6 7 Ending Homelessness that we partner with THEO on, on October 4 through 6, so I take it we haven't had a great 8 -- we're also in communication with Senator West's office 9 10 about him possibly coming up to speak about that. So I 11 would just make sure that you're aware of that, as well. 12 MR. SAMUELS: That's great. 13 MR. LYTTLE: So I --14 MR. SAMUELS: Good. It's exciting. 15 MR. DOYLE: Any other comments or questions for Michael from TDHCA? 16 17 MS. TRACZ: He's coming to the --18 MR. LYTTLE: Well, I don't know that he's 19 coming. We're trying to get him to come. 20 MS. TRACZ: Okay. MR. LYTTLE: We worked closely with his office 21 22 and testified on that and yeah, we're really happy for the effort. 23 24 MR. DOYLE: Good, good. Okay. Since you

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

mentioned TDCJ, Frances, you want to go next?

25

MS. GATTIS: Sure. So I have just one bill that I'll mention as affects TDCJ. When we met last I explained what we have in place for our releasing population and that discharging offenders are the only part of our population who might release homeless because they release free and clear of any TDCJ supervision. So we do have House Bill 3130 that doesn't speak specifically to homelessness, but requires a pilot program for the state jail population, that is, part of that discharging population that's free and clear when they leave TDCJ.

We don't provide housing. They don't have any obligation to us when they leave. One component of that bill is those who participate in the pilot must arrange viable housing as part of their re-entry and release planning, so it's small, but it's a start for that population that turns over a lot.

We have a fairly high recidivism rate in TDCJ of that population and this would give us a chance to see if these dedicated re-entry services that includes a home plan will have a positive impact on that population.

MR. DOYLE: Just to give you an idea, if you don't know, what she's talking about the discharging population, they come out without any supervision whatsoever and the recidivism rate may have changed recently -- in Tarrant County the recidivism rate is

1 43 percent when they come back straight discharge. But 2 when they come out on any kind of supervision and parole 3 is down to 21 percent from a state level. So it's nearly 4 doubled. 5 With regards to what you think about probation, 6 it keeps recidivism rates down and keeps your jail 7 populations down and is that -- that's why the focus has 8 always been on those discharged straight -- who do 9 straight time. You go in for two years or less in a state 10 jail facility and you get out -- you know the date you're getting out, the day you go in. It's not like that in the 11 12 prison system, so. 13 MS. GATTIS: Right. So that pilot population 14 will release on probation supervision. 15 MR. DOYLE: They will, oh. 16 MS. GATTIS: Yes. 17 MR. DOYLE: Coming out of state? 18 MS. GATTIS: Just keep in mind that it's small. 19 MR. DOYLE: Will they still do straight time? 20 MS. GATTIS: Yes. MR. DOYLE: So the incentive is what? 21 22 MS. GATTIS: The incentive is programs and 23 services targeted to reduce recidivism rate to help them

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DOYLE: So when they refer as part of the

succeed once they're no longer under supervision.

24

2.5

1 personal -- when they are referred to the first stop in 2 Tarrant County, they could be referred there from state 3 jail facilities now? 4 MS. GATTIS: Potentially, yes. 5

MR. DOYLE: Good.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GATTIS: The bill requires that the agency identify locations, work with local community supervision departments and local courts because there has to be buy-in for the pilot to succeed. So it will be lengthy process that must be in place by September 1st of '19.

MR. DOYLE: Side note: Tarrant County Workforce Board signed an agreement with the Windham School District.

> Are our education people familiar with Windham? Uh-huh. FEMALE:

They did 6,000 GEDs in the last MR. DOYLE: year as the largest provider of GEDs we are hearing in the United States. And Windham, we know where their jobs are in Tarrant County and we know the skill sets that need to be able to -- the inmate needs to be able to attain to get the job, so it makes sense for us locally, how do we train in the prison system to get them straight to a job out of prison or jail?

And so we had signed an agreement with Windham that they potentially can find the training that fits the

job and transfer them to a facility -- because they're coming back to Tarrant County -- that does that certification and so that when they walk out of prison, not only do they get their ID, which is marvelous, they also have a certification that's industry-specific and a potential job opening at Alliance Airport.

So we're hoping to shorten that because when they don't go with a job quickly, within the first six months, their chance of homelessness is about 70 percent in Tarrant County.

So we've got to shorten that pipeline to get them the jobs. If you know where your jobs are and you're not working with your workforce boards, you need to find out where the jobs are and what the skill sets that are needed on your jobs.

For Tarrant County, there's more applicants than jobs for minimum wage and any job under \$15, there's more applicants than jobs for master's degrees and doctorate degrees, but there's 8,000 more jobs than applicants at Alliance Airport alone for a skill set that requires only a certification or a two-year associate's degree.

So those coming out of the prison system need to go to work.

MS. GATTIS: Absolutely.

1 MR. DOYLE: Yeah. MS. GATTIS: The risk of recidivism is 2 3 significantly reduced if they are employed within the 4 first 30 days past release. 5 MR. DOYLE: Good. That's good news. 6 DR. SCHOLL: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a 7 question? MR. DOYLE: Yes. 8 DR. SCHOLL: On the recidivism rate is there --9 this is Dennis Scholl, sorry. 10 Any differentiation based on different 11 demographics, such as gender, urban, rural, anything of 12 13 that sort, that if you considered them in this pilot 14 program might increase your likelihood of a successful 15 program? 16 MS. GATTIS: It's a good point. The bill 17 actually requires us to target diverse areas, so we can't 18 identify all four populations in our large metropolitan areas where we know we have more resources than we do in 19 20 the more rural areas. So the agency will have to look at some 21 22 diversity in determining what four locations or more we 23 pilot on. 24 MR. DOYLE: If you were to drill down on

recidivism rates, you'd find a high degree of recidivism

25

comes from younger people?

DR. SCHOLL: Yeah.

MR. DOYLE: People that spend a lot of time in jail are less likely to go back, if they get out in their 50s and 60s than ones that are still young and feisty, if you will. But yeah, there's a lot of drilling down that goes into those recidivism studies, and is it a two-year re-arrest, three-year re-conviction?

DR. SCHOLL: Right.

