TDHCA # 02036

Region 10

At Risk Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Development Name: Gateway East Apartments TDHCA#: 02036

DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS

LIHTC Primary Set Aside: AR 10 Region: Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 1222 Giles

Purpose / Activity: ACQ/R City: El Paso **Development Type:** Family County: El Paso Zip Code: 79915 **V** TTC **~** DDA □ QCT

Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 6 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation

OWNER AND RRINGIPAL INCORMATION Owner Entity Name:

OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION	Owner Entity Name:	Gateway Afforda	ble Housing, L.P.
Dringing Names:	Dringi	nal Contact:	Percentage Ownership:

Filiopal Names.	Frincipal Contact.	Percentage Ownership.
Preservation Partners of El Paso, Inc.	Daniel F. O'Dea	100 %
Preservation Partners of El Paso, Inc.	W. Douglas Gurkin	0 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %

TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION

Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: \$394,662 Allocation over 10 Years: \$3,946,620 Credits Requested: \$394,320 Eligible Basis Amount: \$394,662 Equity/Gap Amount: \$545,252

UNIT INFORMATION	BUILDING INFORMATION
------------------	----------------------

	<u>Eff 1</u>	BR 2	BR 3	BR 4	<u>BR</u> 5	BR	<u>Total</u>	Total Development Cost:	\$6,184,979
30%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Gross Building Square Feet:	92,125
40%	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	Total NRA SF:	91,760
50%	0	6	21	3	2	0	32	Gross/Net Rentable:	1.00
60%	0	9	43	13	5	0	70	Average Square Feet/Unit:	882
MR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot:	\$67.40
Total	0	16	64	16	8	0	Ū	Credits per Low Income Unit	\$3,795
	U	10	0-	10	U	U		•	. ,

Total LI Units: 104 **INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION** Owner/Employee Units: 0

\$620,224 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 104 \$364,169 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$256,055 Net Operating Income: 1.10

Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: attributable to low income units

Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

Developer: Preservation Partners, Inc. Market Analyst: The Danter Company, Inc.

Housing GC: DM Jones Construction, Inc. Originator/UW: NA

Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: **Property Advisors**

Cost Estimator: NA Attorney: Claudia Crocker, Attorney at Law Architect: **AG Associates Architects** Supp Services: Greater El Paso Housing Dev. Corp. Thomas Stephen & Company, LLP Accountant:

Property Manager: Marcrum Management Company

Engineer:

Syndicator: Related Capital Company Permanent Lender: American Mortgage Acceptance

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION

Underwriting Finding: AC 104 Site Review: Acceptable Points Awarded:

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued)	
Project Name: Gateway East Apartments	Project Number: 02036
PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY Note: "O" = Opposed	d, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment
# of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(no	ot from Officials): Support: 3 Opposition: 0
$\hfill \square$ A resolution was passed by the local government in	support of the development.
Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction:	Comment from Other Public Official
Local Official: Raymond C. Caballero, Mayor, S	Luis G. Sarinana, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem, S
TX Rep.: Norma Chavez, Dist. 76	
TX Sen.: Eliot Shapleigh, Dist. 29 S	
US Rep.:	
US Sen.:	
CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT	
Receipt, review, and acceptance of the implementation of an Open management of identified asbestos-containing material per the En Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation from the gener	vironmental Site Assessment recommendation.
contractor fees with payment of same to come out of cash flow.	
Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation of the revised a documentation substantiating the closing of the construction loan.	and approved HAP contract and rental assistance rents by or as part of
acceptability and detail of the methodology and calculations used	will remain in effect, and certification by a third party CPA as to the to keep the IRP and/or IRP loan from reducing eligible basis or reducing part of the documentation substantiating the closing of the construction
Receipt, review, and acceptance of revised permanent loan comm \$232,726 per year.	nitments reflecting a reduction in the total debt service not to exceed
Should the terms of the proposed debt or the key assumptions reg recommendations and conditions of this report should be re-evaluated.	arding the IRP, HAP contract or syndication be altered, the conclusions, ated by the Underwriter.
Alternate Recommendation:	
RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND	DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON:
	quired Set Aside
☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer of	-
☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer	
☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or it	•
<u> </u>	tities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Aside. Because the At-Risk Set Aside is undersubscribed it is
Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date	David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date
RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AN	
	ry Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the
Edwina Carrington Executive Director	
Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee	Date
Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee	Date TION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable):

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Gateway East Apartments Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board Date Project Number: 02036

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02036	LII	HTC 9% ☑ L	IHTC 4% □
Project Name:	Gateway East Apartm	ents	HOME \square	HTF \Box
Project City:	El Paso		BOND \square	SECO □
Housing Com	pliance Review			
Project(s) in	material non-compliance			
No previous	participation			
Status of	f Findings (individual compli Participation and Backgro			
Projects Mon	nitored by the Department			
# review	ved # no	ot yet monitored or pendin	g review 3	
# of projects	grouped by score 0-9	: 0 10-19: 0	20-29: 0	
Members of	the development team have b	peen disbarred by HUD		
National Pre	vious Participation Certificat	ion Received	No	
Non-C	Compliance Reported			
Completed	by Jo En Taylor	Completed on	04/24/2002	
Single Audit				
Status of Fin	dings (any outstanding singl	e audit issues are listed be	low)	
single aud	lit not applicable 🗹 💮 no o	outstanding issues outstanding outstandin	itstanding issues	
Comments:				
Completed	by Lucy Trevino	Completed on	05/23/2002	
Program Mon	nitoring			
	dings (any unresolved issues	s are listed below)		
	ing review not applicable ✓		review pending	
	ed; no unresolved issues	reviewed; unresolv		
Comments:				
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson	Completed on	04/30/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring review pending					
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
Housing Programs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues 🗹	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by C.Hud	son	Completed on	06/06/2002				
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
1							
Everytive Director - Ec	dwina Carrington	Dat	e Signed: June 10, 2002				
Executive Director: Eq	avvina Cannigion	Date	e Signed: June 10, 2002				

DATE: May 18, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02036

	DEVELOPMENT NAME								
Gateway East Apartments									
		Gale	way Lasi	Аран	mems				
			APPLIC	CANT					
Name:	Gateway Afford	able Housing, L.P.		Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	204 East 8 th Stre	eet		City:	Georg	etown		State	: TX
Zip:	78626 Conta	Michelle Grandt		Phone	(512)	863-76	666 Fax:	(512)	863-8656
		PRINC	CIPALS of t	he APP	LICANT				
Name:	Preservation Pa	rtners of El Paso, Inc.		(%):	.01	Title:	General l	Partner	
Name:	Related Capital	Company		(%):	99.99	Title:	Limited 1	Partner	
Name:	Daniel F. O'Dea	l		(%):	n/a	Title:	Pres of G	P/co-own	er of Dev
Name:	W. Douglas Gu	rkin		(%):	n/a	Title:	VP of GI	P/co-owne	r of Dev
			GENERAL	PARTNE	:R				
Name:	Preservation Par	tners of El Paso, Inc.		Type:		Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other
Address:	204 East 8 th Stre	·		City: Georgetown State: TX					
Zip:	78626 Conta			Phone:	(512)		666 Fax:	(512)	863-8656
								·	
		PI	ROPERTY L	OCATIO	ON				
Location:	1222 Giles						☐ QCT	· 🖂	DDA
							_ Qe1		
City:	El Paso		County:	_ <u>E</u>	l Paso			Zip:	79915
			REQU					_	
	Amount	Interest Rate		Ar	nortizatio	<u>on</u>		Term	
	394,320 quested Terms:	N/A	ation of la	imaa.	N/A	na tou once	lita	N/A	
_	Use of Funds:	Annual ten-year alloc	Set-A				IIIS Rural	П	Non-Profit
rroposed	ose of Fullus;	Acquisition/Rehab	Set-A	siue:			Nutur		1.on 11ont
			SITE DESC	RIPTION	N				
Size: 6	5.84 acres	297,950	square fee	t Zon	ing/ Pern	nitted Uses:	C-1		
Flood Zon	e Designation:	Not in flood zone	Status of	Off-Site	es:	Fully Impi	roved		

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS							
Total # Rental # Common # of Units: 104 Buildings 7 Area Bldngs 0 Floors 2 Age: 31 yrs Vacant: 3 at 12/ 12/ 2001							
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF							
16 1 1 627							
64 2 1 885 16 3 1.5 1005							
8 4 1.5 1026							
Net Rentable SF: 90,960* Av Un SF: 875* Common Area SF: 1,165 Gross Bldng SF 92,125							
Property Type: ☐ Multifamily ☐ SFR Rental ☐ Elderly ☐ Mixed Income ☐ Special Use							
* Underwriter's calculation reflects 91,760 total net rentable square feet and a 882 square foot average unit.							
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS							
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS							
Wood frame on a slab on grade, 15% brick veneer/10% siding/75% Stucco siding exterior wall covering, drywa interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing							
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES							
Carpeting & vinyl flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, tile tub/showe cable, ceiling fans, laminated counter tops							
ON-SITE AMENITIES							
1,165 SF leasing office and laundry facilities building, equipped children's play area, basketball courts							
Uncovered Parking: 176 spaces Carports: n/a spaces Garages: n/a spaces							
OTHER SOURCES of FUNDS							
INTERIM TO PERMANENT FINANCING							
Source: American Mortgage Acceptance Corporation Contact: Steve Wendel							
Principal Amount: \$2,600,000 Interest Rate: 7.91%							
Additional Information:							
Amortization: 30 _{yrs} Term: 18 _{yrs} Commitment: None Firm Conditional							
Annual Payment: \$226,980 Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 03/ 20/ 2002							
INTERIM TO PERMANENT FINANCING							
Source: American Mortgage Acceptance Corporation Contact: Steve Wendel							
Principal Amount: \$380,000 Interest Rate: 9%							
Additional Information: IRP Loan, final loan commitment will be based on remaining balance of IRP							
Amortization: 11 yrs Term: 11 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional							
Annual Payment: \$54,541 Lien Priority: 2nd Commitment Date 03/ 20/ 2002							
LIHTC SYNDICATION							
Source: Related Capital Company Contact: Justin Ginsberg							
Address: 625 Madison Avenue City: New York							
State: NY Zip: 10022 Phone: (212) 421-5333 Fax: (212) 751-3550 Net Proceeds: \$2 997 000 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 76¢ 76¢							

Net proceeds based on annual LIHTC allocation of \$394,320.

Conditional

Date:

03/

20/

2002

Commitment

Additional Information:

None

Firm

			APP	LICANT EQUIT	Υ					
Amount:	\$206,879		Source: I	Deferred devel	oper fe	e				
Amount:	\$100		Source: (Cash Equity						
			VALUAT	ION INFORM <i>E</i>	ATION					
				PRAISED VALU						
Land Only	:		\$280,800	_	Date	of Valuation:	02/	13/	2002	
Existing B	uilding: as is wit	hout IRRP*	\$2,044,200		Date	of Valuation:	02/	13/	2002	
Value of II	RRP		\$375,000		Date	of Valuation:	02/	13/	2002	
Total Prop	erty as is w/IRR	LP	\$2,700,000		Date	of Valuation:	02/	13/	2002	
Appraiser:			City:	Columbus		Phone:	(614)	431-3	3332	
*as calcula	ted by underwrite	r .	AS	SESSED VALUE						
Land:		\$387,072		Assessment		Year of:	2002			
Building:		\$1,016,629		Valuation k	y: _	El Paso Count	y Apprais	al Distri	ct	
	ssed Value: assessed value w	\$1,403,701		Tax Rate:	_	2.869				
- 2000 total	assessed value w			-						
			EVIDENCE of S	ITE or PROPER	TY COI	NTROL				
Type of Sit	te Control: E	Earnest money c	contract							
Contract E	Expiration Date:	08/	30/ 2002	2 Anticipat	ed Clos	ing Date:	08/	01/	2002	
Acquisition	n Cost: \$ _	2,700,000	Other Terms/0	Conditions:	\$20	0,000 earnest n	noney dep	osit		
Seller:	El Paso-Gateway	East, Ltd.			Re	lated to Devel	opment T	Геат М	ember:	No
		REV	VIEW of PREVIO	OUS UNDERWR	RITING	REPORTS				
No previo	ous reports.									
		PROP	OSAL and DEV	/ELOPMENT PL	AN DE	SCRIPTION				
units of a	ion: Gateway	y East Apart sing located	tments is a prince in eastern El	roposed acqu	isition	and rehabi				
 of 7 residential buildings as follows: (1) Building Type A with sixteen 1-bedroom units; (4) Building Type B with sixteen 2- bedroom units; (1) Building Type C with sixteen 3- bedroom units; and (1) Building Type D with eight 4- bedroom units; Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site/arranged in several groups congreted by posking lets, with the community building located near the entrance to the site. The 										
Existing programs under a proprinue	are foot comm <u>Subsidies:</u> T . A total of 8- project-based 1	nunity building The developm 4 units are un HUD Sectiongrams as we	groups separated by parking lots, with the community building located near the entrance to the site. The 1165-square foot community building plan includes the leasing office and a 540- square foot laundry facility. Existing Subsidies: The development currently operates under the HUD Section 236 and HUD Section 8 programs. A total of 84 units are under the HUD Section 8 Rental Assistance and the remaining 20 units are under a project-based HUD Section 8 HAP contract. The Applicant intends to extinguish the 236 loan but continue both rent programs as well as the interest rate reduction program which provides an interest rate							

Development Plan: The buildings are currently 97% occupied based on the submitted rent roll as of

moderately deteriorated state. The architect's scope of work includes: paint interior and exterior of buildings, remove and replace roof shingles, replace carpet throughout, replace heating and cooling units, replace light fixtures, replace all counter tops and cabinets, replace refrigerators, replace ranges, replace garbage disposals, install dishwashers in all two-, three-, and four-bedroom units; renovate community building and leasing office, renovate playground and upgrade landscaping.

The Applicant submitted a tenant relocation plan in the LIHTC application, which indicates that there will be approximately 15-20 vacant units at the time of construction commencement in which to begin interior rehabilitation. Each of the interior turns is expected to take approximately one week to complete. Gateway Affordable Housing, L.P. will distribute a letter to all residents by the end of July informing them of the scope of the improvements to be completed. The letter will offer the residents either a bonded moving company to transfer them to the new unit, or offer the tenants \$250 upon the timely completion of the move themselves. There will be a \$50 utility transfer charge for the telephone that will also be paid for by Gateway Affordable Housing, L.P. Four days prior to the tenant's move date, management will provide them with 15 boxes of three varying sizes. During the rehabilitation phase, management will be instructed to cease taking new leases. New leases will be taken as work begins on the last building units. The contractor estimates that 20 units per month is a conservative interior completion production. The Applicant has allocated \$335/unit for relocation costs.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with The Greater El Paso Housing Development Corporation to provide the following supportive services to tenants: homebuyer education services. These services will be provided at no cost to tenants. The Applicant has agreed to pay \$1,000 per year for these support services.

<u>Schedule</u>: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in August of 2002, to be completed in July of 2003, to be placed in service in August of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in October of 2003.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

<u>Income Set-Aside</u>: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. All of the units will be reserved for low-income tenants. Two of the units will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 32 of the units will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and the remaining 70 units will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI. The development also has 20 units enrolled in the HUD project-based Section 8 program via a Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) contract.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Six units (5%) will be handicapped-accessible.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated March 13, 2002 was prepared by The Danter Company and highlighted the following findings:

<u>Definition of Market/Submarket</u>: "The Effective Market Area (EMA) includes a portion of the east side of El Paso. Specifically, the EMA is bounded by Montwood Drive to the north, Lee Trevino Drive and Pendale Road to the east, the Texas/Mexico border to the south, and Airway Boulevard and railroad tracks to the west" (p. III-4) The Market analyst did not define an annual demand for the subject property but rather indicated a total income eligible renter demand as follows:

INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY							
	Market	Analyst	Underwrit	Underwriter (annual)			
Type of Demand	Units of	% of Total	Units of	% of Total			
	Demand	Demand	Demand	Demand			
Household Growth	0		-42	-1%			
Resident Turnover	0	0%	3,394	101%			
Other Sources: Total income qualified	4,753 to						
renter households	5,138						
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND		100%	3,352	100%			

Ref: p. IV-24

<u>Capture Rate</u>: The Market Analyst does not specifically calculate a capture rate as defined by the Department. The analyst does suggest "The projects will represent a rental housing alternative for 12.8% to 17.4% of all income-appropriate renter households..." (p. IV-25) The Underwriter calculated a concentration capture rate of 3% based upon data supplied in the market study. With a 90%+ current occupied status, the concentration issue in this case is irrelevant.

