TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

AUDIT AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

VIA TELEPHONE AND WEB LINK

June 17, 2021 8:00 a.m.

MEMBERS:

SHARON THOMASON, Chair PAUL A. BRADEN, Member AJAY THOMAS, Member LEO VASQUEZ, Member

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM		PAGE	
CALL TO OF ROLL CALL	RDER FION OF QUORUM	3	
ACTION ITEMS:			
ITEM 1:	Presentation, discussion, and possible action to approve the Audit and Finance Committee Minutes Summary for March 11, 2021	3	
ITEM 2:	Presentation, discussion, and possible action on the FY 2022 Operating Budget	4	
ITEM 3:	Presentation, discussion, and possible action on the FY 2022 Housing Finance Division Budget	12	
REPORT ITEMS:			
ITEM 1:	Presentation and discussion of Internal Audit of the Tenant Selection Criteria and Affirmative Marketing plans	14	
ITEM 2:	Presentation and discussion of Internal Audit of the Nonperforming Loans at TDHCA	15	
ITEM 3:	Report on the status of the Internal and External Audit activities	19	
	MMENT ON MATTERS OTHER THAN ITEMS THERE WERE POSTED AGENDA ITEMS	None	
EXECUTIVE	SESSION	None	
OPEN SESS	ION		
ADJOURN		2.0	

PROCEEDINGS

MS. THOMASON: Thank you, and welcome to the June 17 meeting of the TDHCA Audit and Finance Committee.

I apologize for my camera not working this morning, but I will begin by taking roll.

Mr. Braden?

MR. BRADEN: Here.

MS. THOMASON: Mr. Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: Present.

MS. THOMASON: Mr. Vasquez?

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.

MS. THOMASON: And I am here, so we have a quorum.

Our first action item today on the agenda is the approval of the minutes from the March 11, 2021 meeting of the Audit and Finance Committee. At that meeting, Robert Pagenkopf, from the State Auditor's Office, presented the SAO's annual audit of the TDHCA financial statements. All of those reports resulted in an unqualified or a clean opinion.

Also, the director of Internal Audit, Mr. Mark Scott, presented the internal audit of the continuity of the operations, or the COOP. He also provided status updates on the internal and external audit activities at that time.

1	The minutes are included in your book, and I
2	just need to ask for a motion to approve the minutes from
3	the March 11, 2021 Audit and Finance Committee meeting.
4	MR. BRADEN: Move to approve.
5	MS. THOMASON: Move to approve by Mr. Braden.
6	May I have a second?
7	MR. THOMAS: Second.
8	MS. THOMASON: Seconded.
9	All in favor of approving those minutes?
10	(A chorus of ayes.)
11	MS. THOMASON: Thank you. The minutes are
12	approved.
13	Our second action item is the presentation,
14	discussion and possible action to approve the fiscal year
15	2022 operating budget, and we have Mr. Joe Guevara with us
16	today. He's the director of Financial Administration, and
17	he's going to present that item to us.
18	Good morning, Mr. Guevara.
19	MR. GUEVARA: Good morning. Can you hear me
20	clearly?
21	MS. THOMASON: Yes, sir.
22	MR. GUEVARA: Perfect. Good morning, Madam
23	Chair and members of the committee. For the record, I am
24	Joe Guevara, director of Financial Administration.
25	Over the past several months our budget team has

been in collaboration with division directors and managers to develop an internal operating budget for fiscal year 2022. This budget is within the spending limits in Senate Bill 1 of the 87th Texas Legislature Regular Session, which will become the General Appropriations Act for the 2022-23 biennium.

We developed this budget to address various needs that were included in our legislative appropriations request, which allows for some planned growth in personnel and technology. In addition, this budget outlines temporary growth to support programs created in response to the coronavirus pandemic funded through the CARES Act, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, the recent American Rescue Plan Act and has charged our agency to administer more than \$3.9 billion over the next few years.

Behind item number 2 is the internal operating budget, which includes a comparison report. We have reformatted this report to provide you a comparison for each year as a whole, along with an adjusted report excluding temporary funding and the capital budget, which we believe provides you a more transparent comparison for our operations.

