## TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

### GOVERNING BOARD MEETING

John H. Reagan Building Room JHR 140 1400 Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701

October 14, 2021 9:11 a.m.

## MEMBERS:

LEO VASQUEZ, III, Chair PAUL A. BRADEN, Vice Chair BRANDON BATCH, Member (absent) KENNY MARCHANT, Member AJAY THOMAS, Member SHARON THOMASON, Member (absent)

BOBBY WILKINSON, Executive Director

# I N D E X

AGENI	DA ITEM PAGE
ROLL	TO ORDER 7 CALL IFICATION OF QUORUM
ITEM	ENT AGENDA  1: APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PRESENTED  IN THE BOARD MATERIALS:  JTIVE  Presentation, discussion, and possible action on
	Board meeting minutes summary for September 2, 2021
ASSET b)	MANAGEMENT Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a Material Amendment to the Housing Tax Credit Land Use Restriction Agreement
	01144 Autumn Oaks of Corinth Corinth 02008 Prairie Commons Dallas 03066 Anson Park Abilene 03190 Westview Ranch Pearsall 04241 Anson Park II Abilene 98174 Lodge at Merrilltown Austin 99005 Homes of Parker Commons Fort Worth 99059 The Retreat at Texas City Texas City
c)	Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a Material Amendment to the Land Use Restriction Agreement
	534339 Southwest Village Apartments Marble Falls
d)	Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a Material Amendment to the Housing Tax Credit Application
	20192 Arbor Park Austin 20205 Ella Grand Houston 20451 Crossroad Commons Austin
e)	Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a waiver of $\S10.101(b)(4)(M)$ of the 2016 Uniform Multifamily Rules
	16373 Avondale Farms Seniors Haslet
BOND	FINANCE.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

f) Presentation, discussion, and possible action on

Resolution No. 22-003 authorizing request to the Texas Bond Review Board for annual waiver of Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bond set-aside requirements, authorizing the execution of documents and instruments relating thereto, making certain findings and determinations in connection therewith, and containing other provisions relating to the subject

g) Presentation, discussion, and possible action on Inducement Resolution No. 22-004 for Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds Regarding Authorization for Filing Applications for Private Activity Bond Authority

21628 The Preserve at Cottonwood Creek Wilmer

21629 Union Acres Center

21630 Palladium Glenn Heights Town Center Glenn Heights

22600 Harvest Ridge at Brushy Creek Hutto

22601 Torrington Arcadia Trails Balch Springs

22603 Marine Park Fort Worth

22604 Socorro Village Socorro

h) Presentation, discussion, and possible action on Inducement Resolution No. 22-005 for Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds Regarding Authorization for Filing Applications for Private Activity Bond Authority

21608 Fiji Lofts Dallas 21609 Throckmorton Villas McKinney

i) Presentation, discussion, and possible action on Inducement Resolution No. 22-006 for Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds Regarding Authorization for Filing an Application for Private Activity Bond Authority for Delafield Villas (#21610)

### SINGLE FAMILY & HOMELESS PROGRAMS

- j) Presentation, discussion, and possible action authorizing the submission of requests to remove property from the Neighborhood Stabilization Program land bank
- k) Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a waiver of 10 TAC §23.31(a)(2)(c) relating to submission of a Reservation to assist owners of unoccupied housing under the Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance Program

RULES

- Presentation, discussion, and possible action on an order proposing the amendment of 10 TAC Chapter 1, Subchapter A, General Policies and Procedures, §1.3, Sick Leave Pool; and an order directing its publication for public comment in the Texas Register
- m) Presentation, discussion, and possible action on an order proposing the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 5, Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, §5.801, Project Access Initiative; proposing new 10 TAC Chapter 5, Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, §5.801, Project Access Initiative; and directing their publication for public comment in the Texas Register

### MULTIFAMILY FINANCE

n) Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding the issuance of a Determination Notice for 4% Housing Tax Credits for K Avenue Lofts (#21454) in Plano

### COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

o) Presentation, discussion, and possible action on the selection of Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. to administer the Weatherization Assistance Program in Brewster, Crane, Culberson, Hudspeth, Jeff Davis, Pecos, Presidio, and Terrell counties

## FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION

p) Presentation, discussion, and possible action to adopt a resolution regarding designating signature authority and superseding previous resolutions

## CONSENT AGENDA REPORT ITEMS

### ITEM 2: THE BOARD ACCEPTS THE FOLLOWING REPORTS:

a) Media Analysis and Outreach Report (August 2021)

- b) Report on Activities Related to the Department's Response to COVID-19 Pandemic
- c) Housing Finance Activity Report
- d) Report on the closing of the Department's Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2021A and Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2021B (Taxable)

### ACTION ITEMS

Executive Session: the Chair may call an Executive None

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 Session at this point in the agenda in accordance with the below-cited provisions

## ITEM 3: EXECUTIVE

Executive Director's Report

9

### ITEM 4: BOND FINANCE

Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding the Issuance of Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (The Park at Kirkstall) Series 2021 Resolution No. 22-007, and a Determination Notice of Housing Tax Credits

19

## ITEM 5: SINGLE FAMILY & HOMELESS PROGRAMS

Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a waiver of 10 TAC §23.32(a)(19) relating to submission of Reservations to assist owners of housing under the disaster relief set-aside

27

23

b) Presentation, discussion, and possible action to authorize the issuance of the 2022 HOME Investment Partnerships Program Single Family General Set-Aside Notice of Funding Availability and publication of the NOFA in the Texas Register

31

c) Presentation, discussion, and possible action to authorize the issuance of the 2022 HOME Investment Partnerships Program Single Family Persons with Disabilities SetAside Notice of Funding Availability and publication of the NOFA in the Texas Register

33

d) Presentation, discussion, and possible action to authorize the issuance of the 2022 HOME Investment Partnerships Program Single Family Contract for Deed Set-Aside Notice of Funding Availability and publication of the NOFA in the Texas Register

ITEM 6: RULES

- a) Presentation, discussion, and possible action 37 on the proposed repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, the proposed new 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, and directing their publication for public comment in the Texas Register
- b) Presentation, discussion, and possible action 41 on an order proposing the repeal of 10 TAC Chapter 10 Subchapter E, Post Award and Asset

Management Requirements, and an order proposing new 10 TAC Chapter 10 Subchapter E, Post Award and Asset Management Requirements, and directing their publication for public comment in the Texas Register

### ITEM 7: MULTIFAMILY FINANCE

- a) Presentation, discussion, and possible action 44 to amend the 2021-3 Multifamily Direct Loan Notice of Funding Availability
- b) Presentation, discussion, and possible action 47 regarding awards from the Multifamily Direct Loan (MFDL) 2021-3 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), as amended
- c) Presentation, discussion and possible action 55 on requests for return and reallocation of tax credits under 10 TAC §11.6(5) related to Credit Returns Resulting from Force Majeure Events for Applications Awarded Competitive (9%) Housing Tax Credits in Prior Application Rounds

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS OTHER THAN ITEMS none FOR WHICH THERE WERE POSTED AGENDA ITEMS

EXECUTIVE SESSION

none

OPEN SESSION

\_\_\_

ADJOURN 62

# 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. VASQUEZ: I'd like to call to order the 3 meeting of the Governing Board of the Texas Department of 4 Housing and Community Affairs. It is 9:11 on October 14, 5 2021. 6 We will start out with the roll call. Mr. Batch 7 is not able to attend today, so we'll have to hold off on 8 our "Let's go, Brandon" cheer until next meeting. 9 (General laughter.) 10 MR. VASQUEZ: Mr. Braden? MR. BRADEN: Here. 11 12 MR. VASQUEZ: Mr. Marchant? 13 MR. MARCHANT: I am here. 14 MR. VASQUEZ: Mr. Thomas? 15 MR. THOMAS: Here. MR. VASQUEZ: Ms. Thomason again has asked for 16 17 an excused absence, as has Mr. Batch, who is stuck in Midland, and Mr. Vasquez is here, so we do have a quorum. 18 19 We will start, as usual, with the pledges of allegiance, led by Bobby Wilkinson. 20 (The Pledge of Allegiance and the Texas 21 22 Allegiance were recited.) 23 MR. VASQUEZ: And in the spirit of the Astros 24 and the ALCS, "Play ball."