MR. DOYLE: All those kind of things complicate recidivism a lot. So thank you, Frances.

Who's next? Legislative update.

MS. BOLTON: I'll go.

MR. DOYLE: Okay.

MS. BOLTON: Valinda Bolton with DFPS.

We had a lot that was a big session, for CPS in particular, but I just want to highlight a few bills that impact us. Probably the biggest -- one of the biggest ones -- there were several big omnibus bills, but one of them is House Bill 5 and that returns DFPS to being a standalone agency, so we will no longer be part of the HHSC enterprise.

There will be some functions that stay in the HHSC area and then some that are going over there, like our child-care licensing and some of our Adult Protective

Services services. So the investigations will stay at DFPS. So that's a biggie.

2.5

So I would say we had some interagency Bills that I think might be of interest to this group, since these agencies are all represented here.

One was House Bill 1608 that has to do with creating internships for foster youth and working with TWC on that. House Bill 1556 had to do with education decision making for foster parents, particularly of disabled children, so it kind of clarifies that and makes it an easier thing to accomplish.

House Bill 1521 with TJJD, which has to do with information sharing to better support and assist kids because as we know, there's a huge overlap between foster kids -- youth, teens in foster care and involvement in the Juvenile Justice System.

And there were a whole lot more, but those are the main interagency ones, and then we had one that I thought you-all might be interested in. It pertains to Adult Protective Services. And it has to do with financial institutions and securities, all different kinds of financial institutions being able to -- and --

(Music is audible.)

MS. BOLTON: Okay. I have to break. (Laughter.)

1 MS. BOLTON: To place a hold on suspicious 2 transactions for vulnerable adults, which is very possibly 3 I think -- this is an editorial comment, but I think that 4 that is very possibly homelessness prevention. 5 MR. DOYLE: Uh-huh. 6 MS. BOLTON: And it also --7 MS. TRACZ: If someone's phone could be turned off, please? 8 9 MS. BOLTON: -- requires reporting of suspected 10 financial exploitation, so they can both put holds on 11 suspicious transactions and then they are required to 12 report suspicious transactions for vulnerable adults, the 13 elderly adults, but also adults with disabilities who 14 aren't necessarily elderly. 15 MR. DOYLE: Thank you. 16 If there's someone on the phone who just put us 17 on mute or hold? We're getting wonderful dance music, but 18 we're not dancing over here, so if you could take us off 19 of mute, that would be great. 20 MS. BOLTON: There you go. 21 MR. DOYLE: They may have gone --22 MR. SAMUELS: The background to "Dukes of Hazzard." 23 24 (Laughter.) 2.5 MR. DOYLE: Okay. Who's next? Who wants to go

next?

MS. KRAVITZ: I'll go.

MR. DOYLE: Okay, Kelly.

MS. KRAVITZ: But I also want to say that I'm going to share some things with -- if he wants to add his share, he says something. I'm not actually sure if the House Bill is the second Bill, but the Education Code 2507 is -- there are a lot of provisions and things that school districts are supposed to do to support homeless students and students in foster care in transition from one school to the next, like creating procedures for the first two weeks of school, ensuring partial credit, ensuring extracurricular.

There's been all these things that the agency was supposed to have done, but there was no rule-making authority in our -- in the statute, and so we're really kind of hindered in our ability to implement that, those provisions and the laundry list of things that we're supposed to do.

But in this session, the agency's ability to do rule-making, it said the agency, you know, may adopt rules to support implementation, and so we're going to be pushing to do that in this next year, and it's really going to provide school districts kind of more of the boundaries, and more of the guidance regarding how they're

to implement this transition assistance.

And so I really see this as being a big strength. Although it's a small statutory change, it's a big shift for our agency. We'll going to be able to do to implement that set of laws that's really critical for kids that are mobile and coming into this school not on traditional time lines.

Another thing that I wanted to highlight is

Senate Bill 2039, and this actually requires the agency to

develop one or more of sexual abuse and sex trafficking

instructional modules that may be used in the health

curriculum. And as we know, our homeless students are

very much at risk for trafficking, and so we're going to

be -- we're actually doing some work with the Governor's

Office and with the different folks across the agencies.

They're going to be working on development of that and obviously this impacts our homeless population and just another avenue, and schools or folks will be aware and have opportunities to learn more about trafficking in particular.

Is there anything else you guys want to share?
(No audible response.)

MS. KRAVITZ: Anything else?

Okay. Okay. And then next time I'll have more updates on subject changes regarding dual credit,

1 counseling, and some other changes that impact all 2 students. I'm still really worrying about what those mean 3 and the agencies figuring out how we're going to make 4 those changes, but as I get that information, I'll provide that update because it's relevant to all kids, but it's 5 6 just important students, as well. 7 MR. DOYLE: Great. 8 MS. KRAVITZ: Thanks. 9 DR. SCHOLL: What have you seen with your 10 homeless students and your accounts, how are they doing 11 They up, down? numbers-wise? 12 MS. KRAVITZ: Pam, where are they at? 13 MS. STAMP: We're up. 14 MS. KRAVITZ: Okay. Go ahead. MS. STAMP: Last official count was 2415 and 15 16 that was 113,064. We have an unofficial count for 1516

was like over 120,000, so that is \$7,000 more.

MR. DOYLE: Any idea where you -- or

speculation where they may be coming from?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STAMP: Well, a lot of things go into when the counts go up. Some of it is just for awareness on the school district's part and they do a better job of identifying and counting, and so until we really look at the specifics, we could be saying it's actually because the numbers are going up, or because school districts are

