TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

TEXAS INTERAGENCY COUNCIL FOR THE HOMELESS

Brown Heatly Building Room 3501 4900 N. Lamar Blvd. Austin, Texas

January 31, 2017 10:10 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

MICHAEL DOYLE, Chair
D.J. BINGLER
VALINDA BOLTON
BROOKE BOSTON
NAOMI CANTU (via telephone)
ROBERT DOLE
FRANCES GATTIS (via telephone)
JESSICA HISSAM
KELLY KRAVITZ
PAM MAERCKLEIN
TODD NOVAK (via telephone)
EMILY SASSER-BRAY

ADVISORY MEMBERS PRESENT:

JANA BURNS
DARILYN CARDONA-BEILER (via telephone)
DAVID LONG
ERIC SAMUELS
DENNIS M. SCHOLL (via telephone)
JEANNE STAMP
MOLLY VOYLES
MICHAEL WILT

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT:

CATE TRACZ (TDHCA)
MEGAN SYLVESTER (TDHCA)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM		PAGE
I.	Welcome and Introductions	3
II.	Remarks from Chair	
III.	Approval of draft minutes from the November 17, 2016 TICH Specially Called Meeting	6
IV.	Discussion of 2016 Continuum of Care Awards	7
V.	Update on the Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program Award	12
VI.	USICH Criteria and Benchmarks for Achieving the Goal of Ending Youth Homelessness	27
VII.	Update on State Homelessness Services Programs and Initiatives (a) Every Student Succeeds Act	37
	(b) Call for State Agencies and Advisory Members to provide updates on homeless prevention activities at the next meeting	60
VIII.	Review Draft 2016 Annual Report (a) Annual Report Committee Appointments	60
IX.	Review of Membership Designations and Duties	63
Х.	Public Comment	65
XI.	Closing comments/next quarterly meetings scheduled for: • Tuesday, April 11, 2017 (Austin) • Tuesday, July 11, 2017 (Austin) • Wednesday, October 4, 2017 (Dallas - Held in conjunction with the THN Annual Conference on Ending Homelessness	71
Х.	Adjourn	76

PROCEEDINGS

MR. DOYLE: Let me call us to order. We note the fact that we have a quorum present, so thank you for that. I'd also like to thank all of you for showing up. This is a good crowd that we've got today, and we really appreciate it. I hope it's indicative of how we'll spend the rest of the year. I hope the days of worrying about a quorum are over, however, we still don't have anybody from the Lieutenant Governor's Office and the Speaker of the House's Office, but we're working on that, so hopefully that will get done. So just welcome to all of you.

We have a couple of new members. D.J. Bingler from TWC is taking George's place, and Robert Dole from HHSC is taking Amy's place.

For those of you that are on the phone, we're going to do some introductions here. I don't have any remarks so we'll pick up some time, but if you can introduce yourself if you're on the phone to let everybody else know who's out there.

MR. NOVAK: Good morning. Todd Novak. I'm calling from the Texas Juvenile Justice Department out of Houston.

MR. DOYLE: Thanks, Todd.

MS. CARDONA-BEILER: Good morning. This is

Darilyn Cardona Beiler, calling from Integral Care here in

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	Austin.
2	MS. CANTU: This is Naomi Cantu with the Texas
3	Department of Housing and Community Affairs.
4	MS. GATTIS: Frances Gattis with TDCJ in
5	Huntsville.
6	MS. CASTILLO: Camille Castillo with the El
7	Paso Coalition for the Homeless.
8	MR. DOYLE: Dennis, are you out there?
9	DR. SCHOLL: Yes. This is Dr. Scholl, private
10	citizen from Somerset, Texas.
11	MS. CRAIN: Cindy Crain, Metro Dallas Homeless
12	Alliance.
13	MR. DOYLE: Hey, Cindy.
14	MS. CRAIN: Hey, Michael.
15	MR. SAMUELS: She would have been in person but
16	she had car trouble.
17	MR. DOYLE: Yes. I hope you weren't on the
18	road when you had car trouble.
19	MS. CRAIN: I was not, but I'm still headed to
20	Houston.
21	MR. DOYLE: Okay. Let's start introductions
22	around the table so you guys on the phone will know who's
23	here. I'm Mike Doyle, appointee of the Governor's Office,
24	with Cornerstone Assistance Network in Fort Worth.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. SAMUELS: Eric Samuels, Texas Homeless

1	Network.
2	MS. BECKORTH: Bettie Beckworth, Health and
3	Human Services, Veterans Services Office.
4	MS. MAERCKLEIN: Pam Maercklein with the Texas
5	Veterans Commission.
6	MS. BAKER: Anna Baker with the Texas Veterans
7	Commission.
8	MS. KRAVITZ: Kelly Kravitz with the Texas
9	Education Agency.
10	MS. SASSER-BRAY: Emily Sasser-Bray with the
11	Health and Human Services Commission.
12	MS. STAMP: Jeanne Stamp with the Texas
13	Homeless Education Office.
14	MS. VOYLES: Molly Voyles with the Texas
15	Council on Family Violence.
16	MS. MURO: Mona Muro, also with the Texas
17	Council on Family Violence.
18	MS. BOSTON: Brooke Boston with the Texas
19	Department of Housing and Community Affairs.
20	MS. HISSAM: Jessica Hissam with legacy
21	Department of State Health Services.
22	MS. BINGLER: D.J. Bingler with Texas Workforce
23	Commission, Policy Department.
24	MR. LONG: David Long, Texas State Affordable
25	Housing Corporation.

1	MR. WILT: Michael Wilt, Texas State Affordable
2	Housing Corporation.
3	MR. DOLE: Robert Dole, Office of Mental Health
4	Coordination, HHSC.
5	MS. MOLINARI: Jennifer Molinari with TDHCA.
6	MR. GOURIS: Tom Gouris with TDHCA.
7	MS. BURNS: Jana Burns with Region 10 Education
8	Service Center.
9	MS. TRACZ: I'm Cate Tracz with TDHCA.
10	MS. SYLVESTER: Megan Sylvester with TDHCA.
11	(Inaudible speaker.)
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm Tomas Rodriguez with the TB
13	Program at DSHS.
14	MR. DOYLE: Okay. Thank you all for being
15	here.
16	We will now will take from the members of the
17	council, if you've had a chance to look over the minutes
18	that Cate sent us, we'd entertain a motion to approve
19	those minutes.
20	MS. BOSTON: So moved.
21	MR. DOYLE: There's a motion by Brooke. Is
22	there a second?
23	MS. KRAVITZ: Second.
24	MR. DOYLE: Second by Kelly.
25	There is one correction on the back part of the

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 minutes. Cate, do you want to tell them about that? MS. TRACZ: Yes. Item number 8 of the minutes, 2 3 I had mistakenly said that today was Thursday but it's 4 obviously Tuesday, January 31. Thank you to Dennis for 5 reviewing and pointing that out. So I can go ahead and 6 make that tiny clerical correction. 7 MR. DOYLE: So with that correction, all in 8 favor of accepting the minutes as presented say aye. 9 (A chorus of ayes.) 10 MR. DOYLE: Opposed same sign. 11 (No response.) 12 MR. DOYLE: Those do pass. Thank you very 13 much. 14 Now, Eric Samuels is going to discuss the Continuum of Care awards for 2016. 15 16 MR. SAMUELS: And so I can go over this pretty 17 quickly, but first I want to say that you heard a couple 18 of CoC leads on the call, Camille and Cindy, and I think 19 Marilyn might actually be on there too. And we expect 20 over the next couple of the meetings, or at least the rest of the meetings in 2017 that the Continuum of Care leads 21 22 will be playing a bigger part with the Interagency 23 Council, or hope to, so hopefully that's a welcome thing. 24 And I think it's good timing because we're

looking at updating the annual report, and I think getting

25

feedback from the CoC leads on that and on ways that might energize this group around our statutorily required duties, I think that would be a good thing I think the CoC leads could play a big part in that, so let me just get that out of the way. We were hoping for a lot more people to be here today but we had family emergencies and other things pop up, so hopefully next time we'll be a bigger group.

Okay. On to the awards. So for the State of Texas the fiscal year 2016 Continuum of Care program competition overall was good. You can look at all of the individual awards by CoC if you look at this handout.

MS. TRACZ: Excuse me, Eric.

For those of you on the phone, there's two handouts that Eric provided, and I will send those out by email to you on the phone and post them on the website.

MR. SAMUELS: So if you're on the phone and you do have those handouts -- some of you do, I know, I believe I sent those to the CoC reps -- this is the Continuum of Care competition on homeless assistance award report, the report sent out by HUD. So that shows all the individual awards and awards by CoC.

And then I summarized it all on this worksheet here where you can see the pie graph first that shows the CoC amounts for each of the eleven CoCs. I had the

percentages on here before but I don't think it really showed exactly what you would want to see or as well as the totals did. You can see that Houston obviously did very well and other CoCs did very well. All of these award amounts for 2016 for the most part, for I would say nine, probably ten out of the eleven CoCs -- probably nine, actually, it's a little bit more than what they received in the past or a little bit less. It's right around that line. I'm saying a little bit more than they received in 2015.

Now, there were a couple of CoCs that had pretty big differences. One CoC had a big difference in the wrong direction, we won't dwell on that. Hopefully that CoC will regain all that money in the next competition. And the other CoC that had a pretty big difference was the Texas Balance of State which received quite a bit more than it received in 2015.