In summary, the 2022 proposed budget is \$106 million. This represents a \$77.2 million increase. The structure of this budget can be divided into three

components: temporary funding, our ongoing permanent activities, and the capital budget.

First, the budget expenditures related to temporary programs account for approximately \$76.3 million of this increase. This temporary administrative funding will be utilized for expenditures related to personnel, outsourced professional services, and general administrative expenses.

Now, this budget proposes to fund 53 Article 9 temporary FTEs, 15 to assist in the administration of the programs related to the CARES Act, 14 related to the Texas Rent Relief Program, and 15 related to the American Rescue Plan Act, and basically, we budgeted for nine temporary FTEs in the areas of accounting, HR, IT and procurement.

In addition, outsourced professional services for the administration of the Texas Rent Relief Program primarily accounts for the variance in the professional services line item of the budget. We also budgeted \$1.3 million for temporary help, primarily for personnel to support short-term tasks and projects related to CDBG and the Rent Relief Program as they evolve. This also includes some funds budgeted for translation services for these two programs.

Secondly, the change related to our ongoing activities was an increase of \$310,000, or 1.1 percent.

The adjusted variance column and the comparison report list the changes in these categories and the impact of the budget compared to FY21.

Some areas to highlight related to our core programs are as follows: Salaries increased \$273,000, or 1.5 percent, primarily due to the funding of FTEs to support the increase in multifamily activities and external affairs, with payroll related costs increasing proportionately.

Professional fees increased \$258,000, primarily related to the completion of a contract for cost certification training and the reallocation of our external audit services from our core grants to the Rent Relief Program for this upcoming fiscal year.

Another item is repairs and maintenance increased nearly \$19,000, or 3.2 percent, primarily due to a planned upgrade of our Midas System, which supports our loan servicing and bond accounting functions, allowing for improved customer service to our borrowers.

Rental and leases increased \$42,000, primarily due to a lease agreement for office space at the Twin Towers location. Advertising costs increased \$50,000 in anticipation of an advertising and outreach campaign for the LIHEAP Program.

And lastly, communications and utilities

increased \$158,000, primarily due to an increase in agency-wide need of additional technological resources, such as DocuSign and e-notary, and a media contract for the Texas Homeownership Program.

The last component of our budget is related to our capital projects, which increased \$557,000.

Professional services related to the capital budget increased \$445,000, mostly related to the upgrade of our compliance monitoring and tracking system. This is to modernize this system and allow for some efficiencies.

Furniture and equipment is the non-capital component of the capital budget, and it increased \$149,000, reflective of more scheduled purchases of equipment such as computers and printers, the majority of which will occur in this first year of the biennium.

The capital outlay is the capital component of the capital budget, and it increased \$119,000 -- I'm sorry -- it decreased \$119,000, reflective of planned purchases such as servers and other major hardware which are scheduled during the second year of the biennium.

This budget is reflective of 366 FTEs, 53 being Article 9 temporary FTEs and 313 being cap FTEs. Of the cap FTEs, 249 are related to TDHCA and 64 are Manufactured Housing Division staff.

And finally, a brief overview of the impact of

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

our method of finance is as follows: General revenue as a 1 2 whole decreased \$224,000. This is primarily related to the state-mandated 5 percent reduction for the 2021 biennium. 3 Appropriated receipts have an increase of \$422,000, 4 5 primarily related to additional FTEs funded with these fees 6 and a supplement to the general revenue reduction. 7 At this time, also, I would like to note for the record, in accordance with the Internal Auditing Standards 8 9 and the Board's Internal Audit Charter, this budget includes the Internal Audit Division's annual operating 10 budget as well. 11 12 This concludes my remarks on this item, and I'm 13 available for any questions that you may have. 14 MS. THOMASON: Thank you very much. 15 Are there any questions from any of the 16 committee members on this item? MR. BRADEN: I have one question. 17 MS. THOMASON: Okay. Mr. Braden. 18 19 MR. BRADEN: I think you talked about when you 20 explained why the rentals and leases increased, you referred to the Twin Towers. What is that? 21 22 MR. GUEVARA: It's a separate building. 23 along 290, and it primarily houses right now our IT staff, 24 and when the pandemic hit, the lease was expiring and we

