TDHCA # 02034

Region 3

Non Profit Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

LIHTC Primary Set Aside:

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Development Name: Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II TDHCA#: 02034

DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS

3 Region:

Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 100 Windsor Court

Purpose / Activity: City: Terrell **Development Type:** Elderly County: Kaufman

Zip Code: 75160 TTC DDA **✓** QCT

Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 9 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation

OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: LRI X, Ltd.

Principal Names:	Principal Contact:	Percentage Ownership:
LRI Terrell Senior Terraces II, LLC	Barry Halla	90 %
Axis Properties, Inc.	Tadd Tellepsen	10 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %

TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION

Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: \$781,495 Allocation over 10 Years: \$7,814,950 Credits Requested: \$764,357 Eligible Basis Amount: \$781,495 Equity/Gap Amount: \$814,778

UNIT	INFC	RMATI	ON					BUILDING INFORMATION		
	<u>Eff</u>	1 BR	2 BR 3	BR 4	BR 5	BR	Total	Total Development Cost:	\$10,841,833	
30%	(0	0	0	0	0	0	Gross Building Square Feet:	130,940	
40%	(36	36	0	0	0	72	Total NRA SF:	127,620	
50%	(36	36	0	0	0	72	Gross/Net Rentable:	1.03	
60%	(0	0	0	0	0	0	Average Square Feet/Unit:	709	
MR	(18	0	0	0	36	Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot:	\$84.95	
Total	(90	0	0	0		Credits per Low Income Unit	\$5,427	
Tota	I LI U	nits:					144	INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION		
Own	er/En	nployee	Units:				0	Effective Gross Income:	\$1,155,843	
Tota	l Proj	ect Unit	s:				180	Total Expenses:	\$636,844	
-		80.00	Net Operating Income:	\$518,999						
Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction attributable to low income units.					he square	foot fracti	on	Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio:	1.25	

Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

Developer: Life Rebuilders, Inc. Market Analyst: **Ipser & Associates**

Housing GC: Tellepsen Builders, LP Originator/UW: Midland Mortgage Investment Corp.

Infrastructure GC: Tellepsen Builders, LP Appraiser: Henry S. Miller Commercial Cost Estimator: Tellepsen Builders, LP Attorney: Holland & Knight, LLP

Architect: Kastendieck & Associates, Inc. Supp Services: Kaufman County Senior Service Ctr.

Property Manager: Alpha-Barnes Real Estate Services Accountant: Novogradac & Company, LLP

Schlimgen Land Development Engineer:

Services, LLC

Syndicator: Midland Equity Corporation Permanent Lender: Midland Mortgage Investments Corp.

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION

Underwriting Finding: AC Site Review: Acceptable Points Awarded: 143

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

NΡ

NC

V

2002 Development Profile an	d Board Summa	ry (Continued))				
Project Name: Terrell S	Senior Terrace	es, Phase II			Project Num	ber: 02034	ļ
PUBLIC COMMENT SUM	MARY No	te: "O" = Oppo	osed	d, "S" = Support, "NC" or	Blank = No co	mment	_
# of Letters, Petitions, or A resolution was pass					Support: opment.	8 Opposi	tion: 0
Local/State/Federal Officials v	w/ Jurisdiction:			Comment from Other Pul	blic Official		
Local Official:	Henry C. Madgv	vick, Sr., Mayor	r, S	Gordon C. Pierce, City M.	anager, S		
TX Rep.:	Betty Brow	n, Dist. 4		Wayne Gent, County Jud	•		
TX Sen.:	David H. Ca	in, Dist. 2	S	Frances R. Anderson, Ma	yor Pro Tem, S		
US Rep.:							
US Sen.:							
CONDITIONS TO COM	MITMENT						
Receipt, review, and acceptar Should the actual cost of the underwriter's estimate or the recommendations and conditi	development be erates, terms, and	established thro amounts of the	ough perr	a fixed price contract or at manent financing or syndic			
Alternate Recommendation	:						
RECOMMENDATION BY	PROGRAM I	MANAGER A	AND	DIRECTOR OF HOUS	SING PROGR	AMS IS BAS	ED ON:
✓ Score		✓ Meeting	Req	quired Set Aside	Meeting the	e Regional Allo	cation
☐ To serve a greater number ☐ To serve a greater number ☐ To ensure the Developmer ☐ To ensure the allocation of Comment: This developmer	er of lower income ent's consistency of f credits among a	e families for a le with local needs as many differer	longe s or it nt ent	er period of time ts impact as part of a revit tities as practicable withou	it diminishing the	quality of the	nousing that is bu
Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC	Co-Manager	Date		David Burrell, Direct	or of Housing Pr	ngrams	Date
RECOMMENDATION BY	•		A NI		•	•	
The recommendation by the B above reasons. If a decision v	Executive Award	and Review Ad	visor	y Committee for the 2002	LIHTC application		
Edwina Carrington, Executive Chairman of Executive Award		isory Committe	e	 Date			
BOARD OF DIRECTO	R'S APPROVA	L AND DESC	CRIP	TION OF DISCRETION	NARY FACTO	RS (if applic	cable):
Approved Credit Amount				of Determination:		C - PP	• •

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02034	LIHTC 9	ı% ✓	LIHTC 4% \square
Project Name:	Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II	HOM	IE 🗆	HTF \Box
Project City:	Terrell	BON	D \square	SECO □
.	W 70 4			
Housing Com	ipliance Review		_	
3	material non-compliance		L	
No previous	participation		L	
Status of	f Findings (individual compliance status reports Participation and Background Certification(s		Previous	
Projects Mon	nitored by the Department			
# review	ved # not yet monitored or	pending revie	w4	_
# of projects	grouped by score 0-9: 1 10-19:	020-	29: 1	_
Members of	the development team have been disbarred by F	IUD		
National Pre	vious Participation Certification Received		N/A	L -
Non-C	Compliance Reported			
Completed	by Sara Carr Newsom Complete	ted on 05/13	3/2002	
Single Audit				
Status of Fin	dings (any outstanding single audit issues are l	isted below)		
single aud	lit not applicable 🗹 no outstanding issues [outstandi	ng issues	s 🗌
Comments:	538095, 538096 (No draws)			
Completed	by Lucy Trevino Complete	ted on 05/30)/2002	
Program Mon	nitoring			
Status of Fin	dings (any unresolved issues are listed below)			
monitori	ing review not applicable \(\square\) more	nitoring review	pending	. ✓
review	ed; no unresolved issues reviewed; u	ınresolved issu	es found	
Comments:	Monitoring review pending 538095			
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson Complete	ted on 05/30)/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolved issues found					
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
Housing Programs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues 🗹	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by C.Hud	son	Completed on	06/06/2002				
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
1							
Evacutiva Director	dwina Carrington	Dat	e Signed: June 10, 2002				
Executive Director: Eq	avvina Cannigion	Date	e Signed: June 10, 2002				

DATE: June 6, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02034

	DEVELOPMENT NAME								
Towns II Consider Towns and Disease II									
Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II									
			APPLIC	ANT					
Name:	LRI X, LTD.			Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	800 West Airpo	rt Freeway, Ste. 1100		City:	Irving			State	TX
Zip:	75062 Conta	et: Barry Halla		Phone:	(480)	837-30	00 Fax:	(480)	837-0566
		PRINC	CIPALS of th	e APP	LICANT				
Name:	LRI Terrell Sen	ior Terraces II, LLC		(%):	.009	Title:	Managing	g General	Partner
Name:	Axis Properties.	Inc.		(%):	.001	Title:	Other Ger	neral Part	ner
Name:	Midland Equity	Corporation		(%):	99.99	Title:	Limited P	artner	
			GENERAL F	ARTNE	R				
Name:	LRI Terrell Sen	ior Terraces II, LLC		Туре:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	800 W. Airport	Frwy, Ste. 1100		City:	Irving			States	TX
Zip:	75062 Conta	ct: Barry Halla		Phone:	(480)	837-30	00 Fax:	(480)	837-0566
		D	ROPERTY LO	C ATIO)NI				
			KOI EKIT EK	JOAIR	714				
Location:	100 Windsor C	ourt					⊠ QCT		DDA
City:	Terrell		County:	K	aufman			Zip:	75160
			_						
			REQU	EST					
	Amount	Interest Rate		Ar	nortizatio	<u>on</u>		<u>Term</u>	
\$	6764,357	N/A			N/A			N/A	
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year alloc	cation of lov	v-incor	ne housii	ng tax cred	lits		
Proposed Use of Funds: New construction			Set-As	ide:	⊠ Ge	eneral	Rural		Non-Profit
<u> </u>									
			SITE DESCI	RIPTION	J				
Size: 1	6.863 acres	734,552	square feet			nitted Uses:	PD-plar	nned unit d	evelopment
Flood Zon	e Designation:	Zone X	Status of	Off-Site	es:]	Fully Impr	oved		

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS								
Total # Rental # Common # of Units: 180 Buildings 45 Area Bldngs 2 Floors 1 Age: n/a yrs								
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF								
90 1 1 614								
90 2 1 804								
Net Rentable SF: 127,620 Av Un SF: 709 Common Area SF: 3,320 Gross Bldng SF 130,940								
Property Type: ☐ Multifamily ☐ SFR Rental ☐ Elderly ☐ Mixed Income ☐ Special Use								
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS								
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS								
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab, 76% brick veneer/22% Hardiplank siding exterior wall covering with wood trim, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing								
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES								
Carpeting & vinyl flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, microwave oven, fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer connections, ceiling fans, laminated counter tops, cable, individual water heaters, firplaces, central heat and AC								
ON-SITE AMENITIES								
3,085 SF community building with senior center, furnished community room, management offices, laundry facilities, kitchen, restrooms, central mailroom, swimming pool, equipped children's play area, picnic area, public telephones								
Uncovered Parking: 284 spaces Carports: n/a spaces Garages: n/a spaces								
OTHER SOURCES of FUNDS								
CONSTRUCTION FINANCING								
Source: Midland Mortgage Investment Corporation Contact: Daniel Flick								
Principal Amount: \$4,731,000 Interest Rate: 6.00%								
Additional Information:								
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 2 yrs Commitment: None Firm X Conditional								
Annual Payment: \$340,376 Lien Priority: n/a Commitment Date 01/ 02/ 2002								
PERMANENT FINANCING								
Source: Midland Mortgage Investment Corporation Contact: Daniel Flick								
Principal Amount: \$4,731,000 Interest Rate: 8.125%								
Principal Amount: \$4,731,000 Interest Rate: 8.125% Additional Information:								

LIHTC SYNDICATION							
Source: Midland Equity Corporation Contact: Mark George							
Address: 33 North Garden Avenue, Suite 1200 City: Clearwater							
State: Florida Zip: 33755 Phone: (727) 461-4801 Fax: (727) 443-6067							
Net Proceeds: \$5,420,359 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 75¢							
Commitment None Firm Conditional Date: 01/ 02/ 2002							
Additional Information:							
APPLICANT EQUITY							
Amount: \$918 Source: Deferred developer fee							
							
VALUATION INFORMATION							
APPRAISED VALUE							
Land Only: \$279,000 Date of Valuation: 02/ 21/ 2002							
Appraiser: Henry S. Miller Consulting Group City: Dallas Phone: (972) 419-4000							
ASSESSED VALUE							
Land: \$171,190 Assessment for the Year of: 2002-Proposed							
Building: n/a Valuation by: Kaufman County Appraisal District							
Total Assessed Value: \$171,190 Tax Rate: 2.5525							
EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL							
Type of Site Control: Contract of sale and two amendments							
Contract Expiration Date: 12/ 31/ 2002 Anticipated Closing Date: 10/ 01/ 2002							
Acquisition Cost: \$ \$257,000 Other Terms/Conditions: Original contract indicates \$1,245 sales price. See discussion in land cost below.							
Seller: Life Rebuilders, Inc. Related to Development Team Member: Yes							
REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS							
Windsor Senior Terraces, aka Terrell Senior Terraces Phase I, was submitted and underwritten in the 1998 LIHTC cycle. The underwriting analysis recommended the project be approved to the following conditions: • The Tax Credit Allocation is reduced to not more than \$220,772 per annum.							
PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION							
<u>Description</u> : Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II is a proposed new construction development of 144							

<u>Description</u>: Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II is a proposed new construction development of 144 affordable housing units and 36 market rate units located in south Terrell. The development is comprised of 45 residential buildings as follows:

- (9) Building Type I with four 1-bedroom units;
- (9) Building Type II with four 2- bedroom units;
- (27) Building Type III with two 1- bedroom units and two 2- bedroom units;

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site, with the community building, equipped play area, picnic area and swimming pool located near the entrance to the site. The building plans submitted indicates the 3,085 square foot community building includes the management office,

a multi-purpose room, kitchen, restrooms, laundry facilities and maintenance room. The Applicant provided a floor plan for a 235 square foot cabana but this building is not reflected in the site plan. Receipt, review and acceptance of a revised site plan reflecting the cabana is condition of this report.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with Kaufman County Senior Citizens Services, Inc. to provide the following supportive services to tenants: transportation, tenant counseling services, health screening services, meal services, health and nutritional courses and basic adult education. These services will be provided at no cost to tenants. The contract requires the Applicant to provide, furnish, and maintain facilities in the community building for provision of the services. The Applicant's annual expenses reflect \$8,100 for supportive service contract fees.

Schedule: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in May of 2002, to be completed in May of 2003, to be placed in service in May of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in November of 2003.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

<u>Income Set-Aside</u>: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. 144 of the units will be reserved for elderly tenants and 36 units will be set at market rents. 72 of the units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 72 units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and the remaining 36 (20%) units will be offered at market rents.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Nine units (5%) will be handicapped-accessible.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated March 11, 2002 was prepared by Ipser & Associates, Inc. and highlighted the following findings:

<u>Definition of Market/Submarket</u>: "The primary market area is considered to be Kaufman County." (p. 2.5)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY							
Type of Demand	Units of Demand	% of Total Demand					
New Elderly Household Growth	73	7%					
Existing Elderly Households	587	48%					
10% Demand from Other Sources	66	6%					
Demand from HH w/Persons Age 55		39%					
to 64	455						
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	1,180	100%					

Ref: p. 3-5

"It is apparent that some of Kaufman County's growth is coming from outside the county, with a number of newcomers moving from Dallas County. I&A therefore added the estimated demand for elderly housing from Rowlett, Garland and Mesquite, the 3 largest cities in eastern Dallas County, to the demand indicated for Kaufman County. Combining the 3 cities with Kaufman County in the demand analysis reflects the migration component of population growth." (p. 3-4)

<u>Capture Rate</u>: The Underwriter calculated a concentration capture rate of 24% based upon a recalculated supply of unstabilized comparable affordable units of 180 divided by a recalculated demand of 745.

<u>Local Housing Authority Waiting List Information</u>: "Terrell does not have public housing units, but the Terrell Housing Authority administers the Section 8 Existing Program for Kaufman County. Currently, all 458 Section 8 Vouchers are distributed, and of these 458 vouchers, approximately 142 are elderly/disabled persons (31%). The waiting list for the Section 8 Existing Program contains 636 names, 70 of which are elderly/disabled applicants (11%)." (p. 2-20)

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: "The comparable market data used in this report consists of the 7 projects in Terrell containing 864 units, supplemented with 6 properties in Kaufman with 246 units (a total of 1,110 units)." (p. 2-19)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)

Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential
1-Bedroom (40%)	\$393	\$419	-\$26	\$728	-\$335
1-Bedroom (50%)	\$469	\$543	-\$74	\$728	-\$259
1-Bedroom (MR)	\$675	\$675	\$0	\$728	-\$53
2-Bedroom (40%)	\$507	\$502	+\$5	\$854	-\$347
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$606	\$651	-\$45	\$854	-\$248
2-Bedroom (MR)	\$780	\$780	\$0	\$854	-\$74

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100) pg. 2-22

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "According to the 2000 Census, occupancy in a total of 1,930 renter-occupied units was 91.5%...The current survey found an overall occupancy rate of 92.3% in a total of 1,110 units located in Terrell and in Kaufman." (p. 3-2)

Absorption Projections: "Average absorption for the subject is estimated at 12 to 15 units per month." (p. 3-5)

Known Planned Development: "Since 2000, three new LIHTC projects have been added to the Terrell market: Terrell Senior Terraces I (January 2000), Heather Lane (March 2000), and Tiffany Square (December 2001)...Based on building permit data, a total of 313 multi-family units were issued permits in 1998, 1999, with an additional 96 in 2001 (Tiffany Square)." (p. 3-2) Only Terrell Seniors is an elderly development and it has been stabilized for at least 12 months. Tiffany Square and Heather Lane are family developments and therefore do not compete directly with the subject and were not considered in the capture rate. Another now-LIHTC development, Colonial Lodge Retirement Center (53 units), has just opened but it is an assisted living center with meals, housekeeping, laundry included in rent and a full-time nursing staff, therefore these units were also excluded from the concentration capture rate.

Effect on Existing Housing Stock: "...the addition of 180 units for householders aged 55 and over is not expected to have any significant long-term impact on the existing rental market" (p. 3-3)

The Underwriter found the market study to provide sufficient information to make an informed recommendation..

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Location: Terrell is located in northeast Texas, approximately 32 miles east from Dallas in Kaufman County. The site is a rectangularly-shaped parcel located in the southern area of Terrell, approximately 2 miles from the central business district. "The site is situated on the north side of FM 2578 and west of State Hwy. 34. The site's frontage will be on Windsor Avenue, a new street, yet to be constructed linking with FM 2578 on the south and Rockwall St. on the north." (p. 2-2)

Population: The estimated 2000 population of Kaufman County was 71,313 and is expected to increase by 2.7% to approximately 80,763 by 2005. Within the primary market area there were estimated to be 24,367 households in 2000.

Adjacent Land Uses: Land uses in the overall area in which the site is located are mixed with vacant land and multifamily residential. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: new single family subdivision, vacant land
- South: existing Terrell Senior Terraces, nursing home, vacant land
- East: Assisted living facility (under construction), vacant land
- West: vacant land

<u>Site Access</u>: Access to the property is from the north or south from Windsor Avenue. The development is to have 1 main entry, from the north or south from Windsor Avenue. The subject lies to west of State Hwy. 34, which provides connections to all other major roads serving the Terrell area.

<u>Public Transportation</u>: The availability of public transportation is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The site is within 3 miles of 1 major grocery/supermarket, within 1.5 miles of shopping centers, and a variety of other retail establishments and restaurants. Schools, churches, and hospitals and health care facilities are located within a short driving distance from the site.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site has not been inspected by a TDHCA staff member, and receipt, review, and acceptance of an acceptable site inspection report is a condition of this report.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated January 31, 2001 was prepared by Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI) and contained the following findings and recommendations:

<u>Findings</u>: "This assessment has revealed no evidence of recognized environmental conditions in connection with the subject property." (p. 2)

Recommendations: "No further assessment of recognized environmental conditions appears warranted." (p. 23)

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: The Applicant's rent projections are lower than the maximum rents allowed under LIHTC guidelines. Estimates of secondary income and vacancy and collection losses are in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Underwriter used recent utility allowance information provided by the City of Terrell Housing Authority, which was higher than the Applicant originally estimated. As a net result of the lower rent projections utilized by the Applicant, however, the Applicant's effective gross income estimate is 5% lower than the Underwriter's estimate.

Expenses: The Applicant's estimate of total operating expense of \$3,336 per unit is 6% lower than the Underwriter's TDHCA database-derived estimate. The Applicant submitted historical operating statements for Phase I of Terrell Senior Terraces, which reflect \$3,620 per unit in expenses. The Underwriter compared these historical expenses to the Applicant's estimates and adjusted the database derived estimates to take this historical information into account and conclude with \$3,538 per unit. The Underwriter adjusted the management expense by setting the factor to 4% and water, sewer and trash was adjusted to reflect the historical cost of Terrell Senior Terraces Phase I. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates that deviate significantly when compared to the Underwriter's final estimates, particularly general and administrative (\$5K lower), utilities (\$30K lower), water, sewer, and trash (\$13K lower), and insurance (\$22K higher).

Conclusion: The Applicant's net operating income is \$20K or 4% lower than the Underwriter's estimate. However, since the Applicant's total operating expenses are over 5% higher than the Underwriter's, the Underwriter's NOI will be used to evaluate debt service capacity. Based on the proposed financing structure and the Underwriter's proforma, the development would have a debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.23, which is within the acceptable range of 1.10 to 1.25. The Underwriter has limited the permanent debt by using an 8% interest rate rather than the proposed 8.125% interest rate and this still provides an acceptable 1.25 DCR.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: The Applicant submitted a Contract of Sale wherein the General Partner, LRI Terrell Senior Terraces II, LLC is purchasing the land for the sales price of \$1,245. Two amendments to the property contract were also submitted by the Applicant. The second amendment to the contract reflects a revised purchase price of \$257,000. In a letter to the Underwriter dated May 24, 2002 the Applicant explained that the acquisition cost presented in the original contract of sale was originally drafted for an alternative financing plan in May of 2001. The Applicant further explains that "The First Amendment of the original contract was drafted and acknowledged on December 6, 2001. The date was prior to the receipt of the appraisal on February 28, 2002, in which the appraised value is shown to be \$257,000. This amendment for the LIHTC final application updated the applicable dates but failed to update the purchase price. The correct purchase price is the appraised value of the land, which Life Rebuilders, Inc has owned since August 13, 1998." After review of this information, the Underwriter notified the Applicant that since there is an identity of interest with regards to the purchase of the land, the appraisal would not be sufficient to support the site acquisition cost of \$257,000. The Applicant was also notified that he would have to submit the original acquisition price of the land plus any holding costs associated with that property. The Applicant replied to the Underwriter's request in a letter dated May 30, 2002 which states that the subject land was part of a larger parcel purchased by Life Rebuilders in August of 1998. The Applicant further explained that he believed the acquisition of the subject property by the General Partner for the Partnership at \$257,000 is reasonable and warranted. The Underwriter included no cost for site acquisition cost due to the fact that the property is an

identity of interest transaction. The Applicant failed to provide documentation required under the QAP to substantiate the original acquisition and holding costs. Due to the Underwriter's significantly high anticipated total development costs the effect on the development is negotiable and will ensure that gap credits are not available to fund a land transfer profit.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,500 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects as they are equal to the maximum threshold guideline.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is \$951K or 17% lower than the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate. This suggests that the Applicant's total hard costs of \$53.86 per net rentable square foot, or \$38,183 per unit for seniors four-plex construction, are significantly understated.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant's contractor's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines. Contingency fees were overstated by \$52K. The Applicant's developer fees also exceed 15% of the Applicant's adjusted eligible basis and therefore the eligible portion of the Applicant's developer fee must be reduced by \$8K.

Conclusion: The Underwriter regards the Applicant's total costs to be understated by \$690K or 6.4%. This percentage exceeds the acceptable 5% margin of tolerance, and therefore the Underwriter's cost estimate is used to size the total sources of funds needed for the development. The QAP limits the Department to provide the least of the requested amount, the eligible basis amount, or the gap amount. In this case the requested amount as adjusted for eligible basis errors is used. As a result, a credit allocation of \$781,495 annually is derived from this method. The resulting syndication proceeds will be used to compare to the gap of need using the Applicant's costs to determine the recommended credit amount. The credit amount is \$17K more than initially requested due to the Applicant's use of a lower applicable percentage of 8.20% rather than the 8.44% current underwriting rate.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing from three sources: a conventional interim to permanent loan, construction financing, syndicated LIHTC equity, and deferred developer's fees.

<u>Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan:</u> There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through Midland Mortgage Investment Corporation in the amount of \$4,731,000. The commitment letter indicated a term of 15 years with an amortization term of 30 years. The proposed interest rate is 8.125%.

<u>Construction Financing</u>: There is a commitment for construction financing through Midland Mortgage Investment Corporation in the amount of \$4,731,000. The commitment letter indicated a term of 2 years with an interest rate of 6%.

LIHTC Syndication: Midland Equity Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$5,420,359 based on a syndication factor of 75%. The funds would be disbursed in a three-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. (\$4,203,780) First Installment: At the later of: (i) admission of the Investment Partnership to the Operating Partnership; or (ii) closing of the construction loan, issuance of the bonds and Project land acquisition;
- 2. (\$403,525) Second Installment: Within thirty days if the later of: (i) completion of the Project; or (ii) receipt by the Investment Partnership of the cost and credit certification from the independent accountants;
- 3. (\$813,054) Third Installment: Within thirty days of the later of: (i) conversion and amortization of the bond financing; or (ii) receipt of the Form 8609; or (iii) 90% physical occupancy for three (3) consecutive calendar months; or (iv) 1.10 Debt Service Coverage for ninety (90) days.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$918 amount to .08% of the total fees. However, based on the Applicant's total development cost estimate, the developer would have to defer \$250,206 in fees, which is \$249,288 more than originally anticipated. This developer fee can be repaid within 5 years.

<u>Financing Conclusions</u>: The Applicant's total development cost estimate, adjusted for overstated fees and contingency, was used to determine the development's eligible basis and recommended tax credit allocation

of \$781,495 annually for ten years, resulting in syndication proceeds of approximately \$5,860,627. Based on the Applicant's total development cost estimate, the developer would need to defer \$250,206 in fees. The deferred fee appears to be repayable from development cashflow within 5 years. Also should a lower interest rate on the permanent loan be achieved, the development could support additional debt that could go toward reducing the deferral of developer fees. If the Applicant's lower costs are realized with a lower interest rate a reduction in the credit amount may be warranted. Should the Board choose to limit the credit amount to not more than the unadjusted requested amount the development would still have sufficient cashflow to repay the resulting additional deferral of developer fees in less than 10 years.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The exterior elevations are simple, with varied rooflines. All units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units. Each unit has a private exterior entry. The units are in two-story fourplex structures with mixed brick veneer/HardiPlank siding exterior finish and gabled roofs.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The sole member of the Managing GP, Life Rebuilders, Inc. is also the Developer. The 100% owner of the Co-GP, Tadd Tellepsen, is also the son of the General Contractor.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant and General Partner are single-purpose entities created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore have no material financial statements.
- The sole member of the Managing GP, Life Rebuilders, Inc. submitted an audited financial statement as of February 28, 2002 reporting total assets of \$2.4M and consisting of \$175K in cash, \$1.3M in receivables, \$15K in investments and advances to limited partnerships, \$176K in related party receivables, \$728K in construction in progress and \$553 in fixed assets. Liabilities totaled \$899K, resulting in a net worth of \$1.5M.
- The principal of the Co-GP, Tadd Tellepsen, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of February 27, 2002.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant and General Partner are new entities formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The sole member of the Managing GP and Developer, Life Rebuilders, Inc. has completed 3 LIHTC housing developments totaling 264 units since 1996.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's operating expenses are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable range.
- The Applicant's development costs differ from the Underwriter's verifiable estimate by more than 5%.
- The seller of the property has an identity of interest with the Applicant.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed or accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$781,495 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a satisfactory TDHCA site inspection report;
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised site plan to reflect the location of the cabana;
- 3. Should the actual cost of the development be established through a fixed price contract or at cost certification to be lower than the underwriter's estimate or the rates, terms, and amounts of the permanent financing or syndication change a re-evaluation of the recommendations and conditions in this report should be conducted.

