**Subchapter A General**

§2.101 Policy and Purpose

(a) This chapter sets forth the enforcement mechanisms that the Department may use to bring about compliant administration of Department funded programs, state or federal, and exclude or remove from Department programs, Persons who have established, through certain noncompliant behavior that they are either unwilling to act in a compliant manner, or are unable to do so. These enforcement mechanisms are in addition to any available contractual remedies under program agreements.

§2.102 Definitions

The words and terms, when used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. Capitalized words used herein have the meaning assigned in the specific chapters of this Title that govern the program associated with the request, in Chapter 1 of this Title, or assigned by federal or state law.

(1) Actively Monitored Development--A Development that within the last three years has been monitored by the Department, either through a Uniform Physical Condition Standards (UPCS) inspection, an onsite or desk file monitoring review, an Affirmative Marketing Plan review, or a Written Policies and Procedures Review. UPCS inspections include inspections completed by Department staff, Department contractors and inspectors from the Real Estate Assessment Center through federal alignment efforts.

 (2) Consultant--A Person who provides services or advice for a fee in a capacity other than as an employee and does not have Control.

(3) Control (including the terms Controlled and Controlling)--“Control” is defined in 10 TAC Chapter §11.1 of this Title or as identified in the specific Program rule

(4) Debarment--A prohibition from future participation in some or all Programs administered by the Department. Except as otherwise stated in the Order, Debarment does not impact existing or ongoing participation in Department Programs, prior to the date of the Debarment, nor does it affect any continuing responsibilities or duties thereunder.

 (5) Enforcement Committee ("Committee")--A Committee of employees of the Department appointed by the Executive Director. The voting members of that Committee shall be no fewer than five and no more than nine. Additionally, each voting member shall have an alternate member, also appointed by the Executive Director, in the event that the primary voting member is unavailable. The Committee may be composed of any member of any Department division, but members from the referring division may not be present during deliberations. Alternate members may serve on behalf of any voting member for purposes of assuring a quorum. The Legal Division will designate person(s) to attend meetings and advise the Committee. A Legal Division designee will serve as Secretary to the Committee.

(6) Event of Noncompliance (including the alternate term “Finding of Noncompliance”)--Any event for which a Person may be found to be in noncompliance with Texas Government Code Chapters 2105 or 2306, any rule adopted thereunder, any Program Agreement requirement, or federal program requirements.

 (7) Legal Requirements--All requirements, as it relates to the particular Department Program, of state, federal, or local statutes, rules, regulations, ordinances, orders, court opinions, official interpretations, policy issuances, OMB Circulars, representations to secure awards, or any similar memorialization of requirement, including contract requirements.

(8) Monitoring Event--An onsite or desk monitoring review, a Uniform Physical Condition Standards inspection, the submission of the Annual Owner’s Compliance Report, Final Construction Inspection, a Written Policies and Procedures Review, or any other instance when the Department’s Compliance Division or other reviewing area provides written notice to an Owner or Contact Person requesting a response by a certain date. This would include, but not be limited to, responding to a tenant complaint.

(9) Person--A legal entity including, without limitation, any natural person, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, joint venture, limited liability corporation, trust, estate, association, cooperative, government, political subdivision, agency or instrumentality or other organization or entity of any nature whatsoever, and shall include any group of Persons acting in concert toward a common goal, including individual members of the group.

(10) Program--Includes any activity performed by a Subrecipient, Administrator, Contractor, Development Owner, or other Person under a Program Agreement or activities performed by a third party under a Program Agreement, including but not limited to a Subgrantee or Subcontractor.

 (11) Program Agreements include:

    (A) agreements between the Department and a Person setting forth Legal Requirements; and

    (B) agreements between a Person subject to a Program Agreement and a third party to carry out one or more Legal Requirements.

 (12) Responsible Party--Any Person subject to a Program Agreement.

 (13) Vendor--A person who is procured by a subrecipient to provide goods or services in any way relating to a Department program or activity.

§2.103 General

(a) A Responsible Party must comply with all applicable Legal Requirements.

(b) A failure by the Department to identify, address, or take action with respect to any one or more Events of Noncompliance does not constitute a waiver, ratification, or approval of, consent to, or agreement with such noncompliance. It is the responsibility of a Responsible Party to be familiar with the applicable Legal Requirements.

(c) Recordkeeping. Each referring division will keep records in accordance with the Department's record retention schedule and any other state or Federal requirements of all Events of Noncompliance.

(d) As provided for in Texas Government Code, §2306.6719, parties subject to certain compliance requirements must be afforded written notice and a reasonable period to correct identified Events of Noncompliance that are susceptible to being corrected. It is the responsibility of each division to provide any required cure, Corrective Action, or notice period(s) prior to referral of any matter to the Committee under this chapter. Matters should not be referred to the Committee until such cure, Corrective Action, or notice periods have been completed or expired.

(e) For each Event of Noncompliance, the Department will evaluate which Person or Persons had Control of the Development, Program, or activity at the time the Event of Noncompliance occurred. A Person will not be referred for Debarment or assessed a Administrative Penalty because they have newly acquired a Development that has existing Events of Noncompliance, provided that the findings are resolved by transferee within a reasonable timeframe after purchase, in accordance with a plan that is approved by the Department in an ownership transfer request under §10.406 of this title (relating to Ownership transfers).

§2.104 Enforcement Mechanisms

(a) The enforcement mechanisms referenced in this chapter are not the exclusive mechanisms whereby compliance may be obtained in any particular circumstance. Enforcement mechanisms related to Department programs may include, where applicable, those required or employed by other entities or agencies. With regard to the low-income housing tax credit program, if an identified Event of Noncompliance is required to be reported to the Internal Revenue Service, (IRS) it will be reported by the Compliance Division on form 8823. For federally funded Programs or activities the Department may recommend that a federal funding agency initiate a debarment proceeding under 2 CFR Part 180 or 2 CFR 2424, as applicable. Program Agreements may also include additional enforcement mechanisms, federal reporting, or penalties.