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed 53 comparable apartment projects totaling 7,544 units in the market area. (p. IV-7)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)									
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential				
1-Bedroom (TC40%)	\$271	\$411	-\$140	\$425	-\$154				
1-Bedroom (TC50%)	\$339	\$411	-\$72	\$425	-\$86				
1-Bedroom (TC60%)	\$407	\$411	-\$4	\$425	-\$18				
1-Bedroom (TC60%)	\$407	\$408	-\$1	\$425	-\$18				
2-Bedroom (TC50%)	\$407	\$471	-\$64	\$500	-\$93				
2-Bedroom (TC50%)	\$407	\$408	-\$1	\$500	-\$93				
2-Bedroom (TC60%)	\$489	\$490	-\$1	\$500	-\$11				
3-Bedroom (TC50%)	\$470	\$471	-\$1	\$600	-\$130				
3-Bedroom (TC60%)	\$564	\$566	-\$2	\$600	-\$36				
4-Bedroom (TC40%)	\$420	\$421	-\$1	\$660	-\$240				
4-Bedroom (TC50%)	\$525	\$526	-\$1	\$660	-\$135				
4-Bedroom (TC60%)	\$630	\$631	-\$1	\$660	-\$30				

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "The overall market is 94.8% occupied. Vacancies are relatively low in the market area, and the market appears limited by supply rather than demand." (p. IV-8)

Absorption Projections: "When responding to only income qualified tenants, absorption is expected to average 12 to 14 units per month, resulting in a 7.0 –to 8.5-month absorption period to achieve a 95% occupancy level" (p. IV-42)

The Underwriter found the market study to be provide sufficient information to make a funding determination. An appraisal was also provided to substantiate the value of the buildings versus land for the acquisition. The appraisal was performed by Andrew J. Moye, MAI, with Property Advisors. The Appraiser's conclusions for the value of the land and total property appear to be reasoned and justified.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: El Paso is located in west Texas, approximately 43 miles southeast from Las Cruces, New Mexico in El Paso County. The site is a rectangularly-shaped parcel located on the east side of the city of El Paso, within 8 miles from the central business district. The site is situated on the southeast corner of the Gateway Blvd. East (I-10) and Giles intersection.

Population: The estimated 2001 population of the El Paso site effective market area (EMA) was 81,353 and is expected to increase by -3.5% to approximately 78,498 by 2006. Within the primary market area there were estimated to be 23,288 households in 2001.

<u>Adjacent Land Uses</u>: Land uses in the overall area in which the site is located is predominately commercial and retail. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: commercial properties and restaurants, established single-family homes
- **South:** park, established single-family homes
- East: retail establishments, medical buildings, restaurants, hotels and commercial properties
- West: restaurants, commercial properties

Site Access: Access to the property is from the east or west along Gateway East Blvd, east or west along

Giles Road. The development has four main entries, two from the east or west from Gateway East Blvd., and two from the east or west from Giles Road. Interstate Highway 10 borders the apartment complex, which provides connections to all other major roads serving the El Paso area.

<u>Public Transportation</u>: According to the market analyst, Sun Metro is the mode of public transportation available in the Site EMA, however, proximity to the nearest bus stop is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The site is within 0.1 miles of 1 major grocery/supermarket, within 0.2 miles of a shopping center, within 3.5 miles of recreational facilities and library, and a variety of other retail establishments and restaurants. Schools, churches, and hospitals and health care facilities are located within a short driving distance from the site.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site has not been inspected by a TDHCA staff member, and receipt, review, and acceptance of an acceptable site inspection report is a condition of this report.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated February 15, 2002 was prepared by HBC Engineering, Inc. and contained the following findings and recommendations:

Findings:

Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM): "Three (3) of the homogeneous materials sampled in the apartment buildings and laundry room were found to contain asbestos. 1)Resilient Floor Tile & Mastic..., 2)Exterior Soffit...3)Textured Drywall Construction. The asbestos-containing building materials were quantified onsite at the time of inspection. There exists approximately 85,500 square feet of resilient flooring materials utilized throughout the complex. Approximately 12,000 square feet of asbestos-containing cementious fiber board (Transite) was observed on the ceiling throughout the soffit and porch overhangs. Lastly, the approximately 37,800 square feet of texture applied to drywall construction appeared to be utilized in units within Buildings A and B. Please note that it should be assumed that the drywall construction of the walls and ceilings of the units within Building B are asbestos-containing based on their date of construction, type of construction and visual observations." (p. 23)

Recommendations: "No further investigation is recommended. The implementation of an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan would facilitate the in-place management of identified asbestos-containing materials. However, if renovation or demolition activities are proposed for the on-site building structures, it is recommended that the asbestos-containing materials identified at the site be removed, and the removal activities be conducted by trained and licensed asbestos abatement personnel under the requirements of the Texas Department of Health Texas Asbestos Health Protection Rules." (p.25) These conclusions will be a condition of the report.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

Income: The Applicant's rent projections are \$1 less than the maximum rents allowed under LIHTC guidelines for each income level served. The Underwriter used the current HAP contract rents for 20 of the units that are enrolled in this program and the LIHTC maximum rents for the other 84 units in order to calculate the development's potential gross rent. The Applicant's estimate is based strictly on current LIHTC rent limits. The project based HAP rents are higher than the lowest LIHTC rents and are achievable based on LIHTC rules. As a result, the Applicant's potential gross rent estimate is \$12K or 2% lower than the Underwriter's estimate. Using the current HAP rents results in \$12,972 more in rental income for the development than originally estimated by the Applicant. The current HAP rents are \$411 for 14 of the one-bedroom units and \$471 for 6 of the two-bedroom units. The current actual rents are \$401 for one bedroom units, \$459 for two bedrooms, \$496 for three bedrooms and \$544 for four bedrooms. Thus the new proposed rent schedule reflects a nominal overall 4% increase in potential gross rent. The Applicant's estimate of secondary income is in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Applicant utilized a slightly lower vacancy and collection loss rate of 6.69%. The Underwriter and the Applicant also included the IRP payment in other support income. As a result, the Applicant's effective gross income estimate is \$6,700 less than the Underwriter's estimate, but still within the 5% tolerance range.

Expenses: The Applicant's estimate of total operating expense is \$46K or 13% lower than the Underwriter's estimate. The Underwriter compared line item expenses to both the database-derived estimate and the historical operating statement for this development. Historically, this development has operated at an

unusually high cost of \$5,094 per unit. The Applicant has significantly understated the development's operating expense estimate for several line items when compared to the TDHCA database, IREM and the historical expenses. The Underwriter also adjusted the utility expense compared to the typical development due to the fact that this development is an all bills paid operation. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates that deviate significantly when compared to the Underwriter's minimum estimates, particularly: management (\$6K lower), payroll and payroll tax (\$24K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$8K lower), utilities(\$45K lower), water, sewer, and trash (\$15K higher), and property insurance (\$9K higher).

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's net operating income is not within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate. Therefore, the Underwriter's NOI will be used to evaluate debt service capacity. Based on the Underwriter's proforma and the proposed financing structure, the development would have a debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 0.91, which is below the minimum standard of 1.10. Therefore, the maximum total debt service for this project should be limited to \$232,726 by a reduction of the permanent loan amount.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: The Applicant submitted a Real Estate Contract wherein the Applicant is purchasing the property for \$2,700,000. The Applicant's claimed acquisition cost for the land of \$280,800 is the same as the appraised value for the land. The appraiser used adequate comparables to document this value. The appraiser concluded that the market value for the entire property, including the IRRP is \$2,700,000, which is equal to the sales price. The proposed acquisition is an arm's length transaction.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: Since this is an acquisition/rehabilitation application, the sitework costs associated with this project are minimal. The Applicant has estimated sitework costs of \$1,346 per unit which is consistent with the architect's estimate in the proposed work write-up.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's submitted direct costs in the cost breakdown is not consistent with the Proposed Work Write Up for Rehabilitation Developments certified by a third party under Exhibit 102E of the Application. Line item costs appear to differ in the following areas: Specialties are estimated at \$38,000 in the Applicant's cost schedule, while the third party work write up indicates a cost of \$5,000; Cabinet costs are estimated at \$35,000 per the Applicant, while the third party work write up indicates a cost of \$3,000; Equipment for persons w/disabilities costs were estimated at \$17,007 per the Applicant, while the third party work write up indicates a cost of \$16,000. Also, there is an additional \$1,000 included in the work write up labeled "Miscellaneous" that is not included in the Applicant's cost schedule. For purposes of this analysis, the Underwriter utilized the third party Proposed Work Write Up cost estimates and the Applicant's cost breakdown costs appear to be 5% higher.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant's contractor's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines when based on the cost breakdown figures but are slightly overstated when compared to the work write-up estimates. The Applicant's proposed contingency cost was overstated by \$30,007 compared to the 10% allowance for rehabilitation developments. As a result, the Applicant's developer fees were also overstated by \$13,543.

Conclusion: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's verifiable estimate derived from the work write-up, therefore, the Applicant's project cost schedule estimate will be used as adjusted to determine the development's eligible basis and total funding need. As a result, an annual tax credit allocation of \$394,662 is derived from this method. The resulting syndication proceeds will be used to compare to the gap of need using the Applicant's costs to determine the recommended credit amount. It should also be noted that this is \$342 more than initially requested despite the reductions in basis discussed above due to the Applicant's use of a lower applicable percentage of 3.55% rather than the 3.67% underwriting rate for acquisition eligible basis.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing from three sources: an IRP loan, a permanent loan, syndicated LIHTC equity, the Applicant's cash equity and deferred developer's fees. **IRP Loan and Permanent Loan:** There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through American Mortgage Acceptance Corporation. This financing would be broken down into two loans: an IRP loan and a permanent financing loan.

The IRP (Interest Rate Reduction Payment) is what will remain, along with the HAP contract after the

existing 236 loan is decoupled. The 236 loan will be extinguished but the federal assistance payments to help reduce the effective interest rate will be maintained. The IRP loan commits up to \$380,000 in funds at a fixed interest rate of 9% with a term of 11 years. The permanent loan commits up to \$2,600,000 in funds at a fixed interest rate of 7.91% with amortization over a period of 30 years and a term of 18 years. Both of these loans would be used for construction financing and will then convert to permanent financing upon stabilization. Based on the Underwriter's proforma and the proposed financing structure, the development would have a debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 0.91, which is below the program minimum standard of 1.10. In order to raise the development's DCR to the minimum 1.10, the annual debt service must be limited to \$232,726. The final IRP loan amount will depend on how many of the IRP payments are left at the time the loan closes. In addition, the final interest rate on both loans may depend in part on a determination as to the effect the IRP loan will have on eligible basis.

Since the IRP is a federal loan subsidy it and/or any loan proceeds derived from it will be regarded as federal below market rate funds and will either need to be reduced from basis or will limit the credit for the whole development to the 4% credit unless the funds may be regarded as non-below market rate if the overall effective interest rate on the total new debt is above AFR (the applicable federal rate) at the time the transaction closes. Based on an analysis of both the proposed structure and the Underwriter's revised structure the overall interest rate would be at least 8.21% and therefore over the AFR. However, this method of avoiding the federal taint of the IRP has yet to be clearly shown to be acceptable to the IRS. Therefore, it remains possible that the IRP loan in its entirety must be moved from basis and thus a further reduction in credits will be required. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation of how the IRP will remain in effect, and final commitments for both permanent loans at the time of construction loan closing, is a condition of this report. In addition, certification by a third party CPA as to the methodology and calculations used to keep the IRP and/or IRP loan from reducing eligible basis or the applicable percentage should be required.

LIHTC Syndication: Related Capital Company has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$2,997,000 based on a syndication factor of 76%. The funds would be disbursed in a six-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 20% or \$599,400 upon admission of Investor to Project Partnership (the "Closing");
- 2. 20% or \$599,400 at completion of 25% of construction as determined by the Investors construction consultant;
- 3. 20% or \$599,400 at completion of 50% of construction as determined by the Investors construction consultant;
- 4. 15% or \$449,550 at completion of 75% of construction as determined by the Investors construction consultant:
- 5. 5% or \$149,850 upon the completion of construction ("Completion"); and
- 6. 20% or \$599,400 upon the attainment of Rental Achievement.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$206,879 amount to 27% of the total fees. However, based on the Underwriter's analysis using the Applicant's total development cost estimate, the developer will have to defer 100% of the developer fees plus \$54K in contractor fees. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation from the general contractor acknowledging the potential deferral of such fees is a condition of this report.

Financing Conclusions: The Applicant's estimate, adjusted for overstated fees and contingency costs, was used to determine the development's eligible basis and recommended tax credit allocation of \$394,662 annually for ten years, or \$342 more than requested. This net increase is due to the Applicant's use of a lower acquisition applicable percentage of 3.55% instead of the current underwriting rate of 3.67%. As discussed in the operating proforma analysis section of this report, the development's debt coverage ratio is below the Department's minimum standard and, therefore, it is recommended that the annual debt service be limited to \$232,726, which will result in a significantly lower permanent debt amount. Based on the Underwriter's analysis, the developer will need to defer \$764,683 in fees, or \$557,804 more than anticipated. The deferred fee appears to be repayable from development cashflow in 15 years. This deferral will include a small portion of contractor fees and if it should increase further by more than \$10K due to a further reduction in the loan amount or syndication proceeds the transaction would be deemed infeasible by TDHCA underwriting standards.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The exterior elevations are simple and all units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units. Each unit has a semi-private exterior entry that is off an interior breezeway that is shared with other units. The units are in two-story structures with mixed brick veneer/HarkiPlank siding exterior finish and gabled roofs.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

None noted.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant is a single-purpose entity created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore has no material financial statements.
- The Developer, Preservation Partners, Inc., submitted an unaudited financial statement as of March 20, 2002 reporting total assets of \$556K and consisting of \$10K in cash, \$472K in accounts receivable and \$74K in other current assets.
- The principals of the General Partner, Daniel F. O'Dea and W. Douglas Gurkin, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of February 27, 2002 and February 25, 2002, respectively.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant is a new entity formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- The General Partner has completed numerous affordable housing projects totaling approximately 504 units since 1998.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's estimated operating expenses and proforma NOI are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable ranges.
- Significant inconsistencies in the application could affect the financial feasibility of the project.
- Significant environmental risks exist regarding potential asbestos in the flooring, soffit and drywall.
- The recommended amount of deferred developer fee cannot be repaid within ten years, and any amount unpaid past ten years would be removed from eligible basis.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$394,662 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a satisfactory TDHCA site inspection report;
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of the implementation of an Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan to facilitate the in-place management of identified asbestos-containing materials per the Environmental Site Assessment recommendation.
- 3. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation from the general contractor acknowledging the potential deferral of up to \$50K in contractor fees with payment of same to come out of cash flow.
- 4. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation of the revised and approved HAP contract and rental assistance rents by or as part of documentation substantiating the closing of the construction loan.
- 5. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation of how the IRP will remain in effect, and

certification by a third party CPA as to the acceptability and detail of the methodology and calculations used to keep the IRP and/or IRP loan from reducing eligible basis or reducing the applicable percentage. This condition should be met by or as part of the documentation substantiating the closing of the construction loan.

- 6. Review of this development's score for including 40% and 50% of AMGI units, based on the Underwriter's conclusion that deferred developer fee exceeds 50% of the eligible developer fees.
- 7. Receipt, review, and acceptance of revised permanent loan commitments reflecting a reduction in the total debt service not to exceed \$232,726 per year.
- 8. Should the terms of the proposed debt or the key assumptions regarding the IRP, HAP contract or syndication be altered, the conclusions, recommendations and conditions of this report should be re-evaluated by the Underwriter.