Overall the amount that Texas received in 2015 was slightly more than 2016 but really close to the same, it's a good amount. And when you compare it to other states, I think we still have a long ways to go to bring Texas up to those levels, but we're getting there.

And I think what we're starting to see is I think you can see this funding is going to good use because you can see the point in time count line which is

the blue line, it's going down while the funding is going up, which would stand to reason. The only thing I'm a little worried about is in the last couple of years here where the funding has started to kind of level off and then you see how some of the point in time counts decreases aren't as high. So I'm worried that we're going to start flatlining there and maybe even start increasing that number. Hopefully that won't happen.

We should find out something soon about where we're headed with that because the 2017 point in time count just occurred for most of the state, in fact, all of the state last week, so hopefully we'll get the numbers from those counts and surveys very soon.

So I think overall we're in a pretty good place with this. Like I said, a lot of the CoCs out there increased a little bit or decreased a little bit.

MR. DOYLE: And for those of you on the phone who don't have this chart, in 2015 the state got \$87,245,000 and in 2016 \$86,315,000, a difference of about \$568,000, so it was a difference but it wasn't an appreciable difference.

MR. SAMUELS: But of course, there's individual programs out there that were certainly affected by that difference, so if you know anyone in those communities, please keep that in mind. But I think it shows that we're

heading in the right direction. The funding that we do have is being used a lot more for permanent housing solutions, Housing First practices, and I think that's also making a big difference in the homelessness and moving down that PIT number.

2.5

MS. CANTU: Eric, this is Naomi Cantu.

I have a question about the transitional housing awards for '16. I remember in '15 that the transitional housing had been dramatically cut. For '16 was there any level funding for the existing transitional housing providers, or were there more cuts, and what's happening with the transitional housing, does this directly get funded? Do you know?

MR. SAMUELS: So the transitional housing programs that were cut in 2015, that funding went away, but in 2016 there -- well, basically in 2016 there wasn't as many transitional housing programs to cut, so there weren't as drastic of cuts. There were some programs that were cut. And I don't want to single out any one CoC, but we know CoCs that had project applicants that did not jump at the chance to allocate money to rapid rehousing and permanent housing had a greater chance of losing that transitional housing funding, and I think that did happen in some of our CoCs. So yes, the transitional housing stuff did decrease. There was some renewal funding

1	provided and I believe that was primarily for domestic
2	violence and youth programs.
3	The CoC leads on the call, if they want to they
4	can jump a little bit on this, but overall the
5	transitional housing stock has decreased quite a bit in
6	the past two years.
7	Does that answer your question?
8	MS. CANTU: Yes, that does. Thank you.
9	MR. DOYLE: Any other comments or questions for
10	Eric?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. DOYLE: All right. Thank you, Eric.
13	MR. SAMUELS: You're welcome.
14	MR. DOYLE: Update on the Youth Homelessness
15	Demonstration Program. Is Ann here?
16	MS. TRACZ: I don't see her.
17	MR. DOYLE: Ann Howard, are you on the phone?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. DOYLE: Does anybody know we enough to get
20	this?
21	MR. SAMUELS: I wanted her to be here to get
22	all the applause.
23	Austin, while it did lose a tiny bit of money
24	in their CoC program funding, they gained a tremendous
25	amount of money for this Youth Homelessness Demonstration

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Project, and they're all very excited about it. It's \$5.2 million.

I know we have some other folks that work with homeless youth here in the room and they can add to what I'm saying, but it's an enormous win for Texas. That's the way I see it. Obviously it's specific to Austin, and I talked to Ann and some of the staff after they found out they were awarded this money and they were overly excited, and I think maybe a little overwhelmed at what's coming up, but everybody is just really excited about what they're going to be able to do. They've already done a lot of good work in identifying the homeless youth that do need to be housed and starting to work with those youth and housing a lot of those youth already.

I'll just say one other thing and then maybe someone else can jump in. Last week we met with the mayor and his staff who happened to help us out by meeting with the Laredo mayor on behalf of the Texas Balance of State Continuum of Care, and I can tell you that on that level they're super excited about what they're going to be able to do. And they intend to do just like they did with veteran homelessness and end youth homelessness and get the community behind that goal, using this as a catalyst.

MR. LONG: Ann, your presentation is going great.

(General laughter.)

MS. HOWARD: Sorry, apologies.

MR. SAMUELS: I'll let Ann talk more about it since she is the one.

MS. HOWARD: Are we talking about the youth grant?

MR. DOYLE: We are.

MS. HOWARD: Thank you, thank you.

Well, we're very honored to represent the Great State of Texas in launching this initiative. \$5.2 million doubles the HUD funding that Austin-Travis County has to address homelessness.

(Applause.)

MS. HOWARD: So that's a great thing. I'm curious is did that sort of played into. We only had \$5.6 million from HUD. And so we really believe that we're building on the success we've experienced housing veterans and then work with Jeanne and others to address youth homelessness. And so we want to use the money to prevent and end homelessness. And youth is young adults, it's really folks younger than 25, so it allows us to work with the schools and the CPS.

I'm sort of looking around the table. Do we have anybody here from CPS? And so we know, the data tells us that kids coming out of foster are sort of on,

for many of them -- sad to say -- a pathway to homelessness, and so one of our goals during a recent 100-day challenge was to make sure that nobody left foster care into homelessness. And that happens. Right? So we were excited that we had CPS leadership at the table with us during the fall when we were doing this 100-day challenge. We were able to write about that in the grant application and it is certainly a significant focus of where we want to take this work. And that should really help us sort of focus on the prevention angle, among other things.

We hope to use the money to try some new things like host homes. What would it look like if Michael and his wife took a young person into their home? I'm sure it wouldn't be easy but some communities have figured things like that out so it's a new thing. We do not have a youth specific shelter here in Travis County. I misspoke. LifeWorks runs one but it's very small. And one thing that doing this work to secure this grant caused us -- and I think Darilyn is on the phone -- Integral Care was a key partner with us over the last few months to figure out how we could position ourselves to address youth homelessness.

But one thing we found out from the data was that we had as many young people in our adult shelters as we did getting care from LifeWorks which was and is our

go-to youth agency. And we didn't know that, we assumed youth got taken care of by LifeWorks. And so it's really taught us that it's bigger than one agency, it's as this room represents, it's cross sectors and it's got to be a focus of all of us.

So things we're going to try differently are the host homes, maybe looking at creating a shelter specifically for this age group, and then doing a lot more rapid rehousing for young adults, and that's getting 18-year-olds and 20-year-olds and 22-year-olds to sign a lease and helping them get connected to employment to keep that lease.

We tried something during the 100-day challenge which was sort of creating roommates. That's not typically how we house adults. Right? But we had access to some single family rental units and so we put four youth in there. And I'm a parent of three youth and my kids have lived in all kinds of living situations since they left home, you know, and some of them work and some of them don't work. And so I think as we address young adults, we have to think about what were you like when you were 20. They're going to need abilities to stop and start and try something different. One strategy we did was six-month leases instead of twelve-month leases so that we can get them out of there without too much

detriment to their record, if you will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

What the federal government is looking for in this grant is some similar sort of stuff to what we did with veterans. They want to know that we're doing outreach to the community and we have by-name list of individuals that are under 25 and homeless. It's also allowing us to look at the several definitions of homelessness. You know, I come from the CoC world where we follow the HUD definition. Now we get to look at the Department of Education definition, so all of a sudden we're responsible for young adults who might be sleeping in their cousin's apartment or their friend's apartment. AISD has over 2,000 kids, we have eleven school districts in Travis County, over 2,000 young people who are registered as homeless, so it could be a much bigger number that we're dealing with.

And one of the things that HUD has not quite figured out is we showed them that with veterans we could create a system where we're housing, hitting a functional zero, we're housing as many as we're identifying, but we continue to identify them. And so they thought it would get to a point where it was sort of a zero sum, like there would be no more homeless veterans. We are still housing homeless veterans. And at one point we were housing a whole bunch of homeless veterans, and they say how can we

declare you effectively having ended veteran homelessness when you still have so many veterans. I'm like, well, because we're housing that many, we're doing what you asked us to do.

So this time they are going to come up with a number that says if you have more than this many homeless youth, you have not done it. And I'm not sure what that will do to the sort of challenge to hit that effective zero.

What we found in Austin -- and I don't think we're different -- is that if you remember RunTex, the shoe sales guy, and I can't remember that guy's name but I liked him a lot -- Paul Cardoza -- Paul said, I'm going to keep putting on races so you keep having a race to run so you keep buying shoes. And what I think we've seen in our community is when we have this challenge around veteran homelessness, we did it, when we had this challenge around youth homelessness, we did it, this 100-day challenge we did where we exceeded the goals.

Do we need to create state challenges so that we rally around it and create urgency and do it? Part of me says yes because that's sort of where we're seeing that we can harness energy and do things differently.

I might should be quiet and let you ask me questions. I am late because I was talking to somebody

and I was like, oh, my gosh, I've got to go.