1 doing a better job. But generally speaking, it's the 2 largest school districts that usually see an increase. 3 MR. DOYLE: As we get up to the Agenda, this 4 chart here shows 31 percent decline in homelessness among Texans over the last five years and that's kind of 5 6 contradictory to where --7 MS. KRAVITZ: Those school numbers. MR. DOYLE: -- school numbers. 8 9 MS. KRAVITZ: Yep. 10 MS. STAMP: Well, I think it goes back a lot to 11 the difference in definition. 12 MR. DOYLE: Yeah. Okay. A tightening maybe of 13 the adult rates, thinking we always do a different 14 application for schools. 15 Yes, ma'am. 16 MS. BOLTON: Do we track that relative to 17 population group? Like is it -- you know, like, is it 18 percentage-wise that big of an increase? Or is it just 19 tracking along with population growth and as we get more 20 and more people. 21 MS. STAMP: That's a good question. Well, and 22 I think there is a piece of that. That's -- I think 23 there's a piece of a lot of different things that go into 24 that increase, so it's really difficult to say, oh, yes,

the numbers are just going up because we have more

25

1 homeless kids. 2 MR. DOYLE: Uh-huh. 3 So it could be a part of just the MS. STAMP: 4 population growth and we would really need to do that 5 specifically by region or school district to know if 6 that's the growth there. 7 But it could also again be just awareness and 8 people doing a better job of identifying. 9 MR. DOYLE: Yeah. Okav. 10 Any other questions for TEA? 11 For Kelly? 12 (No audible response.) 13 MR. DOYLE: Okay. Who wants to go next? 14 MS. MAERCKLEIN: I'll go. 15 MR. DOYLE: Okay. Pam. 16 MS. MAERCKLEIN: This is Pam with Texas 17 Veterans Commission. We really didn't have anything to do 18 with any bills passed for homelessness. The main one, 19 well, for the woman's program was -- I'm a little confused 20 about this bill because they're the same, Senate Bill 1677 21 and 805, to where we collaborated with HHSC if they have 22 any women that -- if they have any women coming into their

So that could be -- I mean, if anybody is

programs, they have to ask if they're veterans and if they

are, then they have to send that information to us.

23

24

2.5

1	applying for food stamps or SNAP. That could be
2	MS. TRACZ: Is that specifically with HHS or
3	all state agencies?
4	MS. MAERCKLEIN: I think it's just because
5	we're just working with HHS, yeah.
6	MS. TRACZ: Okay.
7	MS. MAERCKLEIN: So I think it's supposed to be
8	for all things, but specific to HHS.
9	MS. TRACZ: Okay.
10	MR. DOYLE: Good. The more that we can
11	identify, the better.
12	MS. MAERCKLEIN: Yeah.
13	MR. DOYLE: Todd, do you want to
14	MR. NOVAK: Sure.
15	MR. DOYLE: go next?
16	MR. NOVAK: Yes. Todd Novak from the Texas
17	Juvenile Justice Department.
18	One thing to bring to your attention is House
19	Bill 932. We're going to have to count and determine the
20	number of youth committed to our agency that are in foster
21	care and report out accordingly.
22	There's another Bill, HB 1521. That is going
23	to help with the information exchange with the HPS the
24	HBS? Yes, HPS.
l	

So that information exchange will assist us

25

with our collaboration obviously and most importantly with our re-entry planning so we can ensure that we have viable places for youth to transition to whenever they're finally released from our agency.

So those are a couple to bring to your attention.

We had another one, HB 3705, and that one was related to information sharing between our County

Probation Departments and us, the Texas Juvenile Justice

Department, and what types of information can be shared in what we call the "Juvenile Corrections Management System."

It's, again, a really good Bill to help us exchange information so we can plan for the youth as they're committed and assist with their eventual re-entry back into the community.

MR. DOYLE: Good.

MR. NOVAK: So those are the Bills.

Some of the things else that I'd like to bring up, the budget. Of course, we're still going to be lean, efficient, and resourceful, but one thing I do want to bring to your attention that I think is exciting: We have a target to expand our independent living budget, which, of course, is there to assist the transition of youth that might have -- be at high risk for homelessness, not have families to go back to. We're targeting that increase to

\$80,000, which is exciting to me.

If you remember when Alana was here, she was our re-entry specialist. So part of those things work hand-in-hand. And so we're going to be working to that end to assist with those high risk youth.

MR. DOYLE: Do you have a handle at all on -- I mean, do you release without a housing plan, just sometimes they do their time and they're released. Is it like the adult system where you could come out on a specific date and just, "Thanks, see you next time." Or is there always a parole or probation officer involved?

MR. NOVAK: Yes. In most cases. Now there might be a rarity, but there could be circumstances to where youth are released -- like we call it "aging out."

MR. DOYLE: Uh-huh.

MR. NOVAK: So if they reach the age of majority, which is 19, that could happen in very limited circumstances; however, that does not mean that planning for that return home has not occurred.

The large majority of our youth -- just about all of them -- are definitely subject to parole services that are there for re-entry and helping them get, you know, accustomed to their new life and helping them out until they actually earn parole discharge.

So that's a very rare occurrence, but it is

possible that kids could age out from whatever, so.

2.5

MR. DOYLE: So TDHCA has 127-or-something re-entry specialists around. Do you have re-entry specialists in the local facilities that house youth?

MR. NOVAK: Yes. We have education re-entry specialists. I've got help in that area, and we have one -- Alana, as I mentioned before -- she is our re-entry specialist statewide.

So they work hand-in-hand. And of course, they also collaborate with the parole officer. The parole officer takes a tremendous role in the re-entry planning and obviously the execution of that plan once the youth get home.

MR. DOYLE: Okay. Good. Any other questions about Juvenile Justice System?

(No audible response.)

MR. DOYLE: Okay. D.J., before you -- I want to thank you because the next Agenda item we're going to get here pretty quick is about the \$10,000 that TWC gives us, and has now for the third consecutive year, and I'm just going to -- D.J. has replaced George, and I was in a conference with all three TWC Commissioners and Larry Temple, who is the executive director, and I thanked them on your behalf for that money, and Larry Temple said: That was George's deal. That wasn't my deal.