We are going to the consent agenda. I believe

25

1	we do not have any resolutions for Mr. Lyttle to read.
2	MR. LYTTLE: No, sir.
3	MR. VASQUEZ: Although we'll call this Houston
4	Astros Month officially.
5	Are there any items on the consent agenda that a
6	Board member or member of the public would like to pull
7	from the agenda and move to action?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the chair will
10	entertain a motion to accept the consent agenda as is.
11	MR. BRADEN: Mr. Chair, I move that the Board
12	approve the consent agenda items 1 and 2, as described and
13	presented in the respective Board action requests.
14	MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you.
15	Motion made by Mr. Braden. Is there a second?
16	MR. THOMAS: I second, Mr. Chairman.
17	MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Thomas. All those
18	in favor say aye.
19	(A chorus of ayes.)
20	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries.
23	There will not be an executive session today, so
24	moving on to the first item on the agenda, executive
25	director's report.

MR. WILKINSON: Thank you, Chairman.

We're about a week to go left in the third called session of the 87th Texas Legislature. Both the Senate and the House have been deliberating on legislation affecting Texas pandemic response funds.

We had our own streams of money that were specific to us from ARPA, the American Rescue Plan Act, but the legislature is appropriating the more general use funds.

There was some testimony in committee hearings in both chambers related to additional funding for affordable housing, but as of this time there's no legislation being considered which would impact our programs or our funding.

We didn't obviously advocate for anything, but there was a little bit of talk of using it for housing. Of course, a thousand people have a thousand different ideas on how to spend big pots of money.

Texas Rent Relief, still probably the biggest thing going on with us. We crossed a major threshold, we're over a billion disbursed as of this morning, so assistance to more than 172,000 households and there's another \$64 million already approved and in the payment process. Probably look for a Governor's Office press release on it, which is always nice when the executive

wants to chime in on what we're doing.

We're the first state to distribute a billion in rent relief. We still have \$900 million to go because we had a second billion appropriated to us from the American Rescue Plan Act. Since the program launched in mid February, we've served more households and provided more relief funds than any other state, and we've reached 95 percent of all counties in Texas. I'm pretty sure like Loving County might not even have a renter, so you know, we won't get to 100 percent.

Homeowner Assistance Fund, that's the 800-and-something million dollar mortgage assistance fund also from the American Rescue Plan Act. We submitted our plan to Treasury. We've heard from other states that Treasury will soon be providing feedback to states on their plans, but Treasury has not approved any state plan as of yet.

The process at the federal level is moving much slower than we'd like. There's a little less urgency with the Homeowner Assistance Fund compared to rent relief, but still we're anxious to get going. We have selected a vendor; we haven't executed the contract yet, but we're going to be moving and ready to launch as soon as Treasury approves our plan.

Multifamily finance changes. Since the last Board meeting we hired Cody Campbell as the director of

Multifamily Programs.

Cody, can you stand, please, or wave, whatever you want to do?

MR. VASQUEZ: There he is.

MR. WILKINSON: Cody began his TDHCA career in 2013 as a monitor in the Compliance Division. Since then he has served in various roles, including his most recent position as director of Physical Inspections, overseeing the Department's physical inspection and migrant labor housing licensing activities.

We're excited to have him. It was a role we needed to fill right away, and he was able to hit the ground running with his experience in the agency, and he already knows the program and a lot of the regs because he was responsible for enforcing them.

Back to the Compliance Division, as a result of his transition to Multifamily, Wendy Quackenbush was promoted to be director of Multifamily Compliance.

Wendy, are you here? No, she's not here. You'll have to look her up later.

Wendy oversees the compliance monitoring, physical inspections, and the migrant farm worker housing licensing. She's been with TDHCA for 20 years and brings lots of experience in overseeing all aspects of monitoring, training, complaints and technical assistance for the

Department's multifamily programs.

We also promoted Amy Hammond to manager of Compliance Monitoring, and Manuel Pena to manager of Physical Inspections.

We conducted our first in-person training last week on income eligibility at TDHCA headquarters, and it was a success. This is something we haven't done in the last 20 months, so we're now offering the basic training class in person every other month.

Our next scheduled training will be on December 2, 2021. The training class covers how to determine income eligibility for our programs and for our multifamily properties.

To bonds, multifamily bonds. The Multifamily
Bond Program will be participating in the 2022 private
activity bond lottery with requests that total \$623
million, which makes the Department's set-aside almost four
times oversubscribed, which is more oversubscribed than our
9 Percent Housing Tax Credit Program.

To put all caps on more, there's a 4 percent versus 9 percent rivalry we have here. The requests are from 22 applications of which only seven are expected to get reservations. This is a change from a few years ago when multifamily didn't even use all of their bond cap. It's been raging, as I'm sure some of the people in the

audience are aware.

HOME ARP, this is ARP for American Rescue Plan, HOME is a particular funding source from HUD. Our state, we're getting, I think, \$132.9 million from there, and our state's efforts to combat homelessness received a boost with this \$132.9 million in American Rescue Plan funds.

The program is designed to assist individuals or households who are homeless, at risk of homelessness and other vulnerable populations by providing housing, rental assistance, supportive services and non-congregate shelter to reduce homelessness and increase housing stability across the country.

We're pleased to announce that Naomi Cantu, who has been our Homelessness Program manager, will be the director of this new division for TDHCA. Naomi is currently working on consultations, as required by HUD.

Naomi, are you with us? No, another one. Okay.

It's a little more complicated than a normal HUD plan in that we have to do a separate plan for this and we have these required consultations with different groups who are meeting with homeless nonprofits and supportive housing developers and such to get their ideas on how we should grant out this money.

It's a good source for actual capital construction, unlike a lot of the stuff that we've been

spending, like rental assistance and housing stability
services which is one-time money for a service where
nothing is going to exist afterwards, so hopefully we can
get some stuff built with it.

On the homeownership side, thanks to this
Board's waiver, we're adding a three-year forgivable secon

On the homeownership side, thanks to this
Board's waiver, we're adding a three-year forgivable second
for down payment assistance on Monday, the 18th, so in just
a few days we'll be helping people a little bit more and
excited about that.

That's all I have on prepared remarks. Any questions from the Board?

MR. BRADEN: Mr. Chair.

So Bobby, on the rental relief money, is there a deadline when we have to get all that money committed?

MR. WILKINSON: Next September.

MR. BRADEN: Okay. September of 2022?

MR. WILKINSON: Yes. And there was a milestone that we reached this September where for the first pot if we had spent or obligated -- obligated definitely, mostly as spent -- at least 65 percent, then we were eligible to get reallocated funds, and we hit that easily.

Treasury is moving on reallocation. Mostly it seems for this first round they want to reallocate within states, so for local programs that have low spending ratios they might take it and give it to the state or maybe to

another local, that's still being worked out. Maybe later there will be some state to state.

Most states are resisting that because most people aren't ahead, but there will be some states, like Wyoming, for instance, that are not going to spend their small state minimum, they just have enough renters that qualify. They would have to like pay for every renter in the state kind of thing -- I mean, I'm exaggerating.