Associate Underwriter:		Date:	June 6, 2002
	Raquel Morales		
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	June 6, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II, LIHTC #02034

Type of Unit Number	Bedrooms	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt.	Net Rent per Unit	Rent per Month	Rent per SF	Tnt Pd Util	Wtr, Swr, Trsh
TC40% 36	1	1	614	\$499	\$419	\$15,084	\$0.68	\$80.00	\$30.00
TC50% 36	1	1	614	\$623	\$543	19,548	0.88	80.00	30.00
MR 18	1	1	614	\$755	\$675	12,150	1.10	80.00	30.00
TC40% 36	2	1	804	\$599	\$502	18,072	0.62	97.00	42.00
TC50% 36 MR 18	2 2	1	804 804	\$748 \$877	\$651 \$780	23,436 14,040	0.81	97.00 97.00	42.00 42.00
TOTAL: 180	2	AVERAGE:	709	\$657	\$569	\$102,330	\$0.80	\$88.50	\$36.00
			•	Ç O O 7			\$0.00	¥00.50	\$30.00
		ntable Sq Ft:	127,620		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL GROSS RE	ENT				\$1,227,960	\$1,167,480			
Secondary Income			Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	21,600	21,600	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mo	nth
Other Support Inco		ibe)			0				
POTENTIAL GROSS IN					\$1,249,560	\$1,189,080			
Vacancy & Collecti			1 Gross Income:	-7.50%	(93,717)	(89,184)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee or Other		Units or Co	ncessions		0	0			
EFFECTIVE GROSS IN	ICOME				\$1,155,843	\$1,099,896			
<u>EXPENSES</u>		% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SO FT			PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General & Administ	rative	4.75%	\$305	\$0.43	\$54,881	\$49,500	\$0.39	\$275	4.50%
Management		4.00%	257	0.36	46,234	43,996	0.34	244	4.00%
Payroll & Payroll		12.17%	782	1.10	140,694	137,500	1.08	764	12.50%
Repairs & Maintena	ince	6.42%	412	0.58	74,194	75,000	0.59	417	6.82%
Utilities		4.22%	271	0.38	48,746	18,500	0.14	103	1.68%
Water, Sewer, & Tr	ash	6.47%	416	0.59	74,805	61,780	0.48	343	5.62%
Property Insurance	!	2.59%	166	0.23	29,880	49,500	0.39	275	4.50%
Property Tax	2.5525	10.36%	665	0.94	119,710	116,999	0.92	650	10.64%
Reserve for Replac		3.11%	200	0.28	36,000	36,000	0.28	200	3.27%
Other Expenses: com	mpliance & Supp	1.01%	65	0.09	11,700	11,700	0.09	65	1.06%
TOTAL EXPENSES	·	55.10%	\$3,538	\$4.99	\$636,844	\$600,475	\$4.71	\$3,336	54.59%
NET OPERATING INC		44.90%	\$2,883	\$4.07	\$518,999	\$499,421	\$3.91	\$2,775	45.41%
DEBT SERVICE	•								
Midland Mortgage Inv	restment Cor	36.47%	\$2,342	\$3.30	\$421,530	\$421,530	\$3.30	\$2,342	38.32%
		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NEW CACH ELON	,	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	č77 001	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH FLOW	:	8.43%	\$541	\$0.76	\$97,469	\$77,891	\$0.61	\$433	7.08%
AGGREGATE DEBT COVER					1.23	1.18			
ALTERNATIVE DEBT COV	ERAGE RATIO)			1.25				
CONSTRUCTION COST				1			1		
Description	<u>Factor</u>	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
Acquisition Cost (site or bldg		\$0	\$0.00	\$0	\$257,000	\$2.01	\$1,428	2.53%
Off-Sites		0.00%	0	0.00	0	4 4 7 9 9 9 9	0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework		10.79%	6,500	9.17	1,170,000	1,170,000	9.17	6,500	11.52%
Direct Construction		50.85%	30,627	43.20	5,512,908	4,562,054	35.75	25,345	44.94%
Contingency	5.00%	3.08%	1,856	2.62	334,145	338,445	2.65	1,880	3.33%
General Require		3.17%	1,911	2.69	343,923	343,923	2.69	1,911	3.39%
Contractor's G {		1.06%	637	0.90	114,641	114,641	0.90	637	1.13%
Contractor's Pro	5.15%	3.17%	1,911	2.69	343,923	343,923	2.69	1,911	3.39%
Indirect Construct	ion	5.01%	3,020	4.26	543,641	543,641	4.26	3,020	5.35%
Ineligible Expense	es	4.38%	2,640	3.72	475,133	475,133	3.72	2,640	4.68%
Developer's G & A	2.68%	2.16%	1,299	1.83	233,815	233,815	1.83	1,299	2.30%
Developer's Profit	10.70%	8.63%	5,196	7.33	935,260	935,260	7.33	5,196	9.21%
Interim Financing		3.48%	2,096	2.96	377,205	377,205	2.96	2,096	3.72%
Reserves		4.22%	2,540	3.58	457,238	457,238	3.58	2,540	4.50%
TOTAL COST	•	100.00%	\$60,232	\$84.95	\$10,841,833	\$10,152,278	\$79.55	\$56,402	100.00%
Recap-Hard Construct	ion Costs	72.12%	\$43,442	\$61.27	\$7,819,541	\$6,872,986	\$53.86	\$38,183	67.70%
SOURCES OF FUNDS							RECOMMENDED		
Midland Mortgage Inv			\$26,283	\$37.07	\$4,731,000	\$4,731,000	\$4,731,000		
LIHTC Syndication Pr		49.99%	\$30,113	\$42.47	5,420,360	5,420,360	5,860,627		
Deferred Developer F	'ees	0.01%	\$5	\$0.01	918	918	250,206		
Additional (excess)	Funds Requ:	6.36%	\$3,831	\$5.40	689,555	0	0		
TOTAL SOURCES					\$10,841,833	\$10,152,278	\$10,841,833		
									

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II, LIHTC #02034

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost			\$44.08	\$5,625,524
Adjustments				
Exterior Wall Fini:	6.32%		\$2.79	\$355,533
Elderly	5.00%		2.20	281,276
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(1.96)	(250,135)
Floor Cover			1.82	232,268
Porches/Balconies	\$12.73	15,273	1.52	194,425
Plumbing	\$585	0	0.00	0
Built-In Appliance	\$1,550	180	2.19	279,000
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.41	179,944
Garages/Carports		0	0.00	0
Comm &/or Aux Bldg	\$55.81	3,085	1.35	172,171
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL			55.40	7,070,007
Current Cost Multiplie	1.04		2.22	282,800
Local Multiplier	0.92		(4.43)	(565,601)
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCT:	ION COSTS		\$53.18	\$6,787,207
Plans, specs, survy, b	3.90%		(\$2.07)	(\$264,701)
Interim Construction I	3.38%		(1.79)	(229,068)
Contractor's OH & Prof	11.50%		(6.12)	(780,529)
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	N COSTS		\$43.20	\$5,512,908

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$4,731,000	Term	360
Int Rate	8.125%	DCR	1.23
Secondary		Term	
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.23
Additional		Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.23

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service \$416,573
Secondary Debt Service 0
Additional Debt Service 0
NET CASH FLOW \$102,427

Primary \$4,731,000

Int Rate	8.00% DCR		1.25
Secondary	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.25
Additional	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.25

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME a	3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS R	RENT	\$1,227,960	\$1,264,799	\$1,302,743	\$1,341,825	\$1,382,080	\$1,602,209	\$1,857,400	\$2,153,235	\$2,893,768
Secondary Incom	ne	21,600	22,248	22,915	23,603	24,311	28,183	32,672	37,876	50,902
Other Support I	Income: (d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS I	INCOME	1,249,560	1,287,047	1,325,658	1,365,428	1,406,391	1,630,392	1,890,072	2,191,111	2,944,670
Vacancy & Colle	ection Los	(93,717)	(96,529)	(99,424)	(102,407)	(105,479)	(122,279)	(141,755)	(164,333)	(220,850)
Employee or Oth	ner Non-Re	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS I	INCOME	\$1,155,843	\$1,190,518	\$1,226,234	\$1,263,021	\$1,300,911	\$1,508,113	\$1,748,316	\$2,026,778	\$2,723,820
EXPENSES at	4.00%									
General & Admin	nistrative	\$54,881	\$57,076	\$59,359	\$61,734	\$64,203	\$78,113	\$95,036	\$115,626	\$171,155
Management		46,234	47,621	49,049	50,521	52,036	60,325	69,933	81,071	108,953
Payroll & Payro	oll Tax	140,694	146,322	152,175	158,262	164,592	200,252	243,637	296,422	438,776
Repairs & Maint	enance	74,194	77,161	80,248	83,458	86,796	105,601	128,479	156,315	231,384
Utilities		48,746	50,696	52,723	54,832	57,026	69,380	84,412	102,700	152,021
Water, Sewer &	Trash	74,805	77,797	80,909	84,145	87,511	106,471	129,538	157,603	233,290
Insurance		29,880	31,075	32,318	33,611	34,955	42,529	51,742	62,953	93,185
Property Tax		119,710	124,499	129,479	134,658	140,044	170,385	207,300	252,212	373,335
Reserve for Rep	lacements	36,000	37,440	38,938	40,495	42,115	51,239	62,340	75,847	112,271
Other		11,700	12,168	12,655	13,161	13,687	16,653	20,261	24,650	36,488
TOTAL EXPENSES	•	\$636,844	\$661,855	\$687,853	\$714,877	\$742,967	\$900,947	\$1,092,678	\$1,325,397	\$1,950,859
NET OPERATING INC	COME	\$518,999	\$528,663	\$538,381	\$548,144	\$557,945	\$607,166	\$655,638	\$701,381	\$772,961
DEBT SERVI	CE									
First Lien Financ	ing	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573	\$416,573
Second Lien		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW		\$102,427	\$112,090	\$121,808	\$131,571	\$141,372	\$190,594	\$239,066	\$284,808	\$356,388
DEBT COVERAGE RAT	rio	1.25	1.27	1.29	1.32	1.34	1.46	1.57	1.68	1.86

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Terrell Senior Terraces, Phase II, LIHTC

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$257,000			
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$1,170,000	\$1,170,000	\$1,170,000	\$1,170,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$4,562,054	\$5,512,908	\$4,562,054	\$5,512,908
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	s			
Contractor overhead	\$114,641	\$114,641	\$114,641	\$114,641
Contractor profit	\$343,923	\$343,923	\$343,923	\$343,923
General requirements	\$343,923	\$343,923	\$343,923	\$343,923
(5) Contingencies	\$338,445	\$334,145	\$286,603	\$334,145
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$543,641	\$543,641	\$543,641	\$543,641
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$377,205	\$377,205	\$377,205	\$377,205
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$475,133	\$475,133		
(9) Developer Fees			\$1,161,298	
Developer overhead	\$233,815	\$233,815		\$233,815
Developer fee	\$935,260	\$935,260		\$935,260
(10) Development Reserves	\$457,238	\$457,238		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$10,152,278	\$10,841,833	\$8,903,288	\$9,909,462

Deduct from Basis:			
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis			
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis			
Non-qualified non-recourse financing			
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]			
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)			
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS		\$8,903,288	\$9,909,462
High Cost Area Adjustment		130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS		\$11,574,275	\$12,882,300
Applicable Fraction		80%	80%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS		\$9,259,420	\$10,305,840
Applicable Percentage		8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	·	\$781,495	\$869,813

Syndication Proceeds

0.7499

\$5,860,627

\$6,522,945

TDHCA # 02083

Region 3

General Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Development Name: Villas of Lancaster TDHCA#: 02083 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** LIHTC Primary Set Aside: G Region: Additional Elderly Set Aside **~** 2531 W. Pleasant Run Rd. Site Address: Purpose / Activity: NC City: Lancaster Development Type: Elderly County: Dallas Zip Code: 75146 TTC DDA QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 11 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Villas of Lancaster Limited Partnership **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: Hearthside Development Corporation Deborah Griffin 100 % Deborah Griffin 100 % Deborah Griffin NA NA 0 % NA 0 % NA NA NA 0 % TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$6,792,720 \$679,272 Credits Requested: Eligible Basis Amount: \$679,272 \$680,510 \$679,705 Equity/Gap Amount: **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$9,579,795 Eff <u>1 BR 2 BR</u> <u> 3 BR</u> 4 BR 5 BR Total 112,986 **Gross Building Square Feet:** 15 0 8 0 0 30% 7 0 108,072 Total NRA SF: 0 38 0 0 0 57 40% 19 1.05 57 Gross/Net Rentable: 50% 0 23 34 0 0 0 751 Average Square Feet/Unit: 60% 0 2 11 0 0 0 13 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$88.64 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$4,784 Total 0 71 71 0 0 0 Total LI Units: 142 INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION Owner/Employee Units: 2 \$900,648 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 144 \$474,351 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$426,297 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: 1.15 attributable to low income units. **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available Developer: Hearthside Development Corporation Market Analyst: The Jack Poe Company, Inc. Housing GC: Rainier Company, Ltd. Originator/UW: Infrastructure GC: Rainer Company, Ltd. Appraiser: The Jack Poe Company, Inc. Cost Estimator: Rainer Company, Ltd. Attorney: Locke Liddell & Sapp, LLP Architect: **Gary Garmon Architects** Supp Services: American Agape Foundation Property Manager: Quest Asset Management, Inc. Accountant: Reznick, Fedder & Silverman Carson-Salcedo-McWilliams, Inc. Engineer: SunAmerica Affordable Housing Syndicator: Permanent Lender: PNC Bank Partners. Inc. **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION**

Points Awarded: 154 Site Review: Acceptable Underwriting Finding: AC

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Villas of Lancaster Project Number: 02083 **PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY** Note: "O" = Opposed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment # of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(not from Officials): Support: 4 Opposition: 5 A resolution was passed by the local government in support of the development. Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction: Comment from Other Public Official Local Official: Joe Tillotson, Mayor, S James O'Neal, Mayor Pro Tem, S TX Rep.: Helen Giddings, Dist. 109 TX Sen.: Royce West, Dist. 23 US Rep.: US Sen.: **CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT** Should the market rate of the permanent loan decrease or the syndication rate increase the recommended credit amount should be reduced. **Alternate Recommendation:** RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON: ✓ Score Meeting the Regional Allocation ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer credits To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer period of time ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built Comment: This was one of the highest scoring developments in Region 3. Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON: The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason, that reason is identified below: Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Date Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee ☐ BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable): Approved Credit Amount: Date of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Developer Evaluation

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02083		L	IHTC 9% ☑	LIHTC 4% □
Project Name:	Villas of Lancaste	er		HOME \square	HTF \square
Project City:	Lancaster, TX			BOND \square	SECO □
Housing Com	ıpliance Review				
Project(s) in	material non-complianc	ce			
	participation				
Status o	f Findings (individual co Participation and Bao				18
Projects Mon	nitored by the Departme	ent			
# review	ved 8	# not yet i	nonitored or pend	ing review	2
# of projects	grouped by score	0-9: 8	10-19: 0	20-29:	0
Members of	the development team h	nave been di	sbarred by HUD		
National Pre	vious Participation Cert	tification Re	ceived	N	<u> </u>
Non-O	Compliance Reported				
Completed	by Sara Carr Newsom	n	Completed or	06/13/2002	
Single Audit					
Status of Fin	ndings (any outstanding	single audit	issues are listed	below)	
single aud	lit not applicable 🗸	no outstand	ding issues	outstanding issu	ies 🗌
Comments:					
Completed	by Lucy Trevino		Completed or	06/14/2002	
Program Mon	nitoring				
Status of Fin	ndings (any unresolved	issues are li	sted below)		
monitor	ing review not applicabl	le 🗸	monitorii	ng review pendi	ng
review	ed; no unresolved issues	s 🗌	reviewed; unreso	olved issues fou	nd 🗌
Comments:					
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson	า	Completed or	06/14/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresorved issue	es are instea below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	olved issues	reviewed; unresolv	red issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		_ Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	olved issues	reviewed; unresolv	red issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs monitoring review n reviewed; no unres	ot applicable	monitoring reviewed; unresolv	review pending
Camananta			
Completed by Emily	Veilbaecher	Completed on	06/14/2002
Completed by Emily \		Completed on (any unresolved issue	
Completed by Emily \	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	
Completed by Emily \(\) Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings ot applicable □	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below) review pending
Completed by Emily \(\) Multifamily Finance monitoring review n	Status of Findings ot applicable □	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below) review pending□

DATE: June 14, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02083 **DEVELOPMENT NAME** Villas of Lancaster **APPLICANT** Name: Villas of Lancaster Limited Partnership Type: For Profit Non-Profit Municipal Address: 5757 W. Lovers Lane, Ste 360 City: Dallas State: TXContact: Deborah A. Griffin 350-8822 Fax: Zip: 75209 Phone: (214)(214) 350-8483 PRINCIPALS of the APPLICANT Hearthside Development Corporation .01 Title: Name: (%): General Partner 99.99 Name: SunAmerica (%): Title: **Initial Limited Partner** (%): Title: Name: Deborah A. Griffin n/a 100% owner of GP **GENERAL PARTNER** For Profit Non-Profit Municipal Hearthside Development Corportion Name: Type: Address: 5757 W. Lovers Lane, Ste. 360 City: **Dallas** State: TXZip: 75209 **Contact:** Deborah A. Griffin Phone: (214)350-8822 Fax: (214)350-8483 PROPERTY LOCATION **QCT DDA Location:** 2531 W. Pleasant Run Road City: County: Dallas Zip: 75146 Lancaster **REQUEST Interest Rate Amortization Amount Term** \$680,510 n/a n/a n/a Other Requested Terms: Annual ten-year allocation of low-income housing tax credits General Rural Non-Profit **Proposed Use of Funds: Set-Aside:** \boxtimes New Construction SITE DESCRIPTION square feet Size: 15 653,400 **Zoning/ Permitted Uses:** MF

Status of Off-Sites:

Fully Improved

Flood Zone Designation:

Zone X

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS							
Total #Rental #Common #of Units: 144 Buildings 36 Area Bldngs 2 Floors 1 Age: n/a yrs							
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF							
72 1 1 648 72 2 1 853							
72 2 1 853 Net Rentable SF: 108,072 Av Un SF: 751 Common Area SF: 4,914 Gross Bldng SF 112,986							
Property Type: Multifamily SFR Rental Elderly Mixed Income Special Use							
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS							
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS							
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab, 55% brick veneer/45% Hardiplank siding exterior wall covering, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing							
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES							
Carpeting & vinyl flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, microwave oven, fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer connections, ceiling fans, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters, cable, high speed internet access							
ON-SITE AMENITIES							
4000-SF community building with reception area, television room, management offices, exercise room, library, craft room, beauty salon, kitchen, restrooms, storage room, swimming pool, picnic area, community garden/walk trail, perimiter fencing, limited access gate							
Uncovered Parking: 89 spaces Carports: 144 spaces Garages: n/a spaces							
OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS							
INTERIM CONSTRUCTION or GAP FINANCING							
Source: PNC Bank Contact: Robert E. Walton, Jr.							
Principal Amount: \$4,282,000 Interest Rate: 7.8% fixed (15 year swar rate + 180bp)							
Additional Information:							
Amortization: 0 yrs Term: 2 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional							
INTERIM CONSTRUCTION or GAP FINANCING							
Source: SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners Contact: Denise Fazio							
Principal Amount: \$4,491,695 Interest Rate: Prime + 1							
Additional Information: Bridge Loan for syndication							
Amortization: 0 yrs Term: 2 yrs Commitment: ☐ None ☐ Firm ☒ Conditional							
Annual Payment: \$ Lien Priority: Commitment Date 02/ 26/ 2002							

LONG TERM/PERMANENT FINANCING					
Source: PNC Bank Contact: Robert E. Walton, Jr.					
Principal Amount: \$4,282,000 Interest Rate: 7.8% fixed					
Additional Information:					
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 18 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional					
Annual Payment: \$\sum \frac{\$369,898}{}\$ Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 01/ 02/ 2002					
LIHTC SYNDICATION					
Source: SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners Contact: Denise Fazio					
Address: 1526 East Parham Rd. City: Richmond					
State: VA Zip: 23228 Phone: (877) 892-0500 Fax: (804) 261-2400					
Net Proceeds: \$5,302,695 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 78¢					
Commitment ☐ None ☐ Firm ☒ Conditional Date: 02/ 26/ 2002					
Additional Information:					
APPLICANT EQUITY					
Amount: \$100 Source: Cash equity					
VALUATION INFORMATION					
ASSESSED VALUE					
Land (28 acres): \$199,330 Assessment for the Year of: 2001					
Value per acre: \$7,119 Valuation by: Dallas County Appraisal District					
Total Assessed Value (15 acres): \$106,784 Tax Rate: 2.8517					
EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL					
Type of Site Control: Earnest Money Contract					
Contract Expiration Date: 08/ 31/ 2002 Anticipated Closing Date: 08/ 31/ 2002					
Acquisition Cost: \$ 1,200,000 Other Terms/Conditions: \$5,000 earnest money deposit					
Seller: MSC-I, Ltd. Related to Development Team Member: No					
REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS					
No previous reports.					
PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION					
Description: Villas of Lancaster is a proposed new construction development of 144 units of affordable elderly housing located in east/central Lancaster. The development is comprised of 36 residential buildings as follows: • (18) Building Type A with four one-bedroom units; and					
 (18) Building Type A with four one-bedroom units; (18) Building Type B with four two- bedroom units; Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site, with the community building, mailboxes, and swimming pool located near the entrance to the site. A 914-square foot laundry and 					

plan includes the management office, a television room, reception area, exercise room, craft room, library, beauty salon, kitchen, restrooms and a storage room.

Supportive Services: The Applicant has contracted with American Agape Foundation, Inc. to provide the following supportive services to tenants: GED classes, adult and computer time, nutrition classes, substance abuse presentations, including drug and alcohol prevention, personal safety presentation, health screenings, including blood pressure, women's support groups, recreational and leisure activities, exercise classes, holiday luncheons, coordination of transportation and on-site community gardens. These services will be provided at no cost to tenants. The contract requires the Applicant to provide, furnish, and maintain facilities in the community building for provision of the services and to pay \$800 per month for these support services.

<u>Schedule</u>: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in October of 2002, to be completed and placed in service in October of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in March of 2004.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. 142 of the units (99% of the total) will be reserved for low-income, elderly tenants. Fifteen of the units (10%) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, fifty-seven units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, fifty-seven units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, thirteen units (9%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI and the remaining two (1%) units will be employee-occupied.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Eleven units (7.6%) will be handicapped-accessible.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated March 20, 2002 was prepared by The Jack Poe Company, Inc. and highlighted the following findings:

Definition of Market/Submarket: "Lancaster is a suburban community of Dallas that is in the growth stage of its life cycle. Its access to the CBD of Dallas is via IH-35 which forms its western boundary...IH-35 also forms the eastern boundary for the City of DeSoto...Lancaster and DeSoto form the core of the subject's Primary Market which includes the population within a 5.5-mile radius of the subject's site. Due to interaction between the cities involved and the lack of significant boundaries for these interactions a radius was used to determine the primary market. The Primary Market also includes small portions of southern Dallas, Duncanville and Cedar Hill." (p. 28)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY						
Type of Demand	Units of Demand	% of Total Demand				
Household Growth	91	5%				
Resident Turnover	1,783	95%				
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	1,874	100%				

Ref: p. 30-31

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "The concentration capture rate differs from the simple capture rate in that it accounts for newly constructed units that have not reached stabilized occupancy, and it also includes planned units...Primrose Park at Rolling Hills is a 250 unit senior apartment complex that is 66% occupied. Since 164 of these units are occupied the total number of units planned but not yet leased is 228 (86 at Primrose and 142 at the subject) and the concentration capture rate is 12.2% (228/1,874 income qualified senior renter households)." (p. 33) If the full number of Primrose Park is combined with the proposed units the capture rate would still be an acceptable 21%.

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed 9 comparable apartment projects totaling 1,872 units in the market area. (p. 50)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)						
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential	

1-Bedroom (30%)	\$316	\$328	-\$12	\$670	-\$354
1-Bedroom (40%)	\$437	\$454	-\$17	\$670	-\$233
1-Bedroom (50%)	\$558	\$578	-\$20	\$670	-\$112
1-Bedroom (60%)	\$679	\$703	-\$24	\$670	-\$9
2-Bedroom (30%)	\$378	\$391	-\$13	\$840	-\$462
2-Bedroom (40%)	\$523	\$542	-\$19	\$840	-\$317
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$668	\$691	-\$23	\$840	-\$172
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$813	\$841	-\$28	\$840	-\$27

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

The Applicant utilized the 2001 maximum LIHTC rents while the Underwriter used the current (2002) rents.

Submarket Vacancy Rates: "According to the <u>D/FW Apartment Report</u>, 4th <u>Quarter 2001</u> published by M/PF Research, Inc., the Duncanville/DeSoto/Cedar Hill/Lancaster submarket has an overall vacancy of 6.4%, and apartments constructed after 1990 have a 6.5% vacancy." (p. 61)

Absorption Projections: "...new LIHTC apartments in Texas are leasing between 20 and 36 units per month in the lease up stage of their life cycle...Based on this analysis, we project that the subject will be 20% occupied (28 units) once construction is completed, and that it will take approximately six months to lease the remaining units and reach a stabilized occupancy of 95.0%." (p. 67)

Known Planned Development: "The City of Lancaster has only one LIHTC apartment complex (approximately 2.5 miles from the subject) and it is in relatively poor condition. There is no affordable housing restricted to elderly residents within the city limits of Lancaster and new residential development has targeted middle to upper income residents." (p. 35)

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: Lancaster is located in the south central portion of Dallas in Dallas County. The site is an irregularly-shaped parcel located in the east/central area of Lancaster, approximately 12 miles from the central business district. The site is situated on the north side of W. Pleasant Run Road.

Population: The estimated 2001 population of the Primary Market was 126,446, of which 21,749 was the senior population. Total population is expected to increase by 6.3% to approximately 134,428 by 2006, of which 25,945 will be the senior population. Within the primary market area there were estimated to be 44,630 total households in 2001, of which 13,184 were senior households.

Adjacent Land Uses: Land uses in the overall area in which the site is located are predominantly mixed, with agricultural land, assisted living and nursing home, apartment complex, medical offices and retail. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: agricultural land
- South: Medical Center at Lancaster, single family development under construction ¼ mile south of site
- East: multifamily apartment complex
- West: senior complex (Windsor Court), single family residential and retail further west

<u>Site Access</u>: Access to the property is from the east or west from Pleasant Run Road and the development is to have one main entry from this major east/west artery. IH-35, a major north/south highway forms the subject's western boundary, which provides connections to all other major roads serving the Dallas area. W. Pleasant Run Road is a major east/west artery that serves Lancaster, DeSoto and Cedar Hill.

Public Transportation: The availability of public transportation is unknown.

Shopping & Services: To the east of the subject within 1 mile is a Winn Dixie Grocery and other retailers. To the west of the subject there is a neighborhood shopping center and an Albertson's. "The closest regional shopping mall (Red Bird Mall) is approximately five miles to the northwest of the subject at the intersection of I-20 and Highway 67." (p. 34)

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site was inspected by a TDHCA staff memberon May 6, 2002 and found to be acceptable for the proposed development.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated March 22, 2002 was prepared by ATC Associates Inc. and contained the following findings and recommendations:

<u>Findings</u>: "ATC has performed a Phase I ESA of the Site in general accordance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-00. This Phase I ESA included a Site reconnaissance, a review of readily available regulatory environmental databases and related agency information for the Site and surrounding properties, interviews, and a review of prior land use records, aerial photographs, published geologic information, and other related items. This information was used to evaluate existing or potential environmental impairment of the Site due to current or past land use disclosed by this study. No obvious indications of RECs associated with previous site usage were identified through a review of historical information. No "de minimus" environmental conditions, HRECS, or notable findings were identified as a result of this assessment." (p. 11)

<u>Recommendations</u>: "No Recognized Environmental Conditions were identified in connection with the Site or the surrounding areas. Based on these findings, ATC recommends no further investigation." (p. 11)

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: The Applicant's rent projections are slightly lower than the maximum rents allowed under LIHTC guidelines due to the fact that the Applicant used the 2001 rent limits while the Underwriter estimated potential income based on the current LIHTC maximum rents. The maximum rents for the 60% units appear to exceed the market rent as indicated in the market study and were reduced accordingly. Estimates of secondary income are in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Applicant estimated vacancy and collection losses at 5%, which is slightly lower than the TDHCA guideline of 7.50%.

Expenses: The Applicant's estimate of total operating expense is \$3,294 per unit or 3% lower than the Underwriter's TDHCA database-derived estimate, an acceptable deviation. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates that deviate significantly when compared to the database averages, particularly general and administrative (\$4K lower), payroll (\$17K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$12K lower), utilities (\$6K lower), insurance (\$5K higher), and property tax (\$18K higher).

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's estimated income is consistent with the Underwriter's expectations and total operating expenses are within 5% of the database-derived estimate. Therefore, the Applicant's NOI should be used to evaluate debt service capacity. In both the Applicant's and the Underwriter's income and expense estimates there is sufficient net operating income to service the proposed first lien permanent mortgage at a debt coverage ratio that is within an acceptable range of TDHCA underwriting guidelines of 1.10 to 1.25.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: A contract of sale was submitted with the application wherein the Applicant is purchasing the subject property at a cost of \$1,200,000, or \$1.84 per square foot. According to the market analyst, the contract price is reasonable based on comparable sales in the market. The assessment of the land is \$199,330 for 28 acres; however, the Applicant is only purchasing 15 acres of the land. This would place the assessment at a lower value of \$106,784. Since this is an arm's-length transaction, no further justification of the contract price is required.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,458 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's costs are more than 10% lower than the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate after all of the Applicant's additional justifications were considered. This would suggest that the Applicant's direct construction costs are understated.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant's contractor's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit, as well as developer fees, are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines.

Conclusion: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's verifiable estimate and is therefore generally acceptable. Since the Underwriter has been able to verify the Applicant's projected costs to a reasonable margin, the Applicant's total cost breakdown is used to calculate eligible basis and determine the LIHTC allocation. As a result an eligible basis of \$8,053,375 is used to determine a credit allocation of \$679,705 from this method. The resulting syndication proceeds will be used to compare to the gap of need using the Underwriter's costs to determine the recommended credit amount.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with five types of financing from three sources: a conventional interim to permanent loan, a bridge loan, syndicated LIHTC equity, and the Applicant's cash equity.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through PNC Bank in the amount of \$4,282,000 during the interim period and \$4,282,000 at conversion to permanent. The commitment letter indicated a term of 2 years for the construction portion and 18 years for the permanent loan with an amortization of 30 years. The interest on the construction and permanent loans will be 7.8%.

<u>LIHTC Syndication</u>: SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners will provide equity payments in the form of bridge loans and capital contributions. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$5,302,695 based on a syndication factor of 78%. The funds would be disbursed in a four-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. (Initial Capital Contribution) \$83,000 upon admission to the partnership;
- 2. (1st Additional Capital Contribution) \$4,159,157 upon completion of construction;
- 3. (2nd Additional Capital Contribution) \$530,269 upon final closing of the permanent mortgage loan;
- 4. (3rd Additional Capital Contribution) \$530,269 upon attainment of breakeven operating status.