were going to bring out IT staff back into headquarters,

25

1 but then we were bringing in a lot more temporary staff and 2 so we decided to keep our IT staff over there in the meantime to allow for the growth in our personnel. So it's 3 4 a temporary extension of the lease, at least for the next 5 three years or so. 6 MR. BRADEN: Okay. Thank you. 7 MR. WILKINSON: Joe, does that amount include the Manufactured Housing Division's lease, or is it just 8 9 for ours?

MR. GUEVARA: It's just our portion.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILKINSON: Eventually the Manufactured Housing Division is going to get a spot in the new H.W. Bush Building that they're building under Phase 1 of the Capitol Complex expansion. We're going to be staying here at least for another phase or two, and we'll be bringing back those IT folks with us. I don't think they're going to migrate to the H.W. Bush Building with Manufactured Housing.

MS. THOMASON: Are there any other questions?

MR. VASQUEZ: I have a question. So, Joe, would you characterize, in summary, that our core budget has stayed basically flat? I mean, any increases are due to additional programmatic needs. Correct?

MR. GUEVARA: Exactly. Our budget remains fairly consistent, fairly flat, some planned growth in

1	personnel and technology, and the majority of our increase,
2	you know, 268 percent, that's primarily related to our
3	temporary programs, which will be phased out as these funds
4	are spent down in the next couple of years.
5	MR. VASQUEZ: Right. Okay, great. Thanks.
6	MS. THOMASON: Are there any other questions?
7	(No response.)
8	MS. THOMASON: Okay. Hearing none, may I ask
9	for a motion to recommend approval to the full Board of the
10	fiscal year 2022 operating budget, as presented? Anyone?
11	MR. BRADEN: Move to approve.
12	MR. THOMAS: Madam Chair, I move that approve
13	the budget, as presented by staff, to the full Board for
14	review and approval.
15	MS. THOMASON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Thomas.
16	And Mr. Braden, was that a second?
17	MR. BRADEN: Second.
18	MS. THOMASON: Perfect. All in favor?
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	MS. THOMASON: Thank you very much.
21	We'll move on to action item number 3. That is
22	the presentation, discussion and possible action on the
23	fiscal year 2022 Housing Finance Division budget, and Mr.
24	Guevara will present that to us as well.
25	MR. GUEVARA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Now I'd like to turn your attention to item 1 2 number 3, the Housing Finance budget. This particular item is a subset of our internal operating budget. It is in 3 4 relation to the Housing Finance budget that we are required 5 to submit under our Texas Government Code and in compliance 6 with the General Appropriations Act. 7 This subset of the budget is specific to the fees that we generate through single-family and multifamily 8 9 bond, tax credit, and compliance activities, so at this 10 time we are prepared to certify this budget as well. So I'm available for any questions that you may 11 have related to this item. 12 13 MS. THOMASON: Thank you. 14 Are there any questions related to this budget? 15 (No response.) 16 MS. THOMASON: Okay. Hearing none --

MR. VASQUEZ: I'm sorry. I have another related question. So are staying consistent based on the projects that we have underway?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GUEVARA: Yes. The majority of the growth in appropriated receipts or the Housing Finance budget is related to our capital projects for the upgrade of CMTS, like I mentioned earlier, and some additional FTEs for some multifamily activity needs, so this one it's pretty consistent.