Our first phone call with HUD is tomorrow about the grant, and we're not used to being like a funder, if you will, of having \$5 million to dole out in the community, but that's what this is looking like. Our CoC money, I don't know if you realize this, but even thought we CoC leads are responsible for sort of the renewal process and vetting those grants at the local level, each nonprofit organization, each agency has their own contract with HUD, ECHO, I'm not a contractor over that \$5.6 million that comes to Travis County. But this grant looks like ECHO got the grant and we'll be subcontracting with others, so that's going to ratchet up our fiscal management responsibilities, put me in the nuthouse, I'm sure.

(General laughter.)

MS. HOWARD: But we're real excited about it.

We're grateful to the work that was done around the youth counts in Texas. We had also participated with University of Chicago, Chapin Hall youth count, and then chosen to do this 100-day challenge. So we were going to be really mad if we didn't get it because we felt like they were setting us up to get it in a way, but we're really proud of the community, with Integral Care and AISD and Texas Homeless Education Office, the housing authority and Caritas of

1 Austin, and we all just sort of worked together. 2 MR. DOYLE: the length of the grant? 3 MS. HOWARD: I don't really know. We know with 4 the craziness going on that we need to get the money and 5 spend it as fast as possible, because one of the cool 6 things is that the way it's designed, it becomes then part 7 of the Travis County HUD allotment, all those good words, so it becomes renewable that amount. 8 9 MR. SAMUELS: So that's extremely important. MS. HOWARD: That's why I said it doubled our 10 11 HUD funding. 12 MR. SAMUELS: That I was not aware of. So what 13 that means is this funding that you're seeing here where 14 it says \$5.6 million for Austin, they will be eligible to 15 apply for a total of \$10 million in the next competition 16 because of this. That's amazing. I was not aware of 17 that. 18 MS. BOSTON: Do you know if that was depleted 19 from other places in Texas or is the true Texas allotment 20 increased by that? 21 MS. HOWARD: This was new money appropriated by 22 the last Congress, \$33 million to address youth 23 homelessness. That's our understanding as of today. 24 We'll learn more on this call tomorrow. It's the first 2.5

communication from them since the announcement.

1	MR. DOYLE: But your guess is that's going to
2	increase your pro rata share?
3	MS. HOWARD: Yes. Annual renewal demand.
4	That's a big one too.
5	MR. SAMUELS: And that's actually even more
6	important, and that's what it sounds like you're getting
7	increased.
8	MS. HOWARD: Yes. That's the way we read it,
9	because it's CoC funding.
10	MR. SAMUELS: Wow, that's big.
11	MR. DOYLE: It could be long term.
12	MR. SAMUELS: So I have a question, the number
13	that they're going to use to determine the effectiveness,
14	any idea what that's going to be based on? You're a
15	guinea pig, you and Cleveland and a few others.
16	MS. HOWARD: You know, they might tell us I
17	don't know, did I miss the benchmark conversation?
18	MR. LONG: No.
19	MS. HOWARD: We don't know.
20	MR. SAMUELS: Well, it's exciting.
21	MR. DOYLE: Congratulations.
22	Any other questions for Ann?
23	MS. MAERCKLEIN: I have a question.
24	MS. CANTU: Ann, I do have a question.
25	MR. DOYLE: Let's go with Pam first. Just one
25	MR. DOYLE: Let's go with Pam first. Just one

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 second. Pam, you go ahead first. 2 MS. MAERCKLEIN: Just put this in the back of 3 your head, do you know if any of these homeless youth 4 their parents are veterans? 5 MS. HOWARD: I don't know. 6 MS. MAERCKLEIN: Because that would be 7 something, you know, they might not get some money but maybe some education benefits if they are runaways from 8 9 veterans, so that could be maybe something to put in your 10 questionnaires. 11 MS. HOWARD: Absolutely. That's a great 12 connection. 13 MR. DOYLE: Naomi. 14 MS. CANTU: Naomi Cantu with TDHCA. 15 Ann, can you talk a little bit more about the 16 100-day challenge? I saw some news clips about that and 17 that you had dramatically decreased youth homelessness in Austin but there wasn't funding, as far as I understand, 18 19 associated with that 100-day challenge. Can you talk a 20 little bit about that and how you were able to accomplish 21 that? 22 MS. HOWARD: Yes. You're right that it did not 23 come with funding, it came with energy and excitement and

Looking for results, it was not a planning process, and so

technical assistance on how you do a 100-day challenge.

24

25

the team, which Darilyn was part of, really looked at how can we sort of -- I don't want to say shape ourselves but coordinate so that as we find youth we can connect them to financial assistance and housing. And this is where we used some sort of tools that we think are sort of new. One is to use rapid rehousing with youth. Typically in our community it's been transitional housing. You know, young adults come in, they get to stay for 12-18 months and then they need to be moving on. And this was much more, as I mentioned, housing with a lease and they can stay as long as they're paying the lease. And so we have subsidies up front. We just dedicated the funding we have for rapid rehousing to youth during that time period, there wasn't new money.

Another thing we've been doing in Austin is basically creating affordable housing by buying down the rent. So we used private money to take a rent instead of it being \$800 a month, we worked with the landlord and made it \$550 a month by paying \$250 times twelve up front. And that's just cheaper than building a new unit and when we don't have any it's just what you've got to do. And we call that rent buy-downs, and we did it a lot with veterans.

And the tradeoff for us with the property owners, they've got to lower their screening criteria so

that we'll work with them, which means if they typically require three times the rent in income, our kids don't have three times the rent in income, or you know, they don't want an eviction on their record, well, got to get rid of that, and then there's the criminal history thing. So just working with landlords to break down those barriers by lowering their screening criteria and then maybe buying down the rent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Darilyn, do you have anything else to say? MS. CARDONA-BEILER: Yes. I share Ann's excitement. It's really a unique opportunity. With the 100-day youth initiative, we were able to leverage resources of the community that I don't think we have done before. It was amazing to see the community come together and really look at what resources do we truly have and how can we work together to house the youth. We were able to house I think it was 53 youth, and using very innovative ways of doing that that we had not tried before. And the work continues, the teams are still meeting on a weekly basis. There is a by-name list and everyone comes together to share how things are going, and even though we were able to house 53 youth, the list is longer than that, of course, and the team is committed to continue looking at that list and keeping the initiative alive.

The only thing I wanted to say is just because

of Ann's leadership, this has really brought a lot of attention to our state and how we do things, and this grant was one out of ten and there were hundreds of applications. So she doesn't talk about that but it is a very big deal for our state and how people are looking at innovative ways to bring together multiple to get things done.

MR. DOYLE: Brooke, you had a question.

MS. BOSTON: Yes. Actually, it just was a comment which I just wanted to remind you that the 811 Program at TDHCA provides rental assistance for youth aging out of foster with disabilities. So similar to finding out if they have a parent who's a veteran, also figuring out if they have a disability, the appropriate ways without asking questions you're not supposed to, that could help potentially refer them to the 811 Program.

MS. HOWARD: And I'm glad you brought that up, and Darilyn, in trying to help us make sure that we're figuring out how to access that resource, I think one thing this group could do is like when there's that resource there, it talks about folks with mental illness and folks coming out of CPS and just disabilities but it doesn't call out homelessness or it doesn't call out working with the CoC. Like how do we drive that resource to make it clear how we access it. So I'd love to work on

that, or you guys to work on that.

MR. DOYLE: Bettie.

2.5

MS. BECKWORTH: Ann, I'm with Veterans Services at HHSC, so this is a two-pronged thing. There are more kids who are from military families who are going into the juvenile justice system. Todd is on the phone in Houston, and in my brain injury office days we had a big federal grant to determine if kids had developmental disabilities or brain injuries and we got help for them. So I'd like to encourage you, and I'd love to talk to you about it too, to work with the Texas Department of Juvenile Justice and see how maybe you could do a program within that because so many of those kids are in trouble because they didn't have families in the first place and it's a special subset that I know that Juvenile Justice would be happy to work with you on. And I bet Todd will say amen.

MR. NOVAK: Yes. I certainly would appreciate any support, absolutely.

MS. HOWARD: And just yesterday we secured the commitment of a former public defender and judge, Bill King, here in Travis County, that is going to sit on our advisory council for a while to help us make that connection, so it's definitely on our radar.

MS. BECKWORTH: On your radar. That's wonderful.

1 MR. DOYLE: Michael. MR. WILT: Michael Wilt, TSAHC. 2 3 Ann, I think we all share, like Darilyn, our 4 enthusiasm for you, so congratulations. 5 The by-name list that you and Darilyn were 6 referring to, does that include that expanded definition 7 where you work with AISD and CPS to identify youth? MS. HOWARD: Yes, it does. 8 9 MR. DOYLE: Cindy. Cindy, you're kind of 10 cutting out if you're still talking. MS. CRAIN: Is that better? 11 MR. DOYLE: No, it's not. All we heard was: 12 13 Is that better? If you're driving, maybe you're going 14 through a dead spot. I don't know if you can hear us but 15 we can't hear you. We'll come back to you. Break in 16 again when you get a second. 17 And we'll move on, and we're talking about the 18 same thing, youth homelessness, but David is going to give us some benchmarks and stuff from the U.S. Interagency 19 20 Council. MR. LONG: Thanks, Mike. 21 22 Everybody should have gotten a copy of the 23 benchmarks that I handed out a second ago. These were 24 recently released by the U.S. Interagency Council on

Homelessness. To kind of make sure we had all of our

2.5

thoughts together, we actually called our USICH rep, Bob Poster, and talked with him, Michael and I did that, and kind of walked through the benchmarks. So what I'll do is I'll just go over these with you and kind of give you some of our thoughts as well as some of the thoughts that are coming down from the USICH regarding the development of these criteria and benchmarks.