Associate Underwriter:	Raquel Morales	Date:	May 17, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	May 17, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Gateway East Apartments, El Paso, LIHTC #02036

Type of Unit Number	Bedrooms	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt.	Net Rent per Unit	Rent per Month	Rent per SF	Tnt Pd Util	Wtr, Swr, Trsh
>TC40% 1	1	1	627	\$272	\$411	\$411	\$0.66	\$67.00	\$20.00
>TC50% 6	1	1	627	340	\$411	2,466	0.66	67.00	20.00
>TC60% 7	1	1	627	408	\$411	2,877	0.66	67.00	20.00
TC60% 2	1	1	627	408	\$408	816	0.65	67.00	20.00
>TC50% 6 TC50% 15	2	1	885 885	408 408	\$471 \$408	2,826 6,120	0.53	83.00 83.00	23.00
TC60% 43	2	1	885	490	\$490	21,070	0.46	83.00	23.00
TC50% 43	3	1.5	1,055	471	\$471	1,413	0.45	102.00	27.00
TC60% 13	3	1.5	1,055	566	\$566	7,358	0.54	102.00	27.00
TC40% 1	4	1.5	1,026	421	\$421	421	0.41	127.00	32.00
TC50% 2	4	1.5	1,026	526	\$526	1,052	0.51	127.00	32.00
TC60% 5	4	1.5	1,026	631	\$631	3,155	0.62	127.00	32.00
TOTAL: 104		AVERAGE:	882	\$471	\$481	\$49,985	\$0.54	\$86.85	\$23.85
INCOME	otal Net R	entable Sq Ft	91,760		TDHCA	APPLICANT	1		
POTENTIAL GROSS	RENT				\$599,820	\$586,848	Ī		
Secondary Income		Per	Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	12,480	12,480	\$10.00	Per Unit Per M	onth
Other Support In	come: (IRP	Pmt)			58,212	58,212			
POTENTIAL GROSS	INCOME				\$670,512	\$657,540	1		
Vacancy & Collect	ion Loss	% of Potentia	1 Gross Income:	-7.50%	(50,288)	(44,016)	-6.69%	of Potential G	ross Rent
Employee or Othe	Non-Renta	al Units or	Concessions		0	0			
EFFECTIVE GROSS	INCOME				\$620,224	\$613,524	1		
EXPENSES		% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT			PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General & Admini	strative	4.53%	\$270	\$0.31	\$28,122	\$26,500	\$0.29	\$255	4.32%
Management		5.00%	298	0.34	31,011	24,989	0.27	240	4.07%
Payroll & Payrol	l Tax	9.00%	537	0.61	55,836	32,000	0.35	308	5.22%
Repairs & Mainter	nance	5.49%	328	0.37	34,080	26,000	0.28	250	4.24%
Utilities		13.84%	825	0.94	85,812	40,000	0.44	385	6.52%
Water, Sewer, & '	Trash	4.80%	286	0.32	29,760	45,000	0.49	433	7.33%
Property Insuran		2.50%	149	0.17	15,518	25,000	0.27	240	4.07%
Property Tax	2.8690	7.94%	473	0.54	49,230	50,000	0.54	481	8.15%
Reserve for Repla		5.03%	300	0.34	31,200	31,200	0.34	300	5.09%
Other Expenses:			35	0.04	3,600	3,600	0.04	35	0.59%
TOTAL EXPENSES		58.72%	\$3,502	\$3.97	\$364,169	\$304,289	\$3.32	\$2,926	49.60%
NET OPERATING IN	٦.	41.28%	\$2,462	\$2.79	\$256,055	\$309,235	\$3.37	\$2,973	50.40%
DEBT SERVICE	-	41.20%	\$2,402	\$2.79	\$230,033	\$309,233	\$3.37	\$2,973	30.40%
AMAC		36.60%	\$2,183	\$2.47	\$226,980	\$226,980	\$2.47	\$2,183	37.00%
AMAC- IRP Loan		8.79%	\$524	\$0.59	54,541	54,541	\$0.59	\$524	8.89%
THE DOG!		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	31/311	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH FLOW		-4.11%	(\$245)	(\$0.28)	(\$25,467)	\$27,714	\$0.30	\$266	4.52%
AGGREGATE DEBT COV	ERAGE RATIO)			0.91	1.10			
ALTERNATIVE DEBT CO					1.10		1		
CONSTRUCTION COS		110			1.10				
Description	<u>Factor</u>	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
Acquisition Cost			\$25,962	\$29.42	\$2,700,000	\$2,700,000	\$29.42	\$25,962	43.65%
Off-Sites	(Site of D.		\$25,962 0		\$2,700,000	\$2,700,000	1	\$25,962	
		0.00%		0.00		140,000	0.00		0.00%
Sitework		2.30%	1,346	1.53	140,000	.,	1.53	1,346	2.26%
Direct Construct		21.29%	12,456	14.12	1,295,372	1,360,379	14.83	13,081	21.99%
Contingency	10.00%	2.36%	1,380	1.56	143,537	180,045	1.96	1,731	2.91%
General Require		1.42%	828	0.94	86,122	90,023	0.98	866	1.46%
Contractor's G		0.47%	276	0.31	28,707	30,008	0.33	289	0.49%
Contractor's P		1.42%	828	0.94	86,122	90,023	0.98	866	1.46%
Indirect Constru		4.31%	2,523	2.86	262,340	262,340	2.86	2,523	4.24%
Ineligible Expen		5.77%	3,376	3.83	351,124	351,124	3.83	3,376	5.68%
Developer's G & 2	A 2.00%	1.53%	896	1.02	93,144	0	0.00	0	0.00%
Developer's Prof		9.95%	5,822	6.60	605,439	724,217	7.89	6,964	11.71%
Interim Financin	3	3.22%	1,883	2.13	195,820	195,820	2.13	1,883	3.17%
Reserves		1.58%	926	1.05	96,260	61,000	0.66	587	0.99%
TOTAL COST		100.00%	\$58,500	\$66.30	\$6,083,988	\$6,184,979	\$67.40	\$59,471	100.00%
Recap-Hard Constru	ction Cost	5 29.25%	\$17,114	\$19.40	\$1,779,861	\$1,890,478	\$20.60	\$18,178	30.57%
SOURCES OF FUNDS							RECOMMENDED		
AMAC		42.74%	\$25,000	\$28.33	\$2,600,000	\$2,600,000	#########		
AMAC- IRP Loan		6.25%	\$3,654	\$4.14	380,000	380,000	380,000		
LIHTC Syndication					2,997,000	2,997,000	2,999,135		
Deferred Developer	Fees	3.40%	\$1,989	\$2.25	206,879	206,879	764,683		
Cash Equity		0.00%	\$1	\$0.00	100	100	100		
Additional (excess	Funds Re	q <u>-1.64</u> %	(\$961)	(\$1.09)	(99,991)	1,000	0		
TOTAL SOURCES		_	_	_	\$6,083,988	\$6,184,979	#########		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Gateway East Apartments, El Paso, LIHTC #02036

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost				
Adjustments				
Exterior Wall Finis	1			
Elderly				
Roofing				
Subfloor				
Floor Cover				
Porches/Balconies				
Plumbing				
Built-In Appliances				
Stairs/Fireplaces				
Floor Insulation				
Heating/Cooling				
Garages/Carports				
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs				
Other:				
SUBTOTAL				
Current Cost Multiplier				
Local Multiplier				
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	ON COSTS			
Plans, specs, survy, b	3.90%			
Interim Construction I	3.38%			
Contractor's OH & Prof.	11.50%			
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS			

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$2,600,000	Term	360
Int Rate	7.91%	DCR	1.13
Secondary	\$380,000	Term	132
Int Rate	9.00%	Subtotal DCR	0.91
Additional		Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	0.91

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$178,185
54,541
0
\$23,328

Primary	\$2,041,062	Term	360
Int Rate	7.91%	DCR	1.44
Secondary	\$380,000	Term	132
Int Rate	9.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.10
Additional	\$0	Term	0
Int Pato	0.00%	Aggregate DCD	1 10

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$599,820	\$617,815	\$636,349	\$655,440	\$675,103	\$782,629	\$907,282	\$1,051,788	\$1,413,515
Secondary Income	12,480	12,854	13,240	13,637	14,046	16,284	18,877	21,884	29,410
Other Support Income: (I	58,212	59,958	61,757	63,610	65,518	75,953	88,051	102,075	137,180
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	670,512	690,627	711,346	732,687	754,667	874,866	1,014,210	1,175,747	1,580,105
Vacancy & Collection Los	(50,288)	(51,797)	(53,351)	(54,951)	(56,600)	(65,615)	(76,066)	(88,181)	(118,508)
Employee or Other Non-Re	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$620,224	\$638,830	\$657,995	\$677,735	\$698,067	\$809,251	\$938,144	\$1,087,566	\$1,461,598
EXPENSES at 4.00%									
General & Administrative	\$28,122	\$29,247	\$30,417	\$31,633	\$32,899	\$40,026	\$48,698	\$59,249	\$87,703
Management	31,011	31,942	32,900	33,887	34,903	40,463	46,907	54,378	73,080
Payroll & Payroll Tax	55,836	58,069	60,392	62,807	65,320	79,471	96,689	117,637	174,132
Repairs & Maintenance	34,080	35,443	36,861	38,335	39,869	48,506	59,015	71,801	106,284
Utilities	85,812	89,245	92,814	96,527	100,388	122,137	148,599	180,793	267,618
Water, Sewer & Trash	29,760	30,950	32,188	33,476	34,815	42,358	51,535	62,700	92,811
Insurance	15,518	16,139	16,784	17,456	18,154	22,087	26,872	32,694	48,395
Property Tax	49,230	51,200	53,248	55,378	57,593	70,070	85,251	103,721	153,533
Reserve for Replacements	31,200	32,448	33,746	35,096	36,500	44,407	54,028	65,734	97,302
Other	3,600	3,744	3,894	4,050	4,211	5,124	6,234	7,585	11,227
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$364,169	\$378,426	\$393,243	\$408,644	\$424,651	\$514,650	\$623,829	\$756,292	\$1,112,083
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$256,055	\$260,405	\$264,752	\$269,091	\$273,416	\$294,601	\$314,315	\$331,274	\$349,514
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185	\$178,185
Second Lien	54,541	54,541	54,541	54,541	54,541	54,541	54,541	54,541	54,541
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$23,328	\$27,679	\$32,026	\$36,365	\$40,690	\$61,875	\$81,589	\$98,548	\$116,788
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.10	1.12	1.14	1.16	1.17	1.27	1.35	1.42	1.50

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Gateway East Apartments, El Paso, LIHTC #02036

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	ACQUISITION	ACQUISITION	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost	AMOUNTS	ANOUNTS	EDIGIBLE DADID	EDIGIDDE DADID	EDIGIBLE DASIS	EDIGIDES DADID
Purchase of land	\$280,800	\$280,800				
			40 410 000	AO 410 000		
Purchase of buildings	\$2,419,200	\$2,419,200	\$2,419,200	\$2,419,200		
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost						
On-site work	\$140,000	\$140,000			\$140,000	\$140,000
Off-site improvements						
(3) Construction Hard Costs						
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$1,360,379	\$1,295,372			\$1,360,379	\$1,295,372
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requiremen	ts				,	
Contractor overhead	\$30,008	\$28,707			\$30,008	\$28,707
Contractor profit	\$90,023	\$86,122			\$90,023	\$86,122
General requirements	\$90,023	\$86,122			\$90,023	\$86,122
(5) Contingencies	\$180,045	\$143,537			\$150,038	\$143,537
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$262,340	\$262,340			\$262,340	\$262,340
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$195,820	\$195,820			\$195,820	\$195,820
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$351,124	\$351,124				
(9) Developer Fees			\$362,880	\$362,880	\$347,794	\$335,703
Developer overhead		\$93,144				
Developer fee	\$724,217	\$605,439				
(10) Development Reserves	\$61,000	\$96,260				
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$6,184,979	\$6,083,988	\$2,782,080	\$2,782,080	\$2,666,424	\$2,573,724

Deduct from Basis:				
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis				
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis				
Non-qualified non-recourse financing				
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]				
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)				
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$2,782,080	\$2,782,080	\$2,666,424	\$2,573,724
High Cost Area Adjustment			130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$2,782,080	\$2,782,080	\$3,466,352	\$3,345,842
Applicable Fraction	100%	100%	100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$2,782,080	\$2,782,080	\$3,466,352	\$3,345,842
Applicable Percentage	3.67%	3.67%	8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	\$102,102	\$102,102	\$292,560	\$282,389
Syndication Proceeds 0	7599 \$775 900	\$775 900	\$2 223 234	\$2 145 942

 Syndication Proceeds
 0.7599
 \$775,900
 \$775,900
 \$2,223,234
 \$2,145,942

 Total Credit Amount
 \$394,662
 \$384,491

 Total Syndication Proceeds
 \$2,999,135
 \$2,921,842

TDHCA # 02051

Region 10

General Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS Development Name: Pueblo Montana TDHCA#: 02051 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** G LIHTC Primary Set Aside: 10 Region: Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 14000 Block of Montana Purpose / Activity: NC City: El Paso **Development Type:** Family County: El Paso 79936 Zip Code: **V** TTC **V DDA** QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 3 Units for Handicapped/Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Pueblo Montana Ltd. **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: **Tropicana Building Corporation** Bobby Bowling, IV 95 % Lower Valley Housing Corporation 5 % Nancy Hanson NA NA 0 % NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$228,465 \$2,284,650 Credits Requested: \$234,001 Eligible Basis Amount: \$228,465 Equity/Gap Amount: \$232,606 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION** \$2,331,680 **Total Development Cost:** 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 37,000 30% 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 36,000 Total NRA SF: 40% 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1.03 15 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 7 5 3 0 50% 0 1.000 Average Square Feet/Unit: 19 60% 0 0 9 7 3 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$64.77 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$6,346 Total 0 0 16 12 8 0 Total LI Units: 36 **INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION** Owner/Employee Units: 0 \$164,091 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 36 \$107,202 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$56,889 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: 1.10 attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Developer: Pueblo Montana, Ltd. Market Analyst: Zacour and Associates Tropicana Building Corporation Housing GC: Originator/UW: NA Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: Zacour and Associates Cost Estimator: Tropicana Building Corporation Attorney: Lee and Healy, LLP Architect: David Marguez A & E Supp Services: C.J. Treehouse **Property Manager: Tropicana Properties** Accountant: Thomas V. Stephen & Company Conde, Inc. Engineer: Syndicator: **Boston Capital Corporation** Permanent Lender: Bank of America **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION** Underwriting Finding: AC 146 Site Review: Poor Points Awarded:

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

6/17/02 10:42 AM

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Pueblo Montana Project Number: 02051 **PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY** Note: "O" = Opposed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment # of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(not from Officials): Support: 6 Opposition: 0 A resolution was passed by the local government in support of the development. Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction: Comment from Other Public Official Local Official: Raymond C. Caballero, Mayor, S Patricia D. Aduato, Director Planning, S TX Rep.: Manny Najera, State Representative, District 75, S Joseph C. Pickett, Dist. 79 TX Sen.: Eliot Shapleigh, Dist. 29 S Dolores Briones, County Judge, S US Rep.: US Sen.: **CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT** Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation clarifying where the leasing and administrative functions for this development will take place; Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a decrease in the debt service to not more than \$51,718; and or alternative financing structure acceptable to the Department. Should the terms of the proposed permanent debt be different than 8% interest over 30 years amortization, the previous condition and credit allocation should be re-evaluated by the underwriter. **Alternate Recommendation:** RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON: ✓ Score Meeting Required Set Aside Meeting the Regional Allocation □ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer credits ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer period of time ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built Comment: This development was one of the highest scoring developments in Region 10. Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON: The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason, that reason is identified below: Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Date Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee \square BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable): **Approved Credit Amount:** Date of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02051	LIF	ITC 9% ⊻	LIHTC 4% □
Project Name:	Pueblo Montana Apart	ments	HOME \square	HTF \square
Project City:	El Paso		BOND □	SECO □
Housing Com	ppliance Review			
Project(s) in	material non-compliance		Ţ	
No previous	participation		ĺ	
Status o	f Findings (individual complia Participation and Backgrou			
Projects Mo	nitored by the Department			
# reviev	ved 1 # no	t yet monitored or pending	g review 3	
# of projects	grouped by score 0-9:	1 10-19: 0	20-29: 0	
Members of	the development team have be	een disbarred by HUD	[
National Pre	vious Participation Certification	on Received	N/A	<u>A</u>
Non-G	Compliance Reported		_	
Completed	by Jo En Taylor	Completed on	05/21/2002	
Single Audit				
Status of Fir	ndings (any outstanding single	audit issues are listed bel	ow)	
single aud	dit not applicable 🗸 💮 no ou	itstanding issues ou	tstanding issue	es 🗌
Comments:				
Completed	by Lucy Trevino	Completed on	05/30/2002	
Program Mo	nitoring			
Status of Fir	ndings (any unresolved issues	are listed below)		
monitor	ing review not applicable	monitoring	review pending	g
review	ed; no unresolved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ed issues found	d 🗌
Comments:				
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson	Completed on	05/30/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	s (any unresolved issues are listed below)					
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolved issues found					
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
Housing Programs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues 🗹	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by C.Hud	son	Completed on	06/06/2002				
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)				
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending				
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found				
Comments:							
Completed by		Completed on					
1							
Everytive Director - Ec	dwina Carrington	Dat	e Signed: June 10, 2002				
Executive Director: Eq	avvina Cannigion	Date	e Signed: June 10, 2002				

DATE: May 17, 2001 **PROGRAM**: 9% LIHTC **FILE NUMBER**: 02051

	DEVELOPMENT NAME										
Pueblo Montana Apartments											
NI	D 11 M	T . 1		APPLIC		M r :	n c:	N. D	. c. \Box		П ол
Name:	Pueblo Montan		•		Type:		Profit	Non-P	TOIL	Municipal	Other
Address:	5819 Sun Valle	•			City:	El Paso				_ State	
Zip:	79924 Cont	act:	Bobby Bowling IV		Phone:	(915)	821-35	550	Fax:	(915)	821-3556
PRINCIPALS of the APPLICANT											
Name:	Pueblo Montan	a Gen	eral Partnership		(%):	0.01	Title:	Ma	anaging	General	Partner
Name:	The Richman C	Group	Capital Corporation		(%):	99.99	Title:	Li	mited Pa	rtner	
Name:	Tropicana Buil	ding (Corporation (TBC)		(%):	N/A	Title:	95	% owne	r of Gen	eral Partner
Name:	Lower Valley l	Housii	ng Corporation (LVH	IC)	(%):	N/A	Title:	5%	6 owner	of Gene	ral Partner
Name:	R.L. Bowling I	V			(%):	N/A	Title:	Pro	es. & 25	% owne	r of TBC
Name:	R.L. Bowling I	II			(%):	N/A	Title:	V.	V. P. & 25% owner of TBC		
Name:	Randall J. Bow	ling			(%):	N/A	Title:	Treas. & 25% owner of TBC			er of TBC
Name:	Gregory B. Bo	wling			(%):	N/A	Title:	Sec. & 25% owner of TBC			of TBC
Name:	Nancy Hanson				(%):	N/A Title: Executive Director of LVHC				of LVHC	
			GEI	NERAL P	PARTNE	R					
Name:	Pueblo Montan	a Gen	eral Partnership		Type: Sor Profit Non-Profit Municipal Other						
Address:	5819 Sun Valle	ey			City: El Paso State: TX				: TX		
Zip:	79924 Cont	act:	Bobby Bowling IV		Phone:	(915)	821-35	550	Fax:	(915)	821-3556
			DDO	DEDTY L	OC ATIO	DNI .					
			PROI	PERTY LO	JCAIIC	JIN					
Location:	14000 Block o	of Mor	ntana						QCT	\boxtimes	DDA
City:	El Paso		C	County:	E	l Paso				Zip:	79936
-	- · · · · ·			•		-				. -	<u> </u>
				REQUI	EST						
	Amount		Interest Rate			nortizatio	<u>n</u>			Term	
	234,001		N/A			N/A				N/A	
Other Req	uested Terms:	Anı	nual ten-year allocation	on of lov	w-incon	ne housir	ng tax cre	dits			
Proposed 1							eneral [Rural		Non-Profit

		SITE DESCRIPTION	V					
Size: 2.61 acres	113,692	square feet Zon	ing/ Permitted Use	s: C-3, multifamily permitted				
Flood Zone Designation:	Zone C	Status of Off-Site	Status of Off-Sites: Partially improved					
DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS								
Total # Rental Units: 36 Buildings 9		of loors <u>1</u> Age:	0 yrs Vaca	nt: <u>N/A</u> at / /				
	Number Bedroo	oms Bathrooms	Size in SF]				
	16 2	1	900	-				
	12 3 8 4	2 2	1,000 1,200	-				
Net Rentable SF: 36,000	Av Un SF: 1,0	000 Common A	rea SF: 1,000*	Gross Bldng SF 37,000				
Property Type: Mu			·	ixed Income Special Use				
* This building is identified as a	-	the architectural dr	rawings and a dayca	re center in the application				
	CONST	RUCTION SPECIFIC	CATIONS					
		RUCTURAL MATER						
Wood frame on a post-tens surfaces, composite shingle		on grade, 100% s	tucco exterior wa	ll covering, drywall interior wall				
	APPLIANO	CES AND INTERIO	R FEATURES					
				er, refrigerator, microwave oven, tops, individual water heaters				
		ON-SITE AMENITIE	S					
1,000 SF daycare facility/coplay area, perimeter fencing				ycare facility, equipped children's				
Uncovered Parking: 72	spaces Carp	orts: 0	spaces Ga	arages: 0 spaces				
	270							
		ER SOURCES of F						
Source: Bank of America				rie Williams				
Principal Amount: \$900,0)00 Inter	est Rate: 8.5						
Additional Information:								
Amortization: N/A yrs	Term: 2 yrs	Commitment	: None [☐ Firm ☐ Conditional				
	LONG TER	M/PERMANENT F	INANCING					
Source: Bank of America	<u>, </u>		Contact: Vale	rie Williams				
Principal Amount: \$590,1	81 Interes	est Rate: 8.59	6					
Additional Information:								
Amortization: 30 yrs	Term: 18 yrs	Commitment	None [☐ Firm ☑ Conditional				
Annual Payment: \$54,45	56 Lien	Priority: 1st	Commitment Da	te 2/ 26/ 2002				

LIHTC SYNDICATION							
Source: The Richman Group Capital Corporation Contact: Peter McHugh							
Address: 599 West Putnam Avenue City: Greenwich							
State: CT Zip: 06830 Phone: (203) 869-0900 Fax: (203) 869-1034							
Net Proceeds: \$1,754,832 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 75¢							
Commitment ☐ None ☐ Firm ☒ Conditional Date: 2/ 14/ 2002							
Additional Information: Commitment letter reflects proceeds of \$1,754,832 based on credits of \$2,340,010							
APPLICANT EQUITY							
Amount: \$175 Source: Deferred developer fee							
Amount: \$5,000 Source: Alianza Para El Desarollo Comunitario, Inc. (GRANT)							
VALUATION INFORMATION							
APPRAISED VALUE							
Land Only: \$213,000 Date of Valuation: 2/ 23/ 2002							
Appraiser: Zacour & Associates, Inc. City: El Paso Phone: (915) 581-1141							
ASSESSED VALUE							
Land: 2.61 acres \$28,429 Assessment for the Year of: 2001							
Building: N/A Valuation by: El Paso County Appraisal District							
Total Assessed Value: 2.61 acres \$28,428 Tax Rate: 2.953							
EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL							
Type of Site Control: Earnest money contract							
Contract Expiration Date: 12/ 31/ 2002 Anticipated Closing Date: 12/ 31/ 2002							
Acquisition Cost: \$ 120,000 Other Terms/Conditions: \$100 earnest money							
Seller: Tropicana Building Corporation Related to Development Team Member: Yes							

REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS

Pueblo Montana Apartments was submitted and underwritten in the 2001 LIHTC cycle. The underwriting analysis recommended the project be approved subject to the following conditions:

- Receipt, review, and acceptance of a third party engineer's certification of the estimated costs of the offsite water improvements or verification from the Applicant that these costs will be borne by the adjacent subdivision development;
- Receipt, review, and acceptance of an interim construction loan commitment consistent with the terms in the application; and,
- Receipt, review and acceptance of individual floorplans, with accurate, legible scales, for the individual
 unit types and common area building as well as an elevation drawing of the proposed common area
 building.

PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION

<u>Description</u>: Pueblo Montana Apartments is a proposed new construction project of 36 units of affordable housing located in east El Paso. The project is comprised of nine residential buildings as follows:

• (4) Building Style A with four 2-bedroom/1-bath units

- (3) Building Style B with four 3-bedroom/2-bath units
- (2) Building Style C with four 4-bedroom/2-bath units

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are arranged in two rows on either side of a central parking lot. A 1,000-square foot daycare/community building is to be located near the center of the site. The daycare/community building is planned to have a kitchen, restrooms, and a single washer and dryer. The building contains one large room with no separate office space. Therefore, it is assumed that the building will be used exclusively as a daycare facility and that the development may not have an on-site manager/leasing agent.

Supportive Services: The Applicant has contracted with C.J. Tree House, Inc., dba Children's Academy of El Paso, to provide after-school daycare and transportation services for up to seventeen children living within the project, for an annual payment of \$17,000. The Applicant has also contracted with The Greater El Paso Housing Development Corporation to provide seminars on how to purchase a home through a prequalification workshop, for an annual payment of \$500. These services will be provided at no cost to tenants. **Schedule:** The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in December of 2002, to be completed in December of 2003, to be substantially leased-up in March of 2004, and to be placed in service in April of 2004.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. All 36 of the units will be reserved for low-income tenants. 1 of the units (3% of the total) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, 1 of the units (3% of the total) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 15 of the units (42% of the total) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and the remaining 19 units will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Three units (8%) will be handicapped-accessible.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has also elected to extend the compliance period an additional twenty-five years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated February 23, 2002 was prepared by Zacour and Associates, Inc. and highlighted the following findings:

<u>Definition of Market/Submarket</u>: "The focus of this market study is Census Tracts 43.03, 43.05, 43.06, 43.07, 43.09, 43.10, 43.11, 43.12, 43.13, 43.14, 43.15, 43.16, 103.03, 103.07, 103.11, 103.12, 103.13, 103.14, 103.15, 103.16, 103.17. These tracts constitute the majority of city's East Planning Area and their geographic boundaries may generally be described as: Montana Avenue to the north, Interstate 10 to the south, El Paso city limits to the east, and McRae Drive to the west. The subject neighborhood is located in the east sector of the City of El Paso. It lies approximately twelve miles east of the El Paso Central Business District." (p. 25)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY								
Type of Demand Units of Demand % of Total Demand								
Household Growth	90	5%						
Resident Turnover	N/A	N/A						
Substandard Households	459	26%						
Cost Burdened Renters	1,223	69%						
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	1,772	100%						

Ref: p. 68

<u>Capture Rate</u>: The Underwriter calculated a concentration capture rate of 5.19% based upon a supply of unstabilized comparable affordable units of 56 with Bienvivir Parkside Senior Community LIHTC #01116 divided by a demand of 1,772. (p. 68)

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed ten comparable apartment projects totaling 1,508 units in the market area.

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)								
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential			
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$325	\$325	\$0	\$455	-\$130			
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$407	\$407	\$0	\$455	-\$48			
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$375	\$375	\$0	\$530	-\$155			
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$470	\$470	\$0	\$530	-\$60			
4-Bedroom (30%)	\$205	\$205	\$0	\$635	-\$430			
4-Bedroom (40%)	\$310	\$310	\$0	\$635	-\$325			
4-Bedroom (50%)	\$415	\$415	\$0	\$635	-\$220			
4-Bedroom (60%)	\$520	\$520	\$0	\$635	-\$115			

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "Occupancy rates in the East have also been historically favorable for most of the past ten years. The occupancy rate for the East has remained stable during the 1990s. The current occupancy rate of 90% is the same as the current citywide average. Similar to citywide, occupancy rates can be projected to stay in the 90% range." (p. 51)

Absorption Projections: "The East historically has absorbed all units constructed, which historically was 50 units per year. However, due to the lack of new complexes constructed in the area during the past two years, pent-up demand will create a greater absorption rate. Based on the analysis of the Franklin Apartment complex data, where 25 units were absorbed per month, it would appear reasonable that the proposed apartment project could be absorbed at a minimum rate of 10 units per month. Thus, the estimated absorption period for the proposed 36-unit project is three to four months" (p. 52)

<u>Additional Information</u>: "With approximately 21% of all housing units being located in the Market Study area, it is estimated that 459 dwellings are substandard." (p. 67)

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: The site is a nearly rectangular-shaped parcel located in eastern El Paso, approximately twelve miles from the central business district. The site is situated on the south side of Montana Avenue (US Highway 62), one-half mile west of Joe Battle Boulevard (Loop 375).

Population: The estimated 2000 population of the East Planning Area was 153,194 and is expected to increase by 22% to approximately 186,384 by 2005. Within the primary market area there were estimated to be 51,299 households in 2000.

Adjacent Land Uses: Land uses in the overall area in which the site is located are predominantly single-family residential and vacant land, with some commercial. Adjacent land uses include:

- North: Montana Avenue with vacant land beyond
- South: Vacant land
- East: Vacant land and commercial
- West: Salvage yard

<u>Site Access</u>: Access to the property is currently from the east or west from Montana Avenue (Highway 62). As proposed, the project is to have one main entry from the north off of Montana Avenue. Highway 62 provides connections to all other major roads serving the El Paso area.

Public Transportation: Public transportation to the area is provided by Sun Metro buses.

Shopping & Services: The subject property is located in an area where a significant amount of commercial and residential development has occurred in the past five years. There are schools, churches, entertainment and recreation facilities, and health care and commercial services all located within a short driving distance of the site

Site Inspection Findings: TDHCA staff members performed a site inspection on May 10, 2001 and found

the location to be acceptable for the proposed development.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

Soil Mechanics International prepared a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated March 22, 2001. Carlos Figueroa, the engineer that conducted the investigation concluded, "while our study found no conclusive evidence that the sites are contaminated or that they contain contamination, we recommend that the properties be closely monitored (pg. 4). Thus this recommendation, if not altered by a subsequent report or letter from this engineer or another duly qualified ESA inspector, is added as a condition of this report.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income:</u> The 2002 rent limits were used by the Applicant in setting the rents. Estimates of secondary income and vacancy and collection losses are also in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Applicant stated that tenants will pay water and sewer in this project, and rents and expenses were calculated accordingly.

Expenses: The Applicant's total expense estimate of \$2,743 per unit is 8% less than the TDHCA database-derived estimate of \$2,978 per unit for comparably-sized developments. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates that deviate significantly when compared to the database averages, particularly: general and administrative (\$3.8K lower), management (\$5.4K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$2.6K lower), utilities (\$1.7K higher), water, sewer, and trash (\$1.9K higher), and property tax (\$2.7K lower).

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's estimated total estimated operating expense is inconsistent with the Underwriter's expectations and the Applicant's net operating income is not within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate. Therefore, the Underwriter's NOI will be used to evaluate debt service capacity. Due primarily to the difference in expenses, the Underwriter's estimated debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.04 is slightly less than the program minimum standard of 1.10. Therefore, the maximum debt service for this project should be limited to \$51,718 in order to provide an acceptable DCR of 1.10..