(b) Enforcement mechanisms available to the Department include but are not limited to:

(1) Enforcement of contractual provisions in the Program Agreements including, but not limited to, options to place a Development into receivership, and rights of suspension or termination, and placement on a cost reimbursement status as described in Subchapter B of this chapter (relating to Enforcement for Noncompliance with Program Requirements of Chapters 6 and 7);

(2) Consideration of a reasonable plan for correction, warning letter, informal conference, and assessment of administrative penalties, as further described in Subchapter C of this chapter (related to Administrative Penalties); or

(3) Debarment, as described in Subchapter D of this chapter (relating to Debarment).

**Subchapter C Administrative Penalties**

§2.301 General

|  |
| --- |
| Department divisions will recommend to the Committee the initiation of proceedings to assess administrative penalties where the Responsible Party or Parties have violated Chapters 2105 or 2306 of the Texas Government Code or a rule or order adopted under Chapters 2105 or 2306 of the Texas Government Code and failed, despite written notice, to take appropriate and timely corrective action or seek and obtain for good cause an extension of the time to take corrective action. In addition, staff from the Compliance or Fair Housing Divisions may recommend to the Committee the initiation of proceedings to assess administrative penalties where the Responsible Party or Parties has an established pattern of repeated substantive and material violations, even if corrected within the applicable corrective action periods.§2.302 Administrative Penalty Process(a) The Executive Director will appoint an Enforcement Committee, as defined in §2.102 of this chapter (relating to Definitions). (b) This referring division will recommend the initiation of administrative penalty proceedings to the Committee by referral to the secretary of the Committee (“Secretary”). At the time of referral for a multifamily rental Development, the referral letter from the referring Division will require the Responsible Party who Controls the Development to provide a listing of the Actively Monitored Developments in their portfolio. The Secretary will use this information to help determine whether mandatory Debarment should be simultaneously considered by the Enforcement Committee in accordance with §2.401(e)(2) of this section, related to repeated violations. (c) The Secretary shall promptly contact the Responsible Party. If fully acceptable corrective action documentation is submitted to the referring division before the (“Secretary”) sends an informal conference notice, the referral shall be closed with no further action provided that the Responsible Party is not subject to consideration for Debarment. If the Secretary is not able to facilitate resolution, but receives a reasonable plan for correction, such plan shall be reported to the Committee to determine whether to schedule an informal conference, modify the plan, or accept the plan. If accepted, plan progress shall be regularly reported to the Committee, but an informal conference will not be held unless the approved plan is substantively violated, or an informal conference is later requested by the Committee or the Responsible Party. Plan examples include but are not limited to: a rehabilitation plan with a scope of work or contracts already in place, plans approved by EARAC as part of an ownership transfer or funding application, plans approved by the Executive Director, plans approved by the Asset Management Division, and/or plans relating to newly transferred Developments with unresolved Events of Noncompliance originating under prior ownership. Should the Secretary and Responsible Party fail to come to, an agreement or closer of the referral, or if the Responsible Party or ownership group’s prior history of administrative penalty referrals does not support closure, or if consideration of Debarment is appropriate, the Secretary will schedule an informal conference with the Responsible Party to attempt to reach an agreed resolution.(d) When an informal conference is scheduled, a deadline for submitting Corrective Action documentation will be included, providing a final opportunity for resolution. If compliance is achieved at this stage, the referral will be closed with a warning letter provided that factors, as discussed below, do not preclude such closure. Closure with a warning letter shall be reported to the Committee. Factors that will determine whether it is appropriate to close with a warning letter include, but are not limited to:(1) Prior Enforcement Committee history relating to the Development or other properties in the ownership group;(2) Prior Enforcement Committee history regarding similar federal or state Programs;(3) Whether the deadline set by the Secretary in the informal conference notice has been met;(4) Whether the Committee has set any exceptions for certain finding types; and (5) Any other factor that may be relevant to the situation.(e) If an informal conference is held: (1) Notwithstanding the Responsible Party’s attendance or presence of an authorized representative, the Enforcement Committee may proceed with the informal conference;   (2) The Responsible Party may, but is not required to be, represented by legal counsel of their choosing at their own cost and expense;   (3) The Responsible Party may bring to the meeting third parties, employees, and agents with knowledge of the issues;  (4) Assessment of an administrative penalty and Debarment may be considered at the same informal conference; and   (5) In order to facilitate candid dialogue, informal conference will not be open to the public; however, the Committee may include such other persons or witnesses as the Committee deems necessary for a complete and full development of relevant information and evidence. (f) An informal conference may result in the following, which shall be reported to the Executive Director:   (1) An agreement to dismiss the matter with no further action   (2) A compliance assistance notice issued by the Committee, available for Responsible Parties appearing for the first time before the Committee for matters which the Committee determines do not necessitate the assessment of an administrative penalty, but for which the Committee wishes to place the Responsible Party on notice with regard to possible future penalty assessment;   (3) An agreement to resolve the matter through corrective action without penalty. If the agreement is to be included in an order, a proposed agreed order will be prepared and presented to the Board for approval;   (4) An agreement to resolve the matter through corrective action with the assessment of an administrative penalty which may be probated in whole or in part, and may, where appropriate, include additional action to promote compliance such as requirements to obtain training. In this circumstance, a proposed agreed order will be prepared and presented to Department’s Governing Board for approval;   (5) A recommendation by the Committee to the Executive Director to determine that a violation occurred, and to issue a report to the Board and a Notice of Violation to the Responsible Party, seeking the assessment of administrative penalties through a contested case hearing with the State Office of Administrative Hearings (“SOAH”); or      (6) Other action as the Committee deems appropriate. (g) Upon receipt of a recommendation from the Committee regarding the issuance of a report and assessment of an administrative penalty under subsection (f)(5), the Executive Director shall determine whether a violation has occurred. If needed, the Executive Director may request additional information and/or return the recommendation to the Committee for further development. If the Executive Director determines that a violation has occurred, the Executive Director will issue a report to the Board in accordance with §2306.043 of the Texas Government Code. (h) Not later than fourteen (14) days after issuance of the report to the Board, the Executive Director will issue a Notice of Violation to the Responsible Party. The Notice of Violation issued by the Executive Director will include:   (1) A summary of the alleged violation(s) together with reference to the particular sections of the statutes and rules alleged to have been violated;   (2) A statement informing the Responsible Party of the right to a hearing before the SOAH, if applicable, on the occurrence of the violation(s), the amount of penalty, or both;   (3) Any other matters deemed relevant; and   (4) The amount of the recommended penalty. In determining the amount of a recommended administrative penalty, the Executive Director shall take into consideration the statutory factors at Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.042 the penalty schedule shown in the tables in subsection (l) of this section and in the instance of a proceeding to assess administrative penalties against a Responsible Party administering CDBG, CSBG, or LIHEAP, whether the assessment of such penalty will interfere with the uninterrupted delivery of services under such program(s). The Executive Director shall further take into account whether the Department's purposes may be achieved or enhanced by the use of full or partial probation of penalties subject to adherence to specific requirements and whether the violation(s) in question involve disallowed costs.  (j) Not later than 20 days after the Responsible Party receives the Notice of Violation, the Responsible Party may accept the requirements of the Notice of Violation or request a SOAH hearing. (k) If the Responsible Party requests a hearing or does not respond to the Notice of Violation, the Executive Director, with the approval of the Board, shall cause the hearing to be docketed before a SOAH administrative law judge in accordance with §1.13 of this title (relating to Contested Case Hearing Procedures), which outlines the remainder of the process. (l) Penalty schedules[Attached Graphic](https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/fids/201405135-1.html) [Attached Graphic](https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/fids/201405135-2.html) [Attached Graphic](https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/fids/201405135-3.html)  |