First and foremost, as you can probably imagine, these are modeled after the benchmarks and criteria that were created for ending veteran homelessness. If you're a community that's either been identified as being certified for ending veteran homelessness or working towards that, you can pretty much assume that this is kind of where they're going to head towards that same criteria and level of benchmarks. They obviously will be varied because they'll have different criteria and different individuals we're trying to work with.

You kind of think of the criteria as the vision, if you will, for ending youth homelessness and the benchmarks are the system or the metrics that you use to measure your progress and/or success in meeting that vision. Kind of broadly speaking regarding the criteria, they're designed to create really a coordination, transparency and accountability in a model for ending the

youth homelessness activities that put together in your plan. Specifically working with all of the stakeholders and partners because one of their big envisioned ideas, and as we all try around this table, is to all work together. I mean, look around the table, there's 10 or 15 different groups or organizations or agencies and we all need to work together and that needs to be something that we do in coordination so that we have transparency and we're still able to come to a goal and kind of bring that to bear with our partners. So let me go through each of the five criteria that you have and I'll just kind of give you a quick summary and then kind of the focus on it.

So the first criteria requires the community to get to an accurate count of unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness, and the USICH emphasizes that this could be pretty difficult, and as Ann mentioned earlier, there's a lot of different definitions for counting and identifying amongst the agencies what is defined as youth homelessness. The population, in our opinion, should include youth who are couch surfing or living at relatives' houses because they either lack stable housing or don't have a place to go, and along with all the other factors, they're actually on the street.

This effort requires coordination with all the different groups within Texas and that may vary, but

including CPS, school districts, criminal justice system and other entities to help us get an accurate and complete count in terms of what the number is in terms of youth homelessness.

The second criteria is community working and uses the criteria for the prevention and diversion methods when possible or otherwise provides immediate access to low barrier housing. The preferring housing intervention is reunification with their family, obviously, and other natural supports or provide supports to the youth's identified family to keep them at home. Obviously it's always better to have them go home if it's a stable environment. We certainly don't want to put them back in a home where there is either trauma or the reason they left was due to a harmful situation. If that's not possible and they're fleeing an unsafe situation, then we probably want to work to connect them to low barrier temporary housing just to get them off the street.

The third criteria is to connect youth to housing and services that are tailored for their needs.

Basically, the answer here is not every youth has the same situation and not every youth has the same needs. So some interventions need to focus more on protective factors like addressing why someone feels unsafe at home, or focusing on reducing risk factors like making sure you

don't place someone in a living situation where they're exposed to drugs or alcohol if they're coming from an abusive situation or where they're in a substance abuse situation themselves.

The fourth criteria is that the community acts with urgency to swiftly assist youth into permanent housing with appropriate services. The main take-away from this is to make sure that youth are shuffled around or passed off. I mean, the last thing we want to do is create a continuing transition for them. We want to give them some stability that they can kind of walk into and create some solid footing to move forward with. So there should be a permanent housing solution and a plan in place for each individual given each one's needs.

The fifth criteria is that the community has resources, plans and system capacity in place to continue to prevent and end future experiences of homelessness among the youth. This is to make sure that the community's plan is sustainable, i.e., we want to make sure that whatever you put together has longevity in it and that it has the capacity to address youth homelessness on an ongoing basis.

So that's kind of the criteria in a quick overview. Obviously you have the guidelines in front of you. I will tell you that if you go to the website and

look this up, they have guidelines and a variety of other information that goes and kind of takes these a little deeper. I encourage you to go look at that the U.S. Interagency's website. Some of the references are here in the actual handout I gave you.

With regards, Mike, if you don't mind kind of going over the benchmarks a little bit?

MR. DOYLE: Go right ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. LONG: Just as a summary. As I said before, these are the metrics and how you want to measure how well your community is performing and the progress that you're making in ending youth homelessness. there's several benchmarks, I'm not going to read over them all so I encourage you all to kind of go through those benchmarks. I would note some of these benchmarks obviously aren't final. As I said before, the U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness is still working to kind of figure out what it's going to take to make this come into play, and as they move forward, I'm sure those benchmarks will morph a little bit, just as they did with the veterans homelessness initiative. They may vary a little different but also kind of keep that in mind as you work towards certification and being certified as a community that has effectively ended youth homelessness.

I would add that based on our discussion with

Bob that Austin specifically -- and congratulations again to Ann -- the State of Texas, but more importantly, the City of Austin has a mayor who is very supportive of this. I think Eric mentioned that earlier in his presentation and I think Ann will tell you the same. So we have a mayor that's pretty supportive. We have received phenomenal amount of awards, if you will. We go the 100-day youth challenge demonstration that we worked through that Ann just got through discussion, we had the Bloomberg grant, Pay for Success. The City of Austin was certified as a community that ended veterans homelessness, and now we have this huge award from HUD of \$5.2 million that Ann will be able to use and effectively work towards some goals of ending youth homelessness there.

Their thought is that that's a great model for us to grow from as a state. I recognize that we are just a small part of all that information and we all have to work together, but quite honestly, they view it as a great opportunity as to create some models and some strategies to work it out as a state level. So I'll just throw that out.

And I'll end with I think the key word that I read in all of this stuff and the things that I wrote down as my notes is that community is really the factor here when you're dealing with youth homelessness. We all have

to work together, both within the communities as well as the organizations that are providing and delivering those services, and so if we can continue to work together, both amongst the stakeholders, the partners, service providers and the financial resources that are being provided, I think we can certainly go a long way in achieving and becoming a state that can be recognized that's done a good job of trying to achieve ending youth homelessness.

And with that, Mike, I'll take any questions.

MR. DOYLE: Brooke.

MS. BOSTON: David, I don't know what the answer to this was for the veterans either so it may just be that they're using the same, is the USICH or HUD who's kind of the arbiter of that? And when Ann was talking earlier about she wasn't sure what kind of metrics HUD is going to use, are they going to be different?

MR. LONG: I don't have an answer for you. I think USICH has put this stuff out, so I'm going to assume they're the ones driving it. Whether or not they have the communication and coordination with HUD as the funding source, I don't really know. I'll throw out a couple of interesting things that Bob told us and Michael may say I don't want you talking about that, but with the new administration, you know, I asked Bob: So what's the changes that might be coming your way at USICH, is Matthew

going to continue to be the executive director? The answer was yes. But they're currently authorized from the current continuing resolution through April, they're authorized through September, so we're very hopeful that the new administration will step up and recognize the phenomenal work that's been put together and the guidance and the leadership that's come down from USICH to help put together these types of guidelines and coordinate with HUD and make sure funding goes to the right places to make sure those resources are dispensed properly.

2.5

So I throw that out to answer the question. I don't really know the answer to the question. Assuming everything we just heard, I would imagine USICH would be driving a lot of the benchmarks and criteria in terms of development for certification. That's my take, but I'm not the last say on it.

MS. HOWARD: And I would agree because last time it was HUD -- for veterans it was VA dollars that we were spending and HUD dollars and yet it was USICH who worked with Obamas and created the Mayors Challenge to End Homelessness. And so there's an initiative how called A Way Home America and that is this campaign to end youth homelessness, and it's got private funding, it's got leadership through HUD and USICH.

When we did this youth challenge, USICH was

sort of the convener and we had executives from all these different agencies at the launch and some at the sustain ability kind of wrap-up. And so I think we'll be looking to USICH to sort of run the race, like who's achieved what. This demonstration grant is a HUD thing and so I'm sure we're going to have outcomes and metrics measured by HUD but this benchmarks stuff is USICH.

MS. BOSTON: Okay. Thanks.

MS. BAKER: I have a question. Anna Baker with the Texas Veterans Commission.

So maybe I'm misunderstanding. So in the criteria, like number one you mentioned couch surfing, and maybe I misunderstand but in the PIT count, couch surfing is not counted. Isn't that correct?

MS. HOWARD: So the PIT county is HUD, and so it has rules, and basically you're only homeless if you're sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation, and there's other stuff. But the Department of Education has another definition and it includes couch surfing. So the HUD grant is to look at all of youth homelessness no matter what federal definition it is, or using all the federal definitions.

MR. LONG: That was really the biggest point is that there's multiple definitions and some of them incorporate a variety. I said couch surfing and living in

relatives because it may not be exactly where they should be but it's a temporary situation for them. So trying to find all those definitions, as Ann said, and figuring which ones incorporate everybody's thought would be kind of where the goal is here.

MS. BAKER: Gotcha. Thank you.

MR. DOYLE: Other questions for David?

(No response.)

MR. DOYLE: Good stuff. Thank you very much.

MR. LONG: You bet.

MR. DOYLE: Kelly, you're going to talk about the Every Student Succeeds Act.

MS. KRAVITZ: And I've got our whole homeless team here. So often you hear us talking about definitions and how the schools serve because we want to provide you an overview of that today. I'm here with Jana Burns from Education Service Center Region 10, as well as Jeanne Stamp at the Texas Homeless Education Office, and we want to update you on the Every Student Succeeds Act, but we also want to give you some information about the McKinney-Vento Homeless Program at large and some of the work that we're doing here in the state.