An initial bridge loan in the amount of \$3,711,870 will be paid as costs are incurred after admission. The commitment letter also indicates an additional bridge gap loan of \$779,825. A bridge loan fee of \$68,000 will be charged and the loan is to be repaid in full upon payment of the First Additional Capital Contribution.

<u>Financing Conclusions</u>: Since the Applicant's total development costs were approximately 5% less than the Underwriter's estimate, the Applicant's development costs were used to determine eligible basis. The applicable percentage rate was adjusted in order to reflect the current underwriting rate of 8.44%. This adjustment decreased the recommended tax credit allocation to \$679,705 per year, resulting in syndication proceeds of approximately \$5,301,168. However, this is \$3,373 more than the gap requirement based on the Underwriter's analysis. Therefore, the maximum potential tax credit allocation for this project should be reduced to not more than \$679,272 or \$1,238 less than requested.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The exterior elevations are simple and functional, with varied rooflines. All units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units. Each unit has a private exterior entry. The units are in one-story, fourplex structures with mixed brick veneer/Hardiplank siding exterior finish and gabled roofs.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The Developer, Hearthside Development Corporation, is also the General Partner and affiliate of the Management Company. These relationships are common for LIHTC developments.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant is a single-purpose entity created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore has no material financial statements.
- The General Partner, Hearthside Development Corporation, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of February 27, 2002 reporting total assets of \$3M and consisting of \$242K in cash, \$450K in receivables, \$1.9M in other current assets, and \$29K in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$1.7M, resulting in a net worth of \$1.3M.
- The owner of the General Partner, Deborah A. Griffin, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of February 27, 2002.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant is a new entity formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The General Partner has completed five LIHTC housing developments totaling 692 units since 1995.

	SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES							
No	one noted.							
		RECOMMEND	ATION					
Ø	RECOMMEND APPROV			NOT TO	EXCEED	\$679,272		
		CONDITIC	NS					
	Should the market rate of the permanent loan decrease or the syndication rate increase the recommended credit amount should be reduced.							
Associate Underwriter: Director of Credit Underwriting:		Raquel Morales		Date:	ne 14, 2002 ne 14, 2002	_		
	or or orong order writing.	Tom Gouris						

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Villas of Lancaster, Lancaster, LIHTC #02083

Type of Unit	Number	Bedrooms	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt.	Net Rent per Unit	Rent per Month	Rent per SF	Tnt Pd Util	Wtr, Swr, Trsh
TC 30%	8	1	1	648	\$373	\$328	\$2,624	\$0.51	\$45.00	\$24.00
TC 40%	38	1	1	648	499	\$454	17,252	0.70	45.00	24.00
TC 50%	23	1	1	648	623	\$578	13,294	0.89	45.00	24.00
<tc 60%<="" td=""><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>648</td><td>748</td><td>\$670</td><td>1,340</td><td>1.03</td><td>45.00</td><td>24.00</td></tc>	2	1	1	648	748	\$670	1,340	1.03	45.00	24.00
EO	1 7	1	1	648	0	\$0	0	0.00	45.00	24.00
TC 30%	7 19	2	1	853 853	448 599	\$391	2,737	0.46	57.00 57.00	35.00 35.00
TC 40%	34	2	1	853	748	\$542 \$691	23,494	0.81	57.00	35.00
<tc 60%<="" td=""><td>11</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>853</td><td>898</td><td>\$840</td><td>9,240</td><td>0.98</td><td>57.00</td><td>35.00</td></tc>	11	2	1	853	898	\$840	9,240	0.98	57.00	35.00
EO EO	1	2	1	853	0	\$0	0	0.00	57.00	35.00
TOTAL:	144		AVERAGE:	751	\$608	\$557	\$80,279	\$0.74	\$50.60	\$29.26
THEOME	•			100 050						
INCOME						TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL		ENT				\$963,348	\$930,768			
	ry Income			Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	17,280	17,280	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mo	nth
	apport Inco		ribe)			0	0			
POTENTIAL	GROSS I	NCOME				\$980,628	\$948,048			
_	& Collecti			al Gross Income:	-7.50%	(73,547)	(47,400)	-5.00%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee	or Other	Non-Renta	l Units or	Concessions		0	0			
EFFECTIVE	E GROSS II	NCOME				\$907,081	\$900,648			
EXPENSES			% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT			PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General	& Administ	rative	4.69%	\$295	\$0.39	\$42,498	\$38,400	\$0.36	\$267	4.26%
Manageme	ent		5.00%	315	0.42	45,354	45,032	0.42	313	5.00%
Payroll	& Payroll	Tax	12.75%	803	1.07	115,633	98,200	0.91	682	10.90%
Repairs	& Maintena	ance	5.49%	346	0.46	49,781	38,000	0.35	264	4.22%
Utilitie	es		2.46%	155	0.21	22,298	16,000	0.15	111	1.78%
Water, S	Sewer, & Tr	rash	5.57%	351	0.47	50,556	51,600	0.48	358	5.73%
	Insurance		2.19%	138	0.18	19,871	24,850	0.23	173	2.76%
Property		2.8517	11.32%	713	0.95	102,661	120,319	1.11	836	13.36%
	for Replac		3.18%	200	0.27	28,800	28,800	0.27	200	3.20%
	penses: sur			91	0.12	13,150	13,150	0.12	91	1.46%
TOTAL EXP	PROFE		54.09%	\$3,407	\$4.54	\$490,602	\$474,351	\$4.39	\$3,294	52.67%
						' '	\$426,297			
NET OPERA DEBT SERV			45.91%	\$2,892	\$3.85	\$416,479	\$420,297	\$3.94	\$2,960	47.33%
First Lien			40.78%	\$2,569	\$3.42	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$3.42	\$2,569	41.07%
riist bien	Mortgage		0.00%	\$2,309	\$0.00	\$309,698 0	\$309,090	\$0.00	\$2,569	0.00%
			0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH	FIOW		5.14%	\$323	\$0.43	\$46,581	\$56,399	\$0.52	\$392	6.26%
AGGREGATE		DAGE DAGE		ŲSES	V0.13	1.13	1.15	Ç0.32	Ų3,2 <u>2</u>	0.200
						1.13				
ALTERNATIV		ERAGE RAT	10				1.15	J		
CONSTRUCT	TION COST				1					
Descri	iption	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
Acquisiti	ion Cost	(site or bl	12.01%	\$8,361	\$11.14	\$1,204,000	\$1,204,000	\$11.14	\$8,361	12.57%
Off-Sites	3		0.00%	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework			9.27%	6,458	8.61	930,000	930,000	8.61	6,458	9.71%
Direct Co	nstructi	on	46.33%	32,265	42.99	4,646,194	4,198,500	38.85	29,156	43.83%
Conting	gency	4.11%	2.28%	1,590	2.12	229,000	229,000	2.12	1,590	2.39%
General	L Reats	4.55%	2.53%	1,762	2.35	253,675	253,675	2.35	1,762	2.65%
Contrac	ctor's G	1 82%	1.01%	705	0.94	101,470	101,470	0.94	705	1.06%
	ctor's Pr		3.04%			304,410	304,410			
				2,114	2.82	-	i i	2.82	2,114	3.18%
Indirect		tion	3.86%	2,691	3.59	387,500	387,500	3.59	2,691	4.04%
Ineligibl			0.89%	621	0.83	89,420	89,420	0.83	621	0.93%
Developer			3.11%	2,167	2.89	312,000	312,000	2.89	2,167	3.26%
Developer		9.76%	7.26%	5,056	6.74	728,000	728,000	6.74	5,056	7.60%
Interim F	inancing		6.07%	4,228	5.63	608,820	608,820	5.63	4,228	6.36%
Reserves			2.32%	1,618	2.16	233,000	233,000	2.16	1,618	2.43%
TOTAL COS	ST		100.00%	\$69,635	\$92.79	\$10,027,489	\$9,579,795	\$88.64	\$66,526	100.00%
Recap-Hard	l Construct	tion Costs	64.47%	\$44,894	\$59.82	\$6,464,749	\$6,017,055	\$55.68	\$41,785	62.81%
SOURCES C						•		RECOMMENDED		
First Lien	Mortgage		42.70%	\$29,736	\$39.62	\$4,282,000	\$4,282,000	\$4,282,000		
LIHTC Synd	lication Pr	roceeds	52.88%	\$36,824	\$49.07	5,302,695	5,302,695	5,297,795		
Cash Equit	У		0.00%	\$1	\$0.00	100	100			
Additional	(excess)	Funds Req	4.41%	\$3,074	\$4.10	442,694	(5,000)	0		
TOTAL SOU						\$10,027,489	\$9,579,795	\$9,579,795		
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Villas of Lancaster, Lancaster, LIHTC #02083

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

1110103	e guarrej	narerpre n	esidence basi	
CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost			\$43.46	\$4,696,752
Adjustments				
Exterior Wall Fini:	4.85%		\$2.11	\$227,792
Elderly	5.00%		2.17	234,838
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(1.96)	(211,821)
Floor Cover			1.82	196,691
Porches/Balconies	\$11.91	4,608	0.51	54,881
Plumbing	\$585		0.00	0
Built-In Appliance:	\$1,550	144	2.07	223,200
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.41	152,382
Garages/Carports	\$7.53	21,600	1.50	162,648
Comm &/or Aux Bldg	\$55.28	4,000	2.05	221,130
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL		-	55.13	5,958,493
Current Cost Multiplie:	1.04		2.21	238,340
Local Multiplier	0.92		(4.41)	(476,679)
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCT	\$52.93	\$5,720,153		
Plans, specs, survy, b	3.90%		(\$2.06)	(\$223,086)
Interim Construction I	3.38%		(1.79)	(193,055)
Contractor's OH & Prof	11.50%		(6.09)	(657,818)
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	N COSTS		\$42.99	\$4,646,194

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$4,282,000	Term	360
Int Rate	7.80%	DCR	1.13
_			
Secondary		Term	
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.13
Additional		Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.13

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE APPLICAAT'S NOI:

0 0

Primary Debt Service \$369,898 Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW \$46,581

Primary	\$4,282,000	Term	360
Int Rate	7.80%	DCR	1.15
Secondary	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.15
Additional	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.15

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE APPLICNAT'S NOI

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$930,768	\$958,691	\$987,452	\$1,017,075	\$1,047,588	\$1,214,441	\$1,407,870	\$1,632,107	\$2,193,416
Secondary Income	17,280	17,798	18,332	18,882	19,449	22,546	26,138	30,301	40,721
Other Support Income: (de	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	948,048	976,489	1,005,784	1,035,958	1,067,036	1,236,988	1,434,008	1,662,408	2,234,137
Vacancy & Collection Loss	(47,400)	(48,822)	(50,287)	(51,795)	(53,349)	(61,846)	(71,697)	(83,116)	(111,701)
Employee or Other Non-Ren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$900,648	\$927,667	\$955,497	\$984,162	\$1,013,687	\$1,175,141	\$1,362,311	\$1,579,292	\$2,122,436
EXPENSES at 4.00%									
General & Administrative	38,400	\$39,936	\$41,533	\$43,195	\$44,923	\$54,655	\$66,496	\$80,903	\$119,756
Management	45,032	\$46,833	47,775	49,208	50,684	58,757	68,116	78,965	106,122
Payroll & Payroll Tax	98,200	102,128	106,213	110,462	114,880	139,769	170,051	206,893	306,252
Repairs & Maintenance	38,000	39,520	41,101	42,745	44,455	54,086	65,804	80,060	118,509
Utilities	16,000	16,640	17,306	17,998	18,718	22,773	27,707	33,710	49,898
Water, Sewer & Trash	51,600	53,664	55,811	58,043	60,365	73,443	89,355	108,713	160,922
Insurance	24,850	25,844	26,878	27,953	29,071	35,369	43,032	52,355	77,498
Property Tax	120,319	125,132	130,137	135,342	140,756	171,251	208,353	253,494	375,233
Reserve for Replacements	28,800	29,952	31,150	32,396	33,692	40,991	49,872	60,677	89,817
Other	13,150	13,676	14,223	14,792	15,384	18,717	22,772	27,705	41,010
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$474,351	\$493,325	\$512,126	\$532,134	\$552,927	\$669,812	\$811,557	\$983,475	\$1,445,018
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$426,297	\$434,342	\$443,371	\$452,029	\$460,760	\$505,330	\$550,754	\$595,817	\$677,418
DEBT SERVICE			"						
First Lien Financing	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898	\$369,898
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$56,399	\$64,444	\$73,473	\$82,131	\$90,862	\$135,431	\$180,856	\$225,919	\$307,520
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.15	1.17	1.20	1.22	1.25	1.37	1.49	1.61	1.83

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Villas of Lancaster, Lancaster, LIHTC #0208

			1	
	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$1,204,000	\$1,204,000		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$930,000	\$930,000	\$930,000	\$930,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$4,198,500	\$4,646,194	\$4,198,500	\$4,646,194
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	s			
Contractor overhead	\$101,470	\$101,470	\$101,470	\$101,470
Contractor profit	\$304,410	\$304,410	\$304,410	\$304,410
General requirements	\$253,675	\$253,675	\$253,675	\$253,675
(5) Contingencies	\$229,000	\$229,000	\$229,000	\$229,000
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$387,500	\$387,500	\$387,500	\$387,500
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$608,820	\$608,820	\$608,820	\$608,820
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$89,420	\$89,420		
(9) Developer Fees				
Developer overhead	\$312,000	\$312,000	\$312,000	\$312,000
Developer fee	\$728,000	\$728,000	\$728,000	\$728,000
(10) Development Reserves	\$233,000	\$233,000		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$9,579,795	\$10,027,489	\$8,053,375	\$8,501,069

Deduct from Basis:			
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible	e basis		
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis	}		
Non-qualified non-recourse financing			
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d			
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)			
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS		\$8,053,375	\$8,501,069
High Cost Area Adjustment		100%	100%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS		\$8,053,375	\$8,501,069
Applicable Fraction		100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS		\$8,053,375	\$8,501,069
Applicable Percentage		8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS		\$679,705	\$717,490

Syndication Proceeds

0.7799

\$5,301,168 \$5,595,864

Actual Gap of Need \$5,297,795
Gap-Driven Allocation \$679,272

TDHCA # 02091

Region 3

Rural Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Development Name: Riverwalk Townhomes TDHCA#: 02091 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** LIHTC Primary Set Aside: R Region: 3 Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 1001 East Washington Street Purpose / Activity: NC City: Stephenville **Development Type:** Family County: Erath 76401 Zip Code: TTC DDA □ QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 4 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Augusta Hills Limited Partnership **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: R.J. Collins Augusta Hills LP 100 % Tejas Housing II, Inc. 90 % R.J. Collins Valentine Realtors, Inc. Ronnie Hodges 10 % 0 % NA NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$544,106 \$5,441,060 Credits Requested: \$542,766 Eligible Basis Amount: \$544,106 Equity/Gap Amount: \$619,728 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$6,895,229 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 100,100 0 0 1 1 0 3 30% 1 97,100 Total NRA SF: 5 40% 0 0 0 3 2 0 1.03 23 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 3 9 0 50% 0 11 1.278 Average Square Feet/Unit: 45 60% 0 0 6 23 16 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$71.01 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$7,159 Total 0 0 10 38 28 0 Total LI Units: 76 INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION Owner/Employee Units: 0 \$462,604 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 76 \$257,489 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$205,115 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: 1.10 attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Tejas Housing & Development, Inc. Developer: Market Analyst: Butler-Burger, Inc. Charter Builders Housing GC: Originator/UW: NA Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: NA Cost Estimator: NA Attorney: Kuperman, Orr, Mouer & Albers, N.C. Texas Inter-Faith Management Architect: L.K. Travis & Associates Supp Services: Corporation Property Manager: Orion Real Estate Services, Inc. Accountant: Novogradac & Company, LLP Engineer: Syndicator: Columbia Housing Partners, LP Permanent Lender: PNC Bank **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION** Underwriting Finding: AC Site Review: Acceptable 122 Points Awarded: Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

2002 Development Profile and Bo	oard Summary (Cont	inued)				
Project Name: Riverwalk T	ownhomes			Project Num	ber: 02091	
PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMA	Note: "O" =	= Opposed	d, "S" = Support, "N	C" or Blank = No co	mment	
# of Letters, Petitions, or Wi	tness Affirmation	Forms(no	ot from Officials):	Support:	0 Oppositio	n: 0
☐ A resolution was passed	by the local gover	rnment in	support of the de	evelopment.		
Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Ju	ırisdiction:	1	Comment from Othe	er Public Official		
Local Official:	John Moser,	Mayor, S				
TX Rep.:	Sid Miller, Dist.	59 S				
TX Sen.:	David Sibley, Dist.	22				
US Rep.:						
US Sen.:						
CONDITIONS TO COMMIT	MENT					
Receipt, review, and acceptance of well as an opinion from a qualified recognized environmental condition. Receipt, review, and acceptance of any Housing Trust Fund debt serves Should the HTF funds not be allow however LIHTC selection points for	I environmental analysions, prior to cost certification of a revised permaner vice, of \$187,017. Cated to this developments	st that the s fication per nt loan com	site, following remova the Phase I ESA. nmitment reflecting a maining recommendar	I of the service station maximum debt service tions and conditions v	n infrastructure, is e amount, in comb vill remain unchan	free of ination with
· ·	•			•		
Should the terms of the proposed	debt be altered, the r	ecommend	dations and conditions	s herein should be re-	evaluated.	
Alternate Recommendation:						
RECOMMENDATION BY PR	OGRAM MANA	GER AND	DIRECTOR OF H	IOUSING PROGR	AMS IS BASED	ON:
✓ Score	✓ N	leeting Red	quired Set Aside	Meeting th	e Regional Alloca	tion
 ☐ To serve a greater number of ☐ To ensure the Development's ☐ To ensure the allocation of cre Comment: This development wa Rural Set Aside. 	consistency with loca dits among as many o	I needs or i different en	its impact as part of a ntities as practicable w	vithout diminishing the	e quality of the hou	
Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-	Manager Date		David Burrell, [Director of Housing Pr	rograms [)ate
RECOMMENDATION BY TH	E EXECUTIVE AW	ARD AN	ID REVIEW ADVIS	ORY COMMITTE	E IS BASED ON	l :
The recommendation by the Exec above reasons. If a decision was be					ons is also based o	on the
Edwina Carrington, Executive Dire Chairman of Executive Award and		mmittee	Date			
BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S	APPROVAL AND	DESCRIP	TION OF DISCRE	TIONARY FACTO	RS (if applica	ble):
Approved Credit Amount:		Date	of Determination:			
Michael E. Jones, Chairman of	f the Board	Da	ate			

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #: 02091	LIF	11C 9% 🗹	LIHIC 4%
Project Name: Riverwalk Apartments		HOME \square	HTF ✓
Project City:		BOND \square	SECO □
Housing Compliance Review			
Project(s) in material non-compliance			
No previous participation			✓
Status of Findings (individual compliance status re Participation and Background Certifica			
Projects Monitored by the Department			
# reviewed # not yet monito	ored or pending	g review 0	
# of projects grouped by score 0-9: 0	10-19: 0	20-29: 0	
Members of the development team have been disbarre	d by HUD		
National Previous Participation Certification Received	1	N/A	A
Non-Compliance Reported			_
Completed by Jo En Taylor Co	ompleted on	05/09/2002	
Single Audit			
Status of Findings (any outstanding single audit issues	s are listed bel	ow)	
single audit not applicable 🗹 no outstanding is	sues 🗌 ou	tstanding issue	es 🗌
Comments:			
Completed by Lucy Trevino Co	ompleted on	05/23/2002	
Program Monitoring			
Status of Findings (any unresolved issues are listed be	elow)		
monitoring review not applicable ✓	monitoring	review pendin	g
reviewed; no unresolved issues revie	wed; unresolv	ed issues found	d 🗌
Comments:			
Completed by Ralph Hendrickson Co	ompleted on	05/17/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs monitoring review n reviewed; no unres Comments:	ot applicable	monitoring reviewed; unresolv	review pending
Completed by E. Wei	lbaecher	_ Completed on	06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Completed by		_ Completed on	
Executive Director: Ec	dwina Carrington	Date	e Signed: _ June 10, 200

DATE: June 4, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02091 2-02-020

Housing Trust Fund

			DEVELOPM	ENT NAI	ME					
		R	iverwalk T	Fownho	omes					
					711105					
			APPLI	CANT						
Name:	Augusta Hills I	Limited Partnership		Type: Sor Profit Non-Profit Municipal Other						
Address:	8455 Lyndon I	ane		City:	Austin				State:	TX
Zip:	78729 Cont	act: R.J. Collins		Phone:	(512)	249-62	40_	Fax:	(512)	249-6660
	PRINCIPALS of the APPLICANT									
Name:	Tejas Housing	II, Inc.		(%):	.009	Title:	909	% Co-C	eneral P	artner
Name:	Valentine Real		(%):	.001	Title:	109	% Co-C	eneral P	artner	
Name:	Columbia Hous	sing Partners Limited		(%):	99.99	Title:	Init	tial Lin	ited Part	ner
Name:	R.J. Collins		(%):	N/A	Title:	Ow	ner of	Tejas Ho	using II,	
Name:	Ronni Hodges			(%):	N/A	Title:	Ow	ner of V	alentine I	Realtors, Inc.
		90°	% CO-GENE	ERAL PA	RTNER					
Name:	Tejas Housing	II, Inc.		Type:	For 1	Profit	Non-Pr	ofit	Municipal	Other
Address:	8455 Lyndon Lane				Austin				States	TX
Zip:	78729 Cont		Phone:	(512)	249-62	40_	Fax:	(512)	249-6660	
		10°	% CO-GENE	ERAL PA	RTNER					
Name:	Valentine Realt			Туре:	For Profit Non-Profit Municipal Other					
Address:	8800-55 Hazell			City: Austin State: TX						
Zip:	78729 Cont	act: Ronnie Hodge:	8	Phone:	(512)	918-91	91_	Fax:	(512)	918-1891
			DD ODEDTV I	1004710	D.N.I					
			PROPERTY I	LUCAII	JN					
Location:	1001 East Was	shington Street						QCT		DDA
City:	Stephenville		County:	E	rath				Zip:	76401
•									- *·	
			REQI	UEST						
	Amount	Interest Rat	<u>e</u>	An	nortizatio	<u></u>			Term	
	\$542,766	N/A		N/A				N/A		
	\$456,000	0%			30 yrs				30 yrs	
Other Req	uested Terms:	 Annual ten-year Housing Trust F 					redit	S		

Set-Aside:

New Construction

Proposed Use of Funds:

Rural

 \boxtimes

General

Non-Profit

SITE DESCRIPTION									
Size: 13.621 acres	593,331	squa	are feet Zon	ing/ Permitted Uses	R-3, Multiple Family Residential District				
Flood Zone Designation:	Zone X	Sta	tus of Off-Site	es: Partially I	mproved				
DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS									
Total # Rental	# Common	# of							
Units: 76 Buildings 19	Area Bldngs	1 Floors	Age:	0 yrs Vacan	t: <u>N/A</u> at / /				
	Number	Bedrooms	Bathroom	Size in SF					
	10	2	2	980					
	38	3	2	1,268					
	28	4	2	1,397					
Net Rentable SF: 97,100	Av Un Sl	F: 1,278	Common Ai	rea SF: 3,000	Gross Bldng SF 100,100				
Property Type: M	ultifamily	SFR Rent	al 🔲 1	Elderly	xed Income				
		CONSTRUCT	ION SPECIFIC	CATIONS					
		STRUCT	URAL MATER	IALS					
	Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, 50% brick veneer/50% Hardiplank siding exterior wall covering, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing								
	AF	PPLIANCES A	ND INTERIOR	R FEATURES					
			Carpeting & ceramic tile flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, microwave						
oven, fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer connections, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters, high-speed Internet access									
Internet access			ns, iammate	d counter tops, ind	ividual water heaters, high-speed				
Internet access				-	ividual water heaters, high-speed				
		ON-S	SITE AMENITIE	ES .					
3,000-SF community build		ON-S	SITE AMENITIE	es social services off	ices, fitness & laundry facilities,				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput	er center, dayca	ON-S	SITE AMENITIE	es social services off					
3,000-SF community build	er center, dayca	ON-S	SITE AMENITIE	es social services off	ices, fitness & laundry facilities,				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput	er center, dayca	ON-S	SITE AMENITIE	social services off om, swimming po	ices, fitness & laundry facilities,				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc	er center, dayca	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c	SITE AMENITIE nagement & central mailro	social services off om, swimming po	ices, fitness & laundry facilities, ol, equipped children's play area,				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc	er center, dayca	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports:	on agement & central mailro	social services offom, swimming poor	ices, fitness & laundry facilities, ol, equipped children's play area,				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90	er center, dayca	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports:	on agement & central mailro	spaces Gan	ices, fitness & laundry facilities, ol, equipped children's play area, rages: 76 spaces				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank	er center, daycaing spaces INTERI	ON-S ty room, manare facility, c Carports: OTHER SO M CONSTRU	onagement & eentral mailro	spaces Gar UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe	rages: 76 spaces				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00	er center, daycaing spaces INTERI	ON-S ty room, manare facility, c Carports: OTHER SO M CONSTRU	ate: Estinger	social services offom, swimming poor spaces Spaces Gai UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixe	rages:76spaces rt Courtney ed, determined by lender				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00	er center, daycaing spaces INTERI	ON-S ty room, manare facility, c Carports: OTHER SO M CONSTRU	ate: Estinger	spaces Gar UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe	rages:76spaces rt Courtney ed, determined by lender				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00	er center, daycaing spaces INTERI	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports: OTHER SO M CONSTRU Interest Ra simultaneous	ate: Estinger	spaces Gan UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixe	rages:76spaces rt Courtney ed, determined by lender				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00 Additional Information:	INTERI 00,000 Rate locked at s Term: 2	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports: OTHER SC M CONSTRU Interest Ra simultaneous	o OURCES of FI CTION or GA ate: Estinclosing of co	social services ofform, swimming poor spaces Gar UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixed substruction & permater None	rages:				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00 Additional Information:	INTERI 00,000 Rate locked at s Term: 2	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports: OTHER SC M CONSTRU Interest Ra simultaneous	OURCES of FI CTION or GA ate: Estinclosing of co	spaces Gar UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixe onstruction & perm. None INANCING	rages:				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fenc Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00 Additional Information: Amortization: N/A yrs Source: PNC Bank	INTERI 00,000 Rate locked at s Term: 2	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports: OTHER SC M CONSTRU Interest Ra simultaneous	OURCES of FLECTION or GA	social services off from, swimming poor spaces Gan UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixed postruction & perm. None INANCING Contact: Robe INANCING Contact: Robe	ices, fitness & laundry facilities, ol, equipped children's play area, rages: 76 spaces rt Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans Firm Conditional				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fence. Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00 Additional Information: Amortization: N/A yrs Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,00	INTERI 0,000 Rate locked at s Term: 2 LC	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports: OTHER SC M CONSTRU Interest Ra simultaneous yrs DNG TERM/Pl	OURCES of FI CTION or GA ate: Estin closing of co Commitment: ERMANENT F	spaces Gan UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixe None INANCING Contact: Robe Robe Market Ar 7.9%, fixe Market Ar 7.9%, fixe Market Ar 7.9%, fixe	rages: 76 spaces The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans				
3,000-SF community build kitchen, restrooms, comput sports court, perimeter fence. Uncovered Parking: 90 Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,000 Additional Information: Amortization: N/A yrs Source: PNC Bank Principal Amount: \$2,000	INTERI 0,000 Rate locked at s Term: 2 LC	ON-S ty room, man are facility, c Carports: OTHER SO M CONSTRU Interest Ra simultaneous ONG TERM/PI Interest Ra simultaneous	OURCES of FI CTION or GA ate: Estin closing of co Commitment: ERMANENT F	spaces Gar UNDS AP FINANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixe instruction & permanents INANCING Contact: Robe mated at 7.9%, fixe mated at 7.9%, fixe mated at 7.9%, fixe mated at 7.9%, fixe	rages: 76 spaces The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans The Courtney ed, determined by lender anent loans				

					l	LIHTC SY	<u> MDICA</u>	TION						
Source:	Columb	oia Hous	sing Partr	ners Li	mited Pa	artnersh	ip	Con	tact:	Ro	bert Co	urtney		
Address:	500 W.	Jeffers	on Street	, Suite	400			Cit	ty:	Louis	sville			
State:	KY		Zip: 40	0202	Pho	one: ((502)	581-32	262		Fax:	(502)	581-2602	
Net Procee	eds:	\$4,287,	,422		Net Syn	dication	Rate (per	\$1.00 of 10-	-yr LIH	rc) _	79¢	_		
Commitme	ent		None		Firm		Condit	ional]	Date:	2/	22/	2002	
Additional	l Informa	tion:												
						APPLIC	ANT EQ	UITY						
Amount:	\$151,20	 07		S	Source:		erred dev		fee					
					VAI	UATION	I INFORI	MATION	N					
							SED VAL							
Land:			\$111,47	9			Assessm	ent for t	the Ye	ear of:		2001		
Building:			\$1,510				Valuatio	n by:	Era	th Cou	inty Appi	raisal Dist	rict	
Total Asse	essed Valu	e:	\$112,99	00										
				EVI	DENCE	of SITE of	or PROP	ERTY C	ONTR	OL				
Type of Si	te Contro	l: <u>Ea</u>	arnest mon	ney con	tract									
Contract I	Expiration	Date:	10/	'	15/	2002	Anticip	oated Cl	losing	Date:	_10	/ 15	/ 2002	
Acquisitio			195,778				ditions:	\$			_			
Seller:	Myrtle V.	Bailey C	Charitable	Remain	nder Unit	trust		I	Relate	ed to D	evelopm	ent Team	Member:	No
				REVIE	W of PR	EVIOUS	UNDER\	NRITING	G REF	ORTS				
No previ	ous repo	rts.												
•	•		PF	ROPOS	SAL and	DEVELO	OPMENT	PLAN [DESC	RIPTIC	DN			
			k Townh	nomes	is a pr	oposed	new co	nstruct	tion (devel	opment		nits of affor tial buildin	
 Five Four Six E Based on building, communic communic Support Good No opportunad vancer 	Building a the site mailbo ity build ity room ive Serve eighbor, and a ment. The	g Type g Type 4 plan th xes, an ling pla , exerci tices: to pro mily s hese se	2 with to 3 with two descriptions and swimm an inclusive and could the skills describes with the skills describes and the skills describes with the skills describes and the skills descr	two the wo for the the ment be ming des the compu- plicant ne fol- evelop vill be	ree-bed ur-bedre e-bedre uilding pool le ne man ter roor t has co lowing oment, provide	droom for a common	lat units at units at units a winhouse stribute near that and shen, rested with rive section, fur cost to cost to	and two e units a d even he entra support strooms Texas ervices in and o tenan	wo the ofour and tally the ance tive s, and Interproject Interprojects.	ree-b r-bed wo fo rough to th service d laum r-Faith grams edom	edroom room to our-bedi out the ne site. ces offi dry and h Mana s to te a activi	townhous room tow site, wit The 3 ces, a 1 d mainten agement nants: ities, an requires	use units;	e foot foot ies. a, dba rowth rhood ant to

time startup fee of \$1,000, plus \$10.39/unit/month (\$9,476/year) for these support services.