Figure 1: 10 TAC §2.302(l)

|  |
| --- |
| Penalty table for Chapters 6 and 7 Findings of Noncompliance These are the maximum potential administrative penalty amounts possible for each finding of noncompliance. When an administrative penalty is to be considered, the matrix below must be considered in conjunction with the statutory factors at Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.042. |
| **Finding of Noncompliance**  | **Maximum first time administrative penalty assessment** | MaximumAdministrative penalty assessment for a ResponsibleParty that has previously paid a penalty for thesame finding type |
| Lack of financial duties or material inventorysegregation of duties | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| No Cost Allocation/Not allocating costs properly | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for eachinstance |
| Violation of Conflict of Interest policies | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Lack of Insurance or Fidelity Bond coverage | Up to $1,000 + up to $100 a day for each day not in compliance | Up to $1,000 + up to $200 a day for eachday not incompliance |
| Failure to submit Inventory Report within 45 days (end Contract Term) | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Unallowable/Unreasonableexpenditure | Up to $1,000 for each instance | Up to $1,000 for each instance |
| Violation of ProcurementRequirements | Up to $1,000 for each service or product not properly procured | Up to $1,000 for each service orproduct not properly procured |
| Lack of Subcontractor contract | Up to $250 for eachinstance | Up to $500 for eachinstance |
| Lack of prior approval for purchase(s) | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for each instance |
| Instance of Fraud, Waste and/or Abuse | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Commingling of funds, Misapplication of funds | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to timely submit Audit Certification Form | Up to $250 | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Failure to timely submit Single Audit | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 + up to $100 for each day not in compliance |
| Lack of providing requesteddocumentation/item(s) for monitoring | Up to $500 per day for each item or documentation notprovided | Up to $150 per day for each item or documentation notprovided |
| Failure to timely respond toReport/provide requiredcorrespondence | Up to $100 for firstviolation | Up to $1,000 per day per violation |
| Failure to report/record program income | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for each instance |
| Noncompliance with record retention requirements | Up to $100 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for each instance  |
| Providing assistance to income or SAVE ineligibleapplicants | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for each instance |
| Service provided to clients not according to povertypopulation makeup | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to meet Tri-Partite Board Requirements | Up to $1,000 + up to $100 for each the entity failed tocomply | Up to $1,000 + up to $250 for each day the entity failed tocomply |
| Failure to comply with Department minimumapplicant/client denials and appeals | Up to $250 for eachinstance | Up to $500 for each instance |
| Failure to Prioritize applicants | Up to $250 for eachinstance | Up to $500 for each instance |
| Failure to complete or to properly complete requiredprogram documents | Up to $250 for eachinstance | Up to $750 for each instance |
| Payment to Vendor without a Vendor Agreement | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for each instance |
| Failure to perform Outreach activities | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Weatherized unit expenditure overmaximum cost per unit w/o prior approval | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for each instance |
| Failure to input Ending Homelessness, HHSP, or ESG client data intothe Homeless ManagementInformation System | Up to $500 for eachinstance | Up to $1,000 for eachinstance |
| Other noncompliance with a contract requirement | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to comply with casemanagement requirements | Up to $500 | Up to $750 |
| Noncompliance with applicable OMB or statefinancial managementrequirements | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Noncompliance with Texas Prompt Payment Act | Up to $500 | Up to $750 |
| Noncompliance with Historical Commissionrequirements | Up to $500 | Up to $750 |
| Failure to comply with Limited English Proficiency(“LEP”) policies in accordance with program rule, policy or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1000 |
| Failure to meet accessibilityrequirements | Up to $1,000 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Failure to submit Inventory Report within 45 days (end of contract term) | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to timely enter into an ISPA (Information Privacy and Security Agreement) | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 perviolation |
| Failure to attend required training as required by program rule, policy or agreement | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $200 per violation |
| Failure to comply with Section 3 requirements inaccordance with programrule, policy, or agreement (ESG only) | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |

Figure 2: 10 TAC §2.302(l)

|  |
| --- |
| Penalty table for Multifamily Rental Findings of Noncompliance. These are the maximum potential administrative penalty amounts possible for each finding of noncompliance. When an administrative penalty is to be considered, the matrix below must be considered in conjunction with the statutory factors at Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.042: |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Finding of Noncompliance**  | **Maximum First Time Administrative Penalty assessment** |  **Maximum Administrative Penalty Assessment for a Responsible Party that has previously paid a penalty for the same finding type** |
| Violations of the Uniform PhysicalCondition Standards | Up to $500 for level 3deficiencies, up to $250for level 2 deficiencies,up to $125 for level 1deficiencies, plus an optional $100 per day if level 2 or level 3 deficiencies remain uncorrected 6 months from the corrective action deadline | Up to $1,000 for level 3deficiencies, up to $500 for level2 deficiencies, up to $250 forlevel 1 deficiencies, plus an optional $200 per day if level 2 or level 3 deficiencies remain uncorrected 6 months from the corrective action deadline  |
| Noncompliance related to AffirmativeMarketing requirements described in§10.801 of this title | Up to $250 | Up to $500, |
| TDHCA has received notice from HUD, the DOJ, or the TWC of a judgement from a court of competent jurisdiction regarding a Fair Housing Act Violation and/or reported general public use violation  | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| TDHCA has referred unresolved Fair Housing design and construction issues to the Texas workforce Commission Civil Rights division  | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Development is not available to thegeneral public because of leasingissues | Up to $1,000  per day perviolation | Up to $1,000 per day per violation |
| Development is never expected to comply due to failure to report or allow monitoring | Up to $1,000 per day | Up to $1,000 per day |
| Owner did not allow on-sitemonitoring or failed to notify residentsresulting in inspection cancellation (including failure to appear for review) | Up to $1,000 per day | Up to $1,000 per day |
| LURA not in effect  | Up to $1,000 per day | Up to $1,000 per day |
| Project failed to meet minimum setaside | Up to $1,000 per day | Up to $1,000 per day |
| No evidence of, or failure to certify tomaterial participation and/or ownership by a non-profitor HUB, if required by LURA | Up to $750  | Up to $1,000  |
| Development failed to meet additionalstate required rent and occupancyrestrictions | Up to $250 per day perviolation | Up to $500 per day per violation |
| Noncompliance with social servicerequirements (provision of services) | Up to $250 per violation, with each required service considered a separate violation | Up to $500 per violation, with each required service considered a separate violation |
| Noncompliance with social service requirements (expenditure amounts) | Double the monthly expenditure deficiency, up to a maximum of $1,000 per day  | Triple the monthly expenditure deficiency, up to a maximum of $1,000 per day. |
| Development failed to providehousing to the elderly as promised atapplication | Up to $5 per day perviolation | Up to $10 per day per violation |
| Failure to provide special needshousing as required by LURA | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Changes in Eligible Basis orApplicable percentage in violation ofthe IRS 8823 Audit Guide or otherIRS guidance | Up to $1,000 per day perviolation | Up to $1,000 per day perviolation |
| Failure to submit all or parts of theAnnual Owner's Compliance Report | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to respond to Compliance Division requests for clarification regarding answers on the Annual Owner’s Compliance Report | Up to $250 | Up to $750 |
| Failure to submit quarterlyreports as required by §10.607 of thistitle | Up to $100, then and additional $250 for each subsequent quarter that the report is not received  | Up to $250, then an additional $500 for eachsubsequent quarter that the report is notsubmitted |
| Noncompliance with utility allowancerequirements described in §10.614 ofthis title and/or Treasury Regulation§1.42-10 | Up to $50 per unit  | Up to $100 per unit  |
| Noncompliance with leaserequirements described in §10.613 ofthis title (failure to execute required lease provisions) | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Noncompliance with lease requirements described in §10.613 of this title (failure to provide lease brochures, guides or notices described in §10.613 currently including but not limited to the Tenant Rights and Resources Guide) | Up to $250 | Up to $500 |
| Asset Management has reported that Development has failed to establishand maintain a reserve account inaccordance with §10.404 of this title | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to provide a notary public aspromised at application | Up to $500 | Up to $750 |
| Violation of the Unit Vacancy Rule | Up to $250 per violation | Up to $500 per violation |
| Failure to provide pre-onsitedocumentation | Up to $250 per pre-onsite documentation item | Up to $500 per pre-onsite documentation item |
| Failure to provide amenity as requiredby LURA | Up to $1,000 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation, plus $100 for each subsequent day the violation continues |
| Failure to pay asset management,compliance monitoring or otherrequired fee | Up to $250 for the firstday plus $10 per day foreach subsequent day theviolation continues | Up to $500 for the first day plus$50 per day for each subsequentday the violation continues |
| Change in ownership withoutdepartment approval (other thanremoval of a general partner inaccordance with §10.406 of this title) | Up to $1,000 for the firstday plus $100 per dayfor each subsequent daythe violation continues | Up to $1,000 for the first day plus$200 per day for each subsequentday the violation continues |
| Noncompliance with written policy and procedure requirements described in §10.802 ofthis title (written policy violations) | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Noncompliance with written policy and procedure requirements described in §10.802 of this title (notice of termination language requirements) | Up to $250 per violation | Up to $500 per violation |
| Noncompliance with Reasonable Accommodation Policy requirements as described in §10.802 of this title  | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Program Unit not leased to Low-Income household (either because the household’s income exceeds the allowable limit or because the owner did not gather adequate documentation to establish household eligibility)  | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Program unit occupied bynonqualified full-time students | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Low-Income units used on a transientbasis | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Violation of the Available Unit Rule | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Gross rent exceeds the highest rentallowed under the LURA or otherdeedrestriction | Up to $50 per unit perday | Up to $150 per unit per day |
| Failure to provide Tenant IncomeCertification and documentation | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $250 per violation |
| Unit not available for rent | Up to $50 per unitper day  | Up to $100per unit per day  |
| Failure to collect data required by§10.608(b)(1) and/or (2) of this title (Annual Eligibility Certifications)  | Up to $50 per violation | Up to $100 per violation |
| Development evicted or terminatedthe tenancy of a low-income tenant forother than good cause | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Household income increased above 80percent at recertification and Ownerfailed to properly determine rent | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Violation of the Integrated Housing Rule | Up to $500 | Up to $500 |
| Failure to resolve final construction deficiencies within corrective action period | Up to $1,000 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
|  Noncompliance with the required accessibility requirements such as §504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the 2010 ADA standards or other accessibility related requirements of a Department Rule, including but not limited to those described in Chapter 1, Subchapter B (except those only under the Fair Housing Act for which there is a separate category) | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Noncompliance with the notice to the Department requirements described in §10.609 of this title  | Up to $500 | Up to $500 |