1 So I don't know what that means --2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. DOYLE: -- but now that George is not here, 4 that mantle falls on DJ. So if you see TWC Commissioners 5 or Larry Temple around, continue to thank them because I'm 6 always telling them how much we've -- how much value their 7 money has added to this. 8 And I want to remind you, as representatives of 9 your agency, we would like every agency at some point to 10 contribute \$10,000 to this cause so we can do things that 11 are progressive and outside the box so we can think about 12 ending homelessness all over Texas. 13 So some flexible money that will allow us to do 14 the things that TWC money allows us to do, so thank you, DJ. 15 16 So how did the session go for you? Or TWC? 17 MS. BINGLER: Well, it was busy, but I don't 18 recall any specific legislation passed for any of the 19 homeless programs. And honestly I don't remember now that 20 much detail, but I know that it was busy and we survived. 21 MR. DOYLE: That's good. 22 And there have been some changes in child care, but --23 24 MS. BINGLER: Right. 25 MR. DOYLE: -- nominal changes, and if you hear

1 the statement, which is: I serve on our local workforce 2 board and so we hear all the time, agencies from all over 3 the United States coming down to Tarrant County into Texas 4 to talk about the "Texas Model." We're the only state 5 that allows the money for child care to flow through the 6 local workforce boards and access TANF money directly. 7 For example, our child care dollars in Tarrant County are \$35 million, so -- and we monitor it as a 8 9 workforce board. So that's what is now being labeled around the country as the "Texas Model," as more and more 10 direct local use of those funds. 11 So just if you hear of the Texas Model around 12 13 workforce, that's what it's about. So very, very 14 progressive. Everybody says, well, yeah, you've got the 15 Texas Model -- talking about like it's easy to get people 16 jobs. 17 (Laughter.) 18 MR. DOYLE: But, you know, 4.2 percent 19 unemployment rate doesn't hurt either, so. 20 MS. BINGLER: No. 21

MR. DOYLE: Okay. Anything else, D.J.?

Questions for D.J.?

22

23

24

25

MS. BINGLER: I'm sorry.

MR. DOYLE: That's all right.

Let's see. Have I missed anybody that's here

1 or anybody wants to --2 MR. SAMUELS: So HHSC is not here, but I had 3 something. MR. DOYLE: Okay. 4 5 MR. SAMUELS: Eric Samuels, Texas Homeless 6 Network. 7 HHSC, they administer the Health and Community Collaborative's money and there was a Bill introduced this 8 9 session that changed the use of that money or could change 10 the use of that money somewhat. It is Senate Bill 1849, and what it does is the 11 12 Health and Community Collaborative's money has been 13 allocated to the five largest cities in Texas. This would 14 open it up to more rural areas. Two counties together, 15 that would be under 100,000 in total, so very small areas, 16 but there will be some other areas in Texas that could access this money. 17 The one change with this is that they have to 18 collaborate with the rules of law enforcement because I 19 20 think Senate Bill 1845 is the Sandra Bland Act. it's a Criminal Justice Act, so. 21 22 So they would be collaborating with their local 23 law enforcement, and the one-to-one match in funding still remains, so a little minor change with that. 24

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DOYLE: Okay. Question for Eric that he

2.5

1 might be able to answer on HHSC? 2 (No audible response.) 3 MR. DOYLE: All right. Eric, keep going now. 4 I'm going to go onto Agenda Item 6 on the \$10,000 from 5 TWC. 6 MR. SAMUELS: Okay. So we're talking about the 7 \$10,000 that we're working on with NAEH, correct? Where 8 is the proposed? MS. TRACZ: About 50/50 served with now and --9 10 MR. SAMUELS: Okay. Yeah, so okay. We'll 11 start with we, Texas Homeless Network was lucky enough to 12 get funding through the Texas Workforce Commission. 13 Again, thank you -- and administered by TDHCA to help us. 14 15 All of the work that this member completed for 16 us in the 2015-16 year. In her research, she worked with 17 and interviewed Workforce Development Boards about their 18 work with people exiting homelessness and their work with 19 the local community to do that. 20 So we're extending the network and we are now 21 turning -- we're adding to that research by turning our 22 attention to Continuum of Care leads and determining how 23 they are working with their local workforce development 24 boards and what they need to do that, if they're not.

So we're in the early stages of that.

2.5

an abbreviated project, but we're going to get it done.

Our next step is to -- well, is to announce this and then survey all of the Continuum of Care leads.

so we'll be sending out that survey to everyone, hopefully tomorrow, and getting results back from that and using the results from that to determine which of up to five sites that we will conduct end up interviews with to find out who -- what communities we might best work with going forward if THN is able to get the money to work with on a project that would follow.

MR. DOYLE: This may be common knowledge to a lot of folks, but explain more why it's important that the Continuum of Care leads work with their local workforce?

MR. SAMUELS: So the -- it's important for them in both ways, too. It's important that the Workforce Development Board knows who they contact with the Continuum of Care to help people that they're working with best access the services that they're not able to get at their Workforce Solutions Office.

So the reverse is true for the Continuum of Care. Someone comes in and they're experiencing homelessness and one of the things they need is employment training or access to employment activities or employment resources. Then the Continuum of Care should have a contact that they can work with directly at the Commission

or the Workforce Solutions Office.

2.5

And it would be ideal if at the Workforce Solutions Office they had a person or persons that were experienced in guiding someone who has experienced homelessness through that process.

MR. DOYLE: There used to be money through something called "WISH," Workforce Innovative Solutions for the Homeless, but it went away 10 years ago and I know this isn't for programmatic funding, but getting the workforce boards to work with the continuum seems to be very fruitful.

MR. SAMUELS: Right. And from the research that was conducted in the past it appears from the results we read that the Workforce Development Board representatives are really interested in this, so we've got to think also the Continuum of Care leads are, too, so we think it'll be -- we'll have a lot of sites that we could potentially work with down the road.

MR. DOYLE: Yet I see in the Continuum of Cares agencies who are being funded by the Continuum of Care and tell us how much Continuum of Care statewide Texas got last year?

MR. SAMUELS: I --

MR. DOYLE: Not pitching?

MR. SAMUELS: I'm not -- I can't.