<u>Schedule</u>: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in January of 2003, to be completed and placed in service in November of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in February of 2004.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. 76 of the units (100% of the total) will be reserved for low-income tenants. Three of the units (4%) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, five units (7%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 23 units (31%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and the remaining 45 units (60%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Four units (6%) will be reserved for handicapped or developmentally disabled tenants and two units will be reserved for elderly tenants.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated February 12, 2002 was prepared by Butler-Burgher, LLC and highlighted the following findings:

<u>Definition of Market/Submarket</u>: The Analyst defined the "subject neighborhood" as the northeast quadrant of Spephenville (p. 8) but used demographic data from a five-mile radius of the site when calculating demand and capture rate (p. 22, 24).

<u>Total Regional Market Demand for Rental Units</u>: "For its size, the Stephenville area has minimal affordable multifamily housing (no LIHTC units) and the properties are generally at full occupancy with waiting lists." (p. 3)

<u>Total Local/Submarket Demand for Rental Units</u>: "Overall, the subject neighborhood is characterized as being in a growth stage. As a result, population and household growth should continue to occur, especially in the three- and five mile radii." (p. 9)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY								
	Market	Analyst	Underwriter					
Type of Demand	Units of	% of Total	Units of	% of Total				
	Demand	Demand	Demand	Demand				
Household Growth	32	13%	11	2%				
Resident Turnover	0	0%	967	0%				
Other Sources: 10 yrs pent-up demand	200	87%	0	98%				
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	232	100%	978	100%				

Ref: p. 24

<u>Capture Rate</u>: The analyst calculated a capture rate of 43.1% but this calculation used a project size of 100 units instead of 76. The Underwriter calculated a concentration capture rate of 33% based upon the analyst's methodology and the correct number of proposed units, and 6% using the TDHCA methodology. All are acceptable rates as Stephenville is a rural market.

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed seven comparable apartment complexes totaling 721 units in the market area. "The unit and project amenities will be similar, or superior, to the existing units in the submarket and competitive to the direct competition in the adjoining submarkets." (p. 3)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)								
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential			
2-Bedroom (30%)	\$230	\$230	\$0	\$600	-\$370			
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$418	\$418	\$0	\$600	-\$182			
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$512	\$512	\$0	\$600	-\$88			

3-Bedroom (30%)	\$260	\$260	\$0	\$710	-\$450
3-Bedroom (40%)	\$369	\$369	\$0	\$710	-\$341
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$478	\$478	\$0	\$710	-\$232
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$586	\$586	\$0	\$710	-\$124
4-Bedroom (30%)	\$279	\$279	\$0	\$835	-\$556
4-Bedroom (40%)	\$401	\$401	\$0	\$835	-\$434
4-Bedroom (50%)	\$522	\$522	\$0	\$835	-\$313
4-Bedroom (60%)	\$643	\$643	\$0	\$835	-\$192

Ref: p. 32

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "Our review of other multifamily complexes indicates a current average occupancy of 95%." (p. 24)

<u>Absorption Projection and Effect on Existing Housing Stock</u>: "Due to the fact that the subject should be quickly absorbed to full occupancy (estimated at six months), no long-term impact on area vacancy rates is anticipated." (p. 2)

Known Planned Development: "The only known proposed complex is a 55-unit senior housing property [Bunker Hill Senior Village, 2001 9% LIHTC/HTF #01106, 44 units] that will be located on the west side of Stephenville away from the subject." (p. 11)

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Location: Stephenville is located in north central Texas, approximately 60 miles southwest of Fort Worth in Erath County. The site is an irregularly-shaped parcel located in the eastern area of the city, approximately one mile from the central business district. The site is situated on the northwest corner of the intersection of East Washington Street and U.S, Highway 281.

Population: The estimated 2000 population of Stephenville was 15,487 and is expected to increase by 2.5% to approximately 15,868 by 2005. Within the primary market area there were estimated to be 7,711 households in 2001.

Adjacent Land Uses: Land uses in the overall area in which the site is located are predominantly older single-family residential and commercial, along with vacant land. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: Vacant land with a golf course and commercial HVAC facility beyond
- South: Commercial buildings with East Washington Street and more commercial beyond
- East: Vacant land and U.S. Highway 281, with commercial beyond
- West: Clark Lane with single-family residential beyond

<u>Site Access</u>: Access to the property is from the north or south from U.S. Highway 281 (Morgan Mill Road) or from the east or west from East Washington Street (State Highway 195). Clark Lane also borders the site on the west. The development is to have two entries, one from the east from East Washington Street/Highway 195/Highway 281 and one from the west from Clark Lane. Highway 195 provides connections to all other major roads serving the Stephenville as well as Fort Worth and other surrounding communities.

Public Transportation: The availability of public transportation is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The site is within three miles of all the facilities and services available in Stephenville.

Special Adverse Site Characteristics: The market analyst referred to an "adjacent railroad line" as a possible hazard or nuisance (p. 14), but the nearest rail line appears to be approximately 0.4 miles northeast according to the topographical map and neither the environmental analyst nor the TDHCA site inspector noted an adjacent rail line.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: TDHCA staff performed a site inspection on April 17, 2002 and found the location to be acceptable for the proposed development.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated April 19, 2001 and an asbestos-containing materials addendum dated February 25, 2002 were prepared by Handex of Texas, Inc. and contained the following findings and recommendations:

<u>Findings</u>: "Visual observations and interviews indicate the site was previously developed with a gasoline service station." (p. 11)

Recommendations: "Since the site was previously developed with a gasoline service station, Handex recommends that the [underground storage tanks], associated piping, and dispenser islands be removed from the site by a licensed professional in compliance with all federal and state rules and regulations." (p. 12) It is a condition of this report that the Applicant provide evidence of compliance with this recommendation, as well as an opinion from a qualified environmental analyst that the site, following removal of the service station infrastructure, is free of recognized environmental conditions.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

Income: The Applicant's rent projections are the maximum rents allowed under LIHTC guidelines, and should be achievable according to the market analyst. The Applicant stated that tenants will pay water and sewer in this project, and rents and expenses were calculated accordingly. Estimates of secondary income and vacancy and collection losses are in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines.

Expenses: The Applicant's total expense estimate of \$3,304 per unit is within 2.5% of an adjusted TDHCA database-derived estimate of \$3,388 per unit for comparably-sized developments. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates, however, that deviate significantly when compared to the database averages, particularly utilities (\$6.1K lower) and property tax (\$15.5K lower).

Conclusion: The Applicant's estimated income is consistent with the Underwriter's expectations and total operating expenses is within 5% of the database-derived estimate. Therefore, the Applicant's NOI should be used to evaluate debt service capacity. Due primarily to the difference in estimated operating expenses, the Underwriter's estimated debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.08 is less than the program minimum standard of 1.10. Therefore, the maximum debt service for this project should be limited to \$187,017 by a reduction of the loan amount and/or a reduction in the interest rate and/or an extension of the term.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: The site cost of \$195,778 (\$0.33/SF or \$14.4K/acre), although 73% higher than the tax assessed value, is assumed to be reasonable since the acquisition is an arm's-length transaction.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,500 per unit are the maximum allowable under TDHCA underwriting guidelines without third party substantiation.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is \$194K or 4.8% lower than the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate, and is therefore regarded as reasonable as submitted.

<u>Indirect Construction Costs</u>: The Applicant understated eligible indirect construction costs by \$600 due to an addition error; the Underwriter corrected this error, resulting in an equivalent increase in the Applicant's eligible basis.

<u>Fees</u>: The Applicant's contractor's and developer's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all set at the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines.

Conclusion: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's verifiable estimate and is therefore generally acceptable. Since the Underwriter has been able to verify the Applicant's projected costs to a reasonable margin, the Applicant's total cost breakdown, as adjusted, is used to calculate eligible basis and determine the LIHTC allocation. As a result an eligible basis of \$6,446,757 is used to determine a credit allocation of \$544,106 from this method. This is \$1,340 more than initially requested due to the Applicant's addition error and use of a lower applicable percentage of 8.42% rather than the 8.44% underwriting rate used for developments being presented to the Board in June 2002.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing from four sources: a conventional interim to permanent loan, the Housing Trust Fund loan, syndicated LIHTC equity, and

deferred developer's fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through PNC Bank in the amount of \$2,000,000 during both the interim period and at conversion to permanent. The commitment letter indicated a term of two years for the construction portion and 18 years for the permanent loan, with a 30-year amortization schedule for the permanent loan. The fixed interest rate for both loans will be determined by the lender, and is estimated and underwritten at 7.9%. The rate will be locked at the simultaneous closing of both loans.

Housing Trust Fund (HTF) Loan: The Applicant has requested a loan of \$456,000 from the HTF to subsidize the development's three 30% AMI units. The Applicant requested a 0% interest rate and a term of 30 years,, and the Underwriter used those terms in this analysis. The HTF application appears to meet the program's threshold requirements but as of the date of this report, it is unknown if its score will be high enough to recommend it for funding.

LIHTC Syndication: Columbia Housing Partners Limited Partnership has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$4,287,422 based on a syndication factor of 79%. The funds would be disbursed in a -phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 18% upon admission to the partnership;
- 2. 70% upon commencement of construction (funded as monthly draws);
- 3. 12% upon the latest of: completion of construction, cost certification, 100% initial occupancy, final closing of the permanent mortgage loan, or achievement of a 1.15 DCR for six consecutive months.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$151,207 amount to 18% of the total fees.

Financing Conclusions: Based on the Applicant's estimate of eligible basis, the LIHTC allocation should not exceed \$544,106 annually for ten years. This is \$1,340 more than the requested amount due to the Applicant's use of a lower 8.42% applicable percentage rather than the 8.44% underwriting rate as of the application submission date, along with an addition error which understated eligible basis. The resulting syndication proceeds of approximately \$4,298,009 are \$10,587 more than the Applicant included in their sources of funds. Due to the difference in estimated net operating income, the Underwriter's estimated debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.08 is less than the program minimum standard of 1.10. Therefore, the maximum debt service for this project should be limited to \$187,017 by a reduction of the permanent loan amount and/or a reduction in the interest rate and/or an extension of the term. The Applicant's HTF request is in compliance with programmatic threshold requirements and may score high enough to be funded, but these funds are not required for the feasibility of the development as sufficient developer fee exists for deferral to substitute for this source. If HTF program staff elects to award the funds in the amount requested, the HTF loan should bear an interest rate of 0% and have a term and amortization schedule of 30 years. With the HTF funds, the Applicant's deferred developer fee will be reduced to \$171,220, which amounts to approximately 20% of available fees and should be repayable within ten years. Alternatively, without the HTF funds the deferred developer fee would increase to approximately \$597,220 or 71% of the available fees, which would be repayable within 10 years. However, if the HTF award is not made, LIHTC selection points for deep skewed rents should be re-evaluated.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The units are in mixed one- and two-story fourplex structures with brick veneer and cement siding exterior finish and pitched roofs. The exterior elevations are simple and functional. All units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units, and have patios and/or porches and utility closets with hookups for full-size appliances. Both townhouse designs feature a bedroom and a full bathroom downstairs. Each unit has a one-car garage and a private exterior entry.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

R.J. Collins owns the 90% Co-General Partner, the Developer, and the General Contractor. These are common relationships for LIHTC-funded developments.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

• The Applicant and the 90% Co-General Partner are single-purpose entities created for the purpose of

- receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore have no material financial statements.
- The 10% Co-General Partner, Valentine Realtors, Inc., submitted an unaudited financial statement as of December 15, 2001 reporting total assets of \$159K and consisting of \$27K in cash, \$103K in work in progress, and \$29K in equipment and furniture. Liabilities totaled \$64K, resulting in a net worth of \$95K.
- The Developer, Tejas Housing and Development, Inc., submitted an unaudited financial statement as of December 31, 2001 reporting total assets of \$2,500, consisting entirely of cash. No liabilities were listed, resulting in a net worth of \$2,500.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant and 90% Co-General Partner are new entities formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The General Contractor, Charter Builders, submitted a TDHCA certificate of experience for the development of residential or comparable commercial property.
- R.J. Collins, owner of the 90% Co-General Partner, Developer, and General Contractor, listed participation as general partner and general contractor on six affordable and conventional housing developments totaling 1,174 units since 1973.
- Ronni Hodges, owner of the 10% Co-General Partner, listed participation as owner, realtor, and/or developer of three conventional single-family and duplex developments totaling 25 units since 1994.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- Significant environmental risks exist regarding the existing gasoline service station infrastructure on the site which requires removal
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- ☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$544,106 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.
- ☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A HOUSING TRUST FUND AWARD NOT TO EXCEED \$456,000, STRUCTURED AS A 30-YEAR TERM LOAN, FULLY AMORTIZING OVER 30 YEARS, AT 0% INTEREST, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review, and acceptance of evidence of removal and proper disposal of the abandoned gasoline service station infrastructure, as well as an opinion from a qualified environmental analyst that the site, following removal of the service station infrastructure, is free of recognized environmental conditions, prior to cost certification per the Phase I ESA;
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a maximum debt service amount, in combination with any Housing Trust Fund debt service, of \$187,017;
- 3. Should the HTF funds not be allocated to this development the remaining recommendations and conditions will remain unchanged, however LIHTC selection points for deep skewed rents must be re-evaluated as the deferred developer fee will exceed 50%.
- 4. Should the terms of the proposed debt be altered, the recommendations and conditions herein should be re-evaluated.

Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Jim Anderson	_ Date:	June 4, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	_ Date:	June 4, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Riverwalk Townhomes, 9% LIHTC #02091

Type of Unit			No. of Baths	Size in SF		Net Rent per Unit		Rent per SF	Tnt Pd Util	Trash Only
TC (30%)	1	2	2	980	\$282	\$230	\$230	\$0.23	\$52.00	\$5.00
TC (50%)	3	2	2	980	470	418	1,254	0.43	52.00	5.00
TC (60%)	6	2	2	980	564	512	3,072	0.52	52.00	5.00
TC (30%) TC (40%)	3	3	2	1,268	326 434	260 369	260 1,107	0.21	65.00 65.00	6.00
TC (50%)	11	3	2	1,268	543	478	5,258	0.38	65.00	6.00
TC (60%)	23	3	2	1,268	651	586	13,478	0.46	65.00	6.00
TC (30%)	1	4	2	1,397	363	279	279	0.20	84.00	8.00
TC (40%)	2	4	2	1,397	485	401	802	0.29	84.00	8.00
TC (50%)	9	4	2	1,397	606	522	4,698	0.37	84.00	8.00
TC (60%)	16	4	2	1,397	727	643	10,288	0.46	84.00	8.00
TOTAL:	76		AVERAGE:	1,278	\$606	\$536	\$40,726	\$0.42	\$70.29	\$6.61
INCOME		Total Net Re	entable Sq Ft:	97,100		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL	GROSS REI	NT				\$488,712	\$488,712			
Secondar	ry Income		Per	Unit Per Month:	\$12.50	11,400	11,400	\$12.50	Per Unit Per Month	1
Other Su	apport Incom	ne:				0	0			
POTENTIAL	GROSS INC	COME				\$500,112	\$500,112			
Vacancy	& Collection	on Loss	% of Potentia	l Gross Income:	-7.50%	(37,508)	(37,512)	-7.50%	of Potential Gross	Rent
Employee	or Other N	Non-Rental U	Jnits or Conc	essions		0	0			
EFFECTIVE	E GROSS IN	COME				\$462,604	\$462,600			
EXPENSES			% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT			PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General	& Administr	rative	6.43%	\$391	\$0.31	\$29,737	\$33,477	\$0.34	\$440	7.24%
Manageme	ent		5.00%	304	0.24	23,130	23,130	0.24	304	5.00%
Payroll	& Payroll T	ľax	11.85%	721	0.56	54,796	58,475	0.60	769	12.64%
Repairs	& Maintenar	nce	7.56%	460	0.36	34,955	39,216	0.40	516	8.48%
Utilitie	es		2.81%	171	0.13	12,987	6,840	0.07	90	1.48%
Water, S	Sewer, & Tra	ash	2.03%	123	0.10	9,384	13,376	0.14	176	2.89%
Property	Insurance		3.78%	230	0.18	17,478	17,100	0.18	225	3.70%
Property	7 Tax	2.5191	9.93%	605	0.47	45,948	30,400	0.31	400	6.57%
Reserve	for Replace	ements	3.29%	200	0.16	15,200	15,200	0.16	200	3.29%
Other: sp	t svcs, compl	l. fees, sec	3.00%	183	0.14	13,875	13,875	0.14	183	3.00%
TOTAL EXP	PENSES		55.66%	\$3,388	\$2.65	\$257,489	\$251,089	\$2.59	\$3,304	54.28%
NET OPERA	ATING INC		44.34%	\$2,699	\$2.11	\$205,114	\$211,511	\$2.18	\$2,783	45.72%
DEBT SERV				7-7	7-1	7-77,	77	1 7-1	7=7.00	
First Lien	n Mortgage		37.71%	\$2,295	\$1.80	\$174,433	\$174,433	\$1.80	\$2,295	37.71%
Housing Tr	rust Fund Lo	oan	3.29%	\$200	\$0.16	15,200	15,200	\$0.16	\$200	3.29%
Housing Tr	rust Fund Lo	oan	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH	FLOW		3.35%	\$204	\$0.16	\$15,481	\$21,878	\$0.23	\$288	4.73%
AGGREGATE	DEBT COVERA	AGE RATIO				1.08	1.12			
ALTERNATIV	E DEBT COVE	ERAGE RATIO				1.10		•		
CONSTRUCT	TION COST									
Descr	ription	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER_UNIT	% of TOTAL
	ion Cost (s		2.76%	\$2,576	\$2.02	\$195,778	\$195,778	\$2.02	\$2,576	2.84%
Off-Sites		5,	0.00%	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework	-		6.97%	6,500	5.09	494,000	494,000	5.09	6,500	7.16%
	nstruction	n	56.71%	52,904	41.41	4,020,694	3,826,600	39.41	50,350	55.50%
Conting		4.79%	3.05%	2,843	2.22	216,030	216,030	2.22	2,843	3.13%
_	l Requireme		3.66%	3,411	2.67	259,236	259,236	2.67	3,411	3.76%
	ctor's G &	1.91%	1.22%	1,137	0.89	86,412	86,412	0.89	1,137	1.25%
	ctor's G &					259,236	259,236			
			3.66%	3,411	2.67	,	,	2.67	3,411	3.76%
	Construct:	TOU	2.83%	2,642	2.07	200,800	200,800	2.07	2,642	2.91%
Ineligibl			2.36%	2,199	1.72	167,094	167,094	1.72	2,199	2.42%
Developer		3.87%	3.16%	2,950	2.31	224,214	224,214	2.31	2,950	3.25%
_	r's Profit	10.63%	8.70%	8,113	6.35	616,589	616,589	6.35	8,113	8.94%
Interim F	inancing		3.72%	3,469	2.72	263,640	263,640	2.72	3,469	3.82%
Reserves			1.21%	1,126	0.88	85,600	85,600	0.88	1,126	1.24%
TOTAL COS			100.00%	\$93,281	\$73.01	\$7,089,323	\$6,895,229	\$71.01	\$90,727	100.00%
_	l Constructi	ion Costs	75.26%	\$70,205	\$54.95	\$5,335,608	\$5,141,514	\$52.95	\$67,652	74.57%
SOURCES C						+0 00	+0 00	WITH HTF	WITHOUT HTF	
First Lien			28.21%	\$26,316	\$20.60	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,970,000	\$2,000,000	
	rust Fund Lo		6.43%	\$6,000	\$4.70	456,000	456,000	456,000	0	
	dication Pro		60.48%	\$56,413	\$44.15	4,287,422	4,287,422	4,298,009 171,220	4,298,009 597,220	
	Developer Fe L (excess) F		2.13%	\$1,990	\$1.56	151,207 194,694	151,207 600	1/1,220	597,220	
TOTAL SOU		unus kequir	2.75%	\$2,562	\$2.01	\$7,089,323	\$6,895,229	\$6,895,229	\$6,895,229	
10171 200).(CEO					γ1,009,3 <u>4</u> 3	70,073,449	73,033,223	70,073,223	

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Riverwalk Townhomes, 9% LIHTC #02091

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Townhouse Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost			\$ 42.88	\$4,163,733
Adjustments				
Exterior Wall Finish	4.50%		\$1.93	\$187,368
Elderly			0.00	0
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(1.78)	(173,226)
Floor Cover			2.43	235,953
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	6,743	1.95	189,478
Plumbing	\$675	76	0.53	51,300
Built-In Appliances	\$2,000	76	1.57	152,000
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.83	177,693
Garages/Carports	\$13.85	20,556	2.93	284,752
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$56.86	3,000	1.76	170,586
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL			56.02	5,439,637
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		2.24	217,585
Local Multiplier	0.87		(7.28)	(707,153)
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTIO	N COSTS		\$50.98	\$4,950,070
Plans, specs, survy, bld	3.90%		(\$1.99)	(\$193,053)
Interim Construction Int	3.38%		(1.72)	(167,065)
Contractor's OH & Profit	11.50%		(5.86)	(569,258)
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$41.41	\$4,020,694

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

		_	
Primary	\$2,000,000	Term	360
Int Rate	7.90%	DCR	1.18
Secondary	\$456,000	Term	360
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.08
Additional	\$4,287,422	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.08
-			

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt S Secondary Debt Additional Deb NET CASH FLOW	Service t Service	\$171,817 15,200 0 \$18,097	
Primary	\$1,970,000	Term	360
Int Rate	7.90%	DCR	1.19
Secondary	\$456,000	Term	360
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.10
Additional	\$4,287,422	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.10

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$488,712	\$503,373	\$518,475	\$534,029	\$550,050	\$637,658	\$739,221	\$856,959	\$1,151,682
Secondary Income	11,400	11,742	12,094	12,457	12,831	14,874	17,244	19,990	26,865
Other Support Income:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	500,112	515,115	530,569	546,486	562,880	652,533	756,464	876,949	1,178,547
Vacancy & Collection Loss	(37,508)	(38,634)	(39,793)	(40,986)	(42,216)	(48,940)	(56,735)	(65,771)	(88,391)
Employee or Other Non-Rent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$462,604	\$476,482	\$490,776	\$505,499	\$520,664	\$603,593	\$699,729	\$811,178	\$1,090,156
EXPENSES at 4.00%									
General & Administrative	\$29,737	\$30,926	\$32,163	\$33,450	\$34,788	\$42,324	\$51,494	\$62,650	\$92,738
Management	23,130	23,824	24,539	25,275	26,033	30,180	34,986	40,559	54,508
Payroll & Payroll Tax	54,796	56,988	59,267	61,638	64,104	77,992	94,889	115,447	170,890
Repairs & Maintenance	34,955	36,353	37,807	39,319	40,892	49,752	60,531	73,645	109,012
Utilities	12,987	13,506	14,046	14,608	15,193	18,484	22,489	27,361	40,501
Water, Sewer & Trash	9,384	9,759	10,150	10,556	10,978	13,356	16,250	19,770	29,265
Insurance	17,478	18,177	18,904	19,660	20,447	24,877	30,266	36,824	54,508
Property Tax	45,948	47,786	49,698	51,686	53,753	65,399	79,568	96,806	143,297
Reserve for Replacements	15,200	15,808	16,440	17,098	17,782	21,634	26,321	32,024	47,404
Other	13,875	14,430	15,007	15,607	16,232	19,748	24,027	29,233	43,271
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$257,489	\$267,558	\$278,022	\$288,897	\$300,200	\$363,746	\$440,821	\$534,319	\$785,393
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$205,114	\$208,924	\$212,754	\$216,602	\$220,464	\$239,847	\$258,908	\$276,860	\$304,763
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817	\$171,817
Second Lien	15,200	15,200	15,200	15,200	15,200	15,200	15,200	15,200	15,200
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$18,097	\$21,907	\$25,738	\$29,585	\$33,447	\$52,830	\$71,892	\$89,843	\$117,746
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.10	1.12	1.14	1.16	1.18	1.28	1.38	1.48	1.63

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Riverwalk Townhomes, 9% LIHTC #02091

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$195,778	\$195,778		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$494,000	\$494,000	\$494,000	\$494,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$3,826,600	\$4,020,694	\$3,826,600	\$4,020,694
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	ts			
Contractor overhead	\$86,412	\$86,412	\$86,412	\$86,412
Contractor profit	\$259,236	\$259,236	\$259,236	\$259,236
General requirements	\$259,236	\$259,236	\$259,236	\$259,236
(5) Contingencies	\$216,030	\$216,030	\$216,030	\$216,030
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$200,800	\$200,800	\$200,800	\$200,800
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$263,640	\$263,640	\$263,640	\$263,640
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$167,094	\$167,094		
(9) Developer Fees				
Developer overhead	\$224,214	\$224,214	\$224,214	\$224,214
Developer fee	\$616,589	\$616,589	\$616,589	\$616,589
(10) Development Reserves	\$85,600	\$85,600		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$6,895,229	\$7,089,323	\$6,446,757	\$6,640,851

Deduct from Basis:		
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis		
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis		
Non-qualified non-recourse financing		
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]		
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)		
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$6,446,757	\$6,640,851
High Cost Area Adjustment	100%	100%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$6,446,757	\$6,640,851
Applicable Fraction	100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$6,446,757	\$6,640,851
Applicable Percentage	8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	\$544,106	\$560,488

Syndication Proceeds

0.7899

\$4,298,009

\$4,427,411

TDHCA # 02097

Region 3

At Risk Set-Aside



138

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

Points Awarded:

LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS TDHCA#: **Development Name: Park Manor Apartments** 02097 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** LIHTC Primary Set Aside: AR Region: 3 Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 200 Park School House Road Purpose / Activity: ACQ/R City: Waxahachie **Development Type:** Family County: Ellis Zip Code: 75165 TTC **DDA** ✓ QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 5 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Waxahachie DMA Housing, L.P. **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: DMA Community Partners II, Inc. Diana McIver 100 % Diana McIver % Diana McIver NA NA 0 % NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$288,644 \$2,886,440 Credits Requested: \$312,861 Eligible Basis Amount: \$307,599 Equity/Gap Amount: \$288,644 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$3,305,806 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 51,176 0 0 0 0 0 1 30% 1 50,248 Total NRA SF: 40% 0 2 0 10 0 0 12 1.02 24 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 50% 0 1 18 5 0 837 Average Square Feet/Unit: 23 60% 0 0 18 5 0 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$65.79 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$4,811 Total 0 4 36 20 0 0 Total LI Units: 60 **INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION** Owner/Employee Units: 0 \$380,730 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 60 \$261,060 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$119,670 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction 1.25 Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Developer: DMA Development Company, LLC Market Analyst: Integra Realty Resources Housing GC: JDP Group, Inc. Originator/UW: Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: The Gill Companies Cost Estimator: JDP Group Inc. Attorney: Clark Thomas & Winters Architect: Chiles Architects, Inc. Supp Services: Property Manager: Alpha-Barnes Real Estate Services Accountant: Novogradac & Company, LLP NA Engineer: Syndicator: Texas Housing Finance Corporation Permanent Lender: TRI Financial **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION**