So we might get reallocated funds, but we're going to be starting on ERAP 2.0 from the rescue plan before we get any reallocated ERAP 1.0. Sorry for the acronym, Emergency Rental Assistance Plan.

MR. BRADEN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MARCHANT: Do you have any idea or have you received any information as to whether they may sweep funds, unused funds at a certain point, and do you fear that? For the search for funds to fund the new bill many times they'll go sweep programs out that still have quite a bit of money in them that are unused, which many of the states do.

MR. WILKINSON: Sure.

MR. MARCHANT: We wouldn't be affected by that at this point?

MR. WILKINSON: I don't think we're in danger of getting anything swept. For the rental assistance they

were pretty explicit that it's going to be a reallocation to other areas that have the need rather than just a clawback by the Feds.

And we've got bumps in all kinds of programs,

And we've got bumps in all kinds of programs, like our energy assistance, et cetera, and that become a capacity issue with our nonprofit subrecipients and local governments, but I don't think we're in danger of having anything swept yet.

MR. MARCHANT: Okay.

MR. WILKINSON: Maybe one exception?

Brooke, will you come to the mic?

MR. MARCHANT: Because much of the infrastructure bill is money that was unused from the previous program, at least half of it, so I don't know if that was --

MR. WILKINSON: I thought we were good, but I think we have an exception.

MS. BOSTON: Just one exception, and hopefully it's a very small amount. Our Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program, LIHEAP, we received two allocations of that, and the first allocation they had to finish their expenditures by September 30.

We won't know their final closeout numbers until mid-October, but we are fairly sure the subrecipients did not spend it all, and that will return to HHS.

1 MR. MARCHANT: The demand was not there then. 2 MS. BOSTON: I think it has less to do with 3 demand and more to do with capacity of the nonprofits 4 across the state. 5 MR. MARCHANT: Gotcha. 6 MS. BOSTON: And they have a second allocation 7 that they have access to already that has a little bit 8 longer time line. That first time line was pretty fast, 9 and I think there had been hope that Congress would maybe somehow do an extension, and that didn't happen. 10 MR. MARCHANT: Thank you. 11 12 MR. WILKINSON: At some point these subs were 13 putting out money slower than usual, but they had twice as 14 much money. It was hard to adjust to a virtual environment 15 for them, and they were doing a lot of in-person casework 16 with folks as opposed to right now. 17 Thank you, Brooke. MR. MARCHANT: Chairman, if I might, I would 18 19 like to congratulate Bobby and his staff. As I read these 20 stories around the country, as reluctant as the current 21 administration is to give praise to Texas and Florida and 22 the states who are really doing a good job, it's very 23 commendable the job you guys have done on getting the 24 rental money out.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

And I tell the story, and you know, there's no

25

1	reinforcement from the general press. I've seen some great
2	stories but it's a story that needs to be told, and very
3	proud of this Board and this organization and the
4	employees. What a great job you've done on this.
5	MR. WILKINSON: Thank you, sir. I do give
6	credit to staff. They've done an excellent job of setting
7	up all these programs and working with vendors to get it
8	done.
9	MR. VASQUEZ: Bobby, as we transition from the
10	rent relief programs fund 1.0 to 2.0, is there going to be
11	any kind of pause that we need to do just to kind of clear
12	out what's in process under the first program, or not?
13	MR. WILKINSON: Not officially yet, but possibly
14	we might have to turn off and then turn on again.
15	MR. VASQUEZ: Okay. All right. Great. Keep us
16	informed of that.
17	And then just for the record, to make sure I
18	heard it clearly, how much in funds have we distributed?
19	MR. WILKINSON: Over a billion.
20	MR. VASQUEZ: Over a billion dollars with a B.
21	All right, very good.
22	Does that conclude your report?
23	MR. WILKINSON: Yes, sir, that concludes my
24	report.
25	MR. VASQUEZ: Okay, great. Let's move right

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 along to I guess it's item 4 on the agenda, Presentation, discussion and possible action regarding a waiver for accessibility requirements, the issuance of Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds Series 2021, Resolution No. 22-007, and a determination notice of housing tax credits.

Ms. Morales.

MS. MORALES: Teresa Morales, director of Multifamily Bonds.

The Park at Kirkstall proposes the acquisition and rehab of 240 units in Houston. This property is already in the Department's portfolio and was originally awarded and built in the early 2000s. All of the units are at 60 percent of area median income and will continue to serve the general population.

Under the proposed financing structure, the

Department will issue short-term tax-exempt fixed-rate

bonds in an amount not to exceed \$28 million. The bonds

will be cash collateralized with the proceeds from a Fannie

Mae loan throughout the construction period, which provides

protection for the bondholders and minimizes risk to the

Department.

In addition to action requested on the bond issuance and 4 percent credits, this agenda item also requests approval of a waiver of 2002 QAP requirement relating to the accessibility of the various unit types.

Specifically, the QAP required that where there are units that are two stories, a minimum of 20 percent of each unit type -- so that's your one-bedrooms, two-bedrooms, and three-bedrooms -- must provide an accessible entry and include a minimum of one bedroom and one bathroom or power room at the entry level. Because this was a requirement in the QAP, it became a requirement of the land use restriction agreement, or LURA.

The Park at Kirkstall is not in compliance with this requirement as it relates to the one-bedroom units, as all of these units are built above the garage and are therefore not accessible.

Given the proximity of the buildings and the unit configurations within the buildings, adding one-bedroom units or converting units is not an option, because it would require moving load-bearing walls.

As mitigation for this waiver and a workout arrangement for the lack of one-bedroom accessible units, the applicant has agreed to lease two of the two-bedroom accessible units at a one-bedroom rent to mobility-impaired tenants or tenants that need a visitable unit.

Staff believes this is an acceptable solution, as it provides an option for a household who needs an accessible one-bedroom unit.

Staff recommends approval of Bond Resolution No.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 22-007 in an amount not to exceed \$28 million, and the 2 issuance of a determination notice of 4 percent housing tax credits in the amount of \$2,052,394. 3 As it relates to the waiver, staff does not 4 5 believe that it was foreseeable or preventable by the 6 applicant as they were not the original owner of the 7 development. 8 Based on the workout solution, staff recommends 9 that a waiver of 10 49.7(e)(3)(E) of the QAP under which 10 the award was originally made be granted. Board approval of the waiver will authorize staff to amend the land use 11 restriction agreement, replacing the waiver of the 20 12 percent accessibility requirement relating to the one-13 14 bedroom units only. 15 That concludes my presentation. 16 MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Teresa. That sounds 17 like they came up with an innovative, out-of-the-box solution to get to the same number. 18 MS. MORALES: 19 Right. 20 Do any Board members have MR. VASOUEZ: questions on this item? 21 22 (No response.) 23 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, I'll entertain a 24 motion on item 4 of the agenda.

MR. MARCHANT: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board

25

1	issue a determination notice
2	MR. VASQUEZ: Microphone. You're on mute.
3	MR. MARCHANT: I'm afraid I'm going to fall
4	asleep.
5	Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue a
6	determination notice of 4 percent housing tax credits for
7	The Park at Kirkstall, approve Resolution No. 22-007
8	regarding the issuance of Tax-Exempt Multifamily Housing
9	Revenue Bonds and grant a limited waiver of 10 TAC Section
10	49.7(e)(3)(E) regarding accessibility requirements for one-
11	bedroom units, as expressed and subject to the conditions
12	of the Board action request on this item.
13	MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you.
14	Motion made by Mr. Marchant. Is there a second?
15	MR. BRADEN: Second.
16	MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Braden.
17	No public comment, it looks like. And I forgot
18	to mention if anyone wants to have public comment, please
19	when your item comes up, come up here to the first couple
20	of rows so we know you want to speak.
21	So motion made and seconded. All those in favor
22	say aye.
23	(A chorus of ayes.)
24	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
25	(No response.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries.