1 MR. DOYLE: It's about 100 million dollars 2 statewide, isn't it? 3 MR. SAMUELS: I think it might be a little bit 4 less than that, but I think that's close, yeah. 5 MR. DOYLE: So it's a good deal of money, but I 6 don't see a whole lot of applications or applicants that 7 their focus is jobs. I mean, it's housing, it's 8 management, but down the road they speak about jobs. 9 MR. SAMUELS: Right. 10 MR. DOYLE: This will help them get a job. 11 boy, I would love to see the Continuum of Care tied into 12 homeless folks getting employed for those that aren't 13 mentally ill or have a disability and can't do it. 14 MR. SAMUELS: Right. And for those grantees, 15 it's in their best interest to help the people they work 16 with gain employment. That's one of the things that HUD 17 measures when they're determining whether or not someone 18 will receive that funding. So if they want to continue to 19 receive that money and get people off the streets, they 20 would maybe advise for them to work with the Workforce Solution Offices. 21 22 MR. DOYLE: So to clarify, because this is 23 voting item, you're --24 MR. SAMUELS: Yes.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DOYLE: -- you want us to, in fact, say

25

it's okay to use that \$10,000 to build a bridge between 1 2 the Continuum of Cares and Workforce Solutions? 3 MR. SAMUELS: So of course, I always draw 4 things out. I was just talking about the first part. 5 So the second part in the proposal for next year if we're able to access the FY 2018 funds would be 6 7 that. It would be that usually we've learned to work with delay by having up to three sites to provide them with 8 9 resources that could improve that collaboration between the Workforce and Continuums of Care. 10 And resource, the main resource that we could 11 provide to them would be a VISTA member. It would be 12 13 someone that could work for the entire year on this 14 project instead of an intern that worked for a little less 15 than -- I think it was like six weeks in the previous 16 VISTA project, so a lot more could be accomplished. 17 So there would be that, a VISTA member, plus us 18 monitoring or measuring the performance and in the end us 19 assessing whether or not this works and if it can be 20 replicated. MR. DOYLE: Okay. Any questions for Eric? 21 22 (No audible response.) 23 MR. DOYLE: Okay. Dennis?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

whole topic of the \$10,000, to me it doesn't sound like a

DR. SCHOLL: Not so much for Eric, but on the

24

25

whole lot of money, but I hope it continues. I've been sort of a citizen member for a couple of years and I'm wondering about the continued kind of appeals to the other member agencies to, if they want to think about throwing in 10 because I think it would come to \$110,000 if each vested agency would lend a hand with that; is that correct?

MR. SAMUELS: Uh-huh.

DR. SCHOLL: But essentially nobody's got a hammer to compel that and sometimes represent to a body, let's say, don't want to deal with contentious issues within their own agency. Is there an avenue without putting the attendees in any hot seat that would allow for some appeal to the department level to get an increase in the funding to get this work done?

MR. SAMUELS: The original --

DR. SCHOLL: We spent a lot of time on just 10,000 bucks -- 110,000, yeah, maybe it's worthwhile debating if we could help the attendees get their bosses to think about it.

MR. DOYLE: We did -- we changed legislation the last session because the original legislation that chartered us said that each agency -- as a member of the Council HHS agency would commit resources, but that's all it said and it was all, except for TEA and TDHCA and in

1 the very beginning MHMR --2 MR. SAMUELS: Oh, yeah. 3 MR. DOYLE: -- did some funding -- created a 4 funding, but other than that, everybody committed 5 administrative resources. 6 MR. SAMUELS: Gotcha. 7 MR. DOYLE: Sending somebody immediately. When we changed the legislation back last 8 9 session, we cleaned it up a lot and defined who the 10 agencies were now that some of them were gone, but it 11 still sent material representation because nobody wanted 12 to say you'll give us \$10,000. I mean, it probably 13 wouldn't have flown, everybody would be mad at us. We'd 14 have been -- these are the people that are making us. 15 So I sent a letter to all the department 16 heads --17 MR. SAMUELS: I remember. MR. DOYLE: -- and said, "Please consider 18 19 this -- doing this" and TEA and DEA said no, but TWC said 20 yes and George drove it as the member of this Council. 21 George drove it and we've been getting it for three years. 22 Now TEA gave us some money, did they not? But 23 you gave it directly to the Texas Homeless Network; is 24 that correct? 2.5 MS. TRACZ: Right. So maybe you could help out

with the details, as well. This is Cate Tracz with TDHCA.

2.5

The TEA funds, there was two different structures on how the funds would get to THN which was, you know, we had voted on that would be the designated recipient, either through TDHCA or directly to -- and there was a lot of administrative difficulty putting the funds either through or not through TDHCA because we had to do contracts. And it became a little bit of an administrative burden, so we've been trying to creatively think of how not to get caught up in the administration of the funds, but you know, awarded and, you know, do the work that's intended.

MS. SYLVESTER: But we understand that some agencies, you know, it's much easier for them to award funds to a state agency and we appreciate it.

MR. DOYLE: And you know, from my perspective as a local person, when they would say, well, how do we get this money to you? I'd say, well, write a check. I mean, that's pretty simple.

(Laughter.)

MR. DOYLE: It's not that simple. There's interagency agreements, there's who's going to monitor this, it's going to cost us more to monitor than it is to institute it.

DR. SCHOLL: Than it is to actually move the

money.

MR. DOYLE: So finally we're just thankful to TWC that they've hung with us. And we're going to get this figured out so there can be a simple pipeline so the other agencies can contribute, because you're right.

This is a non-funded Council and except for the grace of TDHCA primarily, TWC and TEA in the beginning and TWC now, it wouldn't be here. I mean, it would just be:
What are you all doing? Well, I'm doing good.

But given a little bit of operating that we can kind of explore things, it helps.

MS. KRAVITZ: Yeah, and that the agency, like we were able to give 10,000, but there was just exactly the difficulty. Like we have to -- if I'm going to give \$10,000, I have to articulate exactly how it's going to be used. I have to have some kind of reporting mechanism on what I got for my 10,000 and was that deliverable produced and what did that look like and why is this a part of our work?

And I mean, so there's even a simple, like a template or something that's kind of standard that could create -- that agencies could use, because without that, there's just a lot of work associated with it that even now I can't just say, oh, they're asking for \$10,000. I have to define it before I can get it, you know?

MR. DOYLE: And we've had some say, absolutely not.

MS. KRAVITZ: Yeah.

MR. DOYLE: They're cutting my budget. Where am I going to get it? I mean, I'm cutting my billion-dollar budget. Where am I going to get my 10,000, you know? Golly.

But it's hard.