| Failure to provide a reasonable accommodation under 10 TAC, Chapter 1, Subchapter B | Up to $1,000 per violation  | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Violation of the Fair Housing Act and §1.205 of this Title | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to reserve units for Section 811 participants (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $750  | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to notify the Department of the availability of Section 811 units (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $750 | Up to $1,000 |
| Owner failed to check criminal history and drug use of household (as required by Department Rule) | Up to $250 | Up to $500 |
| Failure to use Enterprise Income Verification System (section 811 PRA only)  | Up to $250 | Up to $500 |
| Failure to properly document and calculate adjusted income (section 811 PRA only) | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Failure to use required HUD forms (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $250 | Up to $500 |
| Accepted funding that limits 811 PRA participation | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to properly calculate resident portion of rent (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $50 per unit per day | Up to $150 per unit per day |
| Failure to use HUD model Lease (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to disperse 811 PRA Units according to program requirements (relates to disbursement throughout the Development. Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to conduct interim certifications (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $250 per violation |
| Failure to conduct annual income recertification (Section 811 PRA only)  | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $250 per violation |
| Asset Management Division has reported that Development has failed to submit rents for review on an annual basis in accordance with §10.403 of this Title  | Up to $750 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to maintain status as a qualified Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) | Up to $1,000 +up to $100 for each day theentity failed tocomply | Up to $1,000 + up to$250 for each day the entity failed to comply |
| Failure to submit Audit Certification Form, a Single Audit, or other programmatic audit | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 plus up to $100 for each day not in compliance |
| Failure to timely enter into an Information Privacy and Security Agreement | Up to $1,000 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Failure to comply with Labor Standards requirements in accordance with program rule, policy or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to comply with displacement policies as required by program rule, policy or agreement  | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Casualty loss not corrected during restoration period | Up to $100 per unit per day | Up to $500 per unit per day |
| Unit leased to Household that is not qualified for the Section 811 PRA program | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to submit documentation for mail in review | Up to $1,000 per day | Up to $1,000 per day |
| Noncompliance with CHDO requirements | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to properly calculate security deposit (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $250 | Up to $500 |
| Failure to prominently display required Fair Housing Posters (Section 811 PRA only) | Up to $250 | Up to $500 |
| Failure to comply with Section 3 requirements inaccordance with programrule, policy, or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
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|  |
| --- |
| **Penalty table for Single Family Program Findings of Noncompliance.** These are the maximum potential administrative penalty amounts possible for each finding of noncompliance. When an administrative penalty is to be considered, the matrixbelow must be considered in conjunction with the statutory factors at Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.042. |
| **Finding of Noncompliance**  | **Maximum first****time****administrative****penalty****assessment** | **Maximum****administrative****penalty assessment****for a Responsible****Party that has****previously paid a****penalty for the****same finding****type** |
| Noncompliance related to Affirmative Marketing requirements | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Program Accessibility violations | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $200 per violation |
| Failure to meet CHDOBoard requirements | Up to $1,000 +up to $100 for each day theentity failed tocomply | Up to $1,000 + up to$250 for each day the entity failed to comply |
| Repeated violations of interim loan terms or timeline | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Records retention violations | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $200 per violation |
| Failure to attend required training as required by program rule, policy or agreement | Up to $100 per violation | Up to $200 per violation |
| Providing assistance to households that are notincome eligible  | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Violations of construction standards | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Violations of property condition standards | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Violation of Conflict of Interest Policies | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Violation of program policies regarding us of funds for sectarian or religious activity | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to comply with Limited EnglishProficiency ("LEP") policies in accordancewith program rule,policy or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to comply with labor standardsrequirements in accordance with programrule, policy or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Violation of ProcurementRequirements | Up to $1,000 for each service or product not properly procured | Up to $1,000 for each service orproduct not properly procured |
|  Failure to comply with Section 3 requirements inaccordance with programrule, policy, or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to comply with displacement policiesas required by program rule, policy, or agreement | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to provide Tenant Income Certification anddocumentation | Up to $250 per violation | Up to $250 violation |
| Failure to collect data required by program rules, policies or agreements | Up to $50 per violation | Up to $100 per violation |
| Failure to provide required documentation orcorrections to documentation | Up to $50 per day | Up to $150 per day |
| Development evicted or terminated the tenancy ofa low-income tenant forother than good cause | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| For tenant-based rental programs, Householdincome increased above 80 percent atrecertification and Ownerfailed to properly determine rent | Up to $500 per violation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| For tenant-based rental programs, gross rent exceeds the highest rent by program rule, policy oragreement | Up to $50 per unit perday | Up to $150 per unit per day |
| Failure to return or repay funds to the Departmentas required by rule, policyor agreements (such as contract termination, assessed penalties,disallowed costs, overpayment,Deobligation, or recapture) | Up to $50 per day | Up to $150 per day |
| Failure to meet accessibility requirements | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Noncompliance with applicable OMB or statefinancial managementrequirements | Up to $500 | Up to $1,000 |
| Failure to timely submit Audit Certification Form | Up to $250 | Up to $1,000 per violation |
| Failure to timely submitSingle Audit | Up to $1,000 | Up to $1,000 + up to$100 for each day not in compliance |
| Failure to timely enter into an ISPA (InformationPrivacy and SecurityAgreement) | Up to $1,000 perviolation | Up to $1,000 perViolation |
| Lack of insurance of fidelity bond coverage | Up to $1,000 + up to $100 a day for each day not in compliance | Up to $1,000 + up to $200 a day for eachday not incompliance |