So we've got a couple of handouts for you. And another thing, I brought a bunch of sticky notes and so I'd like for you to write out some of your questions.

We're hoping that in the future we will be able to use this opportunity here to be able to answer some of your questions regarding McKinney-Vento homeless education.

We're talking about coordination and the importance of collaboration and all of the stakeholders at the table being involved, and we realize that this is an opportunity, this is a forum where we can do a better of empowering folks with information about what's going on regarding homeless students in Texas schools, questions regarding McKinney-Vento, and we want to be able to provide that information back to you.

So on these sticky notes please just write down questions. We've got about 20 minutes so we're not going to be able to get into all of it. We will answer a few questions at the end, but what I'd like is on your way out or after the presentation to just go ahead and stick it up on the wall and then we'll take these back, and then we'll work to provide this information in the future.

So I'm Kelly Kravitz and I work at the Texas

Education Agency, so this is actually a little graphic

that kind of shows you. Our funding has actually just

gone up, over \$6 million, a little over \$6 million from

the U.S. Department of Education to implement the

McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Act. In

Texas we outsource this program, so we work with Education

Service Center Region 10, who in turn contracts with the Texas Homeless Education Office to implement the McKinney-Vento program in Texas. There is a sub-grant portion of the program, there's 66 sub-grants serving 131 local education agencies. Jana is going to talk a little bit more about that. And as you all know, Jeanne Stamp from the Texas Homeless Education Office and the robust technical assistance that they run, all of the information and wealth of knowledge that both of these organizations have regarding homeless education.

So I feel very honored to be at the agency, really an internal champion for this work and helping to support the great work that they are doing to serve homeless across our state in Texas schools, and really my efforts are within the agency just more coordinating and advocating and pushing along these efforts internally.

So this graphic shows U.S. Department of Ed,
TEA, Region 10 and then THEO, so we really are a team that
work together to implement this program. On the back
there are resources. I mentioned THEO's website and also
Region 10, excellent training and resources regarding the
McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Program in Texas. Also,
for training needs, stakeholder collaboration
opportunities and all those things, these are the folks
that you want to go to regarding increasing awareness

within your respective communities and programs across the state.

The Every Student Succeeds Act, now switching to the legislation, this is historic legislation. As many of you are familiar with No Child Left Behind, well, that was essentially reauthorized to become the Every Student Succeeds Act, ESSA, depending on who you're talking to. This was signed in December of 2015. There were numerous changes regarding our McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Program that actually became effective October 1, 2016. So one of those things, we were talking about the definition, students awaiting foster care are no longer served under the McKinney-Vento definition. There are changes how where those students will be served under Title 1, Part A under the new foster care provision.

School of origin now includes preschool as well as feeder patterns, which is outstanding for maintaining school stability. Jeanne will talk more about some of these details and kind of how they play out. And there are also new data reporting requirements, so we will now as a state be producing graduation and dropout rates for students in homeless situations, we will be factoring these students into accountability ratings, as well as different work that the agency by subgroup. Districts as well as the state will be required to break out this

student group which is outstanding as far as looking at outcomes and really starting to get a better picture of how our students are faring.

Additionally, in the law were changes about the requirements for state as well as local education agencies to make sure they have the capacity to do the work.

There's 24 pages of federal law that is the McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Program where we are responsible to implement these laws and support students in Texas public schools. We have over 113,000 students identified yet we know there's more students that meet the education definition of homelessness that we need to be identifying within our schools.

Some aspects of the law that relate to you all in coordination specifically is homeless liaisons will now be able to verify folks for HUD and HUD housing, so that is a new change in the law. Additionally, homeless liaisons are required to recommend homeless youth, as well as their families, to mental health, health care, dental, but now housing services as well as substance abuse services has also been added in the law. So again, the need for our school folks to be coordinating locally in the community, understanding the resources that are available. Again, those are just a few highlights of ESSA and some of the changes.

1

Is there anything else I should add?

2

MR. DOYLE: Clarification real quick. Did yo

3

say that homeless youth will now be funded by the

4

Department of Education and not by McKinney-Vento?

5

MS. KRAVITZ: So awaiting foster care was a

6

part of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Education definition, so folks that were awaiting foster were served as homeless

7

eligible in Texas schools. Well, now there are now

8

provisions in ESSA for students in foster care

10

specifically, so now those students will no longer be

tied to those students but there is just a change.

11

served under McKinney-Vento but they'll be served under

12

the foster care provision. So there's not a dollar amount

definition will play out. As we know there are students

placements an scenarios that would traditionally meet our

in foster care that are in homeless situations, like a

crisis in our state sleeping in offices and other

definition of homeless. So we're still waiting for

out, but on the whole, that was removed from the

definition of McKinney-Vento.

quidance from Ed regarding how some of this will shake

We're still working to understand how that

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2425

it over to Jeanne.

And with that, I'm going to go ahead and turn

MS. STAMP: Awesome. Thank you very much.

So we do have a couple of handouts, and I want to go over this first one which is kind of info-graphic of our annual report. And this is an annual report that we did for the '15-16 school year, but as you will see, the data that we have is 2014-15. That's the last verified certified numbers that we have through TEA. There's always a little bit of a lag there because TEA meticulously goes through those numbers to make sure that there isn't duplication of numbers that are reported. These are reported to the Department of Education and they come out with the consolidated performance report that USDE does eventually. So there's always a little bit of a lag there, so I'm going to be talking really about two years.

2.5

So as Kelly said, in the '14-15 school year we had 113,063 students that were identified as homeless through the public schools. Now, keep in mind that we think this is an under-identified number for a number of reasons. When they're younger children and they have been identified by a school district, they're not enrolled in pre-K or something of that sort, we don't have them. Kids who drop out wouldn't be considered in this. You know, many times older students who leave but still should be in school because they're 18 but not 19, sometimes we don't have those involved in those numbers as well.

Also, there's usually issues with school districts in identifying because a lot of kids don't want to come forward and tell the schools that they're homeless, they're embarrassed about it, or if they're on their own they're afraid that the schools are going to call CPS or the authorities. Families are embarrassed many times to let schools know. So we know that every year we try to shore up that identification piece because obviously if we don't identify them, we can't serve them, and those numbers being reported to USDE have a lot to do with the money that comes into our state to serve those kids.

So if you look at our little state of Texas, you'll see in the '12-13 school year that was the first year really that we had a PEIMS indicator, and PEIMS is the Public Education Information Management System that TEA uses so that all school districts when they identify students are putting it into this information management system. And this was the first year that we actually had homeless indicator to put in there, so the numbers prior to the '12-13 school year are a little less reliable than what we have because they were reported in a different way and almost looked like estimates in some ways.

MR. DOYLE: Question, Jeanne. So if they're under counted and you're pretty sure they're under

counted, and Ann is going to get an estimate for how many there are, how do you keep from raising her number, how do you get on the same page as far as that number for Austin?

MS. STAMP: For Austin. Well, they will do as good a job as possible of identifying. Every year the schools go through training to do identification, they really try to improve and work on that identification, but we just know that there's always going to be a few that we're going to miss.

One of the ways that we really are hoping that identification is improved is by coordination with the community. So this very grant, as well as the 100-day challenge, brings all the different organizations to the table so that you might have a community service provider that's serving families with another kind of thing and they will have the information to say, hey, did you know your children can be in school, they can stay in the school that they were in even though you had to move. And so we have a much more robust referral system going back and forth where everybody understands the same information and can really refer families and get those kids in school.

So we see that working both ways. Schools obviously have to refer to the community to get services, but we're seeing really a robust coming back to the

schools from community service providers who are referring families to the schools to make sure that kids are in school. So we think working in collaboration and coordination that that's going to improve that a great deal.

MR. DOYLE: Okay. Good. Sorry about the interruption.

MS. STAMP: No. That's a great question, and I'll get to a little piece about collaboration later.

But as you can see from the graphic, we have the 2014-15 numbers that talk about numbers of kids enrolled and the number of school districts, 1,219, so our office has a big task with that many school districts trying to reach all of them. We have a number that are sub-grantees and really most of the kids that are served in Texas are in those sub-grantees, and Jana will talk a little bit more about that.

If you look at the pie chart for '14-15, it brings up again what we're talking about with the number of kids that are doubled up with families, staying with someone, couch surfing are the largest number. In Texas it's very similar to what it is nationwide. Generally it's anywhere between 80 and 83 percent nationwide that he largest number are doubled up kids that are staying places.

And I know sometimes people feel like, well, if they're staying with somebody, they have a roof over their head, and yes, that is better that sleeping under a bridge. But those housing situations are really precarious because they're generally not doubled up with wealthy relatives, they're doubled up with other people who are living on the edge and financially have difficulties, have overcrowded housing anyway, have very few resources to share. They end up moving from place to place and doing the kind of couch surfing that you see a lot of times.

And we a couple of years ago had a videographer who went around Texas and videoed a lot of the doubled up situations and you can find the video on YouTube and it's called "Worn-out Welcome Mat" and I really encourage you to look at that because they interviewed a lot of young people and families that they were staying with and it really does show you just kind of the precarious housing that these students have. Even though they may technically have roof over their head, they never know how long they're going to have that roof, we don't know if that roof is going to have actually holes in it, just really situations that you would not see a child as being to thrive, go to school, get their education, feel safe and secure in those housing situations. So I really

encourage you to look at that video, it's very telling.