MS. MOLINARI: So if I could add like a little bit to it? So Eric has been talking about what was previously approved which was the \$10,000 for that survey and we were able to define it into the scope of work with deliverables and had miniature benchmarks and so on, it's going to be a 2-1/2 month contract effective with TWC's funding June 15th and it'll wrap up on August 31st.

And so we've got kind of parameters around what that is to look like as we've been talking with them and have that contract in place with TWC and now with -- we don't have THN at the moment. And so this voting item that we're talking about is for that supplementing of that, what's gone into the original \$10,000 to continue that, like Eric has said, and trying to help with the administrative piece on that.

Taking a voting item now so that we're not down the road again trying to get the same approval, kind of

1	try to wrap it all up together. For our agency that kind
2	of, as the conduit, helps
3	MR. DOYLE: Okay.
4	MS. MOLINARI: kind of put some parameters
5	and structures around that. So just for that clarity, the
6	voting item itself is just for the next step in what we
7	started with the \$10,000 contribution.
8	MR. DOYLE: Will you make that in the way of a
9	motion, since Eric can't make it since he's not a member.
10	MS. MOLINARI: Sure.
11	MR. DOYLE: If you'll make that in the way of a
12	motion, we can vote on it.
13	MS. MOLINARI: All right. I'll make a motion
14	to approve the fiscal year 2018 \$10,000 contract to
15	continue the work that has been approved by the previous
16	at a previous DSHS meeting.
17	MR. DOYLE: Okay.
18	MS. MOLINARI: If that's sufficient?
19	MR. DOYLE: Yeah. Is there a second?
20	MS. MAERCKLEIN: I'll second it.
21	MR. DOYLE: Second by Pam.
22	Any further discussion, corrections, or
23	anything like that?
24	(No audible response.)
25	MR. DOYLE: All in favor say, "Aye."

1 MANY VOICES: Aye. 2 MR. DOYLE: All opposed? 3 (No audible response.) 4 MR. DOYLE: Then it passes unanimously. 5 you very much. 6 Okay. Eric, I quess you're just going to keep 7 going and going. I'm going to move while you're talking, 8 unless you want a break, to your annual report committee. 9 We formed two committees, you'll remember last time we 10 were together. The Performance Data Committee, which Cate 11 is going to talk about in just a second, and the Annual 12 Report Committee that Eric has chaired. 13 I do want to make one comment. You chaired or 14 were involved in something before when we were doing 15 Pathways Home --16 MR. SAMUELS: Yeah. 17 MR. DOYLE: -- on a data warehouse thing. 18 just going to bring this to I was hearing a presentation with our local Criminal Justice Committee about an agency 19 20 here in Austin or a department at the University of Texas 21 here in Austin who says they can share data with anybody 22 for any reason anytime they want it. And I brought up the 23 data warehouse thing.

it's because we don't talk about people. We talk about

She said -- and the architect, this guy said,

24

2.5

places.

2.5

And I said explain that to me.

And she said let's just assume that there's an alleyway in Tarrant County, that there's been five muggings in that alleyway. I can tell you the alleyway is unlit. It's unoccupied, those kind of things, and we can talk about the alleyway.

I can't talk about why people are being raped there, other than the fact -- or mugged there, other than the fact that it needs to be lit. It needs to have flowers around it -- those kind of things.

And she said that there should be no reason -- and it's free to the University of Texas, whatever this center was called, that you couldn't make all that data made available among agencies around places.

MR. SAMUELS: Okay.

MR. DOYLE: So I just think at some point, since I'm not in Austin, I'll get you the name of that lady --

MR. SAMUELS: Sure.

MR. DOYLE: -- and her organization, to make a contact with them if we can really do that.

MR. SAMUELS: Yeah, I'd be happy to do that and find out if we can really do that.

(512) 450-0342

MR. DOYLE: Okay.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING

MR. SAMUELS: Yeah.

2.5

MR. DOYLE: Okay. Now back to your report.

MR. SAMUELS: Okay. So two things: I had to look for this description on my phone and recently I learned that I can't read things on my phone unless they're landscape.

(Laughter.)

MR. SAMUELS: So I had to write it down. So I hope I wrote it down correctly and it's long, so that's why I say that.

MR. DOYLE: Let me preface that by saying I asked Brooke and Eric both to come up with a purpose for their Committee. Why do we have this Committee in the first place? And they both sent it to me, so.

MR. SAMUELS: Okay. So let me read through this. Okay. This Committee will assess and report our progress in meeting the statutorily required duties of this Council during the past year. The Committee will also review the goals and objectives of Pathways Home, formerly known as the Strategic Council to Prevent and End Homelessness to determine progress and current relevance of the goals and objectives published five years ago.

The Committee will draft updated goals and objectives as needed for tentative membership review.

MR. DOYLE: Great.

1	MS. TRACZ: Sounds great.
2	MR. DOYLE: And your report? Any?
3	MR. SAMUELS: My report is, what I need to do
4	is start building up that Committee.
5	MR. DOYLE: Okay.
6	MR. SAMUELS: So I've frankly sent out some
7	emails asking people to join the Committee this morning.
8	MR. DOYLE: Okay.
9	MR. SAMUELS: But I will also ask anyone in the
10	room if they're interested in joining the Committee to let
11	me know afterwards, okay?
12	DR. SCHOLL: I wouldn't mind doing kind of a
13	review. I'm not privy to the data, sir, but I actually
14	can review reports and offer suggestions pretty
15	efficiently.
16	MR. SAMUELS: This Committee will be more than
17	data
18	DR. SCHOLL: Okay.
19	MR. SAMUELS: but we will certainly look at
20	data, so yeah.
21	DR. SCHOLL: No, I mean, the narrative and
22	explanation.
23	MR. SAMUELS: Okay.
24	DR. SCHOLL: Yeah, so you can add me in.
25	MR. SAMUELS: I contacted Cate yesterday to ask

when this was due to make sure I wasn't too far behind. So it seems like I'm not, so I'm shooting for our first meeting to be at the end of this month.

MR. DOYLE: Good, great.

And then the Performance Data Committee was -- Cate's was going to give the report for Brooke.

MS. TRACZ: Right. So Brooke asked me to provide an update and being prepared, she typed out a whole update for me. So I'll just read this for you all, if you have any questions at the end.