1	MR. VASQUEZ: All right. That's it. Thanks.
2	MR. GUEVARA: Thank you.
3	MS. THOMASON: Any other questions?
4	(No response.)
5	MS. THOMASON: Okay. If there are none, may I
6	have a motion to recommend approval to the full Board of
7	the fiscal year 2022 Housing Finance Division budget.
8	MR. BRADEN: So moved.
9	MS. THOMASON: Okay. Mr. Braden, and is there a
10	second?
11	MR. VASQUEZ: Second.
12	MS. THOMASON: Second by Mr. Vasquez. All in
13	favor?
14	(A chorus of ayes.)
15	MS. THOMASON: Okay. That concludes our action
16	items. Thank you very much, Mr. Guevara, for presenting
17	those items to us.
18	MR. GUEVARA: Thank you.
19	MS. THOMASON: Now we will have three report
20	items. The first will be the internal audit of the Tenant
21	Selection Criteria and Affirmative Marketing plans, and Mr.
22	Scott, the director of Internal Audit, is here to present
23	that item today.
24	MR. SCOTT: Thank you, Madam Chair.
25	These functions were recently transferred from

TDHCA Compliance Monitoring Division to the Fair Housing Data Management and Reporting Division.

There had been concern that Compliance Division would be flooded with a lot more IRS regulations to monitor, and also, in the past most of the compliance monitoring referrals to the Enforcement Committee were resolved through more training.

Since May 2020, the transfer of these functions to FHDMR Division, the TDHCA staff have provided training and technical assistance to property owners and their representatives for meeting the requirements of the Fair Housing Act.

The staff have also offered voluntary review of the plans to properties funded through TDHCA. Internal Audit reviewed 10 out of 50 voluntary reviews that were performed by the staff as of the start of the audit. We made some recommendations for improvements in recordkeeping and consistency of reviews. We've also noted that we'll be performing additional testing during our follow-up audit next year.

The additional testing will be focused on the areas that were still in transition phase and were not tested during our current audit. Additionally, we reviewed records of complaints related to Fair Housing that were received either through TWC or directly submitted to TDHCA,

and overall we found that the division is providing clear and adequate support and training to the property owners and their representatives.

And so I'll be happy to answer any questions on that audit.

MS. THOMASON: Thank you, Mr. Scott.

Are there any questions related to that report?

MS. THOMASON: Okay. The next report item then will be the Internal Audit of the Management of Nonperforming loans at TDHCA. Mr. Scott will be presenting that report as well.

MR. SCOTT: Thank you, Madam Chair.

We reviewed non-performing loans to determine where delinquencies may exist and how they are managed, how they are reported, and how TDHCA makes allowance for losses related to loan defaults. We reviewed two types of loans: bond-funded and direct loans.

Bond-funded loans financed from single-family and multifamily revenue bonds are originated and managed by external parties. These external parties, which may include banks, services and trustees, are accountable through legal agreements that are monitored by TDHCA.

With these structures, the Department has innovatively used tools available from the Federal Government and financial institutions to provide a market

for the creation and preservation of affordable housing, while minimizing default.

The direct loans are made from both federal and state general revenue funds allocated to the agency. The performance of these loans is managed directly by TDHCA or through partnerships with Habitat for Humanity.

Single-family loans held the most delinquent accounts at 83 percent current, 17 percent were delinquent as of 2/28/21. This was somewhat expected, given that TDHCA is often viewed as a lender of last resort, so these types of loans carry more delinquency risk than your average conventional loans. Multifamily loans were 87 percent current, 13 percent delinquent as of 2/28/21. The percentage of loans that were more than a year delinquent was negligible.

Based on our reviews, OIA found that the function of the Management of Non-performing loans is performing effectively overall, with some suggestions for consistency and efficiency.

We had a recommendation for a dashboard for the agency as a tool for viewing status of loan delinquencies.

We also had recommendations for going forward as more activities are automated with the MITAS software system.

This includes some of the manual work done for payment receipts, which we did review.