SUBCHAPTER D DEBARMENT FROM PARTICIPATION IN PROGRAMS ADMINISTERED BY THE DEPARTMENT

General

(a) The Department may debar a Responsible Party, a Consultant and/or a Vendor who has exhibited past failure to comply with any condition imposed by the Department in the administration of its programs. A Responsible Party, Consultant or Vendor may be referred to the Committee for Debarment for any of the following:

(1) Refusing to provide an acceptable plan to implement and adhere to procedures to ensure compliant operation of the program after being placed on Modified Cost Reimbursement;

(2) Refusing to repay disallowed costs;

(3) Refusing to enter into a plan to repay disallowed costs or egregious violations of an agreed repayment plan;

(4) Meeting any of the ineligibility criteria referenced in §11.202 of this title (relating to Ineligible Applicants) or other ineligibility criteria outlined in a Program Rule, with the exception of: ineligibility related to conflicts of interest disclosed to the Department for review, and ineligibility identified in a previous participation review in conjunction with an application for funds or resources (unless otherwise eligible for Debarment under this Subchapter D);

(5) Providing fraudulent information, knowingly falsified documentation, or other intentional or negligent material misrepresentation or omission with regard to any documentation, certification or other representation made to the Department;

(6) Failing to correct Events of Noncompliance as required by an order that became effective after the effective date of this rule, and/or failing to pay an administrative penalty as required by such order, within six months of a demand being issued by the Department. In this circumstance, if the Debarment process is initiated but the Responsible Party fully corrects the findings of noncompliance to the satisfaction of the referring division and pays the administrative penalty as required by the order before the Debarment is finalized by the Board, the Debarment recommendation may be cancelled or withdrawn by Committee recommendation and Executive Director concurrence. This type of referral would be initiated by the Secretary;

(7) Controlling a multifamily Development that was foreclosed after the effective date of this rule, where the foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure terminates a subordinate TDHCA LURA;

(8) Controlling a multifamily Development and allowing a change in ownership after the effective date of this rule, without Department approval;

(9) Transferring a Development, after the effective date of this rule, without regard for a Right of First Refusal requirement;

(10) Being involuntary removed, or replaced due to a default by the General Partner under the Limited Partnership Agreement, after the effective date of this rule;

(11) Refusing to comply with conditions approved by the Board that were recommended by the Executive Award Review Advisory Committee after the effective date of this rule;

(12) Having any Event of Noncompliance that occur after the effective date of this rule that causes the Department to be required to repay federal funds to any federal agency including, but not limited to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and/or

(13) Submitting a written certification that non-compliance has been corrected when it is determined that the Event of Noncompliance was not corrected. For certain Events of Noncompliance, in lieu of documentation, the Compliance Division accepts a written certification that noncompliance has been corrected. If it is determined that the Event of Noncompliance was not corrected, a Person who signed the certification may be recommended for debarment;

(14) Refusing to provide an amenity required by the LURA after the effective date of this rule;

(15) Failing to reserve units for Section 811 PRA participants after the effective date of this rule;

(16) Failing to notify the Department of the availability of 811 PRA units after the effective date of this rule;

(17) Taking "choice limiting" actions prior to receiving HUD environmental clearance (24 CFR §58.22);

(18) Substandard construction, as defined by the Program, and repeated failure to conduct required inspections;

(19) Repeated failure to provide eligible match. 24 CFR §92.220, 24 CFR §576.201, and as required by NOFA;

(20) Repeated failure to report program income. 24 CFR §570.500, 24 CFR §576.407(c), 2 CFR Part 215 (if applicable), and 10 TAC §20.9, or as defined by Program Rule;

(21) Participating in activities leading to or giving the appearance of "Conflict of Interest". 2 CFR Part 215 (if applicable), 24 CFR §84.42, §92.356 (if applicable), §570.489, §576.404, 10 TAC §20.9, or as defined by Program Rule;

(22) Repeated material financial system deficiencies. 24 CFR §§84.21, 84.43, 85.20, 85.22, 85.36, 92.205, 92.206, 92.350, 92.505, and 92.508 (if applicable), OMB A-110 Relocated to 2 CFR Part 215 (if applicable), OMB A-87 Relocated to 2 CFR Part 225 (if applicable), OMB A-122 Relocated to 2 CFR Part 230 (if applicable), 10 TAC §20.9 and Uniform Grant Management Standards (if applicable) and as defined by Program Rule.

(23) Repeated violations of Single Audit or other programmatic audit requirements;

(24) Failure to remain a CHDO for Department committed HOME funds;

(25) Commingling of funds, Misapplication of funds;

(26) Refusing to submit a required Audit Certification Form, Single Audit, or other programmatic audit;

(27) Refusing to timely respond to reports/provide required correspondence;

(28) Failure to timely expend funds; and

(29) A Monitoring Event determines that 50% or more of the client or household files reviewed do not contain required documentation to support income eligibility or indicate that the client or household is not income eligible.

(b) The Department shall debar any Responsible Party, Consultant, or Vendor who is debarred from participation in any program administered by the United States Government.