So Brooke is heading up the Performance Data

Committee and asked that I share her update with you. As

you recall, the purpose of the Committee is to achieve the

requirements set forth in the TICH bylaws, specifically

regulating to each agency reporting their performance

data.

The Committee's work has several components:

to evaluate existing agency performance data, to work with
each member to identify that data, and how the data can be
adjusted to reflect homeless outcomes, to discuss what
other data can be compiled, and to provide the TICH report
that uniformly reflects the combined agency data.

So the Committee is striving to do this in a way that limits the short- and long-term burden of the agencies. We fully realize that if we design the annual

data process that is too unwieldy, then agency members may not have the time or ability to contribute what is needed in a recurring and useful way.

So we decided to tackle this project through the lens of existing data that each agency is already required to provide to the LBB. By reviewing LBB performance measures -- that's the Legislative Budge Board -- for each agency, we are not creating significant new reporting requirements.

However, there is initial work to be done because each member's agency programs are not explicitly related to homelessness. So we are working to identify the most germane and appropriate performance data to compile and to share with the TICH.

So Brooke has been working with a TDHCA staff member. She's in our data management and reporting section. Her name is Julie Lang. So you may get a contact from Julie or Brooke on this.

They've begun to review performance measures from each member agency using the most recent LAR to do so. As they review, they are generating a list of questions to ask the other member agencies. The purpose of the questions is to identify which measures are most likely closely tied to homelessness, and which measures estimate proportion of homeless served per general agency

measures.

2.5

So questions to the agency members are couched to address not only programs serving those who experience homelessness, but also to consider programs through which the provision serve -- services working to prevent homelessness.

Questions for member agencies are divided into two parts: The first is a general set of questions to be asked of each agency and then the second is a specific set of questions that really drills down to each agencies' measures.

So a couple examples of the general agency questions that everyone will be asked:

Number 1, do you have any performance measures for which beneficiaries are specifically stated to include homelessness population or even if one population -- it's one population among several --

MR. DOYLE: Can I stop you right there?

MS. TRACZ: Sure, absolutely.

MR. DOYLE: And bring up to date some of the newer members. I'm trying to remember the guy's name, but I can't remember, but he was from DADS. DADS was way back when.

MR. MARTIN: Lance.

MR. DOYLE: Lance, there you go. Thank you,

Ken.

And we asked him kind of that question. Do you serve homeless people? And he was with the Department of Rehabilitative Services -- is that right, or something like that?

MR. MARTIN: Yeah, I think so.

MR. DOYLE: And he was disabled and he said,
Yes, we serve homeless. So I go to our local
representative there in Tarrant County and they said, "We
don't serve homeless."

And so I got to asking Lance, they said they didn't serve homeless. And so he got to looking, they don't even ask the question. And so at least he got it put on the application, "Where did you spend the night last night? Are you homeless?"

So that was a big -- that's a big question for us. So some of your agencies may be thinking that they serve homeless people, but it's not really, unless somebody decides they want to ask that question. So that's a little background for that question.

MS. TRACZ: Right, right. And that's -- yeah, that is a good point. So when you are contacted by Brooke or Julie, you know, be thinking about those things, what performance measures may also capture the homeless population in data that currently does exist.

1 So I'll skip ahead to some examples of the 2 agency-specific questions. So we've look at the TDCJ 3 measures and one question is: Is any data gathered on a 4 parole or probation population experiencing homelessness? 5 Does the ISYS or the Offender Tracking System have a data 6 field on whether the offender or the parolee has 7 experienced homelessness. So really drilling down into the specific populations. 8 9 And example for TVC is: Of the general 10 assistance grants, the FPC grants given to local 11 organizations, do any of these organizations work closely 12 or specifically with the homeless?

So it's your partner agencies -- you know, your subrecipients, as well, if you collect that type of data.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

13

14

So at this point the reviews of the measures and generation of questions have been fully completed for three agencies: TVC, TDCJ, and TEA, so those are the first three that we've received contacts.

MR. DOYLE: Mention those again.

MS. TRACZ: TVC, Veterans Commission.

MR. DOYLE: Okay.

MS. TRACZ: TDCJ and TEA, so.

MR. DOYLE: Okay.

MS. TRACZ: So Brooke has reached out to all

member agencies and has requested meetings and I think we've got some set on the calendar. Let me see.

2.5

We realize that not each of us as TICH members know all of the details of our agencies and our performance measures, so if you could identify -- Brooke has asked that if you could identify additional staff members at your agency that might have a little bit more working knowledge of the performance measures and how you could, you know, drill down and find appropriate data, that would be very helpful. So think about that before they contact you.

So after the first three interview sessions, then they'll proceed with setting up any more and, you know, if you would like to, you know, reach out to Brooke now and get something on the calendar, we would welcome that, too, so.

Are there any questions?

MS. BINGLER: I had to respond initially and provided the contact that would help you with the performance data.

MS. TRACZ: Okay.

MS. BINGLER: But yeah, I hadn't heard anything so I was wondering is it better to follow up with her now or just wait?

MS. TRACZ: She is on two weeks of vacation,

1 which is -- I'm her proxy for this meeting, so maybe next 2 week follow up and I'll leave a note, as well. 3 MS. BINGLER: Okay. 4 MS. TRACZ: So when she gets back it'll be at 5 the top of her box. 6 MS. BINGLER: Okav. 7 MS. TRACZ: Okay. MS. BINGLER: I was just wondering if there was 8 9 anything else to help you. 10 MR. DOYLE: Any questions for Cate on Brooke's 11 report? 12 (No audible response.) 13 MR. DOYLE: Well that's exciting. MS. TRACZ: Yeah. It's a lot of work initially 14 15 to dig through all of the performance measures and see 16 what may or may not capture homelessness prevention or 17 homelessness data, but it should be some good results. 18 MR. DOYLE: Yeah, to be able to get the 19 performance measures and see if they really even address 20 homelessness, or what areas of homelessness they do 21 address, as a Texas Interagency Council, would be valuable 22 information to know that yes -- well, I'm just going to 23 pick on TWC because I know them so well -- but, yes, TWC

would like to put homeless people to work, but there's

24

25

nothing specific.