2.5

You'll see the little pie chart breaks down by grade and the largest number identified through the school districts are primary which would be K through 12. We also have a chart that shows you different things like disabilities, English language proficiency, migratory, and then unaccompanied homeless youth. And of course, unaccompanied homeless youth, when we think about them we're thinking about them as being teenagers, but that's not really what the count totally is through the schools.

So the count for unaccompanied homeless youth will be any child who's in a homeless situation that's not living with a parent or a legal guardian. So they could be with a relative, they could be with a family friend, they could be with a stranger, we don't know the circumstances. Some of our kids are made to pay for where they're sleeping at night in various ways. So again, that can be a very unsafe and a little bit scary situation.

So we see this number kind of going up and down each year. Some of that may be, again, whether or not students want to let the school know that they're on their own, that they've either been kicked out or there's been conflict in the family and they've left or they've run away from home or something like that.

At the bottom you'll see in the dark green the

state mandated assessment proficiency, and this, again, matches federal kind of indicators as well in that our kids generally tend to perform 10 to 15 percent below other students when it comes to state assessments. They tend to graduate at a lesser rate too, anywhere from 50 to 54 percent of homeless kids graduate. If they're unaccompanied homeless youth, that really increases, they only have a 25 percent chance of graduating on time.

Now, I say that but we also have some school districts that report higher graduation rates for their homeless kids than they do for their district overall, so we have an idea of what works with that, and what counts is having designated staff to perform those duties that normally parents would perform, making sure the children get to school, get to school on time, making sure they're doing their homework, they're handing it in, for the kids to know there's somebody who cares about them and cares about their education and how they're going to do and really pushes them along and pushes them through and helps them get their needs met.

And of course, doing all of that takes money and a lot of our grant-funded programs -- which Jana will speak about -- that's what they do with their money is they scoot those kids along so that those districts that get that grant money tend to have some pretty good

performance measures for their homeless kids. And we just wish we had a whole lot more money so that every single school district could have those kinds of designated staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you flip over to the other side, you'll see that in the districts where we have sub-grants, usually around 61 to 63 or 64 percent of our school districts' homeless students are in those sub-grant districts. And why is that? We have 1,200-and-some school districts but only 131 grant projects. Well, that's because the school districts that have the most students period are the ones who generally have the grant as well. They apply for the grant, it's a competitive grant, so our large school districts like Dallas, Houston, San Antonio area, Amarillo, El Paso, a lot of those folks are the ones who have applied for the grant and so they're reported numbers. So even though we have a small number of actual LEAs that get the grant when you compare that to 1,200, they have a lot of the students that end up being served by that grant money which is a good thing. We're happy about that.

If you look here, again you'll see kind of the things that our office does as far as training and outreach. As Kelly mentioned, we have a rather robust technical assistance program where we really help

districts to make sure that they're following the law, they know what they're supposed to do, they're in compliance, but really moving from compliance to really being committed to making sure that kids get the education that they need because we all, I think, in this room would agree that education is the way out of homelessness for young people. We want to make sure that we don't see them as adults coming back into our shelters and our homeless programs when they have been homeless as children, so really breaking that cycle is one of the things that education does.

We do a lot of in-person interaction with school districts, we do a lot of trainings, we do trainings in all 20 of the education service centers around the state at least once a year, if not twice a year. We do trainings at individual school districts, we have webinars and we have information on our website and we have power points people pull down. And we've just completed a robust training of the trainer curriculum that we're hoping to get out and do some training for our service centers in all 20 areas so that we build that capacity throughout the state because school districts can't identify and serve kids if they don't know the law and they don't know how to do it. So really getting out there and getting that information is important.

We have a little bit of an umph this year with ESSA going into effect, because believe it or not, even though McKinney-Vento has been around since 1987, there's never been a mandate for training. Even the homeless liaisons, there's a requirement for a homeless liaison in every district, they haven't been mandated to have training before, so they're assigned a job for which they have no training to do that job. So with ESSA, one of the really good pieces was putting some teeth into that training, that homeless liaisons are required to get training and homeless liaisons are required to see that their district staff that identify enrolled kids and help kids in school are trained to do that job. So that training piece is really important for us, and we were thrilled to see that go into ESSA.

You'll see the little pie chart gives you the breakdown of the kinds of calls that we get, so we track all of our calls in an electronic system so we can see what are the most questions that we get, what topics, so we can really gear our training and our technical assistance to those topics. And then what you see under that really is the homeless definition for McKinney-Vento. These housing situations are what's reported by TEA to USDE, these are what school districts report to the PEIMS system and are counted.

We make sure that we get the information out that homeless kids can enroll without proof of residency, birth certificates, immunization records, school records, legal guardianship. If you're an unaccompanied youth on your own, you can enroll in school, you don't need your parent or quardian's signature, you're able to do that. You're able to stay in your school of origin which is the school that you were in when you became homeless or the last one that you attended because we know from research that that school continuity is the number one thing that really helps these kids. So they don't have to move around from school to school every time they're moving to a different couch somewhere. And believe it or not, that did happen in the past and that's why the law was passed in the first place so that that wouldn't happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Transportation is a mandate for school of origin, so the old thing of, well, if you want to go back to your old school, how are you going to get there, doesn't play anymore because school districts are required to make sure they can get back there. So for instance, if we had a child who became homeless in Austin, had to move in with a relative in Round Rock, if they wanted to go back to their AISD school, they are able to do that and they get transportation to go back to that school. So

keeping those kids stable in school is really the heart of the McKinney-Vento law and the reauthorizations of it, and anything that can be done to that is really important.

And as Kelly mentioned, ESSA now brings pre-K as well as feeder schools into that school of origin definition. We hadn't that in the past, so pre-K kids weren't covered no matter how many times their parents moved around, and then feeder schools, once kids ended in elementary, they didn't have a right to go with the rest of their cohort on to middle school, or middle school on to high school. They have that now where they can stay with their friends, they can get veered on to that next step, and that's going to be a really important piece, we think.

MR. SAMUELS: So I have a little bit of a question. If I was Ann and I was looking at these numbers, I'd have a big lump in my throat looking at all of these numbers, especially the doubled up numbers.

Doubled up, of course, is putting someone in a vulnerable position. I wonder if there's any studies out there that you know of -- I don't know of them -- that measures the vulnerability of those children at that time in doubled up situations. Because it's going to be hard to quantify for Austin that number and identifying where they need to get to meet those goals. Do you know of anything?

MS. STAMP: You know, I don't know anything of what exactly you're talking about, but what happens in the school districts is every year you check on the homeless status. Right? So let's say we have a child who was living with grandparents last year. The next year when they enroll in school, they would be checked for is this child still considered homeless, so that would really depend upon how stable they are in that grandparents' home. So if you're the grandparent who's saying yes, I plan on keeping this child until they graduate from high school and they have a fixed, regular, adequate and stable house, we might not consider that child homeless anymore and they would drop off that status.

2.5

But if we have a grandparent who is living on the edge financially, is saying gee, we don't know how long we can keep this child, we're having issues with this child, we don't know how long we can manage them, health problems, anything that would cause that be like I don't know that this child is going to be able to stay here, they may have to move on to somewhere else, then they would continue to be considered as homeless. So just because they have been identified one year doesn't mean it happens every year, although there is no time limit in the law for homelessness, it really is how long that child meets that definition of homeless.

So you can't just throw a child off the rolls automatically, and that's why being in that doubled up situation is not an automatic gee, you're homeless. If you're in a shelter, you're automatically homeless.

Right? But if you're in a doubled up situation, we have to ask some questions about that situation, how they got there, loss of housing, the instability of it, how long they've been there, the substandard or standardness of the housing. So there's a lot of things that go into making that decision.

MS. KRAVITZ: Kelly is going to send me and Eric to the principal's office because we were supposed to write our questions down and stick them on the wall.

(General laughter.)

MS. KRAVITZ: I know we're over time, but I did want to give Jana the opportunity to talk about our grant program they administer.

MS. BURNS: I can be very brief. So Kelly and Jeanne both mentioned the sub-grant program and so if you look at your info-graphic on the back page, you'll see in the top right corner, in '15-16 Texas was allocated \$5.8 million to support the homeless education program in Texas. The requirement is that we spend at least 75 percent of that directly in the schools, and you'll see the chart, you'll notice that in Texas we proudly sub-

grant over 86 percent of those funds and keep a small portion of that to actually implement the program in Texas.

Kelly and Jeanne have mentioned the number and the percent of an LEAs that qualify for those sub-grants. The amount of money is based on a needs assessment that they do and that requires the collaboration with their community service providers. And so when they collaborate and they look at what the needs of their homeless population is within their community, then they're able to apply for an amount based on a percentage of their students that are have been identified as homeless.

In that grant they identify those things that their community has said they feel like would be best practices for serving their homeless, and we try to capture that information, and we will, again, as Jeanne mentioned, use that information to support those districts that maybe don't qualify for those fundings.