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: The Applicant's proposed site cost of \$120K (\$3.33/SF or \$45,977/acre) is supported by the appraisal value of \$213K. However, the seller, Tropicana Building Corporation, is 95% owner of the General Partner and acquired the site as part of a larger 33.625-acre parcel in August 2000 at a cost of \$656,344. This amounts to a prorated cost of \$19,519 per acre or \$50,946 for the subject 2.61 acres. The assessed value for the 2.61 acres is a much lower \$10,892 per acre. The Applicant provided documentation of holding costs and improvements made to the adjacent site that would provide justification for a significant portion of the proposed transfer price. The Underwriter used a total substantiated acquisition and holding costs of \$101,492 for the 2.61 acres. Since this is still less than the \$120,000 transfer price proposed, the applicant's total funding sources needed of \$2,350,188 should be reduced by the difference of \$18,508 if the Applicant's costs are used to determine the total cost of the development.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,250 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is only \$46K, or 3.6%, lower than the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate, and is therefore regarded as reasonable as submitted.

<u>Fees</u>: The Applicant's contractor's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines. The Applicant's developer fees, however, exceed 15% of the Applicant's eligible basis and therefore the eligible portion of the Applicant's developer fee must be reduced by \$47,929.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's verifiable estimate and is therefore generally acceptable but will be adjusted for overstated acquisition costs and eligible basis will be adjusted for overstated developer fees. As a result, an adjusted eligible basis of \$2,082,259 is used to determine a credit allocation of \$228,465.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing from four sources: a conventional interim to permanent loan, private grants, syndicated LIHTC equity, and deferred developer's

fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through Bank of America in the amount of \$900,000 during the interim period and \$1,523,260 at conversion to permanent. However, the Applicant plans to use only \$590,181 of the available permanent funds. The commitment letter indicated a term of 24 months for the construction portion and 18 years for the permanent at a fixed interest rate.

<u>Private Grant</u>: There is a commitment letter for \$5,000 in the form of a Grant from Alianza Para El Desarrollo Comunitario, Inc. This is to provide subsidy for 30% AMFI housing.

<u>LIHTC Syndication</u>: The Richman Group Capital Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$1,754,832 based on a syndication factor of 75%. The funds would be disbursed in a four-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 25% paid at closing;
- 2. 50% paid in monthly installments on a draw basis as needed for development costs incurred;
- 3. 10% paid upon the latest of the following: (i) Completion of construction of the Apartment Complex and receipt of certificates of occupancy for all units, (ii) Preliminary low-income Housing Tax Credit certification, (iii) Receipt of a payoff letter from the contractor for the Apartment Complex (the Contractor) which states that upon receipt of installment No. 3 the construction contract will be paid in full by the installment No. 3 or the contractor will defer any amounts owed to it to receipt of installment No. 4, (iv) Receipt of an estoppel letter from each lender to the Partnership, (v) Receipt of certificates of insurance complying with the requirements described herein;
- 4. 15% Paid upon the latest of the following: (i) Achievement of Breakeven Operations, (ii) Receipt of an estoppel letter from each lender to the Partnership, (iii) Receipt of final Low-Income Housing Tax Credit certification, and (v) Receipt of form 8609.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$175 amount to less than 1% of the total fees.

<u>Financing Conclusions</u>: Based on the Applicant's total development cost, the LIHTC allocation should not exceed \$228,465 annually for ten years, resulting in syndication proceeds of approximately \$1,713,320, or \$41,512 less than anticipated. The lower recommended tax credit allocation is also due to the Applicant's use of a slightly higher applicable percentage rate of 8.45% rather than 8.44%. The Underwriter has adjusted the Applicant's total development need for funds to reflect an acquisition cost of \$101,492 as substantiated by submitted holding costs.

Also noted above, the Underwriter's proforma and the Applicant's proposed permanent financing structure results in a debt coverage ratio that falls below the Department's minimum guideline of 1.10. This indicates a need to limit the development's annual debt service to not more than \$51,718 by lowering the interest rate, extending the term or reducing the loan amount. The interest rate proposed is 50 basis points higher than the anticipated maximum market interest rate of 8% and using this maximum rate and the remainder of the proposed loan terms, results in a reduction of the principal amount to \$587,364.

Based upon this financing structure, the developer will be required to defer \$25,997 in fees, or 8% of total qualified developer fees. Deferred fees in this amount are anticipated to be repayable within four years.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The exterior elevations are simple and functional, with varied rooflines. All units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units, and have covered porch with a small outdoor storage closet. Each unit has a semi-private exterior entry that is shared with one other unit. The units are in one-story fourplex structures with stucco exterior finish and hipped roofs. As stated above, the daycare/community building is planned to have a kitchen, restrooms, and a single washer and dryer. The building contains one large room with no separate office space. Therefore, it is assumed that the building will be used exclusively as a daycare facility and that the development will not have an on-site manager/leasing agent. Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation clarifying where the leasing and administrative function will physically take place are a condition of this report.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The principals of Tropicana Building Corporation (TBC) are 95% owners of the General Partner also own the

Developer, General Contractor, Cost Estimator, and Property Management Company. These are common relationships and generally do not appear to be prohibited by the LIHTC program. Tropicana Building Corporation (TBC) is also the seller of the land. Any potential excess profit from the identity of interest land sale in this case will be mitigated by the increase in the amount of deferred developer's fee and/or the exclusion of such profit from the Underwriter's gap analysis as discussed above.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant and General Partner are single-purpose entities created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore have no material financial statements.
- Tropicana Building Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, and 95% owner of the General Partner, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of 12/8/01 reporting total assets of \$5.6M and consisting of \$303K in cash, \$43K in receivables, \$26K in stocks and securities, \$5M in real property \$144K in machinery, equipment, and fixtures, and \$81K in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$5.5M, resulting in a net worth of \$73K.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant and General Partner are new entities formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- Tropicana Building Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, and 95% owner of the General Partner, submitted a TDHCA-issued "Certificate of Experience" dated December 12, 2001.
- The 95% owner of the General Partner/Developer/Contractor, Tropicana Building Corporation, listed participation in the development of four previous affordable housing projects of 92 units.
- The board members of the 5% owner of the General Partner, the Lower Valley Housing Corporation, listed participation in the development of three previous affordable housing projects of 84 units.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's operating expenses and operating proforma are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable range(s).
- The seller of the property has an identity of interest with the Applicant.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$228,465 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation clarifying where the leasing and administrative functions for this development will take place;
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a decrease in the debt service to not more than \$51,718; and
- 3. Should the terms of the proposed permanent debt be different than 8% interest over 30 years amortization, the previous condition and credit allocation should be re-evaluated by he underwriter.

Underwriter:	Carl Hoover	Date:	May 17, 2002
Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Lisa Vecchietti	Date:	May 17, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	May 17, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Pueblo Montana, El Paso, LIHTC #02051

Type of Unit Number Bedrooms No. of Baths Size in SF Gross Rent Lmt. Net Rent per Unit Rent per Month

TC (50%) 7	2	1	900	\$408	\$325	\$2,275	\$0.36	\$83.00	\$15.00
TC (60%) 9	2	1	900	490	407.00	3,663	0.45	83.00	15.00
TC (50%) 5	3	2	1,000	471	375.00	1,875	0.38	96.00	15.00
TC (60%) 7	3	2	1,000	566	470.00	3,290	0.47	96.00	15.00
TC (30%) 1	4	2	1,200	316	205.00	205	0.17	111.00	15.00
TC (40%) 1	4	2	1,200	421	310.00	310	0.26	111.00	15.00
TC (50%) 3 TC (60%) 3	4	2 2	1,200	526 631	415.00 520.00	1,245	0.35	111.00 111.00	15.00 15.00
TOTAL: 36	_	AVERAGE:	1,000	\$494	\$401	\$14,423	\$0.40	\$93.56	\$15.00
8898				,				,	,
		entable Sq Ft	36,000		TDHCA	APPLICANT	l		
POTENTIAL GROSS REN	Т				\$173,076	\$173,076			
Secondary Income			Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	4,320	4,320	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mo	nth
Other Support Income		ribe)			0				
POTENTIAL GROSS INC					\$177,396	\$177,396			
Vacancy & Collection			l Gross Income:	-7.50%	(13,305)	(13,308)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee or Other No		I Units or	Concessions		0	č164 000			
EFFECTIVE GROSS INC	OME				\$164,091	\$164,088			
General & Administra	tivo	<u>% OF EGI</u> 5.95%	PER UNIT \$271	PER SQ FT \$0.27	\$9,765	\$6,000	<u>PER SQ FT</u> \$0.17	PER UNIT \$167	<u>% OF EGI</u> 3.66%
	ICIVE	8.30%	378	0.38	13,621	8,205	0.23	228	5.00%
Management Payroll & Payroll Ta	v	8.30%	378 150	0.38	5,399	6,000	0.23	228 167	5.00% 3.66%
Repairs & Maintenanc		3.29₹ 8.55%	390	0.15	14,026	11,400	0.17	317	3.66% 6.95%
Utilities		8.55% 6.28%	286	0.39	10,306	12,000	0.32	333	6.95% 7.31%
Water, Sewer, & Tras	:h	3.95%	180	0.29	6,480	8,400	0.33	233	5.12%
Property Insurance	**1	3.95%	180	0.18	5,760	5,760	0.23	233 160	3.51%
Property Tax	2.953	9.72%	443	0.18	15,946	13,275	0.16	369	8.09%
Reserve for Replacem		4.39%	200	0.20	7,200	9,000	0.25	250	5.48%
Other Expenses: Comp		11.40%	519	0.52	18,700	18,700	0.52	519	11.40%
TOTAL EXPENSES		65.33%	\$2.978	\$2.98	\$107,202	\$98,740	\$2.74	\$2,743	60.17%
NET OPERATING INC	•	34.67%	\$1,580	\$1.58	\$56,889	\$65,348	\$1.82	\$1,815	39.83%
DEBT SERVICE	;	34.0/6	\$1,580	\$1.58	\$30,669	\$05,540	\$1.82	\$1,815	39.83%
Bank of America		33.19%	\$1,513	\$1.51	\$54,456	\$54,456	\$1.51	\$1,513	33.19%
Alianza Para El Desarr	collo Co	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	, ,	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
LIHTC Syndication Proc		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH FLOW		1.48%	\$68	\$0.07	\$2,433	\$10,892	\$0.30	\$303	6.64%
AGGREGATE DEBT COVERAG	E RATIO				1.04	1.20			
ALTERNATIVE DEBT COVER	RAGE RAT	IO			1.10				
CONSTRUCTION COST						•			
Description	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
Acquisition Cost (si		4.34%	\$2,819	\$2.82	\$101,492	\$120,000	\$3.33	\$3,333	5.11%
Off-Sites		0.00%	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework		9.63%	6,250	6.25	225,000	225,000	6.25	6,250	9.57%
Direct Construction		55.21%	35,836	35.84	1,290,080	1,244,000	34.56	34,556	52.93%
Contingency	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00%
General Require		3.77%	2,448	2.45	88,140	88,140	2.45	2,448	3.75%
Contractor's G :	1.94%	1.26%	816	0.82	29,380	29,380	0.82	816	1.25%
Contractor's Pro		3.77%	2,448	2.45	88,140	88,140	2.45	2,448	3.75%
Indirect Construction		3.51%	2,278	2.28	82,000	82,000	2.28	2,278	3.49%
Ineligible Expenses		1.71%	1,111	1.11	40,000	40,000	1.11	1,111	1.70%
Developer's G & A	2.00%	1.59%	1,032	1.03	37,135	42,604	1.18	1,183	1.81%
Developer's Profit		10.33%	6,705	6.70	241,376	276,924	7.69	7,692	1.81%
Interim Financing	13.00%	2.31%	1,500	1.50	54,000	54,000	1.50	1,500	2.30%
Reserves		2.57%	1,667	1.67	60,000	60,000	1.67	1,667	2.55%
TOTAL COST	•	100.00%	\$64,910	\$64.91	\$2,336,743	\$2,350,188	\$65.28	\$65,283	100.00%
Recap-Hard Construction	n Costs		\$47,798	\$47.80	\$1,720,740	\$1,674,660	\$46.52	\$46,518	71.26%
SOURCES OF FUNDS	CUSLS	/3.04%	¥=1,130	₽₹/•0∪	41,120,1 4 0	71,0/1,000	\$46.52 RECOMMENDED	9±0,318	/1.26%
Bank of America		25.26%	\$16,394	\$16.39	\$590,181	\$590,181	\$587,364		
Alianza Para El Desarr	collo Co		\$139	\$0.14	5,000	5,000	5,000		
LIHTC Syndication Proc		75.10%	\$48,745	\$48.75	1,754,832	1,754,832	1,713,320		
Deferred Developer Fee	es	0.01%	\$5	\$0.00	175	175	25,997		
Additional (excess) Fu	ınds Req	-0.58%	(\$373)	(\$0.37)	(13,445)	0	0		
TOTAL SOURCES	'				\$2,336,743	\$2,350,188	\$2,331,680		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Pueblo Montana, El Paso, LIHTC #02051

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost		•	\$40.90	\$1,472,419
Adjustments			-	
Exterior Wall Finish	ı		\$0.00	\$0
Elderly			0.00	0
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(1.96)	(70,560
Floor Cover			1.82	65,520
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	2528	1.97	71,037
Plumbing	\$585	60	0.98	35,100
Built-In Appliances	\$1,550	36	1.55	55,800
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.41	50,760
Garages/Carports		0	0.00	0
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$65.29	1,000	1.81	65,286
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL			48.48	1,745,362
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		1.94	69,814
Local Multiplier	0.87		(6.30)	(226,897
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	ON COSTS		\$44.12	\$1,588,279
Plans, specs, survy, bl	3.90%		(\$1.72)	(\$61,943
Interim Construction Ir	3.38%		(1.49)	(53,604
Contractor's OH & Profi	11.50%		(5.07)	(182,652
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$35.84	\$1,290,080

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$590,181	Term	360
	\$390,181	161111	300
Int Rate	8.50%	DCR	1.04
Secondary	\$5,000	Term	0
Secondary Int Rate	\$5,000	Term Subtotal DCR	0
<u> </u>			0 1.04

Additional \$1,754,832 Term Int Rate Aggregate DCR 1.04

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$51,718
0
0
\$5,170

Primary	\$587,364	Term	360
Int Rate	8.00%	DCR	1.10
			•
Secondary	\$5,000	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.10
Additional	\$1,754,832	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.10
<u> </u>			•

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$173,076	\$178,268	\$183,616	\$189,125	\$194,799	\$225,825	\$261,793	\$303,490	\$407,865
Secondary Income	4,320	4,450	4,583	4,721	4,862	5,637	6,534	7,575	10,180
Other Support Income:	(d) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	177,396	182,718	188,199	193,845	199,661	231,462	268,327	311,065	418,045
Vacancy & Collection L	os (13,305)	(13,704)	(14,115)	(14,538)	(14,975)	(17,360)	(20,125)	(23,330)	(31,353)
Employee or Other Non-	Re: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$164,091	\$169,014	\$174,084	\$179,307	\$184,686	\$214,102	\$248,203	\$287,735	\$386,692
EXPENSES at 4.00%									
General & Administrati	re \$9,765	\$10,156	\$10,562	\$10,985	\$11,424	\$13,899	\$16,910	\$20,574	\$30,454
Management	13,621	14,029	14,450	14,884	15,330	17,772	20,603	23,884	32,098
Payroll & Payroll Tax	5,399	5,615	5,839	6,073	6,316	7,684	9,349	11,374	16,837
Repairs & Maintenance	14,026	14,587	15,170	15,777	16,408	19,963	24,288	29,550	43,741
Utilities	10,306	10,718	11,147	11,593	12,057	14,669	17,847	21,713	32,141
Water, Sewer & Trash	6,480	6,739	7,009	7,289	7,581	9,223	11,221	13,652	20,209
Insurance	5,760	5,990	6,230	6,479	6,738	8,198	9,974	12,135	17,963
Property Tax	15,946	16,584	17,247	17,937	18,655	22,696	27,614	33,596	49,731
Reserve for Replacemen	s 7,200	7,488	7,788	8,099	8,423	10,248	12,468	15,169	22,454
Other	18,700	19,448	20,226	21,035	21,876	26,616	32,382	39,398	58,319
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$107,202	\$111,354	\$115,668	\$120,150	\$124,808	\$150,968	\$182,656	\$221,047	\$323,947
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$56,889	\$57,660	\$58,416	\$59,157	\$59,879	\$63,134	\$65,547	\$66,688	\$62,745
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718	\$51,718
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$5,170	\$5,941	\$6,698	\$7,438	\$8,160	\$11,416	\$13,829	\$14,970	\$11,026
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.10	1.11	1.13	1.14	1.16	1.22	1.27	1.29	1.21