Thank you, Ms. Morales.

Moving on to item 5(a) Presentation, discussion, and possible action regarding a waiver of 10 TAC Section 23.32(a)(19) relating to submission of reservations to assist owners of housing under the disaster relief set-aside.

Ms. Versyp.

MS. VERSYP: Good morning, Chairman and members of the Board. I'm Abigail Versyp, director of Single Family and Homeless Programs. I'm here to present item 5(a) related to requests for a waiver of the HOME Program rules to assist several families under the disaster set-aside.

The request involves four potential HOME Program activities for homeowner reconstruction assistance under disaster relief. Each household that would potentially be assisted was impacted by Hurricane Harvey. They still live in their homes, but the homes sustained damage that has yet to be repaired.

In order to respond to the needs of Texans impacted by Hurricane Harvey, the Department requested and was granted a waiver of statute which allows us to use our HOME funds in HOME participating jurisdictions, like the City of Port Arthur.

Because the governor granted the waiver to TDHCA, the City of Port Arthur applied for and received a HOME reservation agreement. The city marketed their program, they identified these four households that they would seek to assist to rebuild their homes.

Our staff has been in communication with the city regarding the use of the funds, especially in light of the potential expiration of the waiver for Hurricane Harvey and the program rules adopted in 2020, which require activities for households assisted under the disaster setaside to be submitted to us for approval within four years of the date of the disaster.

The City of Port Arthur did submit these activities timely, and we reviewed them; however, unfortunately, the submissions weren't adequate. We could not determine that they met all of the requirements that they need to show that they're eligible for HOME funds.

We worked with the City of Port Arthur for several months, staff sent multiple deficiency notices and requests for clarification, but ultimately, each activity was disapproved when required documentation wasn't received in accordance with the deadline established in our rule.

Disapproved activities can be resubmitted so long as there's a reservation agreement in place, so a disapproval doesn't kill it forever, but it just puts a

pause on it.

Hurricane Harvey and the activities were not submitted within that four-year time frame under a resubmission, we're kind of in a catch-22 here with the rule. Because we understand the very serious ramifications of disapproving an activity which is not eligible to be resubmitted, we did contact the City of Port Arthur prior to disapproving their request, just to explain verbally, like, hey, this is what's happening and this is why.

The city staff first asked about the possibility of an appeal, but since they were in agreement that the rule was applied as it was written and since the city didn't contest the regulatory validity of the rule, they opted instead to request a waiver from the Board, which is permissible for good cause under our single-family rules.

An additional layer of complication is that a waiver of statute that allows us to utilize those HOME funds in a participating jurisdiction that was granted for Hurricane Harvey relief has expired. However, we do have a current waiver to the statute because of the COVID-19 pandemic, so the Board could elect to apply this waiver to these four activities to allow them to proceed.

Due to the extenuating circumstances in this case, staff does recommend approval of the waiver for these

four activities for the City of Port Arthur's reservation 1 2 agreement. The activities still need to go through the approval process. We still don't have sufficient 3 4 documentation to determine their eligibility, but by 5 granting the waiver today, the Board would allow them to 6 resubmit the activities for review and potential approval. 7 So that concludes my presentation and staff's 8 recommendation. 9 MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thanks, Abby. 10 realize this is a complicated, convoluted story there, but I applaud y'all for working through it to help get this 11 done. 12 Do any Board members have questions for Ms. 13 14 Versyp? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, do I hear a motion 17 There's only four of you -- or three of you. on item 5(a)? MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 18 19 grant the limited waiver of 10 TAC Section 23.32(a)(19), as 20 expressed in the Board action request on this item. 21 MR. VASQUEZ: Motion made by Mr. Thomas. Is 22 there a second? 23 MR. MARCHANT: Second. 24 MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Marchant. those in favor say aye. 25

1	(A chorus of ayes.)
2	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries.
5	MS. VERSYP: Thank you.
6	MR. VASQUEZ: Moving on to item 5(b)
7	Presentation, discussion, and possible action to authorize
8	the issuance of the 2022 HOME Investment Partnerships
9	Program single family general set-aside notice of funding
10	availability and publication of the NOFA in the Texas
11	Register.
12	MR. LANDRY: Thank, y'all. Good morning. My
13	name is Chad Landry, and I'm the Single Family Programs
14	manager. I am before you today to present items 5(b)
15	through 5(d), which are three notices of funding
16	availability from the HOME Program. I'll give you some
17	general background information that relates to all three
18	items and then take them one at a time.
19	First a little background. Funding for these
20	NOFAs is based on our annual HOME allocation from HUD for
21	2021 of just under \$36 million. While these funds are
22	technically 2021 in HUD's eyes, our NOFA is dated 2022
23	because that's the state fiscal year that we will be
24	operating in.
J	

Each year you as a Board approve a one-year

25

action plan which details how we will allocate our HOME funds, we submit that to HUD, and once approved we proceed using the funds as approved.

The Board approved our plan in the June Board meeting of this year. The one-year action plan primarily portions out the funds between single family and multifamily activities. With the single family portion we have several different activities, which is why there's multiple NOFAs.

These include a general set-aside program, a program to assist persons with disabilities, and a contract-for-deed program. I'll tell you more about these when I discuss their specific NOFA.

All of the NOFAs today are award funds using a reservation system. This means that administrators access funds when they have an activity that is ready to proceed instead of just getting an award for a clump amount of money.

So with that, I'll tell you about the first item. Item 5(b), the 2022 general NOFA. This NOFA is for \$19.9 million. Three activities make up the general set-aside NOFA: homeowner reconstruction, tenant-based rental assistance, and homebuyer acquisition with new construction.

Those first two, which we call HRA and TBRA, use

the majority of the single family funds. HRA is allocated 1 2 \$11.9 million and TBRA is allocated \$6.9-. Homebuyer acquisition with new construction, or HANC, as we like to 3 4 call it, is a new pilot program, and we've only allocated 5 \$1 million for it so that we can gauge interest. 6 State law requires that we put HOME funds through a regional allocation formula to ensure equitable 7 8 access throughout Texas. This is first divided into rural 9 and urban of the 13 state service regions. 10 After roughly 30 days at that level, funds them collapse into regional availability, and then after another 11 30 days funds are available statewide outside of 12 participating jurisdictions which are primarily the 13 14 metropolitan areas of the state. 15 With that, I am happy to answer any questions 16 you may have for the general set-aside. 17 MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you. Do any Board members have questions on -- we're 18 19 still working on 5(b)? 20 MR. LANDRY: We're on 5(b). MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none -- well, I think, 21 22 Beau, do these need to be taken, each voted individually? 23 MS. ECCLES: They're separate items so they 24 would stand for separate motions.