In addition to that, you asked a question about how we're hoping to identify more children. One of the things that we think may be a concern is those districts that aren't sub-grantees that maybe don't have that awareness that our TEXSHEP sub-grantees have, we have an initiative this year of really trying to target some of those and take the best practices that we've learned from

the sub-grant process and share that with those districts so that if they don't necessarily have as robust of a system as our sub-grantees do, they can learn from what our sub-grantees are doing.

2.5

It's a three-year cycle, the sub-grant is. The first two is competitive, the subsequent years the award is based on the efficacy of the program in the years prior, so it's not an automatic grant. If you have financial concerns, that's taken into consideration. If the program is not accomplishing the goals that they set out, that's considered as well. There is a twice a year monitoring of the grant, there's a midyear review, and that's in conjunction with the THEO office and then there's an end of year report that's due as well. So it's not future money you just go to, we monitor that money very carefully and make sure that the students are getting those services.

MR. DOYLE: Great.

MS. STAMP: Can I just a couple more things?

MR. DOYLE: Sure.

MS. STAMP: I know I ran over my time, but I wanted to call your attention to this document because we did this in conjunction with the Texas Homeless Network who worked with us, and we're really trying to make sure that school districts are reaching out to their

coalitions, the homeless coalitions, their CoCs and working with them, and so we did this kind of document to explain to homeless liaisons just what that means, what is a homeless coalition, what's a CoC. Because as you know, the homeless liaisons in school districts, that's just an assigned title and job. Right? It's not something somebody applies to be the homeless liaison, it's assigned to a lot of different people who wear a lot of different hats and are very, very busy and often find that they don't have the time to really get out in the community the way they really need to and would like to. So we're really trying to reinforce that that's an important activity and giving them some knowledge about that.

And then the last one that I didn't talk about really comes through the National Center for Homeless Education. It's just a little bit of tools while we're waiting on additional ESSA guidance that school districts or those of us going out and training can hand out. It talks about what the definition is and some real tips for helping kids in schools who are in a homeless situation.

MS. BURNS: I wanted to say something about
Texas Homeless Network, and Jeanne mentioned training, and
we are so proud to partner with the Texas Homeless
Network. What started out as a sub-grants conference has
turned into something even better, and because of their

support of homeless education, we're able to bring in liaisons from across the state to collaborate with service providers and collaborate with one another on ending homelessness. So we really appreciate their work.

MR. SAMUELS: That's nice. And I think it was very valuable the last conference when we did that.

MR. DOYLE: And there will be another one this year.

MR. SAMUELS: That's right.

MS. KRAVITZ: Thank you all. Please put your sticky notes up or pass them this way, but we definitely want to work to provide you guys more information in the future. So thank you.

MR. DOYLE: Okay. On the (b) part of number 7, it's very critical that we also continue to consider prevention activities. So I'm just asking each one of you to think about between now and our next meeting, which is April 11, the prevention activities that your agencies may be doing, and give us a report on what those may or may not be at our meeting in April. And we'll be sending out a note, a reminder to that effect that you're looking for prevention activities that you can update us on at our next meeting.

Okay. Our draft annual report. I hope that you all got that and had a chance to go through it. It

1 was, I thought, very well done, but what I would do is ask 2 for volunteers to serve, two or three of you to serve on a 3 report committee to just read over that one more time and 4 get with Cate on anything that you think might be wrong. And so you education people, you're educated and all that 5 6 stuff, you might want to do that. 7 (General laughter.) 8 MR. DOYLE: But anyway, and then bring your 9 recommendation to the April meeting and we'll vote on it 10 as the council. So can I get a couple of people to 11 volunteer, look that over again, get with Cate and make 12 any corrections? 13 MR. SAMUELS: I'll volunteer. 14 MR. DOYLE: There's one. I'll help. 15 MS. STAMP: 16 MR. DOYLE: And Jeanne is another. Can we get 17 one more? 18 MS. BOSTON: I'm happy to volunteer. I read it a whole bunch anyway. 19 20 MR. DOYLE: Brooke, if you would kind of coordinate that, facilitate those discussion with Jeanne 21

MS. BOSTON: Sure.

22

23

24

25

and Eric.

MR. SAMUELS: And can I just say in the previous reports we addressed each statutory requirement,

in this one I noticed it's not in there yet. Cate and I talked about it yesterday, the thought is that it would be eventually be added or could be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. TRACZ: The way we've got it written is every year in our statute we're supposed to do certain things to address homelessness in the state, so we're just calling out a couple of major things that we've done in the past year, and then there's something in the report that says we want to address all of these statutory required things, here's the highlights. If there's something that the advisory committee thinks that the report is lacking, I would love your input on that. is just a draft from TDHCA to really get the report moving, but do want input from the members and advisory members. If this new structure you feel it's not meeting the statute, we can certainly look at that, and it would be the committee's recommendation to maybe edit that structure.

MR. SAMUELS: Okay. And what are the thoughts on we're going to be working more, hopefully, with the CoCs, what are the thoughts of them contributing to this?

MS. TRACZ: I'm just administrative support. I think that's great if that would be a committee recommendation.

MR. SAMUELS: Okay.

1 MR. DOYLE: This is more about a report to the 2 agencies than it is a CoC funding matter, so I don't want 3 to bog the thing down. I'd like to get this in by the end 4 of the summer. So if that helps, that's wonderful, but 5 that's what your committee can decide. But in April, if 6 you'll bring us the thing you're ready to recommend, that 7 will be marvelous. And if the members could get it a couple of weeks prior to or a week where we could at least 8 review it. 9 MS. TRACZ: We can coordinate on a timeline to 10 11 say by this date we'll have something for all the members 12 to review prior to the next meeting so we'll have a 13 finished product to vote on.

MR. DOYLE: Good. Thank you.

Okay. Miss Megan, you're going to review membership designations and duties. I've been looking forward to this all day long.

(General laughter.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. SYLVESTER: Well, I saved you all the trouble of reading HB 200 which as many of you know was the bill last session that reauthorized and reorganized the Health and Human Services Commission.

MS. CANTU: Sorry to interrupt, but we're having trouble hearing o the phone.

MS. SYLVESTER: Sure. I'll move closer to the

mic.

2.5

2 MR. DOYLE: The mic or me Mike.

(General laughter.)

MS. SYLVESTER: And it's very, very long. And basically that bill talked about who in various committees of HHS should take various interagency committees and it didn't name ours, but it has this catchall language that says that notwithstanding any other section of law or code the functions of this agency that served on the committee shall continue to serve. So long story short, I think that means for us if and when there's a cleanup bill that addresses the DARS and DADS functions, HHS will have an additional spot, as will TWC. Unless somebody has a different opinion or their legal counsel has a different opinion, I'd be happy to listen to that, but I discussed that with our general counsel and he thinks that because the legislation doesn't directly address us that that's probably the most reasonable interpretation.

MR. DOYLE: So then, are we saying that I need to get somebody other than TWC that represents DARS?

MS. SYLVESTER: That represents the function that DARS did, so somebody from that division that would work on homelessness, and the same thing for HHC who represents the population that was served by DADS.

MR. LONG: And that would continue until such

1 time a cleanup bill is filed to correct any of the stuff 2 you're talking about. 3 MS. SYLVESTER: Or the legislature could 4 continue that, they could take it out so there's just one 5 representative from each agency, we don't know what 6 they're going to do. 7 I checked on Friday last week, there has not been a Senate bill filed so far. 8 MR. DOYLE: We did that last session. 9 10 know where it is, but now we need another one. We're just 11 cleaning up after the legislature every session. 12 MS. TRACZ: So if I can interrupt, between now 13 and the next meeting we'll talk with Megan and if your 14 legal counsels have any input, we'll work on looking at 15 the duties of each of the membership appointees and reach 16 out to your agencies as appropriate, but that will come 17 through Mike. 18 MS. SYLVESTER: Thank you. 19 MR. DOYLE: Thank you, Megan. 20 We have at least one public comment. 21 Rodriguez is going to speak, and Tomas, because of our 22 rules, if you'd keep it to three minutes, that would be 23 great. 24 MS. RODRIGUEZ: Three minutes, oh, boy. 2.5

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Hello. My name is Tomas Rodriguez. I'm with

DSHS with the TB Program. I'm public health advisor from the Centers for Disease Control and I'm assigned to work in the TB Program, and I'm here just to talk a little bit about TB and homeless shelters and the homeless population.

In the United States, Texas has the second most amount of cases of TB in the country. In a recent surveillance overview of TB, the proportion of homeless cases among total TB cases have been increasing since 2009. Previous trends showed a decrease proportion of homeless cases of TB among 45-year-olds have increased since 2009. There's an increase across race and ethnic groups since 2009, greatest increase among white and black populations. The proportion of homeless cases increased in males mostly, steady in females.

The TB Program has a homeless plan which doesn't cost money -- I'm not here for money. The increased community awareness of TB remains an important health threat that requires attention, not only from public health authorities but also from homeless service providers. Some TB programs do not have sufficient resources to screen at homeless shelters, so involvement of other community partners in these activities is essential to encourage emergency shelters to enhance and enforce their policies and procedures for routine TB

screenings and other TB prevention and control efforts and emphasize the importance of TB training to the shelter employees. TB transmission can be prevented when shelter employees and volunteers are able to recognize TB signs and symptoms and are prepared to take appropriate actions.