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Pueblo Montana, El Paso, LIHTC #02051

	APPLICANT'S TOTAL	TDHCA TOTAL	APPLICANT'S REHAB/NEW	TDHCA REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$120,000	\$101,492		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$225,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$1,244,000	\$1,290,080	\$1,244,000	\$1,290,080
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	ts			
Contractor overhead	\$29,380	\$29,380	\$29,380	\$29,380
Contractor profit	\$88,140	\$88,140	\$88,140	\$88,140
General requirements	\$88,140	\$88,140	\$88,140	\$88,140
(5) Contingencies				
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$82,000	\$82,000	\$82,000	\$82,000
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$54,000	\$54,000	\$54,000	\$54,000
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$40,000	\$40,000		
(9) Developer Fees			\$271,599	
Developer overhead	\$42,604	\$37,135		\$37,135
Developer fee	\$276,924	\$241,376	_	\$241,376
(10) Development Reserves	\$60,000	\$60,000		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$2,350,188	\$2,336,743	\$2,082,259	\$2,135,251

Deduct from Basis:			
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis			
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis			
Non-qualified non-recourse financing			
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]			
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)			
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS		\$2,082,259	\$2,135,251
High Cost Area Adjustment		130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS		\$2,706,937	\$2,775,826
Applicable Fraction		100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS		\$2,706,937	\$2,775,826
Applicable Percentage		8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS		\$228,465	\$234,280

Syndication Proceeds

0.7499

\$1,713,320

\$1,756,922

TDHCA # 02052

Region 10

General Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS **Development Name: Burgundy Palms** TDHCA#: 02052 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** G LIHTC Primary Set Aside: 10 Region: Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: Northwest Corner of Burgundy and Betel Purpose / Activity: NC City: El Paso **Development Type:** Family County: El Paso 79907 Zip Code: **V** TTC **V** DDA □ QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 8 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Burgundy Palms, Ltd. **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: **Tropicana Building Corporation** Bobby Bowling, IV 49 % **TVP Corporation** 51 % Valerie Funk NA NA 0 % NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$618,843 \$6,188,430 Credits Requested: \$639,769 Eligible Basis Amount: \$618,843 Equity/Gap Amount: \$619,448 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$6,137,267 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 101,000 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 30% 98,000 Total NRA SF: 40% 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1.03 40 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 0 50% 0 18 12 10 980 Average Square Feet/Unit: 58 60% 0 0 34 20 4 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$62.63 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$6,188 Total 0 0 52 32 16 0 Total LI Units: 100 **INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION** Owner/Employee Units: 0 \$458,796 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 100 \$314,347 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$144,449 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: 1.10 attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM** Developer: Burgundy Palms, Ltd. Market Analyst: Zacour and Associates Tropicana Building Corporation Housing GC: Originator/UW: NA Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: Zacour and Associates Cost Estimator: Tropicana Building Corporation Attorney: Lee and Healy, LLP Architect: David Marguez A & E Supp Services: C.J. Treehouse Property Manager: Tropicana Properties Accountant: Thomas V. Stephen & Company Conde, Inc. Engineer: Syndicator: **Boston Capital Corporation** Permanent Lender: Bank of America **DEPARTMENT EVALUATION** Underwriting Finding: AC 141 Site Review: Acceptable Points Awarded:

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

6/17/02 10:42 AM

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Burgundy Palms Project Number: 02052 **PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY** Note: "O" = Opposed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment # of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(not from Officials): Support: 6 Opposition: 0 A resolution was passed by the local government in support of the development. Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction: Comment from Other Public Official Local Official: Raymond C. Caballero, Mayor, S Patricia D. Aduato, Director of Planning, S TX Rep.: Dolores Briones, County Judge, S Manny Najera, Dist. 75 S TX Sen.: Eliot Shapleigh, Dist. 29 Joe C. Pickett, State Representative, District 79, S US Rep.: US Sen.: **CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT** Per the ESA provided by the Applicant, and unless an alternative opinion is provided by a duly qualified ESA inspector the site should be "monitored closely." Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a decrease in the debt service to not more than \$131.321. Should the terms of the proposed permanent debt be different than 8% interest over a 30 year amortization, the previous condition and credit allocation should be re-evaluated by the underwriter. **Alternate Recommendation:** RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON: ✓ Score Meeting the Regional Allocation ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer credits ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer period of time ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built Comment: This development was one of the highest scoring developments in Region 10. Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON: The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason, that reason is identified below: Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Date Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee \square BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable): **Approved Credit Amount:** Date of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #: 02052	LIHTC 9% 🗹	LIHIC 4%
Project Name: Burgundy Palms	HOME \square	HTF \Box
Project City: El Paso	BOND \square	SECO □
Housing Compliance Review		
Project(s) in material non-compliance		
No previous participation		
Status of Findings (individual compliance status reports ar Participation and Background Certification(s) a		
Projects Monitored by the Department		
# reviewed # not yet monitored or p	ending review3	
# of projects grouped by score 0-9: 1 10-19:	0 20-29: 0	
Members of the development team have been disbarred by HU	ID [
National Previous Participation Certification Received	N/A	<u>. </u>
Non-Compliance Reported		
Completed by Jo En Taylor Completed	d on 05/21/2002	
Single Audit		
Status of Findings (any outstanding single audit issues are list	ed below)	
single audit not applicable 🗹 no outstanding issues 🗌	outstanding issues	s 🗌
Comments:		
Completed by Lucy Trevino Completed	d on 05/30/2002	
Program Monitoring		
Status of Findings (any unresolved issues are listed below)		
	oring review pending	, _
	resolved issues found	
Comments:		
Completed by Ralph Hendrickson Completed	d on 05/30/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	monitoring review not applicable 🗹		review pending
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending
reviewed; no unres	solved issues 🗹	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by C.Hud	son	Completed on	06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
1			
Everytive Director - Ec	dwina Carrington	Dat	e Signed: June 10, 2002
Executive Director: Eq	avvina Cannigion	Date	e Signed: June 10, 2002

DATE: May 17, 2002 **PROGRAM**: 9% LIHTC **FILE NUMBER**: 02052

	DELET COMPANY MANY				
	DEVELOPMENT NAME				
		Burguno	Palms		
		APPL	ANIT		
Name:	Burgundy Palms		Type: For Profit	Non-Profit Municipal Other	
Address:	5819 Sun Valle		City: El Paso	State: TX	
Zip:	79924 Conta		-	21-3550 Fax: (915) 821-3556	
2p.	77724 Contr			21 3330 Tuni (713) 021 3330	
Name:	TVP Non-Profit	PRINCIPALS of	(%): 0.0051 Tit	le: Managing General Partner	
		*	· · · ——		
Name:		ling Corporation (TBC)	(%): 0.0049 Tit		
Name:	R.L. Bowling I'		(%): N/A Tit		
Name:	R.L. Bowling II		(%): N/A Tit		
Name:	Randall J. Bowl		(%): N/A Tit	11043. 66 26 /0 0 11161 01 126	
Name:	Gregory B. Boy		(%): N/A Tit	le: Sec. & 25% owner of TBC	
Name:	The Richman G	roup Capital Corporation	(%): 99.99 Tit	le: Limited Partner	
		MANAGING GI	ERAL PARTNER		
Name:	TVP Non-Profit	Corporation	Type: For Profit	Non-Profit Municipal Other	
Address:	151 South Prade	Road	City: El Paso	State: TX	
Zip:	79907 Conta	ct: Valerie Funk	Phone: (915) <u>8</u>	58-0607 Fax: (915) 858-0607	
		GENERAI	ARTNER		
Name:	Tropicana Build	ing Corporation	Type: For Profit	Non-Profit Municipal Other	
Address:	5819 Sun Valle	У	City: El Paso	State: TX	
Zip:	79907 Conta	Bobby Bowling, IV	Phone: (915) <u>8</u>	21-3550 Fax: (915) 821-3556	
		PROPERTY	OCATION.		
		FROFERIT	DEATION		
Location:	Northwest corn	er of Burgundy and Betel Street		QCT 🛮 DDA	
City:	El Paso	County	El Paso	Zip: 79907	
		REC	EST		
	Amount	<u>Interest Rate</u>	Amortization	<u>Term</u>	
\$	6639,769	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year allocation of l	v-income housing ta	x credits	
Proposed	Use of Funds:	New construction Set-	ide: 🛭 General	Rural Non-Profit	

	SITE DESCRIPTION							
Size: 7.46 acres	324,958		ing/ Permitted Uses:	A-2/multifamily				
Flood Zone Designation:	AH*	Status of Off-Site	_					
* Zone AH: Areas of 100-year f * Letter from Conde, Inc.: Sta with FEMA showing that the pro	ites that the subject propert	y is in the process	of filing a CLOMR (Co	onditional Letter of Map Revision)				
	DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS							
Total # Rental Units: 100 Buildings 25	# Common # of Area Bldngs 1 Flo		n/a yrs Vacant:	<u>n/a</u> at / /				
	Number Bedroon	ns Bathrooms	Size in SF					
	52 2	1	900					
	32 3 16 4	2 2	1,000 1,200					
NAPALI CE 00.000			·	G PH GE 101.000				
Net Rentable SF: 98,000	Av Un SF: 980	Common A		Gross Bldng SF 101,000				
Property Type: Mu	lltifamily	Rental	Elderly	I Income Special Use				
	CONSTRI	JCTION SPECIFIC	CATIONS					
		ICTURAL MATER						
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, stucco exterior wall covering with wood trim, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing								
	APPLIANCE	S AND INTERIO	R FEATURES					
				refrigerator, microwave oven, ual water heaters, evaporative				
	0	N-SITE AMENITIE	S					
Community room/daycare, r	nanagement offices, kitc	chen, restrooms,	equipped children's p	lay area, perimeter fencing				
Uncovered Parking: 200	spaces Carpo	rts: n/a	spaces Garag	es: n/a spaces				
		R SOURCES of FI TRUCTION or GA						
Source: Bank of America		IROCHON OF GA	Contact: Valerie	Williams				
Principal Amount: \$2,400		t Rate: 8.5%		THE				
Additional Information:	7,000							
Amortization: n/a vrs	Term: 2 _{yrs}	Commitment	None	Firm \(\) Conditional				
		//PERMANENT F	INANCING					
Source: Bank of America		II/I ERIVIAIVEIVI I		Williams				
		t Rate: 8.5%	6 as of February 25, 2					
Additional Information:	<u>,,200 </u>	<u> </u>	o as of Feetaary 25, 2	.002				
Amortization: 30 yrs	Term: 30 _{yrs}	Commitment	LOI	Firm Conditional				
Annual Payment: \$140,5		riority: 1st	Commitment Date	02/ 26/ 2002				

LIHTC SYNDICATION				
Address: 599 West Putnam Avenue City: Greenwich				
State: <u>CT</u> Zip: <u>06830</u> Phone: (203) <u>869-0900</u> Fax: (203) <u>869-1034</u>				
Net Proceeds: \$4,797,788 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 75¢				
Commitment				
Additional Information: Commitment letter reflects proceeds of \$4,797,788 based on credits of \$6,397,690				
ADDITIONAL FOLLITY				
APPLICANT EQUITY Amount: \$\(\frac{0}{400} \) Source: \$\(\frac{1}{2} \) Count Doctors Fig. (1)				
Amount: \$480 Source: Deferred Developer Fee				
Amount: \$5,000 Source: Alianza Para El Desarollo Comunitario, Inc. (GRANT)				
VALUATION INFORMATION				
APPRAISED VALUE				
Land Only: \$585,000 Date of Valuation: 02/ 14/ 2002				
Appraiser: Zacour & Associates, Inc. City: El Paso Phone: (915) 581-1141				
ASSESSED VALUE				
Land: 7.639 acres \$127,777 Assessment for the Year of: 2001				
Tax Rate: 2.943815 Valuation by: City/County of El Paso Consolidated Tax Office				
valuation by: Chy/County of El Paso Consolidated Tax Office				
EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL				
Type of Site Control: Earnest money contract (7.46 acres)				
Contract Expiration Date: 12/ 31/ 2002 Anticipated Closing Date: 12/ 31/ 2002				
Acquisition Cost: \$ 380,000 Other Terms/Conditions: \$100 earnest money				
Seller: Tropicana Homes, Inc. Related to Development Team Member: Yes				
REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS				
 Burgundy Palms was submitted and underwritten in the 2001 LIHTC cycle. The underwriting analysis recommended the project be declined due to the following conditions: The project is located entirely within the 100-year floodplain and the Applicant did not provide a sufficient mitigation plan 				
PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION				
<u>Description</u> : Burgundy Palms is a proposed new construction development of 100 units of affordable income housing located in southeast El Paso. The development is comprised of 25 residential buildings as follows:				

- (13) Building Type 2 B/R with four two-bedroom units;
- (8) Building Type 3 B/R with four three-bedroom units;
- (4) Building Type 4 B/R with four four-bedroom units;

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site separated by parking lots, with the community building located near the Burgundy Drive entrance to the site. The 3000-square foot community building plan includes the management office, a 1,313-square foot community room,

kitchen, and restrooms.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with CJ Treehouse, Inc. (dba Children's Academy of El Paso) to provide daycare for a period of five years. In return for this service, the provider will have control of the common area building Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 6:30 pm on a rent-free basis. Daycare services will be provided for up to 41 children living within the project for an annual payment of \$41,000. The service provider will also share 50% of the utility expenses for the building. The daycare services will be provided at no additional charge to participating tenants. In addition, The Greater El Paso Housing Development Corporation will provide two seminars annually on pre-qualification for homeownership for a fee of \$500 per year.

Schedule: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in December of 2002, to be completed in December of 2003, to be placed in service in April of 2004, and to be substantially leased-up in March of 2004.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. All of the units (100% of the total) will be reserved for low-income tenants. One of the units (1%) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, one unit (1%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 40 units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and 58 units (58%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

<u>Special Needs Set-Asides</u>: Eight units (8%) will be reserved for households with handicapped/developmentally-disabled individuals.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has also elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated February 14, 2002 was prepared by Zacour and Associates, Inc. and highlighted the following findings:

Definition of Market/Submarket: "The focus of this market study is Census Tracts 38.03, 38.04, 39.01, 39.02, 39.03, 40.02, 40.03, 40.04, 41.03, 41.04, 41.05, 41.06, and 41.07. These tracts constitute the majority of city's Lower Valley Planning Area and their geographic boundaries may generally be described as: Interstate 10 to the north; Rio Grande to the south; the eastern boundary of census Tract 40.02 to the east; and the western boundaries of Census Tracts 38.03 and 41.06 to the west." (p. 24)

<u>Total Regional Market Demand for Rental Units:</u> "It is estimated that there is still a need for 4,583 housing units to meet the needs of those people below the poverty level income range. The 2000 Census shows that 31% of the residents of this area are renters. Therefore, it is estimated that at least 1,421 units out of the needed 4,583 housing units would need to be apartments or other types of rental property." (p. 64)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY					
Type of Demand	Units of Demand	% of Total Demand			
Cost Burdened Renters	1,421	85%			
Growth/Household Change	37	2%			
Substandard Households	219	13%			
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	1,677	100%			

Ref: p. 65

Capture Rate: "MSA Segment Capture Rate of 5.96%" (p. 65)

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed 11 comparable apartment projects totaling 1,596 units in the market area. (p. 46)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)								
Unit Type (% AMI)	Market	Differential						
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$325	\$325	\$0	\$522	-\$197			
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$407	\$407	\$0	\$522	-\$115			
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$375	\$375	\$0	\$540	-\$165			
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$470	\$470	\$0	\$540	-\$70			
4-Bedroom (30%)	\$205	\$205	\$0	\$648	-\$443			
4-Bedroom (40%)	\$310	\$310	\$0	\$648	-\$338			
4-Bedroom (50%)	\$415	\$415	\$0	\$648	-\$233			
4-Bedroom (60%)	\$520	\$520	\$0	\$648	-\$128			

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "Occupancy rates dropped below 93% in early 1999, and have since leveled off at 93% over the past three quarters. The occupancy rate for the Lower Valley has averaged 96.8% during the 1990's. The current occupancy rate of 91.10% is only slightly higher than the city-wide average." (p. 47)

Absorption Projections: "It would appear reasonable that the proposed apartment project could be absorbed at a rate of 20 units per month. Thus, the estimated absorption period for the proposed project is five months." (p. 48)

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Location: The proposed site is located in the Lower Valley Planning Area of the City of El Paso, 10 miles east of the Central Business District and just inside the city's outer loop (Loop 375). More specifically, the site lies at the northwest quadrant of the intersection of Betel Drive and proposed extension of Burgundy Drive, between Zaragoza Road and Avenue of the Americas. The municipal address of the property is 9395 Betel Road. The City of El Paso lies in the extreme westerly section of the State of Texas on the United States/Mexico border approximately 264 miles from Albuquerque, New Mexico and 565 miles from Dallas, Texas

Population: "In the ten years between 1980 and 1990, the population of the Lower Valley area increased by 13,607 persons. However, the population decreased by a total of 5,829 persons during the last decade. It is believed this trend will continue and the population for the area will continue to decrease unless steps are taken to revitalize the area. This increase equates to an average annual loss rate of 0.54% per year over the past ten years. It is important to note that the Census statistics do not reflect the population of undocumented workers and their dependents who reside in the lower Valley area. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the actual population of the market study is higher than estimated." (p. 30)

<u>Adjacent Land Uses</u>: Adjacent land uses include vacant tracts and multifamily/single family housing and an existing church building.