MR. VASQUEZ: Okay. All right. So on 5(b) the

25

chair will entertain a motion. 1 MR. MARCHANT: Mr. Chairman, I move that the 2 Board grant the limited waiver of 10 TAC Section --3 4 MR. VASQUEZ: Next one. 5 MR. MARCHANT: Wrong one. Okay. I move the 6 Board grant the executive director and his designees the 7 authority to post and publish a 2022 HOME single family 8 general set-aside NOFA for funding, as expressed and 9 conditioned in the Board action request on this item. 10 MR. BRADEN: Second. MR. VASQUEZ: Motion by Mr. Marchant, seconded 11 12 by Mr. Braden. All those in favor say aye. (A chorus of ayes.) 13 14 MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed? MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries. 15 16 Moving on to 5(c), let me just read it into the 17 Presentation, discussion, and possible action to record. authorize the issuance of the 2022 HOME Investment 18 19 Partnerships single family persons with disabilities set-aside NOFA and publication of the NOFA in the Texas 20 21 Register. 22 MR. LANDRY: That's a mouthful. 23 This NOFA is to assist persons with 24 disabilities. Our governing statute requires that we spend 25 5 percent of our yearly allocation on programs that target

persons with disabilities. These funds are available 1 2 statewide, and with this NOFA it does include participating 3 jurisdictions. This NOFA is for \$1.7 million. Two activities 4 5 are eligible: home reconstruction, which gets just under 6 \$500,000, and tenant-based rental assistance, which 7 receives \$1.3 million. 8 And with that, I'm happy to answer any questions 9 on the PWD NOFA. 10 MR. MARCHANT: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. 11 MR. VASQUEZ: Please. 12 MR. MARCHANT: Is this a new home program 13 primarily or is it mainly for reconstruction? 14 MR. LANDRY: Well, this NOFA actually we mainly 15 use it for tenant-based rental assistance. There is an 16 aspect for homeowner reconstruction. We don't do any 17 homebuyer assistance with this program. MR. MARCHANT: Okay. So is it a grant? 18 19 MR. LANDRY: For tenant-based rental assistance, 20 yes. Home reconstruction it depends on the nature of the 21 specific activity. 22 MR. MARCHANT: So if a disabled person wants to 23 buy a home, it's not suited for his/her purposes, they would go to you or to a lender to access this money? 24 25 MR. LANDRY: Well, one, it's not homebuyer

1 assistance, we do reconstruction. But we give our money to 2 administrators throughout the state of Texas, who then work with that individual household, so it's not us directly. 3 4 MR. MARCHANT: Okay. Thank you. 5 MR. LANDRY: And that's with all of our 6 programs. 7 MR. VASQUEZ: Actually, following on with that, so the administrators are those like community action 8 9 agencies, or what? 10 MR. LANDRY: They can be. For tenant-based rental assistance it's almost always nonprofit 11 12 organizations. The homeowner reconstruction can be nonprofits but we have a lot of cities and counties that do 13 14 reconstruction. 15 MR. VASQUEZ: Okay, great. 16 Are there any other questions on this item 5(c)? 17 (No response.) MR. VASQUEZ: If not, I'll entertain a motion. 18 19 MR. BRADEN: Mr. Chair, I move the Board grant the executive director and his designees the authority to 20 post and publish the 2022 HOME single family persons with 21 22 disabilities set-aside NOFA for funding, as expressed and 23 conditioned in the Board action request on this item. 24 MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Motion made by Mr. Braden. 25 Is there a second?

MR. THOMAS: I second, Mr. Chairman. 1 2 MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Thomas. All those 3 in favor say aye. (A chorus of ayes.) 4 5 MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries. Moving right along to 5(d) Presentation, 8 9 discussion, and possible action to authorize issuance of 10 the 2022 HOME Investment Partnerships Program single family contract-for-deed set-aside notice of funding availability 11 and publication of the NOFA in the Texas Register. 12 13 MR. LANDRY: Thank you very much. This one had 14 some interest, so I'm going to try to address some of the 15 questions I was given before the Board meeting. This is the 2022 contract-for-deed NOFA. 16 17 Through a rider in our appropriation authority, the Texas Legislature requires that we allocate funds to assist 18 19 households who may be in a contract-for-deed. We set aside 20 \$1 million for this activity. Traditionally, a contract-for-deed, CFD for 21 22 short, was a contract for the sale of land where the buyer 23 acquired possession of the land immediately and paid the 24 purchase price in installments over a period of time, but

the seller retained legal title until all the payments were

25

paid.

So while the buyer occupied the land, they had no ownership rights until the land was paid off. This was a less-than-ideal situation for the household, and in the past sellers have not always treated buyers well.

This rider was put in place to make sure that households had a way to get out of these situations without potentially losing their entire investment, sometimes including homes that they built on vacant property. Our contract-for-deed program buys out these co9ntracts and converts them into a traditional mortgage which then gives the household full rights of homeownership.

In 2017, as a result of House Bill 311, contract-for-deeds were given the same weight as a traditional mortgage and buyers were ensured an ownership percentage in the property. While this has limited the urgency of households seeking to get out of contract-for-deed arrangements, there's still an important purpose for our program because the terms for contract-for-deeds often have higher interest rates and steep penalties for late payment.

Our program offers zero percent deferred forgivable loans to refinance and reconstruct their home.

The HOME Program has had a contract-for-deed conversion program since at least 1999. Over this time we've done 324

conversions with the average cost between \$50,000 and \$150,000.

Primarily three organizations apply to serve subrecipients under this NOFA. That's Community Development Corporation of Brownsville, AYUDA in El Paso, and Alliance of Border Collaboratives in El Paso, and we are grateful for the great work that they do to support our families. The focus is in these communities because contract-for-deeds were most commonly used in the Colonias along the border. Indeed, the bulk of our contract-for-deed activities is in El Paso County.

We don't know how many contract-for-deed arrangements still remain out there but the program use has trended down over the past few years. Actually, the last activity under this program was completed in 2020. Any funds not used in this NOFA are reprogrammed to other activities.

With that, I'm happy to answer any contract-for-deed questions.

MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you.

Do any Board members have questions on this item?

MR. MARCHANT: The only reason you have this program is it was put on you by a legislative action through a rider?

1	MR. LANDRY: Well, there is the need, but yeah,
2	the legislative action requires us to have this.
3	MR. MARCHANT: Thank you.
4	MR. VASQUEZ: I find it fascinating that this
5	still really exists, the contract-for-deed.
6	MR. MARCHANT: No good lawyer would pay for it.
7	MR. BRADEN: I learned about it in law school.
8	MR. LANDRY: It's interesting, you know, we have
9	it along the border.
10	MR. VASQUEZ: Let's make sure these are
11	publicized, the program is publicized greatly and anyone
12	that needs to take advantage of it does.
13	So with that, not to influence the motion, I'll
14	entertain a motion on item 5(d).
15	MR. BRADEN: Mr. Chair, I move the Board grant
16	the executive director and his designees the authority to
17	post and publish a 2022 HOME single family contract-for-
18	deed set-aside NOFA for funding, as expressed and
19	conditioned in the Board action request on this item.
20	MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you.
21	Motion made by Mr. Braden. Is there a second?
22	MR. MARCHANT: Second.
23	MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Marchant. All
24	those in favor say aye.
25	(A chorus of ayes.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries.
4	MR. LANDRY: Thank y'all very much.
5	MR. VASQUEZ: Thanks, Chad.
6	Moving right along to item 6, Presentation,
7	discussion, and possible action on the proposed repeal of
8	10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule, and the
9	proposed New 10 TAC Chapter 13, Multifamily Direct Loan
10	Rule, and directing their publication for public comment in
11	the Texas Register.
12	Ms. Flickinger.
13	MS. FLICKINGER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
14	members of the Board. For the record, my name is Charlotte
15	Flickinger, and I'm the manager of the Multifamily Direct
16	Loan Program. I'm pleased to present item 6(a) for your
17	review and hope for approval this morning.
18	MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you. And would you
19	mind speaking up just a little more.
20	MS. FLICKINGER: Certainly. Is that better,
21	sir?
22	MR. VASQUEZ: Perfect. Thanks.
23	MS. FLICKINGER: Wonderful.
24	Item 6(a) is the proposed repeal and new 10 TAC
25	Chapter 13, the Multifamily Direct Loan Rule.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 The proposed rule governs all aspects of direct loan administration from application to commitment to loan closing and final disbursement. There are several revisions to the proposed rule this year, and I'll give you a brief description of those revisions.

First, this proposed rule makes adaptive reuse proposals eligible under limited circumstances. As you know, adaptive reuse was previously prohibited but still undertaken through the rule waiver process.