Bond total versus direct loans, in general terms 1 2 as of the end of our report, we had \$1.9 billion in bonds and \$753 million in direct loans, or \$1.9 billion in bond-3 4 backed loans. 5 The loan loss allowance is \$10 million for the 6 \$753 million in loans, which is 1.33 percent, and this is a 7 very low allowance, but it's borne out by prior history, so 8 overall we're doing a very good job with the management of 9 the non-performing loans. 10 So I'll be happy to answer any questions on that audit. 11 12 Thank you, Mr. Scott. MS. THOMASON: Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Scott 13 14 related to this report item? MR. VASQUEZ: Just a question on do we have any 15 16 statistics on how this portfolio performs relative to other 17 similar states or organizations? MR. SCOTT: Suzi is on the line. I don't think 18 19 we compared it. They seem manifestly like they were doing 20 a good job. 21 Suzi, are you on the line? 22 (No response.) 23 MR. SCOTT: I don't know. Joe, do you know 24 anything about that as far as comparisons to other states? 25 MR. GUEVARA: Hi, Mark.

1 Mr. Vasquez, we don't have any consistent 2 comparing, not that I'm aware of, but our process just 3 evaluating our delinquencies with our own portfolio, and 4 every year it's also reviewed by our external auditors to 5 make sure it's reasonable. 6 And at this point, the experience in write-offs 7 does not exceed our allowance the following year, so it seems right in line with our estimates. But at this point 8 9 we don't have any comparisons to other entities or other 10 areas. MR. WILKINSON: I'm not aware either of how we 11 compare to other states. 12 Monica, anyone from Bond Finance know? 13 14 MS. NELSON: Hi. This is Suzi Nelson. 15 Madam Chair and Board members. 16 What we did compare it against is the 17 delinquencies that are in the loans underlying the singlefamily mortgage bonds, and those delinquencies run at about 18 76 percent current, 24 percent delinquent, so compared to 19 those underlying loans, TDHCA is actually outperforming. 20 21 MR. SCOTT: So you're saying the loans that are 22 directly managed by us are better than the ones that are 23 managed by our partners? 24 MS. NELSON: Yes, because we work really hard. 25 They go through many, many phases to try to help these

individuals and families, and pretty much through to the 1 2 bitter end. So I don't think many financial institutions 3 are as understanding and helpful as TDHCA in this regard, because of our mission. 4 5 MR. VASQUEZ: Okay. That answers my question. 6 Just trying to get some perspective on this. Is this good 7 or bad? MS. NELSON: It's really good, actually. 8 9 quite surprised, and I feel that the agency has really 10 great processes in place surrounding this function. Okay, great. 11 MR. VASQUEZ: 12 MS. THOMASON: Thank you, Ms. Nelson. All right. Are there any other questions? 13 14 (No response.) 15 MS. THOMASON: Okay. Hearing none, we will move 16 on to our next report item. It will be the status of the 17 internal and external audit activities, and Mr. Scott will present that for us. Thank you. 18 19 MR. SCOTT: Yes. The big item coming up next year is on the statewide single audit, which they do every 20 year, but this year CliftonLarsonAllen, that does the 21 22 federal compliance component of the statewide audit, 23 they're going to be auditing the Coronavirus Relief Fund. 24 The amount of money that TDHCA received for 25 coronavirus relief caused it to be selected for review in

the federal audit of the state's 2021 financial statements. 1 2 They will test basic compliance supplement requirements such as eligibility, allowable costs, reporting, et cetera, 3 along with any special provisions, and will also conduct 4 5 general information systems reviews. And we had our 6 entrance conference with CLA on May 24. 7 So that concludes my presentation, and I'll be 8 happy to answer any questions there may be. 9 MS. THOMASON: Thank you, Mr. Scott. 10 Are there any questions related to the report on internal and external audit activities? 11 12 (No response.) MS. THOMASON: Okay. Hearing none, we will 13 14 adjourn our meeting at 8:24 a.m. Thank you all very much. 15 (Whereupon, at 8:24 a.m., the meeting was 16 adjourned.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 MEETING OF: 3 TDHCA Board Audit & Finance Committee Austin, Texas 4 LOCATION: 5 DATE: June 17, 2021 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 21, inclusive, are the true, accurate, 7 8 and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 9 made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs. 10 DATE: June 19, 2021 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 (Transcriber) 19 20 On the Record Reporting 21

22

23 24 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515 Austin, Texas 78752