Site Review: Acceptable

Underwriting Finding: AC

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Park Manor Apartments Project Number: 02097 **PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY** Note: "O" = Opposed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment # of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(not from Officials): Support: 2 Opposition: 0 A resolution was passed by the local government in support of the development. Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction: Comment from Other Public Official Local Official: Chuck Beatty, Mayor, S Sanford Smith, Building & Development Director, S TX Rep.: Robertt W. Sokoll, City Manager, S Jim Pitts, Dist. 10 S TX Sen.: David H. Cain, Dist. 2 Joe Jenkins, Concilman, S US Rep.: US Sen.: **CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT** Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation confirming that the Applicant will renew the HAP contract with HUD as long as it is offered or until the Department's LURA expires. Receipt, review, and acceptance of an audited 2001 financial statement reflecting not less than \$500,000 in total liabilities to unrelated parties or a reduction in the transfer price to reflect only the amount indicated. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised work write-up or documentation that clarifies that the lead based paint items identified in the Phase I ESA have been addressed. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised loan commitment reflecting debt service of at least \$95,752. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised syndication agreement reflecting a syndication rate of at least \$0.75 per \$1 of credit allocated. Should the terms, rates, or amounts of the permanent loan or syndication change from those considered in the Underwriting report, reevaluation and potential reduction in credits should be conducted by the Underwriter. Alternate Recommendation: RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON: Score Meeting Required Set Aside Meeting the Regional Allocation ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer credits ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer period of time ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built Comment: This development is in the At-Risk Development Set Aside. Because the At-Risk Set Aside is undersubscribed it is necessary that all At Risk Developments recommended by Underwriting be recommended to the Board. Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON: The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason, that reason is identified below: Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Date Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee ☐ BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable): **Approved Credit Amount:** Date of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02097	LII	HTC 9% 🗹 L	AHTC 4% □
Project Name:	Park Manor Apartment	ts	HOME \square	HTF \Box
Project City:	Waxahachie		BOND \square	SECO □
Housing Com	pliance Review			
Project(s) in	material non-compliance			
No previous	participation			
Status of	f Findings (individual complia Participation and Backgrou			
Projects Mor	nitored by the Department			
# reviev	ved # no	ot yet monitored or pendin	g review 4	-
# of projects	grouped by score 0-9:	2 10-19: 0	20-29: 0	-
Members of	the development team have be	een disbarred by HUD		
National Pre	vious Participation Certification	on Received	Yes	
Non-G	Compliance Reported		No)
Completed	by Jo En Taylor	Completed on	05/09/2002	
Single Audit				
Status of Fin	ndings (any outstanding single	e audit issues are listed be	low)	
single auc	dit not applicable 🗹 💮 no ou	utstanding issues ou	itstanding issues	
Comments:				
Completed	by Lucy Trevino	Completed on	05/23/2002	
Program Mon	nitoring			
Status of Fin	ndings (any unresolved issues	are listed below)		
monitor	ing review not applicable ✓	monitoring	review pending[
review	ed; no unresolved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ed issues found	
Comments:				
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson	Completed on	05/17/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs monitoring review n reviewed; no unres Comments:	ot applicable	monitoring reviewed; unresolv	review pending
Completed by E. Wei	lbaecher	_ Completed on	06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Completed by		_ Completed on	
Executive Director: Ec	dwina Carrington	Date	e Signed: _ June 10, 200

DATE: June 11, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02097

		D	EVELOPME	NT NA	ME				
			Park M	Ianor					
			I aik iv	lanoi					
			APPLIC	ANT					
Name:	Waxahachie DN	IA Housing, LP		Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	5100 Bee Caves	Road		City:	Austin	1		State	: <u>TX</u>
Zip:	78746 Conta	ct: Diana McIver		Phone	: (512)	328-32	232 Fax:	(512)	328-4584
		PRINC	CIPALS of th	ne APP	LICANT				
Name:	DMA Commun	ity Partners II, Inc.		(%):	0.01	Title:	Managin	g General	Partner
Name:	Texas Housing	Finance Corporation		(%):	99.99	Title:	Limited	Partner	
Name:	Diana McIver			(%):	N/A	Title:	100% ov	vner of GF)
			GENERAL F	PARTNE	ER .				
Name:	DMA Commun	ty Partners II, Inc.		Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	5100 Bee Caves	Road	_	City:	Austin	l		State	: TX
Zip:	78746 Conta	ct: Diana McIver		Phone	: (512)	328-32	232 Fax:	(512)	328-4584
		P	ROPERTY L	OCATI	ON				
Location:	200 Parks Scho	ool House Road					⊠ QC	r 🗆	DDA
City:	Waxahachie		County:	E	Ellis			Zip:	75165
			_						
			REQU	EST					
:	Amount	Interest Rate		<u>A</u> 1	mortizatio	<u>)n</u>		<u>Term</u>	
\$	312,861	N/A			N/A			N/A	
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year alloc	cation of lo	w-inco	me housi	ng tax cree	dits		
Proposed 1	Use of Funds:	Acquisition/Rehab	Set-As	side:	A A	t Risk [Rural		Non-Profit
			SITE DESC	RIPTIOI	N				
Size: 5	.3 acres	230,868	square feet			nitted Uses	: Multip	le Family -	1
Flood Zone	e Designation:	Zone X	Status of		_	Partially I			

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS					
Total #Rental #Common #of Units: 60 Buildings 5 Area Bldngs 1 Floors 2 Age: 32 yrs Vacant: 2 at 02/01/2002					
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF					
4 1 1 637					
36 2 1 825 20 3 1 900					
Net Rentable SF: 50,248 Av Un SF: 837 Common Area SF: 928 Gross Bldng SF 51,176					
Property Type: Multifamily SFR Rental Elderly Mixed Income Special Use					
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS					
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS					
Wood frame on a concrete slab on grade, 75% masonry/brick veneer/25% wood siding exterior wall covering, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing					
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES					
Carpeting & vinyl flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, refrigerator, fiberglass tub/shower, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters?					
ON-SITE AMENITIES					
Laundry facilities, restrooms, daycare facility, equipped children's play area, picnic areas					
Uncovered Parking: 107 spaces Carports: N/A spaces Garages: N/A spaces					
OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS INTERIM to PERMANENT FINANCING					
Source: TRI Financial Contact: Mark Ragsdale					
Principal Amount: \$970,000 Interest Rate: Lender estimate of 7.5%					
Additional Information: HUD 221(d)4 program; 12 month construction period					
Amortization: 40 yrs Term: 40 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional					
Annual Payment: \$84,196 Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 02/ 26/ 2002					
LIHTC SYNDICATION					
Source: Texas Housing Finance Corporation Contact: George Schmidt					
Address: 1145 W 15 th Street, Suite 100 City: Austin					
State: TX Zip: 78703 Phone: (512) 469-9059 Fax: (512) 469-9864					
Net Proceeds: \$2,252,370 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 72¢					
Commitment					
Additional Information: This is less than the 75¢ minimum syndication rate required in this application cycle.					
APPLICANT EQUITY					
Amount: \$151,069 Source: Deferred developer fee					

VALUATION INFORMATION									
	APPRAISED VALUE								
Land Only:		\$118,000	Г	Date of Valuation:	02/	05/	2002		
Existing Building: as imp	mproved* \$894,000			Date of Valuation:	02/	05/	2002		
Total Market Value:		\$1,012,000		Date of Valuation:	02/	05/	2002		
Appraiser: The Gill Co.	Appraiser: The Gill Companies		Dexter	Phone:	(573)	624-6	5614		
*calculated by Underwriter									
		ASS	SESSED VALUE						
Land:	\$92,400		Assessment fo	r the Year of:	2001				
Building:	\$649,230		Valuation by:	Ellis County A	ppraisal l	District			
Total Assessed Value:	\$741,630		Tax Rate:	2.67891					

EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL							
Type of Site Control: Purchase Option	n						
Contract Expiration Date: 11/	13/	2002	_ Anticipated Closing Date:	10/	01/	2002	
Acquisition Cost: \$ 500,000	Other T	erms/Con	ditions: \$100 option pric	\$100 option price plus \$500 extension fee			
Seller: Waxahachie, Ltd.*			Related to Dev	elopment	Team Me	ember:	Yes

^{*} Diana McIver, 100% owner of the general partner, is also a 10.84% limited partner of the seller. Ms. McIver has stated in writing that, should the development receive a LIHTC allocation, she will relinquish her interests in the seller without compensation.

REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS

No previous reports.

PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION

<u>Description</u>: Park Manor is a proposed acquisition and rehabilitation development of 60 units of affordable housing located in Waxahachie, Ellis County. The development was constructed in 1970 and is comprised of five residential buildings as follows:

- One Building Style A with 12 two-bedroom units;
- Two Building Style B/C with four two-bedroom units and eight three-bedroom units;
- One Building Style D with eight two-bedroom units and four three-bedroom units; and
- One Building Style E with four one-bedroom units and eight two-bedroom units.

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site, with the office building/daycare located near the entrance to the site.

Existing Subsidies: The development has all 60 units enrolled in the HUD Section 8 program via a Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) contract and intends to renew the contract. It is a condition of this report that the HAP contract be renewed by the Applicant for as long as it is offered or until the Department's LURA expires. The Applicant has also applied for funding under the FHA/HUD 221(d)4 substantial rehabilitation program and will be subject to income and rent restrictions under that program.

Development Plan: The buildings are currently 97% occupied and, according to the appraiser and market analyst, in average condition for the area. The submitted work write-up includes: sitework, repair/replacement of concrete, masonry, carpentry, insulation, roofing, electrical fictures, tubs, toilets, sinks, plumbing fixtures, water heaters, doors, windows, drywall, tile, flooring, cabinets and appliances, installation of HVAC units and a new mail center, and asbestos abatement.

According to the submitted Relocation Plan, "The relocation housing needs of lease-compliant Park Manor families will be determined by interviewing each family...Lease compliant families will receive reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the temporary

relocation, including the cost of moving to and from the temporarily occupied housing and any increase in monthly rent/utility costs at such housing. The Waxahachie Housing Authority has offered vacant housing authority units as a relocation resource...rent will be based on family income, [therefore] relocated families should not be charged any rent or utility cost in excess of the charges in the Section 8 program at Park Manor. Because only twelve families will be relocated at any given time, and for approximately one month at a time, the vacancies in the apartments owned by the Waxahachie Housing Authority should be a sufficient relocation resource." The Applicant has included \$90,000 in relocation costs in the submitted Cost Breakdown (E102).

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The application indicates that no supportive services are planned to be provided to tenants.

Schedule: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in January of 2003 and to be completed in October of 2003. The buildings are to be placed in service in October of 2003 with substantial lease-up in November of 2003.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside with all of the units reserved for low-income tenants. One unit will be set-aside for households with incomes at or below 30% of AMGI, 12 units (20% of the total) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 24 units (40% of the total) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI and 23 units (38% of the total) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

<u>Special Needs Set-Asides</u>: Five units (8% of the total) will be set-aside for households with handicapped/developmentally-disabled individuals.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated March 7, 2002 and prepared by Integra realty Resources highlighted the following findings:

<u>Definition of Market/Submarket</u>: "We have concluded that an appropriate Primary Market Area (PMA) for the subject is the area within a 6-mile radius of the City of Waxahachie (intersection of Highways 77 and 287)." (p. 16)

<u>Total Local/Submarket Demand for Rental Units:</u> "The analysis indicates that there are 1,609 low income rental households in the PMA. Currently, there are only 75 LIHTC units in the submarket. There are additional units that are affordable through Section 8 and other programs, but these are in short supply as well. The data indicates extremely strong demand and need for additional affordable housing in the PMA." (p. 44) The market study did not provide sufficient information on which to base a calculation of demand specific to this development. However, the subject is currently +90% occupied with Section 8 tenants and the Applicant plans to relocate tenants during the rehabilitation rather than breaking leases.

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "The supply for the capture rate will consist of the subject and Bent Tree Townhomes, which are now under construction." (p. 44) The market analyst calculated a capture rate of 19.2%. However, as noted above, the development is currently +90% occupied with Section 8 tenants and the Applicant plans to relocate tenants during the rehabilitation rather than breaking leases.

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)								
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential			
1-Bedroom (30%)	\$328	\$355	-\$27	\$510	-\$182			
1-Bedroom (40%)	\$450	\$481	-\$31	\$510	-\$60			
1-Bedroom (50%)	\$450	\$605	-\$155	\$510	-\$60			
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$550	\$719	-\$169	\$570	-\$20			
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$550	\$869	-\$319	\$570	-\$20			
3-Bedroom (40%)	\$605	\$655	-\$50	\$620	-\$15			
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$605	\$828	-\$223	\$620	-\$15			
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$605	\$1,001	-\$396	\$620	-\$15			

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

Submarket Vacancy Rates: "The average occupancy is 94% on both a weighted and simple average basis." (p. 34)

<u>Absorption Projections</u>: Historical absorption for the period between 1990 and the present has averaged 12 units per year. (p. 35)

Known Planned Development: "There is one development currently under construction in the subject's market area with completion slated for 2002. Bent Tree Townhomes will have 250 LIHTC units upon completion. In addition, Indian Creek has a phase II proposed for an additional 44 units with completion estimated for 2003. The management of Franklin Place Apartments reports an additional 8 one bedroom units to be added within the next 24 months. Thus a total of 302 units are proposed int his market. Note that the subject will not represent new supply, as the subject's units are now occupied and have been included in the quantification of existing supply." (p. 35)

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

An appraisal was also provided by Samuel Gill of the Gill companies. The limited scope appraisal is dated February 15, 2002 with an effective date of February 5, 2002. The Appraiser indicated an income approach to value based on the Section 8 rent subsidies/restrictions of \$539,476 using a capitalization rate of 13%. This was based on "actual expenses for 2000 as indicated in Statement Profit and Loss from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2000." However, the figures used (NOI of \$70,131) are inconsistent with the audited financial statements for 2000. In fact, backing out depreciation and mortgage expenses from the 1999 and 2001 audited financial results in NOIs of \$63,558 and \$62,912. Moreover, using the December 31, 2001 unaudited financial statement for the year, NOI dropped to \$34,407. Using the cap rate prescribed in the appraisal would result in a value of at most \$488,908 and as little as \$264,669 based on the property's true NOI. The Appraiser concludes that an unrestricted market value for the property is \$1,012,000.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: The subject is located in the City of Waxahachie, Ellis County, 35 miles south of the Dallas city limits.

Population: The 2000 population for Ellis County, according to the US Census Bureau, was 1,111,360 with 307,020 total households. There were 8,802 renter-occupied households. The population for the City of Waxahachie in 2000, according to the US Census Bureau, was 21,426. There were 7,325 total households with 2,830 renter-occupied households. The population of the Primary Market Area defined by the market analyst was 26,337 in 2001 and is projected to be 28,097 in 2006, an annual change of 1.30%.

<u>Adjacent Land Uses</u>: The neighborhood is a mixture of single-family and commercial areas and is approximately 50% built-up. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: Residential development
- **South:** Residential development
- East: Vacant landWest: Vacant land

<u>Site Access</u>: Major highways through the city include IH 20 and IH 30 both running east/west. Running north/south through Dallas are IH 35 and IH 45. Dallas is linked to Houston via IH 45, Austin and San Antonio via IH 35, and the western part of Texas via IH 20.

<u>Public Transportation</u>: Dallas Area Rapid transit (DART) operates a mass transit service including buses and light rail in the Dallas area, but does not serve the Waxahachie market. The availability of public transportation in the City of Waxahachie is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The subject is located in the Waxahachie Independent School District. The region offers a number of college level education opportunities including the Dallas County Community College, The University of Dallas and Southern Methodist University. According to the market analyst, there are a number of shopping centers prociding goods and services to the residents of the area. The nearest full service hospital is Baylor Medical Center, located less than three miles northwest. Recreational facilities include

Ellis County museum, 12 parks, one area lake, one theater and six tennis courts.

Special Adverse Conditions: The property has asbestos and lead based paint concerns that were observed in the work write-up and the Phase I ESA addresses below.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site was inspected by a TDHCA staff member, on May 7, 2002. the inspector found the property to be in fair shape but recognized additional concern for exterior trim, stairways, doors, and parking. He also inspected at least one off-line unit that had significant repair needs throughout.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated February 22, 2002 and prepared by Property Assessment Consultants, Inc. contained the following findings and recommendations:

Findings:

Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM): "The inspection of the facility indicated the presence of suspected asbestos-containing materials. Wall and ceiling surfacing materials and flooring were sampled for potential asbestos materials (ACM). The ceiling texture, wall texture and flooring were generally in nonfriable condition, and did not constitute a potential source of fiber release as of the date of the inspection. Based on the presence of asbestos-containing flooring, wall and ceiling surfacing materials in the facility, we recommend that an Operations and Maintenance (O & M) Plan be developed for the facility." An Asbestos O & M Program, prepared by Property Assessment Consultants, Inc., was submitted and the Applicant's development cost budget includes \$150,000 for asbestos abatement.

Lead-Based Paint (LBP): The results of the survey indicated that lead-based paint is present on some of the exterior doors and thresholds. Based on the results of this inspection, the condition of the exterior doors should be periodically monitored, and the door thresholds should be repainted to encapsulate exposed lead-based paint. Replacement of exterior doors and repair of thresholds is recommended as part of the site renovation plans." It appears that the submitted work write-up includes plans to replace all of the exterior doors the thresholds were not specifically mentioned. Receipt, review and acceptance of a revised work write-up or documentation clarifying if and where the lead based paint items identified in the Phase I ESA are included in the write-up is a condition of this report.

Recommendations: "Recognized Environmental Conditions were not identified for the site in the performance of this investigation, and further investigations of the subject facility do not appear to be warranted at this time."

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: Although the Applicant's potential gross income estimate and secondary income assumption are slightly understated as compared to the Underwriter's estimates, their effective gross income figure is inline with the Underwriter's projection. Both estimates are based on current rent restrictions under the existing HAP contract.

Expenses: The Applicant's total operating expense estimate is \$23K or 9% higher than the Underwriter's estimate. Although the Underwriter's line-item estimates were adjusted to reflect the historical operating expenses of the existing development, several of the Applicant's estimates differ by more than \$5,000. These include: general & administrative (\$8K lower), repairs & maintenance (\$9K higher), payroll (\$36K higher) and other/security (\$17K lower). Payroll, general and administration and repairs and maintenance were adjusted to reflect the IREM Dallas figures and were also consistent with true operating experience indicated in the Appraisal. In fact, the Appraiser provided three comparable operating expense histories that ranged from \$4,038 to \$4,500 per unit in similar vintage units with similar rent located in Waxahachie and South Dallas. The Appraiser concluded \$4,101 as the subject's "market" operating expense but did not indicate why the actual rents at the subject are so much higher other than regarding payroll and other operating expenses to be nearly double the amount of the comparables. The Underwriter's estimate would have been inline with the Appraiser's estimate except it includes additional other/security expense which the Applicant had indicated would be significantly reduced with the construction of security fencing. Such fencing, however, was not included in the work write-up provided and, therefore, the Underwriter added the property's historical security expense to other expenses resulting in \$4,351 per unit in total operating expenses.

Conclusion: Overall, the Applicant's net operating income estimate is more than 5% lower than the

Underwriter's estimate; therefore, the Underwriter's estimate will be used to determine the project's ability to service debt. This results in a debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.56, which is well over the Department's DCR guideline of 1.10 to 1.25. In order to limit the DCR to a maximum of 1.25, the development should service debt in the amount of at least \$95,752 annually assuming operating expenses for the property can be brought inline with typical expenses in the area.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Acquisition Cost: The sale of the development is not an arm's length transaction. However, Diana McIver, 100% owner of the general partner and 10.84% limited partner of the seller has stated in writing that, should the development receive a LIHTC allocation, she will relinquish her interests in the seller without compensation. The proposed acquisition cost of \$500,000 is less than both the market appraised value of \$1,012,000 and the tax-assessed value of \$741,630. The Appraiser's income approach to value produced a Section 8 restricted value of \$539,476 but this does not appear to be based on actual NOI which would result in a lower value of between \$488,908 to \$264,669 as discussed above. The current note balance on the existing HUD loan is \$381,432. The 2000 year end audited financial statement reflected total liabilities for the property to be \$468,970 and the unaudited 2001 statement indicated this has risen to \$531,345. The Applicant's audited financial statement provides total liabilities to unrelated parties that could be used as a proxy for substantiating a break even value in the identity of interest sale. As such the Underwriter will preliminarily accept the proposed transfer price of \$500,000, subject to confirmation that the final 2001 audited financial statement reflects not less than \$500,000 in total liabilities to unrelated parties and subject to them all being cleared at closing.

The Applicant arbitrarily chose a land value of \$50,000, which is understated compared to both the appraised value of \$118,000 and the assessed value of \$92,400. The Underwriter has utilized the appraised land value of \$118,000 in this analysis to determine the development's preliminary acquisition eligible basis. **Sitework Cost:** The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$2,985 per unit are considered reasonable as this is a rehabilitation development. As mentioned above, they do not include fencing which was referenced elsewhere in the application.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Underwriter has accepted the Applicant's direct construction cost estimate as it was signed by a third party registered architect, and contractor. It includes \$150,000 for asbestos abatement, but nothing specifically for extraordinary lead based paint abatement.

<u>Fees:</u> The Underwriter included a \$30,000 bond listed under other construction costs in the submitted development cost schedule as other indirect costs. The Applicant's contractor's profit exceeds the 6% maximums allowed by LIHTC guidelines based on their own construction costs. Consequently the Applicant's eligible fees in this have been reduced by \$705 with the overage effectively moved to ineligible costs. The Applicant also included developer fees for acquisition though it would be difficult to substantiate due diligence work in the acquisition, being a partner in the seller entity. Moreover, Ms. McIver indicated she would relinquish her interests in the seller without compensation. Thus, the proposed developer fees exceed 15% of the Applicant's adjusted rehabilitation eligible basis and, therefore, the eligible potion of the Applicant's developer fee must be reduced by \$66,928.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Overall, the Applicant's total development cost is identical to the Underwriter's estimate since it is based upon the work write-up which is consistent with the Applicant's property cost schedule. The only differences are those adjustments described above. Therefore, the Underwriter's estimate, adjusted for overstated fees and an understated land value and reflected in the Underwriter's estimate, will be used to calculate the development's eligible basis and total funding need.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with three types of financing: an interim to permanent loan, syndicated LIHTC equity and deferred developer's fees.

<u>Interim to Permanent Loan</u>: In accordance with the FHA requirements for Section 221(d)4 substantial rehabilitation mortgages, TRI Capital Company, Inc. will provide construction and permanent financing upon approval from HUD. The requested loan amount is \$970,000 for both the interim and permanent periods. The loan will have an interest only construction period of 12 months plus a 40-year fully amortizing permanent mortgage. The interest rate to be used for the current maximum mortgage calculation is estimated

by the lender at 7.50% based on market conditions at commitment. The final rate at which the loan will be set prior to initial endorsement is subject to market conditions at the time of issuance of the HUD Firm Commitment to Insure. Based on the Underwriter's proforma and minimum debt service amount, this loan will need to be increased even with a 30 year amortization to \$1,141,190. If the rate and terms differ from 7.5% and 30 years, additional debt and, therefore, fewer tax credits may be finally allocated.

LIHTC Syndication: Texas Housing Finance Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$2,252,370 based on a syndication factor of 72%. This is less than the 75% rate that has been established as the minimum for this allocation cycle and receipt of a revised syndication rate is a condition of this report. The funds would be disbursed in a five-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 25% at closing;
- 2. 65% during construction;
- 3. 5% at stabilization;
- 4. 3% upon receipt of 8609; and
- 5. 2% upon receipt of K-1.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$151,069 amount to 36% of the total proposed fees.

Financing Conclusions: As stated above, the Underwriter's total development cost estimate was used to calculate the development's eligible basis. Based on this analysis, the development qualifies for a tax credit allocation of \$307,599 annually for ten years, or \$5,262 per year less than requested. This amounts to projected syndication proceeds of \$2,306,761. However, as noted above, the development's debt coverage ratio exceeds the Department's DCR guideline of 1.10 to 1.25. Limiting the DCR to 1.25 would result in a potential increase in the permanent loan to \$1,141,190, eliminating the need for deferred developer fees and reducing the need for syndication proceed to not more than \$2,164,616, thereby reducing the credit amount to a gap based \$288,644. This is \$24,217 less than requested and is primarily a result of the understated land value and request for developer fee on the acquisition portion of the transaction.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The individual units are of average size for the market area and the floorplans include small bedrooms with adequate storage space. The brick/wood siding exterior of the buildings is typical for 1970s construction. It appears from the submitted photographs and work write-up that significant rehabilitation is needed and planned for the development.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The Applicant and developer are related entities. In addition, the general contractor and cost estimator are related entities. These identities of interest are common for LIHTC-funded developments. Ms. Diana McIver, 100% owner of the general partner, is also a 10.84% limited partner of the seller. Ms. McIver has stated in writing that, should the development receive a LIHTC allocation, she will relinquish her interests in the seller without compensation. This subject is dealt with in more detail in the construction cost estimate evaluation section of this report.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant is a single-purpose entity created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and, therefore, has no material financial statements.
- The general partner, DMA Community Partners II, Inc., submitted an unaudited financial statement as of December 31, 2001 reporting total assets of \$18K consisting of cash and land purchased. Liabilities totaled \$2K, resulting in total equity of \$16K.
- Diana McIver, 100% owner of the general partner, also provided an unaudited financial statement.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant is a new entity formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The general partner has two LIHTC housing developments totaling 104 units currently under construction.

• Diana McIver, 100% owner of the general partner, has participated in nine LIHTC/HTF developments totaling 454 units since 1998.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's operating proforma is more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable range.
- The development could potentially achieve an excessive profit level (i.e., a DCR above 1.25) if the maximum tax credit rents can be achieved in this market.
- Significant environmental risks exist with regard to asbestos and lead based paint.
- The seller of the property has an identity of interest with the Applicant.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$288,644 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation confirming that the Applicant will renew the HAP contract with HUD as long as it is offered or until the Department's LURA expires;
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of an audited 2001 financial statement reflecting not less than \$500,000 in total liabilities to unrelated parties or a reduction in the transfer price to reflect only the amount indicated;
- 3. Receipt, review and acceptance of a revised work write-up or documentation that clarifies that the lead based paint items identified in the Phase I ESA have been addressed;
- 4. Receipt, review and acceptance of a revised loan commitment reflecting debt service of at least \$95,752;
- 5. Receipt, review and acceptance of a revised syndication agreement reflecting a syndication rate of at least \$0.75 per \$1 of credit allocated; and
- 6. Should the terms, rates, or amounts of the permanent loan or syndication change from those considered in the Underwriting report, a re-evaluation and potential reduction in credits should be conducted by the Underwriter.

Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Ling Vanakistii	Date:	June 11, 2002
	Lisa Vecchietti		
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	June 11, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Park Manor, Waxahachie, LIHTC 02097

Type of Unit	Number	Bedrooms	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt.	Net Rent per Unit	Rent per Month	Rent per SF	Electric	W,S,T
TC 30%	1	1	1	637	\$373	\$450	\$450	\$0.71	\$70.00	\$38.00
TC 40%	2	1	1	637	499	450	900	0.71	70.00	38.00
TC 50%	1	1	1	637	623	450	450	0.71	70.00	38.00
TC 50%	18 18	2	1	825 825	748 898	550 550	9,900	0.67	98.00 98.00	45.00 45.00
TC 40%	10	3	1	900	691	605	6,050	0.67	121.00	67.00
TC 50%	5	3	1	900	864	605	3,025	0.67	121.00	67.00
TC 60%	5	3	1	900	1,037	605	3,025	0.67	121.00	67.00
TOTAL:	60		AVERAGE:	837	\$801	\$562	\$33,700	\$0.67	\$103.80	\$51.87
INCOME		Total Net Re	ntable Sq Ft:	50,248		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL	GROSS RI	ENT				\$404,400	\$402,936			
Secondar	y Income		Per	Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	7,200	6,660	\$9.25	Per Unit Per Mo	nth
Other Su	pport Inco	me: (descr	ibe)			0	0			
POTENTIAL	GROSS I	NCOME				\$411,600	\$409,596			
Vacancy	& Collecti	on Loss	% of Potentia	al Gross Income:	-7.50%	(30,870)	(30,720)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee	or Other	Non-Rental	Units or Co	ncessions		0	0			
EFFECTIVE	GROSS II	NCOME				\$380,730	\$378,876			
EXPENSES			% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT			PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General	& Administ	rative	5.72%	\$363	\$0.43	\$21,792	\$13,600	\$0.27	\$227	3.59%
Manageme			5.41%	343	0.41	20,583	20,480	0.41	341	5.41%
=	& Payroll		13.59%	863	1.03	51,755	87,300	1.74	1,455	23.04%
-	& Maintena	ince	6.82%	433	0.52	25,955	35,300	0.70	588	9.32%
Electric			5.10%	324	0.39	19,433	19,000	0.38	317	5.01%
	ewer & Tra		11.80%	749	0.89	44,943	47,000	0.94	783	12.41%
	Insurance		3.99%	253	0.30	15,193	17,000	0.34	283	4.49%
Property		2.67891	5.22%	331	0.40	19,868	23,300	0.46	388	6.15%
	for Replac		4.73%	300	0.36	18,000	15,000	0.30	250	3.96%
=	ce, Securi	ty	6.18%	392	0.47	23,537	6,500	0.13	108	1.72%
TOTAL EXP			68.57%	\$4,351	\$5.20	\$261,060	\$284,480	\$5.66	\$4,741	75.09%
NET OPERA DEBT SERV		:	31.43%	\$1,995	\$2.38	\$119,670	\$94,396	\$1.88	\$1,573	24.91%
First Lien			20.12%	\$1,277	\$1.52	\$76,599	\$84,196	\$1.68	\$1,403	22.22%
Additional		ſ	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
Additional	_		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH	FLOW	,	11.31%	\$718	\$0.86	\$43,071	\$10,200	\$0.20	\$170	2.69%
AGGREGATE	DEBT COVER	RAGE RATIO				1.56	1.12	,		
		ERAGE RATIO	O			1.25		•		
CONSTRUCT	CION COST					r		ı		
Descr	-	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
-		(site or bldg	15.17%	\$8,358	\$9.98	\$501,500	\$501,500	\$9.98	\$8,358	14.87%
Off-Sites	3		0.00%	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework			5.42%	2,985	3.56	179,108	179,108	3.56	2,985	5.31%
Direct Co		on	42.04%	23,163	27.66	1,389,776	1,389,776	27.66	23,163	41.20%
Conting	-	7.01%	3.33%	1,833	2.19	110,000	110,000	2.19	1,833	3.26%
General	Requirer	τ 5.37%	2.55%	1,404	1.68	84,248	84,248	1.68	1,404	2.50%
Contrac	tor's G 8	1.94%	0.92%	506	0.60	30,380	30,380	0.60	506	0.90%
Contrac	ctor's Pro	6.00%	2.85%	1,569	1.87	94,133	94,838	1.89	1,581	2.81%
Indirect	Construct	tion	8.55%	4,708	5.62	282,500	282,500	5.62	4,708	8.37%
Ineligibl	e Expense	es	1.91%	1,051	1.26	63,089	63,089	1.26	1,051	1.87%
Developer	's G & A	2.00%	1.41%	776	0.93	46,543	83,200	1.66	1,387	2.47%
Developer	r's Profit	13.00%	9.15%	5,042	6.02	302,529	332,800	6.62	5,547	9.87%
Interim F	inancing		4.75%	2,617	3.12	157,000	157,000	3.12	2,617	4.65%
Reserves			1.97%	1,083	1.29	65,000	65,000	1.29	1,083	1.93%
TOTAL COS	ST		100.00%	\$55,097	\$65.79	\$3,305,806	\$3,373,439	\$67.14	\$56,224	100.00%
Recap-Hard		ion Costs	57.10%	\$31,461	\$37.57	\$1,887,645	\$1,888,350	\$37.58 RECOMMENDED	\$31,473	55.98%
First Lien			29.34%	\$16,167	\$19.30	\$970,000	\$970,000	\$1,141,190		
Additional		ı	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	0		
LIHTC Synd			68.13%	\$37,540	\$44.83	2,252,370	2,252,370	2,164,616		
Deferred D	eveloper F	ees	4.57%	\$2,518	\$3.01	151,069	151,069			
		Funds Requ	-2.05%	(\$1,127)	(\$1.35)	(67,633)	0	0		
TOTAL SOU	JRCES					\$3,305,806	\$3,373,439	\$3,305,806		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Park Manor, Waxahachie, LIHTC 02097

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost		-		
Adjustments		-		
Exterior Wall Finish	1			
Elderly				
Roofing				
Subfloor				
Floor Cover				
Porches/Balconies				
Plumbing				
Built-In Appliances				
Stairs/Fireplaces				
Floor Insulation				
Heating/Cooling				
Garages/Carports				
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs				
Other:				
SUBTOTAL				
Current Cost Multiplier				
Local Multiplier				
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	N COSTS			
Plans, specs, survy, blo	l prmts			
Interim Construction Int	erest			
Contractor's OH & Profit				
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS			

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$970,000	Term	480
Int Rate	7.50%	DCR	1.56
Secondary	\$0	Term	
Secondary Int Rate	\$0	Term Subtotal DCR	1.56

Additional	\$2,252,370	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.56

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$95,752	
0	
0	
\$23,918	

Primary	\$1,141,190	Term	360
Int Rate	7.50%	DCR	1.25
Secondary	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.25
Additional	\$2,252,370	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.25

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$404,400	\$416,532	\$429,028	\$441,899	\$455,156	\$527,650	\$611,691	\$709,118	\$952,995
Secondary Income	7,200	7,416	7,638	7,868	8,104	9,394	10,891	12,625	16,967
Other Support Income: (de	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	411,600	423,948	436,666	449,766	463,259	537,045	622,582	721,743	969,962
Vacancy & Collection Loss	(30,870)	(31,796)	(32,750)	(33,732)	(34,744)	(40,278)	(46,694)	(54,131)	(72,747)
Employee or Other Non-Ren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$380,730	\$392,152	\$403,916	\$416,034	\$428,515	\$496,766	\$575,888	\$667,612	\$897,215
EXPENSES at 4.00%								, ,	
General & Administrative	\$21,792	\$22,664	\$23,570	\$24,513	\$25,493	\$31,017	\$37,736	\$45,912	\$67,961
Management	20,583	21,200	21,836	22,491	23,166	26,856	31,133	36,092	48,505
Payroll & Payroll Tax	51,755	53,826	55,979	58,218	60,547	73,664	89,624	109,041	161,407
Repairs & Maintenance	25,955	26,994	28,073	29,196	30,364	36,943	44,946	54,684	80,946
Utilities	19,433	20,210	21,018	21,859	22,733	27,659	33,651	40,941	60,603
Water, Sewer & Trash	44,943	46,741	48,611	50,555	52,578	63,969	77,828	94,689	140,163
Insurance	15,193	15,801	16,433	17,090	17,774	21,625	26,310	32,010	47,383
Property Tax	19,868	20,662	21,489	22,348	23,242	28,278	34,404	41,858	61,960
Reserve for Replacements	18,000	18,720	19,469	20,248	21,057	25,620	31,170	37,923	56,136
Other	23,537	24,479	25,458	26,476	27,535	33,501	40,759	49,590	73,405
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$261,060	\$271,296	\$281,936	\$292,995	\$304,490	\$369,130	\$447,562	\$542,741	\$798,468
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$119,670	\$120,856	\$121,980	\$123,039	\$124,025	\$127,637	\$128,327	\$124,872	\$98,747
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752	\$95,752
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$23,918	\$25,103	\$26,228	\$27,286	\$28,272	\$31,884	\$32,574	\$29,119	\$2,994
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.25	1.26	1.27	1.28	1.30	1.33	1.34	1.30	1.03

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Park Manor, Waxahachie, LIHTC 02097

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	ACQUISITION	ACQUISITION	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost						
Purchase of land	\$51,500	\$121,000				
Purchase of buildings	\$450,000	\$380,500	\$450,000	\$380,500		
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost						
On-site work	\$179,108	\$179,108			\$179,108	\$179,108
Off-site improvements						
(3) Construction Hard Costs						
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$1,389,776	\$1,389,776			\$1,389,776	\$1,389,776
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	s					
Contractor overhead	\$30,380	\$30,380			\$30,380	\$30,380
Contractor profit	\$94,838	\$94,133			\$94,133	\$94,133
General requirements	\$84,248	\$84,248			\$84,248	\$84,248
(5) Contingencies	\$110,000	\$110,000			\$110,000	\$110,000
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$282,500	\$282,500			\$282,500	\$282,500
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$157,000	\$157,000			\$157,000	\$157,000
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$63,089	\$63,089				
(9) Developer Fees			\$67,500		\$348,500	
Developer overhead	\$83,200	\$46,543		·		\$46,543
Developer fee	\$332,800	\$302,529				\$302,529
(10) Development Reserves	\$65,000	\$65,000				
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$3,373,439	\$3,305,806	\$517,500	\$380,500	\$2,675,645	\$2,676,217

Deduct from Basis:					
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis					
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis					
Non-qualified non-recourse financing					
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]					
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)					
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS		\$517,500	\$380,500	\$2,675,645	\$2,676,217
High Cost Area Adjustment				130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS		\$517,500	\$380,500	\$3,478,339	\$3,479,082
Applicable Fraction		100%	100%	100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS		\$517,500	\$380,500	\$3,478,339	\$3,479,082
Applicable Percentage		3.67%	3.67%	8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS		\$18,992	\$13,964	\$293,572	\$293,635
Syndication Proceeds	0.7499	\$142,428	\$104,722	\$2,201,568	\$2,202,039

Total Tax Credits \$2,201,568 \$2,202,039

Total Tax Credits \$312,564 \$307,599

Total Syndication Proceeds \$2,343,996 \$2,306,761

Actual Gap of Need \$2,164,616

Maximum Tax Credits \$288,644

TDHCA # 02149

Region 3

General Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

TDHCA#: **Development Name: Madison Point Apartments** 02149 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** LIHTC Primary Set Aside: G Region: 3 Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 3600 S R L Thornton Freeway Purpose / Activity: NC City: **Dallas Development Type:** Family County: Dallas 75224 Zip Code: TTC **DDA ✓** QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 13 Units for Disabled/Other-Hearing/Visually Impaired Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Madison Point L.P. **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: Picerne Madison Point, LLC 100 % Kurt P. Kehoe Robert M. Picerne NA 0 % Picerne Investment Corp. Ronald Picerne 0 % NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$1,091,818 \$10,918,180 Credits Requested: \$1,053,119 Eligible Basis Amount: \$1,152,701 Equity/Gap Amount: \$1,091,818 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$15,101,533 Eff 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 208.336 0 0 24 24 8 0 56 30% 205,432 Total NRA SF: 40% 0 0 24 24 8 0 56 1.01 28 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 0 50% 0 10 10 8 1.167 Average Square Feet/Unit: 36 60% 0 0 14 14 8 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$73.51 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$7,799 Total 0 0 72 72 32 0 Total LI Units: 140 **INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION** Owner/Employee Units: 0 \$1,277,987 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 176 \$684,562 **Total Expenses:** 79.00 Applicable Fraction: \$593,425 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction 1.10 Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Developer: Picerne Affordable Development Market Analyst: Real Property Research Group Picerne Construction Corporation Housing GC: Originator/UW: NA Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: NA Cost Estimator: NA Attorney: Gray, Harris & Robinson Architect: Architecttura, Inc. Supp Services: Picerne Management Corporation Property Manager: Picerne Management Corporation Accountant: Reznick, Fedder & Silverman Kimley-Horn & Associates, Inc. Engineer: Syndicator: Columbia Housing Partners, LP Permanent Lender: PNC Real Estate Finance **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION** Underwriting Finding: AC 157 Site Review: Acceptable Points Awarded:

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued)	
Project Name: Madison Point Apartments	Project Number: 02149
PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY Note: "O" = Oppose	d, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment
# of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(news)	ot from Officials): Support: 0 Opposition: 0
$\ \square$ A resolution was passed by the local government in	support of the development.
Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction:	Comment from Other Public Official
Local Official: NC	Jack Ireland, City of Dallas Assistant Director, S
TX Rep.: Jesse Jones, Dist. 110 NC	
TX Sen.: Royce West, Dist. 23 O	
US Rep.:	
US Sen.:	
CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT	
Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation indicating that Applicant's name for direct access to the proposed site.	
an alternative financing structure acceptable to the department	nents resulting in total annual debt service of no more than \$539,239, or
Should the rates, terms or amount of debt be different than the Un this report should be re-evaluated and the credit amount may be r	derwriter's assumptions herein, the conditions and recommendations of educed.
Alternate Recommendation:	
RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND	D DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON:
✓ Score	quired Set Aside
☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a long ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different er Comment: This was one of the highest scoring developments in	er period of time its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ntities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built
Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date	David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date
RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AN	ID REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON:
The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Adviso above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason,	ry Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the that reason is identified below:
Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee	 Date
☐ BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIP	PTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable):
	of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Developer Evaluation

Compliance Status Summary

TO A CONT. BUT IN TO I A A A A		
Project Name: Madison Point Apartments	HOME \square	HTF \Box
Project City: Dallas	BOND \square	SECO □
Housing Compliance Review		
Project(s) in material non-compliance		
No previous participation		
Status of Findings (individual compliance status reports and Participation and Background Certification(s) ava		6
Projects Monitored by the Department		
# reviewed6 # not yet monitored or pen	ding review 3	<u></u>
# of projects grouped by score 0-9:6 10-19:0	20-29: 0	<u> </u>
Members of the development team have been disbarred by HUD	l	
National Previous Participation Certification Received	Ye	<u>es</u>
Non-Compliance Reported	1	No
Completed by Jo En Taylor Completed of	on 06/06/2002	
Single Audit		
Status of Findings (any outstanding single audit issues are listed	below)	
single audit not applicable ✓ no outstanding issues □	outstanding issue	es 🗌
Comments:		
Completed by Lucy Trevino Completed of	on 06/06/2002	
Program Monitoring		
Status of Findings (any unresolved issues are listed below)		
monitoring review not applicable 🗹 monitor	ing review pendin	g
reviewed; no unresolved issues reviewed; unres	solved issues found	d 🗌
Comments:		
Completed by Ralph Hendrickson Completed of	on 06/06/2002	

community Affairs	Status of Finding	gs (any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending
reviewed; no unres	olved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ed issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
lousing Finance	Status of Finding	s (any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending
reviewed; no unres	olved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ed issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
monitoring review n reviewed; no unres Comments: Completed by E. Wei		monitoring reviewed; unresolv Completed on	review pending ed issues found 06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Finding	s (any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending
reviewed; no unres	olved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ed issues found
Comments:			

DATE: June 12, 2002 **PROGRAM:** 9% LIHTC **FILE NUMBER:** 02149

	DEVELOPMENT NAME										
Madison Point											
			APPLIC	CANT							
Name:	Madison Point I	LP .		Type:	For:	Profit	Non-P	rofit	Municipal	Other	
Address:	247 N Westmon	nte Drive		City:	Altamo	onte Sprii	ngs		State	: FL	
Zip:	32714 Conta	Kurt P Kehoe		Phone	: (407)	772-0	200	Fax:	(407)	772-0220	
PRINCIPALS of the APPLICANT											
Name:	Picerne Madiso	n Point LLC		(%):	0.01	Title:	Ma	anaging	General	Partner	
Name:	Columbia Hous	ing		(%):	99.99	Title:	Li	mited Pa	artner		
Name:	Robert M Picer	ne		(%):	N/A	Title:	51	% owne	er GP		
Name:	Picerne Investm	nent Corporation (PIC)		(%):	N/A	Title:	49	% owne	er GP		
Name:	Ronald RS Pice	erne		(%):	N/A	Title:	10	0% owr	er PIC		
		(SENERAL	PARTNE	R						
Name:	Picerne Madisor	n Point LLC		Type:	For :	Profit	Non-P	rofit	Municipal	Other	
Address:	247 N Westmon	nte Drive		City:	Altamo	onte Sprii	ngs		State	: FL	
Zip:	32714 Conta	Kurt P Kehoe		Phone	: (407)	772-0	200_	Fax:	(407)	772-0220	
		PR	OPERTY L	OCATI	ON						
Location:	3600 SRL Tho	rnton Freeway					\boxtimes	QCT		DDA	
City:	Dallas		County:		Dallas				Zip:	75224	
			REQU	IEST							
	<u>Amount</u>	Interest Rate		<u>A</u> 1	nortizatio	<u>on</u>			<u>Term</u>		
\$1	1,053,119	N/A			N/A				N/A		
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year alloca	ation of lo	w-inco	me housii	ng tax cre	dits				
Proposed	Use of Funds:	New Construction	Set-A	side:	⊠ Ge	eneral		Rural		Non-Profit	
			SITE DESC	RIPTIO	N						
Size: 2	acres	1,089,000	square feet	Zon	ing/ Perm	itted Uses	s: _	MF-2A/	Multifami	ly	
Flood Zon	e Designation:	Zone X, AE*	Status of	Off-Site	es:	Partially 1	Impro	ved			

^{*} Zone X has been determined to be outside the 500-year floodplain; Zone AE is special floodway hazard areas inundated by the 100-year flood where base flood elevations have been determined

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS
Total #Rental #Common #of Units: 176 Buildings 11 Area Bldngs 1 Floors 2 Age: N/A yrs Vacant: N/A at /
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF
72 2 2 1,090
72 3 2 1,189 32 4 2 1,292
Net Rentable SF: 205,432 Av Un SF: 1,167 Common Area SF: 2,904 Gross Bldng SF 208,336
Property Type: Multifamily □ SFR Rental □ Elderly □ Mixed Income □ Special Use
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS STRUCTURAL MATERIALS
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, 77% masonry/brick veneer/23% Vinyl siding exterior wall covering with wood trim, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES
Carpeting & tile flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer connections, ceiling fans, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters, cable
ON-SITE AMENITIES
Community room, management offices, fitness & laundry facilities, restrooms, central mailroom, swimming pool, equipped children's play area, perimeter fencing with limited access gate, picnic area
Uncovered Parking: 316 spaces Carports: N/A spaces Garages: N/A spaces
OTHER SOURCES of FUNDS
INTERIM to PERMANENT FINANCING
Source: PNC Real Estate Finance Contact: Robert G Courtney
Principal Amount: \$6,382,100 Interest Rate: Lender determined; 7.75% as of commitment
Additional Information: Two year interim period
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 18 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional
Annual Payment: \$548,666 Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 01/ 18/ 2002
PERMANENT FINANCING
Source: Hou-Dal Affordable Housing Corporation Contact: Hugh Harrison
Principal Amount: \$150,000 Interest Rate: 5%, fixed
Additional Information: Two year interim period
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 30 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional
Annual Payment: Cashflow Lien Priority: 2 nd Commitment Date 12/ 21/ 2001

		LIHTC	SYNDICATION				
Source: Columbia Hou	sing			ntact:	Robert G C	ourtney	
Address: 115 SW Aven				ity: P	ortland		
State: OR	Zip: 97204	Phone:	(502) 581-3	3260	Fax:	(502)	581-3209
			n Rate (per \$1.00 of			,	
Commitment	LOI	Firm	-		nte: 01/	18/	2002
Additional Information:							
		APPLI	CANT EQUITY				
Amount: \$197,974	S		ffered Develope	er Fee			
			N INFORMATIO	N			
		ASSE	SSED VALUE				
Land: 30.7209 acres	\$25,250		Assessment for			001	
1 acre:	\$822		Valuation by:	Dalla	s County App	raisal Distr	ict
Prorated Land: 25 acres	\$20,548		Tax Rate:	2.730	56		
	F)//	DENCE - LOITE	' PDODEDTY 4	CONTRO			
			or PROPERTY (CONIKO	L		
Type of Site Control: E	arnest Money Co	ontract (25 acr	res)				
Contract Expiration Date:	11/ 1	15/ 2002	_ Anticipated (Closing D	pate: 10	15/	2002
Acquisition Cost: \$ _	1,300,000 O	ther Terms/Co	nditions:	\$10K ear	rnest money		
Seller: Elizabeth Ali				Related	to Developm	ent Team !	Member: No
	REVIE	W of PREVIOU	S UNDERWRITIN	NG REPO	RTS		
No previous reports.							
	PROPOS	AL and DEVE	LOPMENT PLAN	DESCRI	PTION		
 <u>Description</u>: Madison housing located in south Two Building Type 	n Dallas. The deep to the order to the contract to the contrac	development i wo-bedroom	is comprised o units and eigh	of 11 res	idential bui edroom uni	ldings as ts;	
Seven Building TypeTwo Building Type							
Based on the site plan t	_		_				the communit

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site, with the community building and swimming pool located near the entrance to the site. The 2,764-square foot community building plan includes a large lease-area, meeting room and kitchenette as well as two offices and a work room for the manager/leasing agents and an exercise facility, restrooms and laundry room for tenant use. There is also to be a 140-square foot maintenance building located at the north end of the site.

It should be noted that the submitted site plan indicates the proposed site is currently landlocked. The site plan also indicates a property access easement to the IH 35 frontage road. However, the site control document does not mention this easement and no other documentation evidencing the Applicant's right of access by means of the proposed easement was provided. Therefore, receipt, review and acceptance of documentation indicating that an easement over the adjacent property has been granted in the Applicant's name for direct access to the proposed site is a condition of this report.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with the Latino Education Development to provide the

following supportive services to tenants: resident activities, after school youth program, health care, resident support group meetings, community resources and literacy classes. These services will be provided at no cost to tenants. The contract requires \$733.33 per month for these support services. Picerne Management Corporation, an affiliate of the general partner, will also provide resident activities, after school youth programs, health care and resident support group meetings. Their monthly fee is also \$733.33. The total annual fee for the proposed supportive services is \$17,600, yet the Applicant included only \$8,800 (50%) in their operating expense estimate. Both providers are listed as development team members and an executed support service contract for each was submitted.

<u>Schedule</u>: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in February of 2003, to be completed in January of 2004, to be placed in service in February of 2004, and to be substantially leased-up in April of 2004.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. One-hundred and forty of the units (79.5% of the total) will be reserved for low-income tenants. Fifty-six of the units (32%) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, 56 units (32%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 28 of the units (16%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and the remaining 36 units will be offered at market rents.

<u>Special Needs Set-Asides</u>: Nine units (5%) will be handicapped-accessible and four units will be equipped for tenants with hearing or visual impairments.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated February 2002 was prepared by Real Property Research Group and highlighted the following findings:

Definition of Market/Submarket: "The Primary Market Area for Madison Point Apartments consists of the census tracts north of Interstate 20 along Interstate 35W (RL Thornton Freeway). The approximate borders of this market area are 1.66 miles to the north, just north of the merge of RL Thornton Freeway and Marvin D Love Freeway, Highway 342 to the east (1.88 miles), Interstate 20 to the south (3.80 miles), and 3.83 miles to the west." (p. 16)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY										
	Market	Analyst	Under	writer						
Type of Demand	Units of	% of Total	Units of	% of Total						
	Demand	Demand	Demand	Demand						
Household Growth	145	61%	13	1%						
Resident Turnover	N/A	0%	2,116	99%						
Other Sources: 0.25 percent lost units	78	39%	0	0%						
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	223	100%	2,130	100%						

Ref: p. 51

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "Demand by floorplan shows that there is adequate demand to support all proposed floorplans at each income level, including the market rate units. The highest capture rate on a floorplan basis is 2.4 percent for the 30 percent two-bedroom units. The remaining floorplans all have capture rates at or below 2.1 percent with eight floorplans showing capture rates of less than one percent." (p. 53) The method of calculating demand and concentration by the market analyst is inconsistent with the guidelines provided by the Department and taken at face value would lead to a conclusion of a concentration capture rate in excess of 25%. The Underwriter calculated a concentration capture rate of 13% based upon a revised supply of unstabilized comparable affordable units of 276, including the subject, divided by a revised demand of 2,130. <u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed 17 comparable apartment projects totaling 3,754 units in the market area. (p. 37)

Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential
2-Bedroom (30%)	\$380	\$379	+\$1	\$913	-\$533
2-Bedroom (40%)	\$530	\$530	\$0	\$913	-\$383
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$679	\$679	\$0	\$913	-\$234
2-Bedroom (MR)	\$871	N/A	N/A	\$913	-\$42
3-Bedroom (30%)	\$438	\$449	-\$11	\$1,067	-\$629
3-Bedroom (40%)	\$611	\$611	\$0	\$1,067	-\$456
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$784	\$784	\$0	\$1,067	-\$283
3-Bedroom (MR)	\$1,005	N/A	N/A	\$1,067	-\$211
4-Bedroom (30%)	\$482	\$498	-\$16	\$1,216	-\$734
4-Bedroom (40%)	\$675	\$675	\$0	\$1,216	-\$541
4-Bedroom (50%)	\$867	\$867	\$0	\$1,216	-\$349
4-Bedroom (MR)	\$1,113	N/A	N/A	\$1,216	-\$103

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

Submarket Vacancy Rates: "Of the 3,754 units in stabilized communities that reported vacancy rates, 165 units were reported available, a rate of 4.4 percent. Eight of the properties had vacancy rates lower than three percent. Six of the properties surveyed had vacancy rates above five percent." (p. 37)

Absorption Projections: "This development should be able to lease at a minimum rate of 18 units per month. At this rate, the development should be able to attain 95 percent occupancy within approximately nine months time." (p. 58)

Known Planned Development: "According to the Dallas County Planning and Development Department, there are no multifamily rental properties proposed within the borders of the primary market area." (p. 43) "No new properties are expected to enter the market prior to 2003. The 176 proposed units at Madison Point Apartments will be the only new rental supply." (p. 50) The Underwriter has identified two proposed properties in the defined Primary Market Area: Oaks at Hampton is a 250 unit seniors development awarded bonds in 2000 and Timbercreek is a 100 unit family development with 60 LIHTC units awarded tax credits in 2001.

While the market study calculated demand and concentration in a manner inconsistent with the Department's guidelines, the Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: The subject site is located just west of RL Thornton Freeway, less than one mile east of Highway 67, in the southern portion of Dallas.

Population: The estimated 2000 population of the Primary Market Area was 92,401 and is expected to increase by 2% to approximately 94,253 by 2006. Projections show that the PMA's household count is expected to increase by an additional 879 or 2.8 percent between 2000 and 2006, or an average annual increase of 147 households a year. The estimated 2000 population of Dallas County was 2,218,899 and is expected to increase by 7.4% to approximately 2,382,503 by 2006.

Adjacent Land Uses:

- North: Dallas Power and Light ROW containing overhead electrical transmission lines, mowed vacant land, Faith Family Fellowship Day-care
- South: Heavily wooded, undeveloped land
- East: American Legion Post 511, Heritage Cablevision, vacant land, RL Thornton Freeway
- West: South Crest Apartment complex, vacant land, small residential apartment complex

<u>Site Access</u>: The site can be directly accessed via RL Thornton Freeway service road or via E Overton Road. Overton Road, W Kiest Road, Ledbetter Drive, and S Polk Street are local arterials that provide access to local shopping and services.

Public Transportation: The Dallas Area Rapid Transit serves the Dallas area with bus, light rail, paratransit,

HOV lanes and vanpool services. The proposed site is served by several local routes including Route 405 and Route 441 which both have stops within walking distance.

Shopping & Services: Schools serving the site include: McMillan Elementary School (0.1 mile), Storey Middle School (0.8 mile) and South Oak Cliff High School (0.6 mile). The Dallas area is home to many institutes of higher education. The closest shopping is located east of the site along Saner Avenue, Bleckly Avenue and West Kiest Boulevard. Several small groceries are located within one mile. Hospitals and medical centers in close proximity to the subject include Veterans Affairs Medical Center (1.9 miles) and Dallas Southwest Medical Center (2.0 miles).

Special Adverse Site Characteristics: "According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map, Dallas County, Texas and Incorporated Areas, Community Panel Number 48113C 0480 J, effective August 23, 2001, the majority of the subject property is located in Zone X (not shaded). Zone X has been determined to be outside the 500-year floodplain. However, areas along and immediately adjacent to Adams Branch Creek were designated Zone AE, special floodway hazard areas inundated by the 100-year flood where base flood elevations have been determined." (ESA I, p. 31) None of the proposed improvements including drives and parking areas appear to be planned for areas in Zone AE.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site has not been inspected by a TDHCA staff member, and receipt, review, and acceptance of an acceptable site inspection report is a condition of this report.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated February 12, 2002 was prepared by Professional Service Industries, Inc. and contained the following findings and recommendations:

"...the subject property appears to contain potential jurisdictional 'waters of the US' as defined and regulated by federal authority under 33 CFR Parts 320-330. However, based on the proposed site plans, development will not encroach upon the stream channel or associated riparian corridor. No further assessment of recognized environmental conditions appears warranted." (p. 32-33)

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

Income: The Applicant miscalculated gross potential rent by \$4,416 by understating the net rents for the 30% three-bedroom units and four-bedroom units by \$11 and \$16 respectively. The Applicant's secondary income and vacancy assumptions are inline with the Underwriter's estimates. As a result, effective gross income is understated by \$4K.

Expenses: The Applicant's total operating expense figure is \$49K, or 7% lower, than the Underwriter's TDHCA database-derived estimate. In addition, several of the Applicant's line-item expense figures differ by more than 7% or \$9K as compared to the Underwriter's line-item estimates. These include: general and administrative (\$24K lower), payroll (\$13K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$10K lower), utilities (\$14K lower), water, sewer and trash (\$9K higher), property insurance (\$9K lower) and reserves (\$12K higher). As described above, the total annual fee for the proposed supportive services is \$17,600, yet the Applicant included only \$8,800 (50%) in their operating expense estimate.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Overall, the Applicant's net operating income is \$45K or 8% higher than the Underwriter's estimate. Because this difference is greater than 5%, the Underwriter's net operating income estimate will be used to determine the development's debt service capacity. The Underwriter's proforma and the proposed permanent financing structure result in a debt coverage ratio (DCR) that is below the Department's minimum DCR guideline of 1.10. In order to meet the minimum DCR requirement, the development's total annual debt service can be no more than \$539,239.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

<u>Land Value</u>: The acquisition price is assumed be reasonable since the acquisition is an arm's-length transaction.