The demand for this activity continues to grow and this proposed rule provides limited eligibility. The proposed rule does not make proposals accessing the federal historic tax credit eligible as the extended time line for approval under that program threatens our ability to commit funds in a timely manner.

To mitigate this risk and for the time-being, we propose that historic tax credit proposals continue to be considered on a case-by-case basis under the existing process, either through a NOFA or a direct rule waiver.

Second, the proposed rule moves loan terms from the rule into the NOFA. This will allow flexibility to better meet the priorities defined in the NOFA which, of course, will be presented to the Board for review and hope for approval.

Third, with the intent of providing guidance,

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

this proposed rule includes additional information on 1 federal cost-cutting requirements, including relocation, 2 Davis-Bacon wage standards, and site and neighborhood 3 4 reviews. 5 There are also several additional definitions, 6 further enumeration of ineligible activities and general 7 revision for syntax and uniformity. Several passages were 8 merely moved to better reflect their place in the process. 9 Finally, in an effort to improve processing time, we 10 shortened the timing for submittals for environmental reviews and disbursement requests. 11 12 I'll be happy to answer any questions. If there 13 are none, with your approval the proposed rule will be 14 published in the Texas Register and open for public comment 15 for 30 days. We will present the rule with any revisions 16 made as a result of that comment at the December 9 meeting. 17 If there are no questions, I respectfully 18 request your approval. 19 MR. VASQUEZ: Great. I was checking are there 20 any questions on this item. 21 (No response.) 22 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, I'll entertain a 23 motion on item 6(a).

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

approve the proposed repeal and proposed New 10 TAC Chapter

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board

24

1	13, Multifamily Direct Loan Rule for publication in the
2	Texas Register for public comment, as expressed in the
3	Board action request on this item.
4	MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you.
5	Motion made by Mr. Thomas. Is there a second?
6	MR. BRADEN: Second.
7	MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Braden. All those
8	in favor say aye.
9	(A chorus of ayes.)
10	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries.
13	MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you.
14	MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you.
15	Moving on to 6(b) Presentation, discussion, and
16	possible action on an order proposing the repeal of 10 TAC
17	Chapter 10, Subchapter E, Post Award and Asset Management
18	Requirements, and an order proposing New 10 TAC Chapter 10,
19	Subchapter E, and directing their publication for public
20	comment in the Texas Register.
21	Mr. Banuelos.
22	MR. BANUELOS: Good morning. Rosalio Banuelos,
23	director of Asset Management.
24	That is correct, upon Board approval, the
25	proposed asset management rules will be posted to the

Department's website and to the *Texas Register* for public comment.

Public comment will be accepted between October 29, 2021 and November 19, 2021, and after consideration of public comment, the rules will be brought before the Board for final approval and subsequently published in the Texas Register for adoption.

We are not making a lot of changes, so I will summarize the most significant of the proposed changes. We are removing Section 10.401 and sections of 10.402 that have been relocated to the Qualified Allocation Plan. For 4 percent developments we are raising the threshold of tax credit increases at cost certification that require Board approval from 10 percent to 20 percent.

We are also adding a section under the new 10.402 to deal with subordination agreements, HUD amendments and HUD riders with restrictive covenants. This will identify the requirements to process subordination requests and also specify an evaluation process for tax credit developments that are seeking to refinance within two years from the issuance of IRS Forms 8609.

In the Section 4 amendments we are changing amendments to the right-of-first-refusal provision from material, which require Board approval, to non-material, to allow for administrative approval. We are also clarifying

1 that amendments to remove the HUD requirement prior to the 2 filing of IRS Forms 8609 is a material amendment. 3 Finally, in the section for right of first 4 refusal we are incorporating revisions to the definition of 5 a qualified entity in accordance with the revisions to 6 statute made earlier this year. That was under Senate Bill 7 No. 403 passed by the House on May 25, 2021. That concludes my comments, and I'm available 8 9 for any questions. 10 MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you. Do any Board members have questions for Mr. 11 Banuelos? 12 13 (No response.) 14 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, I'll entertain a 15 motion on item 6(b). MR. MARCHANT: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board 16 17 approve the proposed repeal and proposed New 10 TAC Chapter 10, Subchapter E, Post Award and Asset Management 18 19 Requirements for publication in the Texas Register for 20 public comment, as expressed in the Board action request on this item. 21 22 MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you. 23 Motion made by Mr. Marchant. Is there a second? 24 MR. THOMAS: Second, Mr. Chairman. 25 MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Thomas. All those

1 in favor say aye. 2 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed? 3 4 (No response.) 5 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries. 6 Thank you, Rosalio. 7 Moving back along to item 7(a) Presentation, discussion, and possible action to amend the 2021-3 8 9 Multifamily Direct Loan Notice of funding availability. 10 Ms. Flickinger is back. MS. FLICKINGER: Good morning again, Mr. 11 Chairman and members of the Board. I'm here to present 12 item 7(a) which is, as you said, second amendment to the 13 14 2021-3 NOFA. 15 You'll recall that this NOFA makes gap financing available for COVID-related construction cost increases. 16 17 We continue to receive new applications under this program under this NOFA, to date having 14 applications in-house 18 19 requesting over \$27 million and four new applications pending full submission. 20 Due to this continued new activity, we'd like to 21 22 extend this NOFA to November 11 to provide opportunity for 23 the Board to review any necessary adjustments that would 24 require Board approval should they arise during application 25 review or underwriting.

I'd also like to point out, Mr. Chairman and members, a ministerial error in Section 5(d) of the NOFA. The date in the second sentence should be March 31, 2022, and not 2021. I regret the error.

This NOFA amendment will be effective October 15, and happy to answer any questions the Board may have, and respectfully request approval for this item 7(a), second amendment to the 2021-3 NOFA.

MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you, Ms. Flickinger.

Does any Board member have a question?

MR. MARCHANT: Could you explain what this actually does in a little bit less public government-ese?

MS. FLICKINGER: Yes, sir, Mr. Marchant.

This NOFA was originally created to respond to the crisis that many developers of 2019 and 2020 housing tax credit developments are facing concerning costs and labor increases, so with this amendment this merely extended the period for which people can apply, as well as for those applications that are in-house that haven't been fully reviewed yet, if something should come up and the NOFA would normally expire tomorrow, we wouldn't be able to come back to ladies and gentlemen of the Board to ask for your review of any deviation that would be necessary from the requirements.

MR. MARCHANT: Well, this question may not

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

relate directly to that, but in that process of award do 1 2 you look at -- I mean, we earlier this year had a jump in lumber but the lumber has come back down -- do you look at 3 4 the award request and then follow it through to the actual 5 expenditure? Does the actual expenditure always equate 6 back to the additional award you gave, or is there an audit 7 at the end of it? MS. FLICKINGER: So what happens -- and you'll 8 see six recommendations in the next agenda item -- they 9 10 have to document in their application the increase over and above the costs that were originally approved. 11 12 MR. MARCHANT: And this is costs incurred or costs forecasted? 13 14 MS. FLICKINGER: In some cases it is costs 15 incurred, particularly for the one development that it's on 16 construction or the other that has already closed. 17 have documented the increase based on contracts that not all have been signed, some of them are proposals from the 18 19 contractors. 20 MR. MARCHANT: So you have a prospective contract or an actual expenditure in hand? 21 22 MS. FLICKINGER: Yes, sir. 23 MR. MARCHANT: And I guess my question is six 24 months later is there some mechanism that you go back and

find out that they actually spent those funds?