2.5

Increase emergency shelters awareness of resources available in their communities and encourage collaboration between health departments and shelters before or during TB outbreaks or contact investigations. The TB Program funds all the regional health departments that are part of the state, but also we fund 31 different local health department for TB prevention services. Most of the programs are not funded enough to prevent as well, but most of them provide services.

And the whole goal of my being here is to try to connect shelters, homeless programs with their local health departments. Local health departments are there to provide a service and I'm just kind of mentioning that we want that service to be used. TB is still an issue, and I'm within my three minutes.

MR. DOYLE: You're doing great.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: As a New Yorker, I can speak fast. I appreciate that.

(General laughter.)

MR. DOYLE: I'd like to hear does anybody have

any problems with TB in their shelters. Cindy, are you still driving?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CRAIN: It's Cindy, I'm back.

MR. DOYLE: Did you hear that?

MS. CRAIN: Yes, I did on the TB.

MR. DOYLE: Tell us what we did in Tarrant County with that card.

MS. CRAIN: Very simply, we allowed the public health department to have access to the HMIS, they're part of the consent, so our HMIS we had a scan card so that if you were entered into the HMIS system, we generated a card, especially for the unsheltered and emergency sheltered, and we attached the expiration date of that card for 30 days to give you opportunity to get a TB test. And so when you got your test, which was coordinated, through the shelter, and it was clear, through a batch process we then cleared those dates so that the HMIS card expiration date would be one year for them, so that would also trigger a one-year re-TB test, and so it kept it really smooth. And if there was anyone who was positive, they would know exactly what shelter so they could do the necessary followup. And our TB tests, of course, included skin tests and x-rays.

MR. DOYLE: So when it expired, if I remember correctly, if they scanned their card at the shelter, they

couldn't get in if their TB test had expired.

MS. CRAIN: Yes. Well, what would happen is your card, there's two colors, yellow meant it was a temporary card so what would happen is they would reissue a new card so that you had no suspension of shelter or eating, and converted it to yellow with the 30-day expiration date to make sure you got retested. But hopefully that didn't happen so often because we would hope that your homelessness would have been ended within a year, but sometimes it did happen. But yes, so you would just go back to temporary and convert.

And then we also offered those cards pretty
much seven days a week because people use their cards like
they would use their ID to make sure that that did not
stop receipt of services. And it was a very excellent way
also to have consistent continual recording of services
that are received, especially at the shelter level.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Many counties do wonderful jobs with the shelters. The problem in Texas is that there's no one agency that oversees all the programs to make sure everyone is doing the same thing. If that program was in Dallas, was in Houston, whatever, that program is there, there's not similar programs throughout the state, and that's an issue where it's really great there but then these folks also travel to other places or they go to jail

and they're transferred to other places. There's a hodgepodge of laws and regulations and there's no one agency, and that's something the TB Program is very concerned about. San Antonio has a wonderful program with their homeless shelters, it's terrific, but that one program does not coordinate with all the other programs.

MR. DOYLE: That's a good word. So we just need to keep that in front of everybody because that thing can get out of control quick, as you know.

MS. KRAVITZ: Is there a website or a link or something that you can share.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I can send it out to you.

MR. SAMUELS: And we can help get the information out to all of the CoCs and I think that would help, but replicating what Cindy is talking about among all ten, that would be difficult.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: There's already rules and regs about shelters and TB and all that. For you just let them know that this is a high priority, and that's something I think would be very helpful to us. Just a notice that it's an issue. If someone comes in coughing, go over there, or have some kind of infectious disease program or plan in place, they should have something. It doesn't cost money, it's just a matter of downloading rules and regs. There's also memorandums of agreements that you can

do, a shelter with a local health department, so you have a contact person, you have a person at the local health department. If you're not sure if it's influenza, if it's tuberculosis or whatever, you can call that health department and then you can ask them the question.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SAMUELS: I want an example of that so maybe afterwards we can talk.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sure. Be happy to.

MR. DOYLE: Appreciate that.

Any more public comment?

MS. BOSTON: I'd like to mention something.
This is Brooke Boston.

One of the programs that we have at TDHCA is the Community Services Block Grant Program and it's very flexible, it's funds that come through US HHS and it's primarily for the poverty prevention programs, really helping the really low poverty and try to help them gradually work above that or get out of poverty, not necessarily through employment, although that's definitely a huge facet. And every county in the state is covered through this network of community action agencies, and we do a lot to work with them and keep them in those positions and they're kind of like I wouldn't quite say evergreen but they are entitled to their status of being an eligible entity.

Well, in Dallas County our entity is no longer there, we had to take their status away, and so we are seeking a new eligible entity provider. It's almost \$3 million a year, it's a huge amount, and it's for pretty flexible use.

2.5

However, like with any federal grant, you have to comply with all the federal regulations, you have to comply with all the circulars, all that kind of stuff, there's reporting, there's metrics and all that kind of stuff, it's not just a gimme, but it is a really neat flexible opportunity. And we put out the request for applications to find a new provider before Christmas, and probably in part because of the holidays and everything else, we didn't get respondents, so we're going to release it again in a week or so and keeping that open for 60 days. So if you guys are aware of anyone in the Dallas area, a pretty strong nonprofit who could try and take on something like this.

MS. CRAIN: Yes.

MR. DOYLE: I'm just going to bet you that's Cindy Crain.

MS. CRAIN: It's Cindy. I missed it, so if you email me that immediately, even the one that closed, I will move very quickly on that.

MR. DOYLE: She's with the Metro Dallas

1 Homeless Alliance, and she was in Tarrant County as the 2 director of the Tarrant County Homeless Coalition. 3 MS. BOSTON: Okay. And just to elaborate a 4 little bit, it's for all of Dallas County. 5 MR. DOYLE: It's all of Dallas County, Cindy. 6 MS. CRAIN: I'm in charge of all of Dallas 7 County. 8 MR. DOYLE: All right. 9 MS. BOSTON: I'll pass that along and I'll make sure that we release the new announcement. And so for any 10 11 of you, obviously competition is a good thing, we were 12 really hoping to see multiple applications come in and 13 having a scoring process be kind of healthy and robust 14 because it's one of the biggest counties in the country. 15 So anyway, just wanted to put that out. 16 MS. CRAIN: This is Cindy. Who lost the grant, 17 remind me. MS. BOSTON: It used to be called the Urban 18 19 League of Greater Dallas, and I think they renamed 20 themselves to something else, Urban Central Communities, it's UCC. 21 22 MR. DOYLE: All right. There you go. Thank 23 you for that information, Brooke. 24 MS. CANTU: Mike, I do have one closing

25

comment.

MR. DOYLE: This is Naomi.

2.5

MS. CANTU: This is Naomi, yes.

I thought it might come up under 8 or 9 but the committees that the TICH has, I know that they haven't met in a while which is one reason that the draft 2016 annual report is a major accomplishment and not the committees' work because two of the committees haven't had meetings for the whole year, and if we don't get the committees active for '17, then we'll have very little for a report for '17. So I know the committees have been on the agenda in previous TICH meetings but not actually discussed, we ran out of time. I'm asking is there any motivation to keep those committees going besides annual reports, or any discussion about that?

MR. DOYLE: We talked about this at one time in this regard, those committees were more active when we were writing Pathways Home, and I'm not for having committees just to have committees, and I guess I need somebody to look at our bylaws, if we have bylaws, and make sure that they're not standing committees. If they're not standing committees, I think we need to rethink the committees that we now need in light of today's work and find out what they may be and appoint ad hocs, if we can.

The bylaws just say that we can appoint

1 standing committees or ad hoc committees specific to 2 ongoing issues, and as far as those particular committees, 3 again, they were Pathways Home basically, and I don't 4 consider them active right now. 5 MR. SAMUELS: Would that be something we would 6 address as a committee to the annual report? 7 The annual report committee that we MR. DOYLE: 8 just talked about, if you think we need new committees, it 9 says I can appoint them, and I'm happy to do that. I 10 don't get to do anything at my house so this is good for 11 me. (General laughter.) 12 13 MR. DOYLE: Great point, Naomi. Thanks for 14 bringing that up. 15 So April 7 back here in Austin. We probably 16 don't have a location. 17 MS. TRACZ: April 11. 18 MR. DOYLE: April 11 back here in Austin. don't know if we have a location. We do? 19 20 MS. TRACZ: We do. The next two meetings will be at 909 West 45th Street. We've had meetings there 21 22 It's the public hearing room for HHSC. I'll go before. 23 ahead and send around the meeting details for that

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

into. We've been there before, so our next two meetings

There's plenty of parking, it's easy to get

24

25

1	will be there.
2	MR. DOYLE: And that's April 11 and July 11,
3	and then, as mentioned earlier, October 4 in Dallas is the
4	Texas Homeless Network annual convention and the Texas
5	Education and THEO will be there, so make your plans for
6	those.
7	Any other business we need to do?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. DOYLE: We are adjourned. Thank you.
10	(Whereupon, at 11:51 a.m., the meeting was
11	adjourned.)

1 2 MEETING OF: Texas Interagency Council for the 3 Homeless 4 5 LOCATION: Austin, Texas January 31, 2017 DATE: 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 7 numbers 1 through 77, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 8 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 9 made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the 10 Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 /s/ Nancy H. King 18 (Transcriber) 19 20 On the Record Reporting

21

22

23 24

(512) 450-0342

3636 Executive Cntr Dr., G22

Austin, Texas 78731