<u>Off-Site Costs</u>: The Applicant claimed off-site costs of \$38,500 for what may be the cost of constructing a road on the proposed easement discussed in the Proposal and Development Plan Description section and provided sufficient third party certification through a registered architect to justify these costs.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,201 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

Direct Construction Cost: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is within 5% of the

Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate, and is therefore regarded as reasonable as submitted.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant incorrectly included \$25K in soft cost contingency as an indirect construction cost; the Underwriter added this cost to contingency, which is restricted to 5% of sitework and direct construction costs. The Applicant's general requirements, contractor's general and administrative fees, and contractor's profit exceed the 6%, 2%, and 6% maximums allowed by LIHTC guidelines based on their own construction costs. Consequently the Applicant's eligible fees in these areas have been reduced by \$5,389 with the overage effectively moved to ineligible costs. The Applicant's developer fees also exceed 15% of the Applicant's adjusted eligible basis and therefore the eligible potion of the Applicant's developer fee must be reduced by \$4,847.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's total development cost figure is within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate; therefore, the Applicant's cost, adjusted for overstated fees, will be used to determine the development's eligible basis and total funding need.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing: a conventional interim to permanent loan, a second permanent loan, syndicated LIHTC equity, and deferred developer's fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through PNC Real Estate Finance in the amount of \$6,382,100 during both the interim and permanent periods. The commitment letter indicated a term of 24 months for the construction portion and 18 years for the permanent. The permanent loan will amortize over 30 years at a fixed interest rate determined by the lender. At commitment, the lender had estimated an interest rate of 7.75%, which was used in this analysis.

Other Permanent Loan: Hou-Dal Affordable Housing Corporation, a non-profit organization, has offered a firm commitment of funds to assist in the construction of the subject development's 56 units set aside for tenants earning no more than 30% of the area median gross income. The \$150,000 recourse loan will be amortized over a term of 30 years at a fixed interest rate of 5%. However, payments are to be made from available cashflow.

LIHTC Syndication: Columbia Housing has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$8,371,459 based on a syndication factor of 79.5%. The funds would be disbursed in a two-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 83.5% upon meeting several conditions including admission to the partnership, closing of the construction loan and commitment for permanent financing; and
- 2. 16.5% upon meeting several conditions including construction completion, 100% initial occupancy of LIHTC units, receipt of Forms 8609 and six full months of 1.15 debt service coverage.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$197,974 amount to 11% of the total proposed fees.

Financing Conclusions: As stated above, the Applicant's total development cost, adjusted for overstated fees, was used to determine the development's eligible basis of \$13,225,302 and qualified tax credits of \$1,152,701. The Underwriter's proforma indicates the development's annual debt service must be limited to \$539,239 in order to provide a debt coverage ratio at the Department's minimum guideline of 1.10. The proposed permanent loan terms would result in a loan of \$6,272,445, which is \$109,655 less than anticipated. Even with this reduction and no deferred developer fees, the remaining gap in funds is only \$8,679,088, which is less than the \$9,163,060 that would be provided if all eligible basis derived tax credits were awarded. Syndication proceeds in the lower amount result in a gap-driven recommended annual LIHTC allocation of \$1,091,818. This amount is still \$38,699 more than requested due to the Applicant's use of an understated applicable percentage of 7.69%.

NOTE: It has been noted that the Developer has another application under consideration in this allocation cycle. Both developments have been underwritten and the recommendation is an increase in credits due to an understated applicable percentage. If both are approved as underwritten, however, the LIHTC allocations to the Developer would exceed the \$1.6 million limit stated in Section 49.7(g) of the 2002 QAP. Therefore, it is a condition of this report that, if both the subject development and application #02148, Windmill Point Apartments are approved, the allocation should not exceed their initial actual request to avoid violation of the maximum allocation limit.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The individual unit plans indicate adequate storage including walk-in closets and kitchen pantries and even coat and linen closets in some units. Every unit will have a utility room with room for full size appliances and a private balcony/patio with an exterior storage closet. The combination brick and vinyl siding residential building exteriors are attractive with varied rooflines. The lease office will include limited tenant accessible areas. Its exterior is similar to the residential building exteriors.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The Applicant, developer, general contractor, property manager, and support services provider are related entities. These are common identities of interest for LIHTC-funded developments.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant and General Partner are single-purpose entities created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore have no material financial statements.
- The 49% owner of the General Partner, Picerne Development, is a subsidiary of Picerne Investment Corporation. An unaudited financial statement dated March 31, 2001 for the consolidated companies of Picerne Investment Corporation was submitted reporting total assets of \$633M and consisting of \$94M in cash, \$76M in receivables, \$439M in real property and construction in progress, and \$23M in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$628M, resulting in a net worth of \$5M.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant and General Partner are new entities formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The Picerne Development Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, Property Manager, and 51% owner of the General Partner, listed participation as general partner, developer, contractor, and/or manager on 54 affordable housing projects totaling 7,035 units since 1985.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's operating expenses and operating proforma are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable ranges.
- Significant locational risk exists regarding site access.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$1,091,818 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation indicating that an easement over the adjacent property has been granted in the Applicant's name for direct access to the proposed site;
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of an acceptable TDHCA site inspection report;
- 3. Receipt, review and acceptance of permanent financing commitments resulting in total annual debt service of no more than \$539,239.
- 4. Should the rates, terms or amount of debt be different than the Underwriter's assumptions herein, the conditions and recommendations of this report should be re-evaluated and the credit amount may be reduced.
- 5. If the Developer's other application, #02148, Windmill Point Apartments, is also approved, that the LIHTC allocation to this development not exceed the originally requested \$1,053,119 to avoid violating the \$1.6 million maximum LIHTC limitation.

Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Lisa Vecchietti	Date:	June 12, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	June 12, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Madison Point, Dallas, LIHTC 02149

Type of Unit	Number	Bedrooms	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt.	Net Rent per Unit	Rent per Month	Rent per SF	Tnt Pd Util	Wtr, Swr, Trsh
TC 30%	24	2	2	1,090	\$448	\$379	\$9,096	\$0.35	\$69.00	\$44.00
TC 40%	24	2	2	1,090	599	\$530	12,720	0.49	69.00	44.00
TC 50%	10	2	2	1,090	748	\$679	6,790	0.62	69.00	44.00
MR TC 30%	14 24	3	2	1,090	871 518	\$871 \$449	12,194 10,776	0.80	69.00 80.00	44.00 51.00
TC 40%	24	3	2	1,189	691	\$611	14,664	0.51	80.00	51.00
TC 50%	10	3	2	1,189	864	\$784	7,840	0.66	80.00	51.00
MR	14	3	2	1,189	1005	\$1,005	14,070	0.85	80.00	51.00
TC 30%	8	4	2	1,292	578	\$498	3,984	0.39	96.00	63.00
TC 40%	8	4	2	1,292	771	\$675	5,400	0.52	96.00	63.00
TC 50%	8	4	2	1,292	963	\$867	6,936	0.67	96.00	63.00
MR TOTAL:	8 176	4	2 AVERAGE:	1,292	1,113 \$704	\$1,113 \$644	8,904 \$113,374	0.86 \$0.55	96.00 \$78.41	63.00 \$50.32
				•	Ų 7 U 4			Ş0.33	\$70.41	Ç30.32
INCOME			ntable Sq Ft:	205,432		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
	GROSS REN	T				\$1,360,488	\$1,356,072			
Secondar	-			Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	21,120	21,120	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mon	th
	pport Income		pe)			0	0			
	GROSS INC					\$1,381,608	\$1,377,192			
_	& Collection			1 Gross Income:	-7.50%	(103,621)	(103,284)	-7.50%	of Potential Gro	ss Rent
	or Other No		Jnits or Con	cessions		-	0			
EXPENSES	GROSS INC	OME	% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	\$1,277,987	\$1,273,908	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
	& Administra	ative	₹ OF EGI 4.49%	\$326	\$0.28	\$57,407	\$33,100	\$0.16	\$188	* OF EGI 2.60%
Manageme		~~+~	5.00%	363	0.31	63,899	63,695	0.31	362	5.00%
_	& Payroll Ta	a v	11.49%	834	0.71	146,784	134,200	0.65	763	10.53%
-	& Maintenand		5.25%	381	0.33	67,064	56,900	0.28	323	4.47%
Utilitie		,	3.30%	240	0.21	42,228	28,000	0.14	159	2.20%
	ewer, & Tras	≅h	3.90%	283	0.24	49,808	58,800	0.29	334	4.62%
	Insurance		2.57%	187	0.16	32,869	24,300	0.12	138	1.91%
Property		2.73056	13.16%	956	0.82	168,202	180,400	0.88	1,025	14.16%
	for Replacem	nents	2.75%	200	0.17	35,200	44,000	0.21	250	3.45%
Supporti	ve Services,	, Compliand	1.65%	120	0.10	21,100	12,300	0.06	70	0.97%
TOTAL EXP	ENSES		53.57%	\$3,890	\$3.33	\$684,562	\$635,695	\$3.09	\$3,612	49.90%
NET OPERA	TING INC		46.43%	\$3,372	\$2.89	\$593,426	\$638,213	\$3.11	\$3,626	50.10%
DEBT SERV	ICE									
First Lien	Mortgage		42.93%	\$3,117	\$2.67	\$548,666	\$548,666	\$2.67	\$3,117	43.07%
	llas Afforda		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
	llas Afforda	able	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH			3.50%	\$254	\$0.22	\$44,760	\$89,547	\$0.44	\$509	7.03%
	DEBT COVERAG					1.08	1.16			
	E DEBT COVER	RAGE RATIO				1.10				
CONSTRUCT								I		
	iption	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
_	on Cost (si	te or bldg)	8.38%	\$7,386	\$6.33	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$6.33	\$7,386	8.61%
Off-Sites	\$		0.25%	219	0.19	38,500	38,500	0.19	219	0.25%
Sitework			7.03%	6,201	5.31	1,091,376	1,091,376	5.31	6,201	7.23%
	nstruction		50.03%	44,097	37.78	7,761,032	7,518,811	36.60	42,721	49.79%
Conting	gency Requireme	5.00%	2.85%	2,515	2.15	442,620 518,921	457,434 518,921	2.23 2.53	2,599	3.03%
	=	5.86%	3.34%	2,948	2.53	·			2,948	3.44%
	tor's G &	1.95%	1.11%	983	0.84	172,973	172,973	0.84	983	1.15%
	tor's Prof	5.86%	3.34%	2,948	2.53	518,921	518,921	2.53	2,948	3.44%
Indirect Ineligibl	Constructi	OIJ	4.60%	4,057	3.48	714,050	714,050	3.48	4,057	4.73%
_		2	3.23%	2,844	2.44	500,571	500,571	2.44	2,844	3.31%
Developer		3.68%	2.79%	2,457	2.11	432,472	432,472	2.11	2,457	2.86%
Interim F	's Profit	11.03%	8.36%	7,372	6.32	1,297,414	1,297,414	6.32 2.63	7,372	8.59%
Reserves	THAILCING		3.48% 1.19%	3,069	2.63 0.90	184,763	540,090	0.00	3,069 0	3.58%
TOTAL COS	r.		1.19%	1,050 \$88,146	\$75.52	\$15,513,703	\$15,101,533	\$73.51	\$85,804	100.00%
	! Constructio	on Costs	67.72%	\$59,692	\$51.14	\$10,505,843	\$10,278,436	\$50.03	\$58,400	
SOURCES O		n costs	6/./2%	207,094	\$31.14	\$10,505,643	210,2/0,430	\$50.03 RECOMMENDED	200,4UU	68.06%
First Lien			41.14%	\$36,262	\$31.07	\$6,382,100	\$6,382,100	\$6,272,445		
	llas Afforda	able	0.97%	\$852	\$0.73	150,000	150,000	150,000		
LIHTC Synd	ication Prod	ceeds	53.96%	\$47,565	\$40.75	8,371,459	8,371,459	8,679,088		
Deferred D	eveloper Fee	es	1.28%	\$1,125	\$0.96	197,974	197,974	0		
	(excess) Fu	ınds Requir	2.66%	\$2,342	\$2.01	412,170	0	0		
TOTAL SOU	IRCES					\$15,513,703	\$15,101,533	\$15,101,533		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Madison Point, Dallas, LIHTC 02149

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT					
Base Cost			\$39.25	\$8,063,864					
Adjustments	Adjustments								
Exterior Wall Finish	6.39%		\$2.51	\$515,281					
Elderly			0.00	0					
Roofing			0.00	0					
Subfloor			(1.96)	(402,647)					
Floor Cover			1.82	373,886					
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	10920	1.49	306,852					
Plumbing	\$585	528	1.50	308,880					
Built-In Appliances	\$1,550	176	1.33	272,800					
Exterior Stairs	\$1,350	44	0.29	59,400					
Floor Insulation			0.00	0					
Heating/Cooling			1.41	289,659					
Garages/Carports			0.00	0					
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$56.86	2,904	0.80	165,127					
Other:			0.00	0					
SUBTOTAL			48.45	9,953,103					
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		1.94	398,124					
Local Multiplier	0.92		(3.88)	(796,248)					
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	N COSTS		\$46.51	\$9,554,979					
Plans, specs, survy, bld	3.90%		(\$1.81)	(\$372,644)					
Interim Construction Int	3.38%		(1.57)	(322,481)					
Contractor's OH & Profit	11.50%		(5.35)	(1,098,823)					
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$37.78	\$7,761,032					

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$6,382,100	Term	360
Int Rate	7.75%	DCR	1.08
Secondary	\$150,000	Term	
Int Rate	5.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.08
Additional	\$8,371,459	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.08

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Se Secondary Debt Additional Debt NET CASH FLOW	Service Service	\$539,239 0 0 \$54,187	
Primary	\$6,272,445	Term	360
Int Rate	7.75%	DCR	1.10
Secondary	\$150,000	Term	0

Int Rate	5.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.10
Additional	\$8,371,459	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.10

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR I	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR IO	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$1,360,488	\$1,401,303	\$1,443,342	\$1,486,642	\$1,531,241	\$1,775,128	\$2,057,860	\$2,385,624	\$3,206,079
Secondary Income	21,120	21,754	22,406	23,078	23,771	27,557	31,946	37,034	49,771
Other Support Income: (des	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	1,381,608	1,423,056	1,465,748	1,509,720	1,555,012	1,802,685	2,089,806	2,422,658	3,255,850
Vacancy & Collection Loss	(103,621)	(106,729)	(109,931)	(113,229)	(116,626)	(135,201)	(156,735)	(181,699)	(244,189)
Employee or Other Non-Ren	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$1,277,987	\$1,316,327	\$1,355,817	\$1,396,491	\$1,438,386	\$1,667,484	\$1,933,071	\$2,240,959	\$3,011,661
EXPENSES at 4.00%								1	
General & Administrative	\$57,407	\$59,703	\$62,091	\$64,575	\$67,158	\$81,708	\$99,410	\$120,948	\$179,032
Management	63,899	65,816	67,791	69,825	71,919	83,374	96,654	112,048	150,583
Payroll & Payroll Tax	146,784	152,655	158,762	165,112	171,717	208,919	254,182	309,252	457,768
Repairs & Maintenance	67,064	69,746	72,536	75,438	78,455	95,453	116,133	141,293	209,149
Utilities	42,228	43,917	45,674	47,501	49,401	60,104	73,125	88,968	131,694
Water, Sewer & Trash	49,808	51,800	53,872	56,027	58,268	70,892	86,251	104,938	155,334
Insurance	32,869	34,184	35,551	36,973	38,452	46,783	56,919	69,250	102,507
Property Tax	168,202	174,931	181,928	189,205	196,773	239,405	291,272	354,377	524,565
Reserve for Replacements	35,200	36,608	38,072	39,595	41,179	50,101	60,955	74,161	109,777
Other	21,100	21,944	22,822	23,735	24,684	30,032	36,538	44,454	65,803
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$684,562	\$711,305	\$739,099	\$767,985	\$798,006	\$966,770	\$1,171,440	\$1,419,690	\$2,086,212
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$593,426	\$605,022	\$616,718	\$628,506	\$640,380	\$700,714	\$761,631	\$821,269	\$925,449
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239	\$539,239
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$54,187	\$65,783	\$77,479	\$89,267	\$101,141	\$161,475	\$222,392	\$282,030	\$386,210
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.10	1.12	1.14	1.17	1.19	1.30	1.41	1.52	1.72

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Madison Point, Dallas, LIHTC 02149

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
1 11	AMOUNTS	AMOUNIS	EDIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE DASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost	+1 000 000	+1 000 000		
Purchase of land	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$1,091,376	\$1,091,376	\$1,091,376	\$1,091,376
Off-site improvements	\$38,500	\$38,500		
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$7,518,811	\$7,761,032	\$7,518,811	\$7,761,032
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	s			
Contractor overhead	\$172,973	\$172,973	\$172,204	\$172,973
Contractor profit	\$518,921	\$518,921	\$516,611	\$518,921
General requirements	\$518,921	\$518,921	\$516,611	\$518,921
(5) Contingencies	\$457,434	\$442,620	\$430,509	\$442,620
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$714,050	\$714,050	\$714,050	\$714,050
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$540,090	\$540,090	\$540,090	\$540,090
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$500,571	\$500,571		
(9) Developer Fees			\$1,725,039	
Developer overhead	\$432,472	\$432,472		\$432,472
Developer fee	\$1,297,414	\$1,297,414		\$1,297,414
(10) Development Reserves		\$184,763		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$15,101,533	\$15,513,703	\$13,225,302	\$13,489,869

Deduct from Basis:		
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis		
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis		
Non-qualified non-recourse financing		
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]		
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)		
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$13,225,302	\$13,489,869
High Cost Area Adjustment	130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$17,192,892	\$17,536,830
Applicable Fraction	79%	79%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$13,657,600	\$13,930,815
Applicable Percentage	8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	\$1,152,701	\$1,175,761

Syndication Proceeds 0.7949 \$9,163,060 \$9,346,364

Actual Gap of Need \$8,679,088
Gap-Driven Allocation \$1,091,818

TDHCA # 02158

Region 3

Rural Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

TDHCA#: **Development Name: Briarwood Apartments** 02158 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** LIHTC Primary Set Aside: R Region: 3 Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 513 E. 6th Street Purpose / Activity: ACQ/R City: Kaufman **Development Type:** Family County: Kaufman 75142 Zip Code: TTC **DDA** QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 3 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Kaufman Fountainhead, L.P. **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: Fountainhead Affiliates, Inc. Patrick A. Barbolla 100 % Patrick A. Barbolla Patrick A. Barbolla 100 % NA NA 0 % NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$151,278 \$1,512,780 Credits Requested: \$151,278 Eligible Basis Amount: \$151,278 Equity/Gap Amount: \$230,240 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$2,464,597 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 37,674 0 9 10 0 0 0 19 30% 36,576 Total NRA SF: 40% 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1.03 0 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 0 0 0 50% 0 0 778 Average Square Feet/Unit: 27 60% 0 14 13 0 0 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$67.38 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$3,219 Total 0 24 23 0 0 0 Total LI Units: 47 INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION Owner/Employee Units: 1 \$202,068 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 47 \$141,087 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$60,981 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: 1.18 attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Developer: Fountainhead Affiliates, Inc. Market Analyst: **Ipser & Associates** Fountainhead Construction, Inc. Housing GC: Originator/UW: Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: Sherrill & Associates, Inc. Cost Estimator: NA Attorney: McDonald & Sanders, P.C. Architect: J. Douglas Cain Asso., Arts, Inc. Supp Services: Property Manager: Fountainhead Management, Inc. Accountant: Gwen Ward, P.C., C.P.A. Engineer: Syndicator: **Boston Capital Corporation** Permanent Lender: Rural Housing Service-USDA **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION** Underwriting Finding: AC 65 Site Review: Acceptable Points Awarded:

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

6/17/02 10:42 AM

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Briarwood Apartments Project Number: 02158 Note: "O" = Opposed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment **PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY** # of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(not from Officials): Support: 1 Opposition: 0 A resolution was passed by the local government in support of the development. Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction: Comment from Other Public Official Local Official: Dennis Berry, Mayor of Kaufman, S Carl Stapp, Councilmember, City of Kaufman, S TX Rep.: Betty Brown, Dist. 4 Jim Blystone, City Manager, S TX Sen.: David H. Cain, Dist. 2 Lee E Ayres, Chamber of Commerce, S US Rep.: US Sen.: **CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT** Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation from the current owner's CPA to substantiate the purchase price of the property. The documentation must show that the seller will assume a higher exit tax liability cost to transfer the property than it would to foreclose on the property, resulting in a purchase price that is higher than the appraised value, and/or acceptable log USDA of the current proposed sales price. Should a lower sales price be mandated by USDA a re-evaluation of the recommendations herein should be conducted by the Underwriter. **Alternate Recommendation:** RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON: Score Meeting Required Set Aside Meeting the Regional Allocation ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer credits ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer period of time ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built This development is in the TxRD Set Aside. Because the TxRD Set Aside is undersubscribed it is necessary that all TxRD Developments recommended by Underwriting be recommended to the Board. Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON: The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason, that reason is identified below: Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Date Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee ☐ BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable): **Approved Credit Amount:** Date of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones. Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #: 0	2158		LII	TC 9% ⊻	LIHTC 4% \square
Project Name: B	riarwood Apartm	nents		HOME \square	HTF \square
Project City:				BOND \square	SECO \square
Housing Compl	liance Review				
Project(s) in ma	aterial non-compliance	e			
No previous pa	rticipation				
Status of F	indings (individual co Participation and Bac				
Projects Monito	ored by the Departmen	nt			
# reviewed	10	# not yet m	nonitored or pendin	g review 9	
# of projects gr	ouped by score	0-9: 10	10-19: 0	20-29: 0	
Members of the	e development team ha	ave been dis	barred by HUD		
National Previo	ous Participation Certi	fication Rec	eived	N	0
Non-Con	mpliance Reported			_	
Completed by	Jo En Taylor		Completed on	04/25/2002	
Single Audit					
Status of Findi	ngs (any outstanding	single audit	issues are listed bel	ow)	
		_		tstanding issue	es 🗌
Comments: F	For-Profits				
Completed by	Lucy Trevino		Completed on	05/23/2002	
Program Monit	toring				
Status of Findin	ngs (any unresolved is	ssues are lis	ted below)		
monitoring	review not applicable	e 🗌	monitoring	review pendin	g✔
reviewed	; no unresolved issues		reviewed; unresolv	ed issues found	d 🗌
	38620 reviewed - no ui 31100, 531101.	nresolved is:	sues. 3 pending rev	iew 530717,	
Completed by	Ralph Hendrickson		Completed on	04/30/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs monitoring review n reviewed; no unres Comments:	ot applicable	monitoring reviewed; unresolv	review pending
Completed by E. Wei	lbaecher	_ Completed on	06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Completed by		_ Completed on	
Executive Director: Ec	dwina Carrington	Date	e Signed: _ June 10, 200

DATE: June 14, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02158

HOME

		DI	EVELOPMENT NA	ME				
		Brid	arwood Apartn	nants				
		Dit	ıı woou Apartı	icits				
			APPLICANT					
Name:	Kaufman Fount	ainhead, L.P.	Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	4000 Old Benb	rook Road	City:	Ft. Wo	orth		State:	TX
Zip:	76116 Conta	Patrick A. Barbo	lla Phone	: (817)	732-10	55 Fax:	(817)	732-7716
		PRINC	IPALS of the APP	LICANT				
Name:	Fountainhead A	Affiliates, Inc.	(%):	.01	Title:	GP and D	eveloper	
Name:	Boston Capital	Corporation	(%):	99.99	Title:	Limited P	artner	
Name:	Patrick A. Barb	olla	(%):	n/a	Title:	Pres of Gl	P and Dev	veloper
			GENERAL PARTNI	ER				
Name:	Fountainhead A		Type:	_	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	4000 Old Benb	rook Road	City:	Ft. Wo	orth		State:	TX
Zip:	76116 Conta	Patrick A. Barbo	lla Phone	: (817)	732-10	55 Fax:	(817)	732-7716
		PF	ROPERTY LOCATI	ON				
	- th -							22.
Location:	513 E. 6 th Stree					□ QCT		DDA
Location: City:	513 E. 6 th Stree		County: _ F	Kaufman		□ QCT	☐ Zip:	DDA 75142
			County: <u>F</u>			□ QСТ	□ Zip:	
			County: F			□ QСТ	Zip:	
City:	Kaufman Amount		REQUEST		<u>On</u>	□ ост	Zip:	
City:	Kaufman Amount \$151,278	Interest Rate n/a	REQUEST	Kaufman mortizatio n/a	<u>on</u>	□ QCT	Term n/a	
City:	Kaufman Amount	Interest Rate n/a 2%	REQUEST A	Mortization n/a 30 yrs	_		Term n/a 30 yrs	
City:	Kaufman Amount \$151,278 \$600,000	Interest Rate n/a	REQUEST A ocation of low-in-	Mortization n/a 30 yrs come hou	_	redits; ②HO	Term n/a 30 yrs	
City:	Kaufman Amount \$151,278 \$600,000 quested Terms:	Interest Rate n/a 2% ①Annual ten-year allo	REQUEST A ocation of low-in-	Mortization n/a 30 yrs come hou	using tax c	redits; ②HO	Term n/a 30 yrs	75142
City:	Kaufman Amount \$151,278 \$600,000 quested Terms:	Interest Rate n/a 2% ①Annual ten-year allo Acquisition & Rehab.	REQUEST A ocation of low-in-	mortization n/a 30 yrs come hou	using tax c	redits; ②HO	Term n/a 30 yrs	75142
City:	Kaufman Amount \$151,278 \$600,000 quested Terms:	Interest Rate n/a 2% ①Annual ten-year allo Acquisition & Rehab.	REQUEST A ocation of low-in- Set-Aside:	mortization n/a 30 yrs come hou	using tax c	redits; ②HO	Term n/a 30 yrs ME loan	75142

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS
Total #Rental #Common #of Units: 48 Buildings 7 Area Bldngs 0 Floors 2 Age: 17 yrs Vacant: 1 at 12/ 18/ 2001
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF
24 1 1 654
24 2 1 870
Net Rentable SF: 36,576 Av Un SF: 762 Common Area SF: 1,098 Gross Bldng SF 37,674
Property Type: ☐ Multifamily ☐ SFR Rental ☐ Elderly ☐ Mixed Income ☐ Special Use
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, 70% brick veneer/30% wood siding exterior wall covering with wood trim, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES
Carpeting & vinyl flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, refrigerator, fiberglass and tile tub/shower, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters
ON-SITE AMENITIES
Community building with management offices & laundry facilities, equipped children's play area, picnic area
Uncovered Parking: 71 spaces Carports: n/a spaces Garages: n/a spaces
OTHER SOURCES of FUNDS
LONG TERM/PERMANENT FINANCING
Source: Rural Housing Service- USDA Contact: Gene Pavlat
Principal Amount: \$\\$718,000 Interest Rate: 1\%
Additional Information:
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 30 yrs Commitment: □ None ☑ Firm ☐ Conditional Annual Payment: \$27,597 Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 01/ 22/ 2002
LIHTC SYNDICATION
Source: Boston Capital Corporation Contact: Richard Mazzochi
Address: One Boston Place City: Boston
State: MA Zip: 02108 Phone: (617) 624-8877 Fax: (617) 624-8999
Net Proceeds: \$1,149,597 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 76¢
Commitment □ None □ Firm ☑ Conditional Date: 02/ 26/ 2002 Additional Information: Net proceeds based on an annual LIHTC allocation of \$151,278
APPLICANT EQUITY
Amount: n/a Source: n/a

		VALUAT	ION INFORMA	ION				
		APF	PRAISED VALUE					
Land Only:		\$50,800		Date of Valuation:	02/	21/	2002	
Total Value: as is with subs	sidy	\$715,000		Date of Valuation:	02/	21/	2002	
Appraiser: Jerry Sherrill	, SPRA, SRA	City:	Arlington	Phone:	(817)	557-1	1791	
		AS	SESSED VALUE					
Land:	\$120,220		Assessment	for the Year of:	2001			
Building:	\$551,780		Valuation by	y: Kaufman Cour	nty Appra	isal Dist	trict	
Total Assessed Value:	\$672,000*		<u> </u>					
* This valuation is a result	of the Kaufman	Appraisal Re	eview Board hea	ring of April 9, 2002	in which	the 200	01 property	tax
value for Briarwood of Kau	ıfman, Ltd. Was	reduced fron	n \$1,272,160 to \$	672,000.				

		EVI	IDENCE o	of SITE or	PROPERTY	CONTROL				
Type of Site Control:	Real Estate	e Purchas	se Agreem	ent						
Contract Expiration Date	<u> 1</u> 2	2/	15/ 2	2002 A	Anticipated	Closing Date:	08/	15/	2002	
Acquisition Cost: \$	909,000	0	ther Tern	ns/Condit	ions:					
Seller: Briarwood of K	aufman, Lt	d.				Related to Dev	elopment T	Team Me	mber:	No

REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS

No previous reports.

PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION

<u>Description</u>: Briarwood Apartments is a proposed acquisition and rehabilitation development of 48 units of affordable housing located in Kaufman. The development was built in 1985 and is comprised of 7 residential buildings as follows:

- (3) Building Style A with eight 1-bedroom units;
- (3) Building Style B with six 2- bedroom units:
- (1) Building Style C with six 2- bedroom units and the office, laundry and maintenance rooms;

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are arranged in two groups separated by parking lots, with the management office, laundry room and maintenance room located near the entrance to the site. The approximate 1,098-square foot community area is attached to one of the residential buildings and contains the management office with maintenance room and laundry facilities

Existing Subsidies: The project is currently financed with a Texas Rural Development loan through USDA. The Applicant has also applied for an assumption to this Section 515 and will be subject to income and rent restrictions under that program. Furthermore, the project is expected to secure rental assistance for 20 units. **Development Plan:** As of December 18, 2001, there was only one unit vacant. The buildings are currently in a deteriorated state. The architect's scope of work includes: replacement of resilient flooring and carpet, replacement of 46 kitchen countertops and 48 ranges, full rehabilitation of three units to be handicapped (H/C) accessible, replacement of 14 tubs and all medicine cabinets, installation of refrigerators, replacement of AC condensers in 44 units, replacement of damaged interior doors and installation of miniblinds on all windows. Exterior rehabilitation includes: resurface asphalt parking area, installation of new playground equipment, repair/replace fencing, remove and replace 31 exterior doors and re-shingle all buildings adding ridge vents. The Applicant submitted a tenant relocation plan in the LIHTC application, which indicates that there will be no permanent displacement or relocation of existing residents by reason of the rehabilitation of the property. The Applicant also indicates that there may be temporary relocation of some residents off-site, at the expense of the complex, for a period of up to one week. Once the property is acquired, any units that become vacant will not be leased. The renovation will start by first completely renovating the vacant units. Once completed, existing residents will be offered the opportunity to move into the recently renovated units.

The complex will pay for all charges associated with the move. Once existing tenants are relocated into the renovated units, the remaining units will be renovated. The Applicant has budgeted \$6,000 for assisting the residents in their move plus pay for the related costs. Also outlined in this plan is the intent of the Applicant to meet with all tenants prior to rehabilitation and coordinate relocation efforts.

Supportive Services: No supportive services were indicated to be planned to be provided to tenants.

<u>Schedule</u>: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in March of 2003, to be completed in August of 2003, to be placed in service in August of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in November of 2003.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. All but one of the units will be reserved for low income households. 19 units (39%) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, 1 unit (2%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 27 units (56%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI and the remaining unit will be employee occupied. USDA already restricts rents for all of the units. The rent roll as of December 2001 reflects basic rents of \$263 and \$317. It appears the Applicant is anticipating basic rents that are higher than the current basic rents.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Three units (6.25%) will be handicapped-accessible.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant did not indicate whether he has elected to extend the compliance period or not.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated March, 2002 was prepared by Ipser & Associates, Inc. and highlighted the following findings:

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIG	SIBLE SUBMARKET DEMA	AND SUMMARY
Type of Demand	Units of Demand	% of Total Demand
Household Growth	41	11%
Resident Turnover	294	80%
10%	33	9%
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	368	100%

Ref: Exhibit 1-14

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "A capture rate, based on the estimated potential demand from income qualified households in the county is 13.0% for USDA units at 60% of AMI." (p. 5-1) This is less than the 100% rural maximum and therefore is acceptable. Moreover, concentration and absorption are of less risk with this transaction since it is rehabilitation and currently has low vacancy.

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed 13 comparable apartment projects totaling 1,110 units in the market area. "I&A surveyed a total of 13 apartment complexes, 7 in Kaufman and 7 in Terrell..." (p. 1-1)

	RENT	ANALYSIS (net	tenant-paid ren	nts)	
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market*	Differential
1-Bedroom (30%): LH	\$335	\$311	+\$24	\$485	-\$150
1-Bedroom (40%): LH	\$335	\$437	-\$102	\$485	-\$150
1-Bedroom (60%): HH	\$335	\$569	-\$234	\$485	-\$150
2-Bedroom (30%): LH	\$445	\$364	+\$81	\$636	-\$191
2-Bedroom (60%): LH	\$445	\$664	-\$219	\$636	-\$191

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

Absorption Projections: "The absorption rate is conservatively estimated at 10 to 12 units per month..." (p. 1-9)

Known Planned Development: Known planned development is unknown.

The Underwriter found the market study to be acceptable. An appraisal was performed on February 21, 2002 by Jerry Sherrill of Sherrill & Associates. The Appraiser concluded a total "as is" value of \$715,000. The land only value of 50,800 is supported by comparable sales and is acceptable though the assessed land value is considerably higher.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: Kaufman is located in the north central Texas panhandle, approximately 35 miles southeast of Dallas in Kaufman County. The site is a rectangularly-shaped parcel located in the center of the county, within walking distance of the central business district.

Population: The estimated 2000 population of Kaufman County was 71,313 and is expected to increase by 23.1% to approximately 88,963 by 2010. Within the primary market area there were estimated to be 24,367 households in 2000.

Adjacent Land Uses: Land uses in the overall area in which the site is located are mixed. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: residential neighborhood, elderly complex, retail shops, police department
- **South:** residential neighborhood, multifamily complex, grocery store, school, church,
- East: residential neighborhood, schools
- West: residential neighborhood, grocery store, schools, churches

Site Access: Access to the property is from the east or west along Hwy 175, from the north or south from Hwy 34, or from the east from Hwy 243. The project has one main entry from the north or south from Royal Street. Kaufman lies at the crossroads of Hwy 175, Hwy 34 and Hwy 243, which provides direct routes to the Dallas area.

Public Transportation: The availability of public transportation is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The site is within 1 mile of 2 major grocery/pharmacies, within 15 miles of shopping centers and a variety of other retail establishments and restaurants. Schools, churches, and hospitals and health care facilities are located within a short driving distance from the site.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site was inspected by an ORCA staff member on April 15, 2002. The inspector randomly checked several occupied and vacant and verified the need for the items identified in the work write-up. The inspector concluded the site was acceptable for the proposed development.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report was not included, as USDA-RD-financed projects are not required to submit this report.

^{*} Market rents are calculated based on the market analyst's proposed basic rents for the development plus the market rent differential indicated in p. 5-1 of the market study.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: The Applicant's proposed basic rents are \$72 higher for the one-bedroom units and \$128 higher for the two-bedroom units than the current rents. These rents have been approved by USDA in a letter dated April 30, 2002 to the Applicant. Estimates of secondary income are set at \$9.75/unit, which is slightly lower than the underwriting guideline. Vacancy and collection losses are in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Applicant will be receiving project based rental assistance from USDA for 20 units which will allow rents collected for low HOME units to exceed the low HOME rent limit.

Expenses: The Applicant's estimate of total operating expense is \$6K or 4.13% lower than the Underwriter's TDHCA database-derived estimate. The Underwriter compared line item expenses to both the database-derived estimate and the development's historical operating expenses. The Underwriter adjusted utilities expense based on a reduced factor of 15% based upon limited common amenities. The Underwriter also adjusted the payroll expense based on the development's historical operating statement and the management expense was adjusted to \$16,243 based on a letter from USDA indicating this change in the budget. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates that deviate when compared to the Underwriter's averages particularly, general and administrative (\$4K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$2.9K higher), water, sewer and trash (\$2.7K lower), property tax (\$3K lower), and reserves for replacement (\$4.7K lower).

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's estimated income is consistent with the Underwriter's expectations and total operating expenses are within 5% of the database-derived estimate. Therefore, the Applicant's NOI should be used to evaluate debt service capacity. In both the Applicant's and the Underwriter's income and expense estimates there is sufficient net operating income to service the proposed first lien permanent mortgage at a debt coverage ratio that is within an acceptable range of 1.10 to 1.25 as required by LIHTC guidelines.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: The Applicant submitted a Real Estate Purchase Agreement wherein the Applicant is purchasing the property for \$909K. The agreement indicates that the Applicant will assume the \$715,000 unpaid principal balance of the Seller's promissory note owed to USDA and pay \$194K in cash. The appraiser concluded that the market value of the entire property, including the FmHA subsidy is \$715,000, which is equal to the USDA assumption but \$194K less than the sales price. USDA representatives have indicated they will allow a property to be sold at a cost that is higher than the appraised value only if the owner/seller can document that the exit tax liability to transfer the property is more than the exit tax liability to foreclose the property, resulting in a higher purchase price. In this case, the owner/seller must have a CPA document that the extra \$194K in the sales price of the property is substantiated by the extra cost that the seller will have to assume in transferring the property to the buyer. Since this is an arms-length transaction the inference that is made by the lower appraised value does not affect the eligibility of the entire acquisition cost less the land value. The Applicant identified a total acquisition cost of \$915,458, including \$6,458 for closing costs and acquisition legal fees. If the USDA restricts the transfer price of this transaction, a reevaluation at the credit recommendation should be conducted.

Sitework Cost: Since this is an acquisition/rehabilitation application, the sitework costs associated with this project are minimal. The Applicant has estimated sitework costs of \$3,190 per unit.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's scope of work is detailed and consistent with the cost breakdown. Line item costs appear reasonable and thus the direct construction cost of \$812,854 is accepted by the Underwriter.

<u>Fees</u>: The Applicant's contractor's and developer's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines.

Conclusion: As is the case with most rehabilitation transactions the Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate due to the lack of capacity to independently verify the Applicant's costs. Therefore the Underwriter's costs are in essence the Applicant's costs adjusted for any miscalculated eligible basis. In this case they are identical. Thus the Applicant's cost as adjusted is used to calculate the eligible basis and determine the LIHTC allocation. As a result an eligible basis of \$2,357,242 is used to determine a credit allocation of \$151,278 from this method. The resulting syndication proceeds will be used to compare to the gap of need using the Applicant's costs to determine the recommended credit

amount. The Underwriter accepted the use of an applicable percentage of 8.44% for the new construction/rehabilitation since no new USDA money is being provided and the Applicant will have at least 40% of the units at 50% or less of AMGI, thus avoiding the federal taint.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with three types of financing from three sources: an assumption of the USDA promissory note, HOME funds and syndicated LIHTC equity.

Permanent Financing: The current USDA loan will be assumed by the Applicant. The existing note carries a balance of \$715,000. The existing note's stated interest rate is unconfirmed as of the date of this report. The effective rate will be 1% as long as USDA affordability requirements are met. In a letter from USDA, the term is stated as 30 years. The effective annual payment, including debt service for the USDA loan, will be fixed at an estimated \$27,597 per year based on the 1% interest rate.

The Applicant has also requested HOME funds in the amount of \$600,000. The requested interest rate for this note is 2%, with an amortization and term of 30 years. Due to the limited amount of HOME funds available and the demonstration program for tax credit developments, the Executive Director, with concurrence from the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee, has reduced awards for HOME applications by 10%. The total funds requested is \$2.2 million, however, only \$2 million is available to award. Therefore, a 10% reduction was applied to all HOME award applications. In this case, the HOME award will be reduced to \$540,000 with a 2% interest rate and an amortization and term of 30 years.

LIHTC Syndication: Boston Capital Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$1,149,597 based on a syndication factor of 76%. The funds would be disbursed in a three-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 75% or \$862,198 upon the latest to occur of (i) Tax Credit Set Aside; (ii) closing of the Construction Mortgage Loan, (iii) receipt of a commitment acceptable to BCP for the Permanent Mortgage Loan, or (iv) Admission;
- 2. 10% or \$114,959 upon the latest to occur of (i) Construction Completion or (ii) Cost Certification; Updated Insurance Certificates; Updated Title Insurance Policy; receipt of a contractor's payoff letter and Estoppel Letter from each lender;
- 3. 15% or \$172,440 upon the latest to occur of (i) State Designation, (ii) Permanent Mortgage Commencement, (iii) Initial 100% Occupancy Date; (iv) Breakeven.

Financing Conclusions: Based on the Applicant's estimate of eligible basis, the LIHTC allocation should not exceed \$151,278 annually for ten years, resulting in syndication proceeds of approximately \$1,149,599. The Applicant initially did not anticipate the need to defer any developer fees; however, as a result of reducing the HOME award by 10% the Applicant would need to defer \$59,998 in developer fees. These fees appear to be repayable within 5 years from available cashflow.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

Briarwood Apartment offers one and two bedroom apartments. The property was built in 1985 and is typical of a USDA funded project of the era. The one bedroom unit floor plans show that you must walk through the bedroom to get to the only bathroom in the unit. The units are in two-story structures with mixed brick veneer/wood siding exterior finish and pitched roofs.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

Patrick A. Barbolla is the sole owner of the general partner, general contractor and property management company. These are not unusual relationships for USDA/LIHTC projects.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant is a single-purpose entity created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore has no material financial statements.
- The General Partner, Fountainhead Affiliates, Inc. submitted an unaudited financial statement as of February 8, 2002 reporting total assets of \$903K and consisting of \$50K in cash and \$368K in accounts receivables. Liabilities totaled \$0K, resulting in a net worth of \$903,064.
- The principal of the General Partner, Patrick A. Barbolla, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of February 8, 2002.

The executed agreement of limited partnership identifies the General Partner[s] as comprising 99% of the partnership and the Limited Partner as comprising 1%. This partnership structure will not facilitate syndication of the tax credit allocation for which the Applicant has applied. Therefore, the partnership must be reorganized in order to make use of the allocation requested

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant is a new entity formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The General Partner and General Contractor have completed numerous affordable housing developments housing developments totaling 980 units since 1983.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

• The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

- ☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$151,278 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.
- ☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF A HOME AWARD NOT TO EXCEED \$540,000, STRUCTURED AS A 30-YEAR TERM LOAN, FULLY AMORTIZING OVER 30 YEARS AT 2.0% INTEREST.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation from the current owner's CPA to substantiate the purchase price of the property. The documentation must show that the seller will assume a higher exit tax liability cost to transfer the property than it would to foreclose on the property, resulting in a purchase price that is higher than the appraised value, and/or acceptable log USDA of the current proposed sales price;
- 2. Should a lower sales price be mandated by USDA a re-evaluation of the recommendations herein should be conducted by the Underwriter.

Associate Underwriter:	Raquel Morales	Date:	June 10, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	June 10, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Briarwood Apartments, Kaufman, LIHTC #02158

>TC30%:< LH	Number	Bedrooms	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt.	Net Rent per Unit	Rent per Month	Rent per SF	Tnt Pd Util	Wtr, Swr, Tr
>1C50-8.1 III	9	1	1	654	\$373	\$335	\$3,015	\$0.51	\$62.00	\$30.00
<tc40%:<lh< td=""><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>654</td><td>499</td><td>\$335</td><td>335</td><td>0.51</td><td>62.00</td><td>30.00</td></tc40%:<lh<>	1	1	1	654	499	\$335	335	0.51	62.00	30.00
<tc60%: <b="">HH</tc60%:>	14	1	1	654	631	\$335	4,690	0.51	62.00	30.00
>TC30%: <lh< td=""><td>10</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>870</td><td>448</td><td>\$445</td><td>4,450</td><td>0.51</td><td>84.00</td><td>42.00</td></lh<>	10	2	1	870	448	\$445	4,450	0.51	84.00	42.00
<tc60%: lh<="" td=""><td>13</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>870</td><td>748</td><td>\$445</td><td>5,785</td><td>0.51</td><td>84.00</td><td>42.00</td></tc60%:>	13	2	1	870	748	\$445	5,785	0.51	84.00	42.00
EO	1	2	1	870	0	0	0	0.00	84.00	42.00
TOTAL:	48		AVERAGE:	762	\$560	\$381	\$18,275	\$0.50	\$73.00	\$36.00
INCOME		Total Net Re	ntable Sq Ft:	36,576		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL GROS	S RENT		-			\$219,300	\$212,832			
Secondary Inco			Der	Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	5,760	5,616	\$9.75	Per Unit Per Mo	onth
Other Support		nterest, ter			,	0	-,	4		
POTENTIAL GROS		ilocicoc, cci	Olial Jeb	,		\$225,060	\$218,448			
Vacancy & Coll		æ	9 of Dotentia	l Gross Income:	-7.50%	(16,880)	(16,380)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Pent
Employee or Ot					-7.50%	0	(10,300)	-7.50%	or Potential Gr	.oss Renc
EFFECTIVE GROS		irear onres (or conceptio	115		\$208,181	\$202,068			
EFFECTIVE GROS	S INCOME		% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	\$200,101	\$202,000	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General & Admi	inistrative		5.41%	\$235	\$0.31	\$11,265	\$7,265	\$0.20	\$151	3.60%
Management	IIIISCIACIVE		7.80%	338	0.44	16,243	18,048	0.49	376	8.93%
Payroll & Payr	coll Tax		17.94%	778	1.02	37,348	40,372	1.10	841	19.98%
							19,050			
Repairs & Mair	itenance		7.75%	336	0.44	16,143		0.52	397	9.43%
Utilities			3.03%	131	0.17	6,307	5,050	0.14	105	2.50%
Water, Sewer,			9.96%	432	0.57	20,736	17,950	0.49	374	8.88%
Property Insur	rance		3.09%	134	0.18	6,425	8,497	0.23	177	4.21%
Property Tax		2.50063	8.23%	357	0.47	17,128	14,000	0.38	292	6.93%
Reserve for Re	-		6.92%	300	0.39	14,400	9,680	0.26	202	4.79%
Other Expenses			0.56%	24	0.03	1,175	1,175	0.03	24	0.58%
TOTAL EXPENSES			70.69%	\$3,066	\$4.02	\$147,169	\$141,087	\$3.86	\$2,939	69.82%
NET OPERATING	INC		29.31%	\$1,271	\$1.67	\$61,012	\$60,981	\$1.67	\$1,270	30.18%
DEBT SERVICE				,		+05 505	+05 505			
Rural Housing Sv	rc- USDA		13.26%	\$575	\$0.75	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$0.75	\$575	13.66%
HOME Loan			12.78%	\$554	\$0.73	26,613	26,613	\$0.73	\$554	13.17%
LIHTC Syndicatio	on Proceeds		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	ċć 771	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH FLOW			3.27%	\$142	\$0.19	\$6,802	\$6,771	\$0.19	\$141	3.35%
AGGREGATE DEBT (ALTERNATIVE DEBT						1.13	1.12			
CONSTRUCTION C										
Descript:										
	ion	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
-			<u>% of TOTAL</u> 37.14%	\$19,072	<u>PER SQ FT</u> \$25.03	**************************************	*\$915,458	PER SQ FT \$25.03	PER UNIT \$19,072	\$ of TOTAL 37.14%
Acquisition Co					_					
Acquisition Co Off-Sites			37.14%	\$19,072	\$25.03	\$915,458	\$915,458	\$25.03	\$19,072	37.14%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework	ost (site or		37.14% 0.00%	\$19,072 0	\$25.03 0.00	\$915,458 0	\$915,458 0	\$25.03 0.00	\$19,072 0	37.14% 0.00%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework	ost (site or		37.14% 0.00% 6.21%	\$19,072 0 3,190	\$25.03 0.00 4.19	\$915,458 0 153,122	\$915,458 0 153,122	\$25.03 0.00 4.19	\$19,072 0 3,190	37.14% 0.00% 6.21%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru	ost (site or	bldg)	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ	est (site or action	bldg) 0.00% 5.97%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's	est (site or action direments	0.00% 5.97% 1.03%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's	est (site or action airements G & A g Profit	bldg) 0.00% 5.97%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's	est (site or action direments G & A g Profit cruction	0.00% 5.97% 1.03%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const	est (site or direments of & A so Profit cruction penses	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G	est (site or action direments of G & A or Profit cruction denses & A	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requi Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G	ost (site or direction direction direction direction) as Profit direction denses & A cofit	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.96% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Finance	ost (site or direction direction direction direction) as Profit direction denses & A cofit	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Finance	ost (site or direction direction direction direction) as Profit direction denses & A cofit	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's P Interim Financ Reserves TOTAL COST	est (site or action sirements of A as Profit cruction beenses & A cofit	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Financ Reserves TOTAL COST Recap-Hard Const	action direments G G A A Frofit cruction denses A A confit cring	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requi Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Finances Reserves FOTAL COST Recap-Hard Const	action direments G G & A G Profit druction denses & A cofit dring	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54% 100.00%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346 \$22,741	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requi Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Finances Reserves FOTAL COST Recap-Hard Const SOURCES OF FUN Gural Housing St	action direments G G & A G Profit druction denses & A cofit dring	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54% 100.00% 44.29%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346 \$22,741 \$14,896	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84 RECOMMENDED. \$715,000	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Financ Reserves TOTAL COST Recap-Hard Const SOURCES OF FUN Rural Housing SN HOME Loan	action direments G G & A G Profit cruction benses & A cofit ding truction Co. DS CC- USDA	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00% 4.27% 10.73%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54% 100.00% 44.29%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346 \$22,741 \$14,896 \$12,500	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84 \$19.55 \$16.40	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551 \$715,000 600,000	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551 \$715,000 600,000	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84 RECOMMENDED \$715,000 540,000	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constructor Contingency General Requisition Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Financ Reserves TOTAL COST Recap-Hard Const SOURCES OF FUN Rural Housin LHTC Syndication	st (site or direction strength of the content of th	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00% 4.27% 10.73%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54% 100.00% 44.29%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346 \$22,741 \$14,896 \$12,500 \$23,950	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551 \$715,000 600,000 1,149,597	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84 RECOMMENDED_ \$715,000 540,000 1,149,599	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%
Acquisition Co Off-Sites Sitework Direct Constru Contingency General Requ Contractor's Contractor's Indirect Const Ineligible Exp Developer's G Developer's Pr Interim Financ Reserves TOTAL COST Recap-Hard Const SOURCES OF FUN Rural Housing SOHOME Loan	action direments G G A Profit cruction penses & A rofit cing cruction Co	0.00% 5.97% 1.03% 6.00% 4.27% 10.73%	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54% 100.00% 44.29%	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346 \$22,741 \$14,896 \$12,500	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84 \$19.55 \$16.40	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551 \$715,000 600,000	\$915,458 0 153,122 812,854 0 57,635 9,982 57,958 89,825 12,030 87,466 220,000 10,200 38,067 \$2,464,597 \$1,091,551 \$715,000 600,000	\$25.03 0.00 4.19 22.22 0.00 1.58 0.27 1.58 2.46 0.33 2.39 6.01 0.28 1.04 \$67.38 \$29.84 RECOMMENDED \$715,000 540,000	\$19,072 0 3,190 16,934 0 1,201 208 1,207 1,871 251 1,822 4,583 213 793 \$51,346	37.14% 0.00% 6.21% 32.98% 0.00% 2.34% 0.41% 2.35% 3.64% 0.49% 3.55% 8.93% 0.41% 1.54%

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Briarwood Apartments, Kaufman, LIHTC #02158

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost				
Adjustments				
Exterior Wall Finish				
Elderly				
Roofing				
Subfloor				
Floor Cover				
Porches/Balconies				
Plumbing				
Built-In Appliances				
Stairs/Fireplaces				
Floor Insulation				
Heating/Cooling				
Garages/Carports				
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs				
Other:				
SUBTOTAL				
Current Cost Multiplier				
Local Multiplier				
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST	S			
Plans, specs, survy, bld prmts				
Interim Construction Interest				
Contractor's OH & Profit				
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COSTS	•			

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$715,000	Term	360	
Int Rate	1.00%	DCR	2.21	
Secondary	\$600,000	Term	360	
Int Rate 2.00%		Subtotal DCR	1.13	
Additional		Term		
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR 1.13		
-		·		

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE APPLICANT'S NOI:

Primary Debt S Secondary Debt Additional Deb NET CASH FLOW	Service t Service	\$27,597 23,951 0 \$9,464		
Primary	\$715,000	Term	360	
Int Rate	1.00%	DCR 2.21		
Secondary	\$540,000	Term	360	
Int Rate	2.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.18	

Additional

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE APPLICANT'S NOI:

INCOME	at	3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT		\$212,832	\$219,217	\$225,793	\$232,567	\$239,544	\$277,697	\$321,927	\$373,202	\$501,553	
Secondary Income		5,616	5,784	5,958	6,137	6,321	7,328	8,495	9,848	13,234	
Other S	Support Income	e: (interest,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL	L GROSS INCOME	_	218,448	225,001	231,751	238,704	245,865	285,025	330,422	383,050	514,787
Vacancy	/ & Collection	Loss	(16,380)	(16,875)	(17,381)	(17,903)	(18,440)	(21,377)	(24,782)	(28,729)	(38,609)
Employe	ee or Other No	on-Rental Unit_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE	E GROSS INCOME	_	\$202,068	\$208,126	\$214,370	\$220,801	\$227,425	\$263,648	\$305,641	\$354,321	\$476,178
EXPENSES	at	4.00%									
General	l & Administra	ative	7,265	\$7,556	\$7,858	\$8,172	\$8,499	\$10,340	\$12,581	\$15,306	\$22,657
Managen	ment		18,048	16,239	16,726	17,228	17,745	20,571	23,847	27,645	37,153
Payroll	l & Payroll Ta	ıx	40,372	41,987	43,666	45,413	47,230	57,462	69,911	85,058	125,906
Repairs	& Maintenand	ce	19,050	19,812	20,604	21,429	22,286	27,114	32,988	40,135	59,410
Utiliti	Utilities		5,050	5,252	5,462	5,681	5,908	7,188	8,745	10,640	15,749
Water,	Sewer & Trash	1	17,950	18,668	19,415	20,191	20,999	25,548	31,084	37,818	55,980
Insurar	nce		8,497	8,837	9,190	9,558	9,940	12,094	14,714	17,902	26,499
Propert	ty Tax		14,000	14,560	15,142	15,748	16,378	19,926	24,243	29,496	43,661
Reserve	e for Replacem	nents	9,680	10,067	10,470	10,889	11,324	13,778	16,763	20,394	30,189
Other			1,175	1,222	1,271	1,322	1,375	1,672	2,035	2,476	3,664
TOTAL EXE	PENSES		\$141,087	\$144,199	\$149,805	\$155,630	\$161,683	\$195,694	\$236,911	\$286,870	\$420,869
NET OPERA	ATING INCOME		\$60,981	\$63,927	\$64,565	\$65,171	\$65,742	\$67,955	\$68,730	\$67,451	\$55,309
	DEBT SERVI	CE									
First Lie	en Financing		\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597	\$27,597
Second Li	Second Lien		23,951	23,951	23,951	23,951	23,951	23,951	23,951	23,951	23,951
Other Fir	nancing		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH	FLOW	_	\$9,433	\$12,379	\$13,017	\$13,623	\$14,194	\$16,407	\$17,182	\$15,903	\$3,761
DEBT COVE	DEBT COVERAGE RATIO		1.18	1.24	1.25	1.26	1.28	1.32	1.33	1.31	1.07

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Briarwood Apartments, Kaufman, LIHTC #02158

	APPLICANT'S TOTAL	TDHCA TOTAL	APPLICANT'S ACQUISITION	TDHCA ACQUISITION	APPLICANT'S REHAB/NEW	TDHCA REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost						
Purchase of land	\$57,258	\$57,258				
Purchase of buildings	\$858,200	\$858,200	\$858,200	\$858,200		
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost						
On-site work	\$153,122	\$153,122			\$153,122	\$153,122
Off-site improvements						
(3) Construction Hard Costs						
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$812,854	\$812,854			\$812,854	\$812,854
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requiremen	ts		•	·	·	
Contractor overhead	\$9,982	\$9,982			\$9,982	\$9,982
Contractor profit	\$57,958	\$57,958			\$57,958	\$57,958
General requirements	\$57,635	\$57,635			\$57,635	\$57,635
(5) Contingencies						
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$89,825	\$89,825	\$10,375	\$10,375	\$79,450	\$79,450
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$10,200	\$10,200	\$500	\$500	\$9,700	\$9,700
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$12,030	\$12,030				
(9) Developer Fees				\$130,361		\$177,105
Developer overhead	\$87,466	\$87,466	\$30,361		\$57,105	
Developer fee	\$220,000	\$220,000	\$100,000		\$120,000	
(10) Development Reserves	\$38,067	\$38,067				
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$2,464,597	\$2,464,597	\$999,436	\$999,436	\$1,357,806	\$1,357,806

Deduct from Basis:								
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis								
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis								
Non-qualified non-recourse financing								
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]								
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)								
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$999,436	\$999,436	\$1,357,806	\$1,357,806				
High Cost Area Adjustment			100%	100%				
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$999,436	\$999,436	\$1,357,806	\$1,357,806				
Applicable Fraction	100%	100%	100%	100%				
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$999,436	\$999,436	\$1,357,806	\$1,357,806				
Applicable Percentage	3.67%	3.67%	8.44%	8.44%				
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	\$36,679	\$36,679	\$114,599	\$114,599				

 Syndication Proceeds
 0.7599
 \$278,735
 \$278,735
 \$870,864
 \$870,864

 Total Credit Amount
 \$151,278
 \$151,278

Total Syndication Proceeds \$1,149,599 \$1,149,599