1	MS. FLICKINGER: As these are all housing tax
2	credit proposals, through the cost certification process
3	those will have to be independently certified.
4	MR. MARCHANT: Okay. Thank you.
5	MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you for your question,
6	sir.
7	MR. MARCHANT: Yeah, thanks.
8	MR. VASQUEZ: Do any other Board members have
9	questions on this agenda item?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, I'll entertain a
12	motion on item 7(a).
13	MR. BRADEN: Mr. Chair, I move the Board grant
14	the executive director and his designees the authority to
15	amend the 2021-3 NOFA, extend its availability date to
16	November 11, allow funds awarded under the NOFA to be
17	reserved for applications proposing FHA-insured permanent
18	debt, and post the amended note, all as expressed and
19	conditioned in the Board action request on this item.
20	MR. MARCHANT: Second.
21	MR. VASQUEZ: Motion made by Mr. Braden,
22	seconded by Mr. Marchant. All those in favor say aye.
23	(A chorus of ayes.)
24	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
25	(No response.)

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, motion carries. 1 2 MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you. 3 MR. VASQUEZ: And continuing with Ms. Flickinger on item 7(b) Presentation, discussion, and possible action 4 5 regarding awards from the Multifamily Direct Loan 2021-3 6 NOFA as amended. MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 There are six recommendations presented today, 8 9 and I will give you a brief summary of all of them, and 10 please don't hesitate to interrupt me with questions if you choose to do so. 11 12 The first is Palladium Fain Street Apartments, which is currently under construction in Fort Worth. 13 14 Previously approved for competitive housing tax credits in 15 2020, the application documents a lumber cost of a little 16 over \$1.5 million, and we are recommending \$447,000 in HOME 17 funds under the general aside for Palladium Fain. The MFDL loan will have a 40-year term and 18 19 amortization, structured as a cash flow loan in keeping 20 with FHA requirements. Palladium Fain will serve a general population. Nine MFDL designated units of the 90-unit 21 22 total will be restricted to 30 percent area median rent and 23 income, or AMI, and the balance of the units will include 24 housing tax credit and some unrestricted units.

MR. VASQUEZ:

I'm sorry. Bear with me a second.

1 So the table at the top of this agenda item says \$528,735. 2 MS. FLICKINGER: That was the application 3 amount. Thank you for bringing that up. 4 MR. VASQUEZ: And then we're approving 474-, you 5 said? 6 MS. FLICKINGER: Yes. In underwriting it was 7 determined that \$474,000 was the most they could qualify 8 for. 9 MR. VASQUEZ: And which kind of feeds into Mr. 10 Marchant's question right there. Okay. Please go ahead. Thank you. 11 12 MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you again for the 13 question. 14 The second is Vernon Pioneer Crossing, will serve an elderly population in Vernon, which is located in 15 16 Wilbarger County. It was previously approved for 17 competitive housing tax credits in 2020, and the application documents a building cost increase of over \$1.6 18 19 million. 20 We are recommending \$1,050,000 in National Housing Trust Funds under the general set-aside. 21 The MFDL 22 loan will be a 40-year term with amortization period 23 nearing that and payable from cash flow. This one will 24 have eight MFDL designated units of the 64-unit total and

they will all be at 30 percent AMI. The balance of the

units will include housing tax credit and unrestricted units.

Any questions?

(No response.)

MS. FLICKINGER: The third development we're presenting for your approval today is Commons at St.

Anthony's. This is an adaptive reuse previously authorized by the Board that will serve an elderly population in Amarillo.

Previously approved for competitive housing tax credits in 2020, the application documents a building cost increase of over \$3.7 million. We're recommending \$2 million in National Housing Trust Funds under the general set-aside.

The MFDL loan will have a 17-year term, balance forgiven upon expiration of the federal affordability period. Thirteen MFDL designated units of the 124 total will be restricted to 30 percent AMI and the rest will be housing tax credit units.

The fourth development recommended today is

Westwind at Dumas, which will serve a general population in

Dumas, located in Moore County. It was previously approved

for credits in 2020, competitive credits, and the

application documents building and labor cost increase of

\$1.2 million, a little over that.

We're recommending \$1.1 million in National 1 2 Houston Trust Funds under the general set-aside. direct loan will have a 40-year term and amortization 3 4 period, also payable from cash flow. Nine MFDL units of 64 5 will be restricted to 30 percent AMI, and the balance will 6 be housing tax credit with some unrestricted units. 7 Fifth, Arbor Park Apartments. This one will serve an elderly population in Austin, previously approved 8 9 for 2020 housing tax credits, competitive, the application documents a building and labor cost increase of \$2.8 10

million.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're recommending \$2,222,900 in HOME funds under the general set-aside. This direct loan will have an 18-year term structured as deferred payable at zero interest, and there will be twelve MFDL designated units of the 147 total. Those MFDL units, as with all those in the general set-aside, will be limited to 30 percent AMI, while the balance will be either tax credit or unrestricted.

MR. ECCLES: I'm sorry. Is Arbor Park HOME or MHTF?

MS. FLICKINGER: Arbor Park is HOME.

MR. ECCLES: It's listed differently in the chart, in the table.

MS. FLICKINGER: I think I have an error in my notes. You're right, it's the next one that's HOME.

1 MR. ECCLES: Okay. 2 MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you, Mr. Eccles. It will be MHTF. 3 The sixth is Ella Grand Apartments, serving an 4 5 elderly population in Houston, which was also previously 6 approved for 2020 competitive housing tax credits and has a 7 building and labor cost increase of \$3.6 million. 8 We are recommending \$2.18 million in HOME funds 9 under the general set-aside. The MFDL loan will have a 15-10 year term, structured as deferred payable at zero percent. Sixteen MFDL units of the 145-unit total will be 11 restricted to 30 percent AMI, and the balance will include 12 tax credit and unrestricted units. 13 This award is 14 conditioned upon completion of a satisfactory previous 15 participation review. 16 And I'm happy to answer any questions that you 17 may have at this time. Thank you. MR. VASQUEZ: 18 19 Do any Board members have questions on this item? 20 MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, just a point of 21 22 clarification. So just to make sure I understand the table 23 correctly, it appears on the table all the numbers seem to 24 fit except for the first one for Palladium Fain Street 25 Apartments, so that one you're recommending the 400- and

1 change. Right? 2 MS. FLICKINGER: Yes, sir. That was reduced 3 during underwriting. 4 MR. THOMAS: Okay. Great. Thank you. 5 MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you. Yes, the other ones 6 are all approved as requested in their application. 7 MR. THOMAS: Thank you. MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you. 8 9 MR. BRADEN: Just as a follow-up to what Ajay 10 was saying, so recommended award, that really award really should be 474-. It's probably a typo. 11 12 MS. FLICKINGER: We'll make that correction. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. VASQUEZ: I think it's correct in the actual 15 section for that one. 16 MR. BRADEN: Backup, yes. 17 MR. VASQUEZ: And again, I think these are examples of my preference, instead of issuing more tax 18 19 credits, getting them to add more loans and such. So I 20 applaud these applicants for taking that route. 21 If there are no more questions, the chair will 22 entertain a motion on item 7(b). 23 MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board 24 approve the recommended 2021-3 NOFA applications, as 25

expressed and conditioned in the Board action request on

1	this item.
2	MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you.
3	Motion made by Mr. Thomas. Is there a second?
4	MR. BRADEN: Second.
5	MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Braden. All those
6	in favor say aye.
7	MR. ECCLES: If I could just, with the amendment
8	as expressed to the recommended amount in Palladium Fain
9	Street Apartments as expressed by the presenter, because I
10	don't believe that amount that has actually been approved
11	is in the Board action request.
12	MS. FLICKINGER: It's in the summary.
13	MR. VASQUEZ: Again, with the clarification of
14	the first one being \$474,000. Is that part of your motion?
15	MR. THOMAS: Part of my motion, and just for
16	point of clarity, just to be thorough, I will also on Arbor
17	Park make the change in your notes to HOME. Right?
18	MS. FLICKINGER: MHTF.
19	MR. BRADEN: MHTF was correct on that one.
20	MR. THOMAS: It was correct?
21	MR. BRADEN: On the chart.
22	MR. THOMAS: Okay, perfect.
23	MR. BRADEN: I just want to confirm, the chart
24	is correct except for the first award amount should be
25	474