(c) Debarment for violations of the Department’s Multifamily Programs. The Department shall debar any Responsible Party who has materially or repeatedly violated any condition imposed by the Department in connection with the administration of a Department program, including but not limited to a material or repeated violation of a land use restriction agreement (LURA). Subsection (d) of this section provides the criteria the Department will use to determine if there has been a material violation of a LURA. Subsections (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section provide the criteria the Department shall use to determine if there have been repeated violations of a LURA.

(d) Material violations of a LURA A Responsible Party will be considered to have materially violated a LURA, Program Agreement, or condition imposed by the Department and shall be referred to the committee for mandatory Debarment if they;

(1) Control a Development that has, on more than one occasion scored 50 or less on a UPCS inspection;

(2) Refuse to allow a monitoring visit when proper notice was provided or failed to notify residents resulting in inspection cancellation, or otherwise fails to make units and records available;

(3) Refuse to reduce rents to less than the highest allowed under the LURA;

(4) Fail to meet minimum set aside by the end of the first year of the credit period (HTC Developments only) after the effective date of this rule; or

(5)Excluding an individual or family from admission to the Development solely because the household participates in the HOME Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program, the housing choice voucher program under Section 8, United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. §1-437), or other federal, state, or local government rental assistance program after the effective date of this rule.

(e) Repeated Violations of a LURA that shall be considered grounds for Debarment.

(1) A Responsible Party shall be referred to the Committee for mandatory Debarment if they Control a Development that during two consecutive Monitoring Events is found to be out of compliance with the following Events of Noncompliance:

(A) No evidence of, or failure to certify to, material participation of a non-profit or HUB, if required by the Land Use Restriction Agreement;

(B) Uniform Physical Condition Standards Violations that result in a score of 70 or below in sequential UPCS inspections after the effective date of this rule;

(C) Refuse to submit all or parts of the Annual Owner’s Compliance Report for two consecutive years after the effective date of this rule, ; or

(D) Gross rents exceed the highest rent allowed under the LURA or other deed restriction.

(2) Repeated violations in a portfolio. Persons who control five or more Actively Monitored Developments will be considered for Debarment based on repeated violations in a portfolio. A Person shall be referred to be committee for mandatory will be referred for Debarment if an inspection or referral, after the effective date of this rule, indicates the following:

(A) 50% or more of the Actively Monitored Developments in the portfolio have been referred to the Enforcement Committee; or,

(B) 50% or more of the Actively Monitored Developments in the portfolio score a 70 or less during a Uniform Physical Conditions Standards inspection.

(f) Debarment for violations of all other Department Programs, with the exception of the Non-Discretionary funds in the Community Services Block Grant program. Material or repeated violations of conditions imposed in connection with the administration of Programs administered by the Department. Administrators, Subrecipients, Responsible Parties, contractors, multifamily owners, and related parties shall be referred to the Committee for consideration for Debarment for violations including but not limited to:

(1) 50% or more loan defaults in the first 12 months of the loan agreement after the effective date of this rule;

(4) The following Davis Bacon Act Violations:

(A) Refusing to pay restitution (underpayment of wages). 29 CFR §5.31.

(B) Refusing to pay liquidated damages (overtime violations). 29 CFR §5.8.

(C) Repeated failure to pay full prevailing wage, including fringe benefits, for all hours worked. 29 CFR §5.31.

(2) The following violations of the Uniform Relocation Act and requirements of §104(d):

(A) Repeated failure to provide the General Information Notice to tenants prior to application. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 CFR §93.352 and HUD Handbook 1378.

(B) Repeated failure to provide all required information in the General Information Notice. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 CFR §93.352 and HUD Handbook 1378.

(C) Repeated failure to provide the Notice of Eligibility and/or Notice of Non-displacement on or before the Initiation of Negotiations date. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 CFR §93.352, and 24 CFR §570.606.

(D) Repeated failure to provide all required information in the Notice of Eligibility and/or Notice of Non-displacement. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 CFR §93.352, and 24 CFR §570.606.

(E) Repeated failure to provide 90 Day Notices to all "displaced" tenants and/or repeated failure to provide 30 Day Notices to all "non-displaced" tenants. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 CFR §93.352, and 24 CFR §570.606.

(F) Repeated failure to perform and document "decent, safe and sanitary" inspections of replacement housing. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 CFR §93.352, and 24 CFR §570.606.

(G) Refusing to properly provide Uniform Relocation Act or §104(d) assistance. 49 CFR §24.203, 24 CFR §92.353, 24 §570.606 and §104(d) of the Housing & Community Development Act of 1974 - 24 CFR Part 42.

(6) Refusing to reimburse excess cash on hand;

(7) Using Department funds to demolish a homeowner’s dwelling and then refusing to rebuild;

(8) Drawing down Department funds for an eligible use and then refusing to pay a properly submitted request for payment to a subgrantee or vendor with the drawn down funds.

(g) The referring division shall provide the Responsible Party with written notice of the referral to the Committee, setting forth the facts and circumstances that justify the referral for Debarment consideration.

(h) The Secretary shall then offer the Responsible Party the opportunity to attend an Informal Conference with the Committee to discuss resolution of the. In the event that the Debarment referral was the result of a violated agreed order or a determination that 50% or more of the Actively Monitored Developments in their portfolio have been referred to the Enforcement Committee, the above written notice of the referral to the Committee and the informal conference notice shall be combined into a single notice issued by the Secretary

(i) A Debarment Informal Conference may result in the following, which shall be reported to the Executive Director:

(1) A determination that the Department did not have sufficient information and/or that the Responsible Party does not meet any of the criteria for Debarment;

(2) An agreed Debarment, with a proposed agreed order to be prepared and presented to the Board for approval;

(3) A recommendation by the Committee to the Executive Director for Debarment;

(4) A request for further information, to be considered during a future meeting; or,

(5) If Debarment is not mandatory, an agreement to dismiss the matter with no further action, an agreement to dismiss the matter with corrective action being taken, or any other action as the Committee deems appropriate, which will then be reported to the Executive Director.