<u>Site Access</u>: Betel and Burgundy Drives are secondary roadways providing access to the surrounding neighborhoods. Burgundy Drive currently dead-ends at the subject property; however, plans call for the extension of this roadway north to Interstate 10. Interstate Highway 10 traverses the city in the northwest to southeast direction and provides a connection to the entire country via the interstate system. Other interstate routes include I-25 in Las Cruces and I-110, which runs to the Mexican border.

Public Transportation: Intracity bus service is provided by Sun Metro, which links most areas of the city. The distance to the nearest bus stop from the site is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The City's health care facilities include thirteen private medical centers. Approximately 530 churches represent more than 60 denominations and cultural activities include the El Paso Symphony Orchestra, the Chamizal National Memorial, the El Paso del Norte Outdoor Drama Amphitheater and the El Paso Museum of Art. The city provides over 1,800 acres of public parks and recreational areas.

The public school system, with approximately 157 schools, comes under the supervision of nine districts. Upper level education is provided locally by the University of Texas at El Paso. The El Paso Community College has six campuses. The Texas Tech University School of Medicine is also located in El Paso and New Mexico State University is located forty-three miles north in Las Cruces, New Mexico. Fire and police protection within the city are provided by the City of El Paso.

Special Adverse Site Characteristics: The irregularly shaped tract parallels the Juan De Herrera Lateral on the north, which is a raised ditch used to channel irrigation to surrounding rural areas. According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map, Community Panel Number 480214 0048 B dated October 15, 1982, the site is located in both a 100-year and 500-year flood hazard area. These designations are defined as Zone A and Zone X500 on the Flood Map. According to flood zone definitions, Zone AH are areas of 100-year flood with flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding) where base flood elevations are determined, and Zone X500 are areas of 500-year flood, areas of 100-year flood with average depths of less than one foot or drainage areas less than one square mile, and areas protected by levees from 100-year flood. The ESA indicates that the entire site is in the AH portion of the flood plan but makes no mention of mitigation or concerns with water flow on the site. A mitigation plan has been provided in this application by Conde, Inc. (Engineering/Planning/Surveying) stating that the site in question will be removed from the Flood Zone by grading the site to the proposed Grading Plan prepared for the subject property. The Grading Plan was submitted to TDHCA and was approved for the CLOMR by FEMA. The CLOMR indicates that by following the grading plan as submitted the entire site will be removed from the AH designation of the Flood Zone to a proposed Flood Zone of B which is out of the 100 year flood zone.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: TDHCA staff performed a site inspection on May 19, 2000 and found the location to be acceptable for the proposed development. The inspector noted the site generally has good access and one of the adjacent streets was in the process of being paved. He also noted that the area is affected by potential flooding, but the Applicant had indicated plans to fill the site to raise it above the 100-year floodplain.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

Soil Mechanics International prepared a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated March 22, 2001. Carlos Figueroa, the engineer that conducted the investigation concluded, "while our study found no conclusive evidence that the sites are contaminated or that they contain contamination, we recommend that the properties be closely monitored (pg. 4). Thus this recommendation, if not altered by a subsequent report or letter from this engineer or another duly qualified ESA inspector, is added as a condition of this report.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income:</u> The 2002 maximum rent limits were used by the Applicant in setting the rents and these rents are achievable per the Market Study. Estimates of secondary income and vacancy and collection losses are also in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Applicant stated that tenants will pay water and sewer in this project, and rents and expenses were calculated accordingly.

Expenses: The Applicant's total expense estimate of \$2,884 per unit is 8% less than the TDHCA database-derived estimate of \$3,143 per unit for comparably-sized projects. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates, however, that deviate significantly when compared to the database averages, particularly: general and administrative (\$9.7K lower), management (\$8.9K lower), payroll (\$3.9K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$5.3K lower), utilities (\$23.6K higher), trash (\$10.8K lower), property tax (\$11.2K lower)

Conclusion: The Applicant's estimated total estimated operating expense is inconsistent with the Underwriter's expectations and the Applicant's net operating income is not within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate. Therefore, the Underwriter's NOI will be used to evaluate debt service capacity. Due primarily to the difference in expenses, the Underwriter's estimated debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.03 is slightly less than the program minimum standard of 1.10. Therefore, the maximum debt service for this project should be limited to \$131,321 in order to provide an acceptable 1.10 DCR.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

<u>Land Value</u>: The Applicant's proposed site cost of \$380K (\$3.88/SF or \$50,938/acre) is supported by the appraisal value of \$585K. The seller, Patriot Castner Joint Venture is an entity comprised of several individuals, one of which is Tropicana Building Corporation, 49% owner of the General Partner. The Joint

Venture acquired the site as part of a larger 18.5-acre parcel in October 1999 at a cost of \$304,875. This amounts to a prorated cost of \$16,480 per acre or \$125,913 for the subject 7.46 acres. The assessed value for the 7.46 acres is \$17,128 per acre. The Applicant provided documentation of holding costs and improvements made to the adjacent site that would provide justification for a higher non-arm's-length sale price. The Underwriter used a total substantiated acquisition and holding cost is \$190,738 for the 7.46 acres since this is still less than the \$380,000 transfer price claimed by the Applicant, the Applicant's total development funding sources needed, \$6,326,528 should be reduced by the difference of \$189,262. If the Applicants costs are used to determine the total cost of the development.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,360 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is \$128K lower than or within 5% of the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate, and is therefore regarded as reasonable as submitted.

<u>Fees</u>: The Applicant's contractor's and developer's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines. The Applicant's developer fees, however, exceed 15% of the Applicant's adjusted eligible basis and therefore the eligible portion of the Applicant's developer fee must be reduced by \$131,041.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's verifiable estimate and is therefore generally acceptable. The Applicant's tax credit calculation, as adjusted, is used to establish the eligible basis method of determining the credit amount. As a result, an adjusted eligible basis of \$5,692,988 is used to determine a qualified credit allocation of \$624,635 from this method. This will be compared to the gap method below to provide a final recommendation.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing from four sources: a conventional interim to permanent loan, private grants, syndicated LIHTC equity, and deferred developer's fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through Bank of America in the amount of \$2,400,000 during the interim period and \$1,523,260 at conversion to permanent. The commitment letter indicated a term of 24 months for the construction portion and 30 years for the permanent at a fixed interest rate. While the commitment letter indicates an underwriting rate of 8.5% it also provides a chart of forward rate lock options all of which are well below the 8% maximum underwriting rate guideline for the 2002 application cycle.

Private Grant: There is a commitment letter for \$5,000 in the form of a Grant from Alianza Para El Desarrollo Comunitario, Inc. This is to provide subsidy for 30% AMFI housing.

LIHTC Syndication: The Richman Group Capital Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$4,797,788 based on a syndication factor of 75%. The funds would be disbursed in a four-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 25% paid at closing;
- 2. 50% paid in monthly installments on a draw basis as needed for development costs incurred;
- 3. 10% paid upon the latest of the following: (i) Completion of construction of the Apartment Complex and receipt of certificates of occupancy for all units, (ii) Preliminary low-income Housing Tax Credit certification, (iii) Receipt of a payoff letter from the contractor for the Apartment Complex (the Contractor) which states that upon receipt of installment No. 3 the construction contract will be paid in full by the installment No. 3 or the contractor will defer any amounts owed to it to receipt of installment No. 4, (iv) Receipt of an estoppel letter from each lender to the Partnership, (v) Receipt of certificates of insurance complying with the requirements described herein;
- 4. 15% Paid upon the latest of the following: (i) Achievement of Breakeven Operations, (ii) Receipt of an estoppel letter from each lender to the Partnership, (iii) Receipt of final Low-Income Housing Tax Credit certification, and (iv) Receipt of form 8609.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$480 amount to less than 1% of the total fees.

Financing Conclusions: Since the Applicant's total development costs were within 5% of the Underwriter's

estimate, the Applicant's adjusted development costs were used to determine eligible basis. The applicable percentage rate was adjusted downward from the 8.45% indicated in the application in order to reflect the current underwriting rate of 8.44%. These adjustments combined to decrease the recommended tax credit allocation to \$624,635 per year, resulting in syndication proceeds of approximately \$4,684,291. However, this is \$43,432 more than the gap requirement based on the reduction in need for funds of \$189,262 in unsubstantiated acquisition and holding costs of the land, and the revised loan estimate. Therefore, the maximum potential tax credit allocation for this project should be reduced to not more than \$618,843 or \$21,979 less than requested.

The Applicant initially anticipated the need to defer \$480 in developer fee, but based on the Underwriter's analysis, it is anticipated there will not be a need to defer a portion of the developer fee. In the event of a cost overrun, there will be a sufficient amount of developer fee to defer.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The exterior elevations are simple and functional, with varied rooflines. All units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units, and each has a covered porch with a small outdoor storage closet. Each unit has a semi-private exterior entry that is shared with one other unit. The units are in one-story fourplex structures with stucco exterior finish and hipped roofs.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The principals of Tropicana Building Corporation (TBC) are 95% owners of the General Partner and also own the Developer, General Contractor, Cost Estimator, and Property Management Company. These are common relationships and generally do not appear to be prohibited by the LIHTC program. Tropicana Building Corporation (TBC) is also the seller of the land. Any potential excess profit from the identity of interest land sale will be mitigated by the increase in the amount of deferred developer's fee and the exclusion of such profit from the Underwriter's gap analysis as discussed above.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant and General Partner are single-purpose entities created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore have no material financial statements.
- Tropicana Building Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, and 49% owner of the General Partner, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of 12/8/01 reporting total assets of \$5.6M and consisting of \$303K in cash, \$43K in receivables, \$26K in stocks and securities, \$5M in real property \$144K in machinery, equipment, and fixtures, and \$81K in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$5.5M, resulting in a net worth of \$73K.
- TVP Non-Profit Corporation the 51% owner of the General Partner, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of 12/20/01 reporting total assets of \$1.1M and consisting of \$170K in cash, \$5K in receivables, \$711M in real property \$2K in machinery, equipment, and fixtures, and \$223K in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$532K, resulting in a net worth of \$591K.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant and General Partner are new entities formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- Tropicana Building Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, and 49% owner of the General Partner, submitted a TDHCA-issued "Certificate of Experience" dated December 12, 2001.
- The 49% owner of the General Partner/Developer/Contractor, Tropicana Building Corporation, listed participation in the development of four previous affordable housing projects of 92 units.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's estimated operating expenses/operating proforma are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable ranges.
- The seller of the property has an identity of interest with the Applicant.
- The site is currently located within the 100 year flood plain, though an acceptable plan for mitigation has been provided.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$618,843 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Per the ESA provided by the Applicant, and unless an alternative opinion is provided by a duly qualified ESA inspector the site should be "monitored closely."
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a decrease in the debt service to not more than \$131,321, and
- 3. Should the terms of the proposed permanent debt be different than 8% interest over a 30 year amortization, the previous condition and credit allocation should be re-evaluated by the underwriter.

Underwriter:	Carl Hoover	_ Date:	May 17, 2002
Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Lisa Vecchietti	Date:	May 17, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	May 17, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Burgundy Palms, El Paso, LIHTC #02052 Type of Unit | Number | Bedrooms | No. of Baths | Size in SF | Gross Rent Lmt. | Net Rent per Unit | Rent per Month | Rent per SF | Tht Pd Util | Trash Only

TC (50%) 18	2	1	900	\$408	\$325	\$5,850	\$0.36	\$83.00	\$15.00
									·
TC (60%) 34	2	1	900	490	407	13,838	0.45	83.00	15.00
TC (50%) 12	3	2	1,000	471	375	4,500	0.38	96.00	15.00
TC (60%) 20	3	2	1,000	566	470	9,400	0.47	96.00	15.00
TC (30%) 1	4	2	1,200	316	205	205	0.17	111.00	15.00
TC (40%) 1	4	2	1,200	421	310	310	0.26	111.00	\$15.00
TC (50%) 10	4	2	1,200	526	415	4,150	0.35	111.00	15.00
TC (60%) 4	4	2	1,200	631	520	2,080	0.43	111.00	15.00
TOTAL: 100		AVERAGE:	980	\$495	\$403	\$40,333	\$0.41	\$91.64	\$15.00
					-		1		
		ntable Sq Ft	98,000		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL GROSS RE	INT				\$483,996	\$483,996			
Secondary Income		Per	Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	12,000	12,000	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mo	nth
Other Support Incom	me: (desci	ribe)			0				
POTENTIAL GROSS IN		,			\$495,996	\$495,996			
				5 500	(37,200)		E 500	of Potential Gr	
Vacancy & Collection			l Gross Income:	-7.50%	. , , ,	(37,200)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee or Other 1		l Units or	Concessions		0				
EFFECTIVE GROSS IN	ICOME				\$458,796	\$458,796			
EXPENSES		% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT			PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General & Administ:	rative	7.34%	\$337	\$0.34	\$33,698	\$24,000	\$0.24	\$240	5.23%
Management		6.95%	319	0.33	31,884	22,940	0.23	229	5.00%
Payroll & Payroll '	Tav	5.21%	239	0.24	23,889	20,000	0.20	200	4.36%
= =									
Repairs & Maintena	псе	8.62%	395	0.40	39,543	34,200	0.35	342	7.45%
Utilities		6.11%	280	0.29	28,042	51,600	0.53	516	11.25%
Trash		3.92%	180	0.18	18,000	7,200	0.07	72	1.57%
Property Insurance		3.43%	158	0.16	15,753	16,160	0.16	162	3.52%
Property Tax	2.943815	12.74%	584	0.60	58,438	47,200	0.48	472	10.29%
Reserve for Replace		4.36%	200	0.20	20,000	20,000	0.20	200	4.36%
Support Services &			451	0.46	45,100	45,100	0.46	451	9.83%
==	COMPITALL								
TOTAL EXPENSES		68.52%	\$3,143	\$3.21	\$314,347	\$288,400	\$2.94	\$2,884	62.86%
NET OPERATING INC	-	31.48%	\$1,444	\$1.47	\$144,450	\$170,396	\$1.74	\$1,704	37.14%
DEBT SERVICE	•								
Bank of America		30.63%	\$1,406	\$1.43	\$140,551	\$140,551	\$1.43	\$1,406	30.63%
Alianza Para El Desa:	rrollo Co	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
LIHTC Syndication Pro	oceeds	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH FLOW	•	0.85%	\$39	\$0.04	\$3,899	\$29,845	\$0.30	\$298	6.51%
AGGREGATE DEBT COVER	ם מרד המחדר				1.03	1.21			
						1.21			
ALTERNATIVE DEBT COV	ERAGE RAT	10			1.10				
CONSTRUCTION COST									
Description	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
Acquisition Cost (site or blo	3.10%	\$1,907	\$1.95	\$190,738	\$380,000	\$3.88	\$3,800	6.01%
Off-Sites		0.00%	0	0.00	0	4000,000	0.00	0	0.00%
						626 000			
Sitework		10.34%	6,360	6.49	636,000	636,000	6.49	6,360	10.05%
Direct Construction	n	57.43%	35,339	36.06	3,533,893	3,405,600	34.75	34,056	53.83%
Contingency	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00	0		0.00	0	0.00%
General Require	5.82%	3.94%	2,425	2.47	242,496	242,496	2.47	2,425	3.83%
Contractor's G a	1.94%	1.31%	808	0.82	80,832	80,832	0.82	808	1.28%
Contractor's Pro		3.94%	2,425	2.47	242,496	242,496	2.47	2,425	3.83%
Indirect Construct		3.09%	1,900	1.94	190,000	190,000	1.94	1,900	3.00%
Ineligible Expense	s	0.74%	455	0.46	45,500	45,500	0.46	455	0.72%
Developer's G & A	2.00%	1.65%	1,016	1.04	101,574	116,481	1.19	1,165	1.84%
Developer's Profit	13.00%	10.73%	6,602	6.74	660,233	757,124	7.73	7,571	11.97%
Interim Financing		2.49%	1,530	1.56	153,000	153,000	1.56	1,530	2.42%
Reserves		1.25%	770	0.79	77,000	77,000	0.79	770	1.22%
		_							
TOTAL COST	_	100.00%	\$61,538	\$62.79	\$6,153,763	\$6,326,528	\$64.56	\$63,265	100.00%
Recap-Hard Construct	ion Costs	76.96%	\$47,357	\$48.32	\$4,735,717	\$4,607,424	\$47.01	\$46,074	72.83%
SOURCES OF FUNDS							RECOMMENDED		
Bank of America		24.75%	\$15,233	\$15.54	\$1,523,260	\$1,523,260	\$1,491,407		
Alianza Para El Desa	rrollo Co	0.08%	\$50	\$0.05	5,000	5,000	5,000		
LIHTC Syndication Pro	oceeds	77.97%	\$47,978	\$48.96	4,797,788	4,797,788	4,640,859		
Deferred Developer Fe	ees	0.01%	\$5	\$0.00	480	480	0		
Additional (excess)			(\$1,728)	(\$1.76)	(172,765)	0	0		
TOTAL SOURCES				/	\$6,153,763	\$6,326,528	\$6,137,267		
					70,233,703	70,020,020	70/10/20/		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Burgundy Palms, El Paso, LIHTC #02052