1	MS. FLICKINGER: That's correct.
2	MR. BRADEN: And we're agreed. Right?
3	MR. THOMAS: We're agreed.
4	MR. BRADEN: And so my second is good with that
5	then.
б	MR. VASQUEZ: Excellent. All those in favor say
7	aye.
8	(A chorus of ayes.)
9	MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, motion carries.
12	MS. FLICKINGER: Thank you.
13	MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you.
14	Moving on to item (c) Presentation, discussion,
15	and possible action on requests for return and reallocation
16	of tax credits under 10 TAC Section 11.6(5) relating to
17	credit returns resulting from force majeure events for
18	applications awarded competitive 9 percent housing tax
19	credits in prior application rounds.
20	And we welcome Mr. Campbell.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, thank you. Good
22	morning, Chairman Vasquez, members of the Board. I am Cody
23	Campbell, director of Multifamily Programs for the
24	Department, and it is a pleasure to be here this morning.
25	Item 7(c) is presentation, discussion, and

possible action on requests for return and reallocation of tax credits under 10 TAC 11.6(5) related to credit returns resulting from force majeure events for applications awarded competitive 9 percent housing tax credits in prior application rounds.

Awards of competitive credits to the developments listed on the item were approved by the Board in July of 2019 and July of 2020. Staff executed carryover allocation agreements with the development owners that included a certification from the development owner that documentation for the 10 percent test would be submitted by July 1, 2020 for 2019 awards and July 1, 2021 for 2020 awards, which was subsequently extended to September 30, 2021.

In order to satisfy the requirements of Section 22 of the Internal Revenue Code, each building for which the allocation was made would be placed in service no later than the end of the second calendar year following the date of the carryover allocation agreement.

The department received requests from certain development owners to extend the placement-in-service deadline under the provisions of 10 TAC Section 11.6(5) related to credit returns resulting from force majeure events, and in some cases staff determined that an extension of the 10 percent test was appropriate under

these circumstances for those deals that needed an extension.

And just to give you an idea of where these four developments are with their 10 percent tests, 19077

Telephone Road completed their 10 percent test last year.

The next two, Rockwood South and Connect South have had an extension through April 28 of 2022, so they have not submitted their 10 percent test yet. And then Nuestra Señora submitted their 10 percent test on September 29, and it is currently under review by our Asset Management Division.

Section 11.6(5) of the QAP related to credits returned resulting from force majeure events allows a development owner to return issued credits within three years of award and have those credits reallocated to the development outside of the usual regional allocation system if all of the requirements of the subsection are met.

Pursuant to 11.6(5), the Department's Governing Board may approve the execution of a current program year carryover allocation agreement regarding the returned credits with the development owner that returns such credits only if the credits were returned as a result of force majeure events that occurred before the issuance of Forms 8609.

Force majeure events are those under the

following sudden unforeseen circumstances outside the control of the development owner: acts of God such as fire, tornado, flooding, significant and unusual rainfall or subfreezing temperatures, or loss of access to necessary water or utilities as a direct result of significant weather events; explosion; vandalism; orders or acts of military authority; unrelated party litigation; changes in law, rules or regulations; national emergency or insurrection; riot; acts of terrorism; supplier failures; or material and labor shortages.

If a force majeure event is also a presidentially declared disaster, the Department may treat the matter under the applicable federal provisions. Force majeure events must make construction activity impossible or materially impede its progress. The president declared a national disaster for the COVID-19 pandemic and declared a statewide disaster for Texas' Winter Storm Uri which affected the state in February of 2021.

Development owners have communicated to staff how the two presidentially declared disasters have resulted in delays, in local municipalities' processing and delivery of financial contracts, of supplier failures, material shortages, and all have led to price increases and labor shortages.

Staff has determined that there is sufficient

evidence of sudden and unforeseen circumstances outside of the control of the development owners, as described in 11.6(5), for the Department to treat the developments under an application of the force majeure rule.

these force majeure events, the development owners will return the awarded credits and execution of the 2021 carryover allocation agreement will result in a new award and a new placed in service deadline of December 1, 2023 for these developments, with a new 10 percent deadline of July 1, 2022 for those who still need time to get that done.

If the Board denies any of the requests regarding the force majeure events, the date by which the developments must be placed in service will remain the date in the initial carryover allocation agreements. Because the development owner has not anticipated meeting the placed in service deadline, the credits are expected to be returned.

If the development owner returns the credits, the credits would first be made available in the subregion from which they were originally awarded pursuant to 10 TAC 11.6(2) related to returned credits.

If there are pending applications on the applicable waiting list from the relevant subregion, the

next application would be awarded, assuming that there are 1 2 enough credits to make that award. If there are not enough credits in that subregion to make an award, then the 3 4 credits will go to the statewide collapse and contribute to 5 the next award. 6 Staff recommends the Board approve the requests 7 for treatment under an application of the force majeure rule for the listed developments, and I can answer any 8 9 questions that you have at this time. 10 MR. VASQUEZ: Great. Thank you. Do any Board members have questions on this 11 item? 12 13 (No response.) 14 MR. VASQUEZ: So again, these are all well in 15 process, and no one is starting from scratch and we're 16 going to be hitting -- they'll be moving right along if we 17 approve this. MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, sir. 18 19 MR. VASQUEZ: Again, the staff's recommendation 20 is to approve these. Any potential speakers have problems 21 with that? 22 (No response.) 23 MR. VASQUEZ: Okay, great. So seeing that 24 there's no pressing public comment, the chair will 25 entertain a motion on item 7(c). And we're taking all

1 these as one vote. Correct? Is there a motion on item 2 7(c)? MR. MARCHANT: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board 3 4 approve the requested return and reallocation of tax 5 credits under the force majeure rule for the listed 6 developments, as expressed and conditioned in the Board 7 action request on this item. 8 MR. VASOUEZ: Great. Thank you. 9 Motion made by Mr. Marchant. Is there a second? 10 MR. BRADEN: Second. MR. VASQUEZ: Seconded by Mr. Braden. All those 11 12 in favor say aye. (A chorus of ayes.) 13 14 MR. VASQUEZ: Any opposed? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none, the motion carries. 17 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Cody. 18 19 Now, this was an efficient meeting, wasn't it? 20 So the Board has addressed the posted agenda items. 21 Now is the time of the meeting where members of 22 the public can raise issues with the Board on matters of 23 relevance to the Department's business or request that the 24 Board place specific items on future agendas for 25 consideration.

Is there anyone who would like to provide public 1 2 comment at this time? 3 (No response.) MR. VASQUEZ: Hearing none or seeing none, 4 5 appreciate everyone's braving the weather, as the case may 6 be, to get here. And as far as future events, it should be noted 7 that tomorrow, Friday, October 15, the Astros start the 8 9 American League Championship Series, and Tuesday, October 26, the World Series begins, and the next scheduled Board 10 meeting is Wednesday, November 10, 2021, at a location to 11 be announced. 12 And with that, it is 10:20 a.m., and the meeting 13 14 is adjourned. 15 (Whereupon, at 10:20 a.m., the meeting was 16 adjourned.)

1 <u>CERTIFICATE</u> 

MEETING OF: TDHCA Board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: October 14, 2021

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 6162, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

DATE: October 20, 2021

(Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515 Austin, Texas 78752