(j) The Committee's recommendation to the Executive Director regarding Debarment shall include a recommended period of Debarment. Recommended periods of Debarment will be based on material factors such as repeated occurrences, seriousness of underlying issues, presence or absence of corrective action taken or planned, including corrective action to install new responsible persons and ensure they are qualified and properly trained. Recommended periods of Debarment if based upon HUD Debarment, shall be for the period of the remaining HUD Debarment; or, if based upon criminal conviction, shall be up to ten (10) years or until fulfillment of all conditions of incarceration and/or probation, whichever is greater.

(k) The Executive Director shall accept, reject, or modify the Debarment recommendation by the Committee and shall provide written notice to the Responsible Party of the determination, and an explanation of the determination if different than the Committee's recommendation, including the period of Debarment, if any. The Responsible Party may appeal the Debarment determination in writing to the Board as described in §1.7 of this Title.

(l) The Debarment recommendation will be brought to the next Board meeting for which the matter can be properly posted. The Board reserves discretion to impose longer or shorter Debarment periods than those recommended by staff based on its finding that such longer or shorter periods are appropriate when considering all factors and/or for the purposes of equity or other good cause. An action on a proposed Debarment of an Eligible Entity under the CSBG Act will not become final until and unless proceedings to terminate Eligible Entity status have occurred, resulting in such termination and all rights of appeal or review have run or Eligible Entity status has been voluntarily relinquished.

(m)Until the Responsible Party’s Debarment referral is fully resolved, the Responsible Party may not participate in new Department financing and assistance opportunities.

(n) Any person who has been debarred is prohibited from participation as set forth in the final order of Debarment for the term of their Debarment. Unless specifically stated in the order of Debarment, Debarment does not relieve a Responsible Party from its current obligations, or prohibit it from continuing its participation in any existing engagements funded through the Department, nor limit its responsibilities and duties thereunder. The Board will not consider modifying the terms of the Debarment after the issuance of a final order of Debarment.

(o) If an Eligible Entity under the CSBG Act meets any of the criteria for Debarment in this rule, the Department may recommend the Eligible Entity for Debarment. However, that referral or recommendation shall not proceed until the termination of the Eligible Entity’s status under the CSBG Act has concluded, and no right of appeal or review remains.

**Attachment** 2**: Preamble, including required analysis, for proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 2 Enforcement, Subchapter A General, Subchapter C Administrative Penalties and Subchapter D Debarment**

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (the Department) proposes the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 2 Enforcement, Subchapter A General, Subchapter C Administrative Penalties and Subchapter D Debarment. The purpose of the proposed repeal is to eliminate an outdated rule while adopting a new updated rule under separate action.

The Department has analyzed this proposed rulemaking and the analysis is described below for each category of analysis performed.

a. GOVERNMENT GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.0221.

1. Mr. Bobby Wilkinson has determined that, for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect, the proposed repeal does not create or eliminate a government program, but relates to the repeal, and simultaneous readoption, making changes to the Department’s Enforcement activities.

2. The proposed repeal does not require a change in work that would require the creation of new employee positions, nor is the proposed repeal significant enough to reduce work load to a degree that any existing employee positions are eliminated.

3. The proposed repeal does not require additional future legislative appropriations.

4. The proposed repeal does not result in an increase in fees paid to the Department nor in a decrease in fees paid to the Department.

5. The proposed repeal is not creating a new regulation, except that it is being replaced by a new rule simultaneously to provide for revisions.

6. The proposed action will repeal an existing regulation, but is associated with a simultaneous readoption making changes to an existing activity, the Department’s Enforcement activities.

7. The proposed repeal will not increase or decrease the number of individuals subject to the rule’s applicability.

8. The proposed repeal will not negatively or positively affect this state’s economy.

b. ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL OR MICRO-BUSINESSES OR RURAL COMMUNITIES AND REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2006.002.

The Department has evaluated this proposed repeal and determined that the proposed repeal will not create an economic effect on small or micro-businesses or rural communities.

c. TAKINGS IMPACT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2007.043. The proposed repeal does not contemplate or authorize a taking by the Department; therefore, no Takings Impact Assessment is required.

d. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACT STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(6).

The Department has evaluated the proposed repeal as to its possible effects on local economies and has determined that for the first five years the proposed repeal would be in effect there would be no economic effect on local employment; therefore, no local employment impact statement is required to be prepared for the rule.

e. PUBLIC BENEFIT/COST NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(5). Mr. Bobby Wilkinson, Executive Director, has determined that, for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, the public benefit anticipated as a result of the repealed section would be an updated and more germane rule. There will not be economic costs to individuals required to comply with the repealed section.

f. FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED BY TEX. GOV’T CODE §2001.024(a)(4). Mr. Wilkinson, also has determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed repeal is in effect, enforcing or administering the repeal does not have any foreseeable implications related to costs or revenues of the state or local governments.

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. The public comment period will be held November 20, 2020, to December 21, 2020, to receive input on the proposed repealed section. Written comments may be submitted to the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Attn: Wendy Quackenbush, Rule Comments, P.O. Box 13941, Austin, Texas 78711-3941 or email wendy.quackenbush@tdhca.state.tx.us. ALL COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5:00 p.m., Austin local time, DECEMBER 21, 2020.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY. The proposed repeal is made pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.053, which authorizes the Department to adopt rules. Except as described herein the proposed repealed sections affect no other code, article, or statute.

**10 TAC Ch 2 Enforcement, Subchapter A, General**

**10 TAC Ch 2 Enforcement, Subchapter C, Administrative Penalties**

**10 TAC Ch 2 Enforcement, Subchapter D, Debarment**