As an example -- this is an example, there's nothing specifically points to targeting that population. That would be good information to have as we deal with those people.

Good. Okay. Any public comment?

MR. SAMUELS: I should just point out this graph that's out on our table. It does show, as Mike earlier referred to, a 31 percent decline in the last few years, but I don't think I made this as clear as I wanted to on the infographic. But what I really -- what I'm really trying to point out is that we got down to 32 percent last year.

This year the population increased a little. So we lost a percent in that decrease, so this is not the direction I hope we're heading, but it is -- it does represent a slight increase across the state of Texas in the past year. Hopefully it will go back down in 2018.

MR. DOYLE: And hopefully the real numbers begin to stabilize after the administrative decision to make transitionally homeless folks not homeless anymore because of the big dip in the number count.

MR. SAMUELS: Sorry, the transitional?

MR. DOYLE: People that used to be in transitional housing were homeless and they were counted in the count.

1 MR. SAMUELS: Yeah. 2 MR. DOYLE: But when they changed that -- or 3 got away from transitional homelessness pretty much, they 4 said they're not homeless anymore if you're in 5 transitional homeless -- if you're transitionally 6 homeless. So that definition change caused some of that 7 decrease. MR. SAMUELS: Well, transitional homeless --8 9 people in transitional programs are homeless, but a lot of 10 programs switched from transitional to rapid rehousing. 11 MR. DOYLE: Right. 12 MR. SAMUELS: Then they became --13 MR. DOYLE: Right. 14 MR. SAMUELS: Yeah, you're right. 15 MR. DOYLE: Okay. That's what I was talking 16 about. 17 MS. TRACZ: Valinda has a question. 18 MR. DOYLE: Okay, Valinda. MS. BOLTON: And I don't want to sound like a 19 20 broken record, but again, I'm going to bring up the issue 21 of population increase. It's really on my mind right now 22 because I read an article this weekend, said that the 23 projected population of Texas by 2050 is 54 million, which 24 would make it the most populated state in the country.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DOYLE: Yeah.

2.5

1	MS. BOLTON: Like more than New York and
2	California combined. So I think as we think about that in
3	looking at numbers, of course the raw numbers are going go
4	up, but they're not going to be as informative as they
5	could be, if we don't peg them to an increase a
6	percentage increase in population, so you know?
7	MR. SAMUELS: And bringing this against the
8	rate would probably be more accurate.
9	MS. BOLTON: Yeah.
10	MR. SAMUELS: Or putting this number into a
11	rate of homelessness.
12	MS. BOLTON: Right, you know because
13	MR. DOYLE: Per 100,000 people or per
14	MR. SAMUELS: Right.
15	MS. BOLTON: because it's going to be like
16	it's just going to keep going
17	MR. DOYLE: That's a good point.
18	MS. BOLTON: up and up and up, but relative
19	to the size of the population, it could actually be going
20	down,
21	MR. DOYLE: That's a good point.
22	MS. BOLTON: but we won't know that if we
23	don't start trying to get a handle on that now.
24	MR. GOURIS: Yeah, that's a good point. Very
25	good point.

1	Eric?
2	MR. GOURIS: These are the point in time count
3	numbers, right?
4	MR. SAMUELS: They are. I also should have put
5	this on, this 2017 number is preliminary and I add this
6	data in the kitchen last night, so.
7	(Laughter.)
8	MR. SAMUELS: So I could have made a mistake,
9	but I think it's right.
10	MR. DOYLE: You mean, you didn't just flip a
11	coin in the kitchen?
12	(Laughter.)
13	MR. SAMUELS: No.
14	MR. DOYLE: No stipulations of the point?
15	MR. SAMUELS: Yeah, I took all 11 COCs and
16	MS. KRAVITZ: Industry groups.
17	MR. SAMUELS: yeah, just like, well, this
18	looks like this is going to be right.
19	No, no, I agree with COCs and I'll have other
20	data later on, but that's I just got the overall number
21	first.
22	MR. DOYLE: Good job.
23	Dennis?
24	DR. SCHOLL: A member of the public, just
25	especially given the two committees and where we're headed

and some 25-year-old recollection of an article called "Chaos in the Capitol" when I lived up in Alaska. And it had to do with the problems that come from departmental budgeting as to program versus programmatic budgeting. We had to analyze for a particular for the Mental Health Program in the state of Alaska for a big lawsuit.

And really we had to go to multiple different departments. It wasn't just the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities at the time that was the program because the legislature really wanted to know:

What is their program? What are the real dollars?

So in pursuing both the data thing and the annual report, it makes some sense to me that there's attention to the Legislative Budget Board and what satisfies the legislature through that board's analogies for departments before you start picking individual, hey, how do we guess each department's measure that might be related to homelessness.

It is a big challenge to chance from departmental budgeting to really give a picture of a program for a purpose, with something as concise as the issue of homelessness across the state.

So the fact that the things, let's say, aren't perfect and somebody might be irritated. They don't get any answers to their questions, should be -- hey, you've

got to tolerate that.

You can't make an answer on the data's chaos, but it will -- I look forward to working with you and seeing what progress you make about this LBB contact and finding out from their staff, hey, what do you guys got to use to satisfy the legislature for each department, would be a key first step.

MR. SAMUELS: Yeah, I agree.

MR. DOYLE: Any other comments?

(No audible response.)

Let me remind you our next meeting is

October 4th in Dallas at the Texas Homeless Network Annual

Conference. It's in conjunction with THEO, is that

correct?

MR. SAMUELS: That's right.

MR. DOYLE: So I hope you'll make that. We'll have a session one afternoon or morning, depending on when that comes out to be. There will also be the meeting of the Texas Interagency Council, so please try to be there. It's only about a three-hour-and-15-minute drive. I know, I make it every quarter, if not more often.

So just drive up there and enjoy the conference. It's a nice area.

If there's going to be no other comments, we are adjourned.

1 (Whereupon, at 11:11 a.m., the meeting was 2 adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: July 11, 2017

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 62, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Joseph M. Schafer before the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

/s/ Mary Henry 7/19/2017 (Transcriber) (Date)

 On the Record Reporting 3636 Executive Cntr Dr., G22 Austin, Texas 78731