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost	Increas	OMIID/Dg II	\$41.05	\$4,023,302
Adjustments			Ų 11.05	Ų 17023730 2
Exterior Wall Finis	h		\$0.00	\$0
Elderly			0.00	0
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(1.96)	(192,080
Floor Cover			1.82	178,360
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	7952	2.28	223,451
Plumbing	\$585	144	0.86	84,240
Built-In Appliances	\$1,550	100	1.58	155,000
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.41	138,180
Garages/Carports		0	0.00	0
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$56.86	3,000	1.74	170,586
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL			48.79	4,781,040
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		1.95	191,242
Local Multiplier	0.87		(6.34)	(621,535
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTI	ON COSTS		\$44.40	\$4,350,746
Plans, specs, survy, bl	3.90%		(\$1.73)	(\$169,679
Interim Construction Ir	3.38%		(1.50)	(146,838
Contractor's OH & Profi	11.50%		(5.11)	(500,336
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$36.06	\$3,533,893

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$1,523,260	Term	360
Int Rate	8.50%	DCR	1.03
Secondary	\$5,000	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.03

Additional	\$4,797,788	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.03

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$131,321
0
0
\$13,129

Primary	\$1,491,407	Term	360
Int Rate	8.00%	DCR	1.10
Secondary	\$5,000	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.10
Additional	\$4,797,788	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.10
<u> </u>	•	•	•

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$483,996	\$498,516	\$513,471	\$528,875	\$544,742	\$631,505	\$732,087	\$848,690	\$1,140,568
Secondary Income	12,000	12,360	12,731	13,113	13,506	15,657	18,151	21,042	28,279
Other Support Income: (i 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	495,996	510,876	526,202	541,988	558,248	647,162	750,238	869,732	1,168,847
Vacancy & Collection Los	(37,200)	(38,316)	(39,465)	(40,649)	(41,869)	(48,537)	(56,268)	(65,230)	(87,664)
Employee or Other Non-Re	e: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$458,796	\$472,560	\$486,737	\$501,339	\$516,379	\$598,625	\$693,971	\$804,502	\$1,081,184
EXPENSES at 4.00%	•								
General & Administrative	\$33,698	\$35,046	\$36,448	\$37,906	\$39,422	\$47,963	\$58,355	\$70,997	\$105,093
Management	31,884	32,840	33,826	34,840	35,886	41,601	48,227	55,909	75,136
Payroll & Payroll Tax	23,889	24,844	25,838	26,871	27,946	34,001	41,367	50,330	74,500
Repairs & Maintenance	39,543	41,125	42,770	44,481	46,260	56,283	68,476	83,312	123,322
Utilities	28,042	29,164	30,330	31,543	32,805	39,912	48,559	59,080	87,453
Water, Sewer & Trash	18,000	18,720	19,469	20,248	21,057	25,620	31,170	37,923	56,136
Insurance	15,753	16,383	17,038	17,720	18,428	22,421	27,279	33,188	49,127
Property Tax	58,438	60,776	63,207	65,735	68,364	83,176	101,196	123,120	182,248
Reserve for Replacement:	20,000	20,800	21,632	22,497	23,397	28,466	34,634	42,137	62,373
Other	45,100	46,904	48,780	50,731	52,761	64,191	78,099	95,019	140,651
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$314,347	\$326,602	\$339,337	\$352,573	\$366,327	\$443,634	\$537,362	\$651,015	\$956,040
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$144,450	\$145,958	\$147,400	\$148,766	\$150,052	\$154,991	\$156,609	\$153,487	\$125,144
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$13,129	\$14,637	\$16,079	\$17,445	\$18,731	\$23,670	\$25,288	\$22,166	(\$6,177)
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.10	1.11	1.12	1.13	1.14	1.18	1.19	1.17	0.95

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Burgundy Palms, El Paso, LIHTC #02052

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$380,000	\$190,738		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$636,000	\$636,000	\$636,000	\$636,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs			=	
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$3,405,600	\$3,533,893	\$3,405,600	\$3,533,893
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requiremen	ts			
Contractor overhead	\$80,832	\$80,832	\$80,832	\$80,832
Contractor profit	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496
General requirements	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496
(5) Contingencies				
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$190,000	\$190,000	\$190,000	\$190,000
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$153,000	\$153,000	\$153,000	\$153,000
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$45,500	\$45,500		
(9) Developer Fees			\$742,564	
Developer overhead	\$116,481	\$101,574		\$101,574
Developer fee	\$757,124	\$660,233		\$660,233
(10) Development Reserves	\$77,000	\$77,000		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$6,326,528	\$6,153,763	\$5,692,988	\$5,840,525

Deduct from Basis:			
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible b	asis		
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis			
Non-qualified non-recourse financing			
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]		
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)			
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS		\$5,692,988	\$5,840,525
High Cost Area Adjustment		130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS		\$7,400,884	\$7,592,683
Applicable Fraction		100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS		\$7,400,884	\$7,592,683
Applicable Percentage		8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS		\$624,635	\$640,822
Syndication Proceeds	0.7499	\$4,684,291	\$4,805,687

\$4,684,291 Actual Gap of Need

\$4,805,687 \$4,640,859

Gap-Driven Allocation

\$618,843

TDHCA # 02053

Region 10

General Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Development Name: Castner Palms TDHCA#: 02053

DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS

LIHTC Primary Set Aside: G 10 Region: Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 9700 block of Cohen Ave.

Purpose / Activity: NC City: El Paso

Development Type: Family County: El Paso Zip Code: 79924 **✓** TTC **~** DDA □ QCT

Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 8 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled

Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation

OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Castner Palms, Ltd.

Principal Names:	Principal Contact:	Percentage Ownership:
Tropicana Building Corporation	Bobby Bowling, IV	95 %
Lower Valley Housing Corporation	Nancy Hanson	5 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %

TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION

Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: \$624,635 Allocation over 10 Years: \$6,246,350 Credits Requested: \$639,769 Eligible Basis Amount: \$624,635 Equity/Gap Amount: \$626,041

UNIT	INFC	RMA	TION					BUILDING INFORMATION	
	Eff	1 BR	2 BF	R 3 BR	4 BR	5 BR	<u>Total</u>	Total Development Cost:	\$6,191,713
30%	_ (o —		0 —	0	1	Gross Building Square Feet:	101,000
40%	C))	0	0 1	. 0	1	Total NRA SF:	98,000
50%	(- D 1	18 1	2 10) 0	40	Gross/Net Rentable:	1.03
60%	C)) 3	34 2	0 4	. 0	58	Average Square Feet/Unit:	980
MR	() ()	0	0 () 0	0	Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot:	\$63.18
Total	C) () 5	52 3	2 16	6 0		Credits per Low Income Unit	\$6,246
Tota	I LI U	nits:					100	INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION	
Own	er/En	nploye	e Un	its:			0	Effective Gross Income:	\$458,796
Tota	l Proj	ect Ur	nits:				100	Total Expenses:	\$314,347
Appl	icable	Frac	tion:				100.00	Net Operating Income:	\$144,449
Applical	ble fractio	n is the le	sser of th	e unit fracti	on or the sq	uare foot fracti	on	Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio:	1.10

Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

Developer: Castner Palms, Ltd. Market Analyst: Zacour and Associates

Tropicana Building Corporation Housing GC: Originator/UW:

Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: Zacour and Associates Cost Estimator: **Tropicana Building Corporation** Attorney: Lee and Healy, LLP David Marquez A & E Architect:

Supp Services: C.J. Treehouse Property Manager: Tropicana Properties Thomas V. Stephen & Company Accountant:

Conde, Inc. Engineer:

attributable to low income units.

Syndicator: **Boston Capital Corporation** Permanent Lender: Bank of America

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION

Underwriting Finding: AC 141 Site Review: Acceptable Points Awarded:

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued) Project Name: Castner Palms Project Number: 02053 **PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY** Note: "O" = Opposed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment # of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(not from Officials): Support: 6 Opposition: 0 A resolution was passed by the local government in support of the development. Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction: Comment from Other Public Official Local Official: Raymond C. Caballero, Mayor, S Patricia D. Aduato, Director of Planning, S TX Rep.: Manny Najera, State Representative, District 75, S Pat Haggerty, Dist. 78 TX Sen.: Eliot Shapleigh, Dist. 29 Dolores Briones, County Judge, S US Rep.: Joseph C. Pickett, State Representative, District 79, S John F. Cook, City Representative, S US Sen.: **CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT** Per the ESA provided by the Applicant, and unless an alternative opinion is provided by a duly qualified ESA inspector the site should be "monitored closely." Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a decrease in the debt service to not more than \$131,321. Should the terms of the proposed permanent debt be different than 8% interest over a 30 year amortization, the previous condition and credit allocation should be re-evaluated. Alternate Recommendation: RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON: ✓ Score Meeting Required Set Aside Meeting the Regional Allocation ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for fewer credits ☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a longer period of time ☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan ☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built Comment: This development was one of the highest scoring developments in Region 10. Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON: The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason, that reason is identified below: Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Date Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee \square BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable): **Approved Credit Amount:** Date of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02053	LIF	HTC 9% ⊻	LIHTC 4% □
Project Name:	Castner Palms		HOME \square	HTF \Box
Project City:	El Paso		BOND □	SECO □
Housing Con	npliance Review			
Project(s) in	material non-compliance			
No previous	participation			
Status o		mpliance status reports and Na kground Certification(s) availa		S
Projects Mo	nitored by the Departmen	nt		
# reviev	ved 1	# not yet monitored or pendin	g review 3	
# of projects	grouped by score	0-9: 1 10-19: 0	20-29: 0	
Members of	the development team ha	we been disbarred by HUD		
National Pre	evious Participation Certif	fication Received	N/	A
Non-	Compliance Reported		_	
Completed	by Jo En Taylor	Completed on	05/21/2002	
Single Audit				
Status of Fir	ndings (any outstanding s	single audit issues are listed be	low)	
single aud	dit not applicable 🗸	no outstanding issues ou	itstanding issu	es 🗌
Comments:				
Completed	by Lucy Trevino	Completed on	05/30/2002	
Program Mo	nitoring			
Status of Fir	ndings (any unresolved is	ssues are listed below)		
monitor	ing review not applicable	monitoring monitoring	review pendir	ng 🗌
review	red; no unresolved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ed issues foun	id 🗌
Comments:				
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson	Completed on	05/30/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)			
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending			
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolved issues found \square				
Comments:						
Completed by		Completed on				
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)			
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending			
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found			
Comments:						
Completed by		Completed on				
Housing Programs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)			
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending			
reviewed; no unres	solved issues 🗹	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found			
Comments:						
Completed by Chuds	on	Completed on	06/06/2002			
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)			
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending			
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found			
Comments:						
Completed by		Completed on				
Executive Director: Ec	dwina Carrington	Date	e Signed: June 10, 2002			

DATE: May 17, 2002 **PROGRAM**: 9% LIHTC **FILE NUMBER**: 02053

	DEVELOPMENT NAME									
Castner Palms										
	APPLICANT									
Name:	Castner Palms I		Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other		
Address:	5819 Sun Valle		— City:	El Pas	_	_	State	_		
Zip:	79924 Conta		— City. Phone			550 Fax:	State (915)	821-3556		
Zip.	Conta			` ′	021-3	Fax.	(913)	621-3330		
		PRINCIPALS (TD1 (1	36 .				
Name:		ling Corporation (TBC)	(%):	0.0095	Title:	Managing	g General	Partner		
Name:	Lower Valley F	lousing Corporation	(%):	0.0005	Title:	Other Ge	neral Part	ner		
Name:	R.L. Bowling Γ	V	(%):	N/A	Title:	Pres. & 2	5% owne	r of TBC		
Name:	R.L. Bowling II	I	(%):	N/A	Title:	V. P. & 2	5% owne	r of TBC		
Name:	Randall J. Bow	ing	(%):	N/A	Title:	Treas. &	25% own	er of TBC		
Name:	Gregory B. Boy	vling	(%):	N/A	Title:	Sec. & 25	5% owner	of TBC		
Name:	The Richman G	roup Capital Corporation	(%):	99.99 Title: Limited Partner						
		MANAGING (PARTNER	-					
Name:	Tropicana Build	ing Corporation	Type:	_	Profit X	Non-Profit	Municipal	Other		
Address:	5819 Sun Valle	•	City:	El Pas	_	_	_ State	: TX		
Zip:	79924 Conta		— Phone			550 Fax:	(915)	821-3556		
Zip.	Cont				021-33	<u> </u>	(713)	021-3330		
N			AL PARTNE							
Name:	Lower Valley H	ousing Corp.	Type:	_	Profit X	Non-Profit	Municipal	_		
Address:	215 West Main		City:	Fabens	-		State			
Zip:	79838 Conta	Nancy Hanson	Phone	(915)	764-34	413 Fax:	(915)	764-3413		
		PROPERT	Y LOCATION	ON						
T	0700 D1 1 C		•,				∇	DD 4		
Location:	9/00 Block of	Cohen Avenue (Formerly Con	nmunity A	Avenue)		☐ QCT		DDA		
City:	El Paso	Coun	ty: E	El Paso			Zip:	79924		
	REQUEST									
	<u>Amount</u>	Interest Rate	<u>A</u> 1	mortizatio	<u>on</u>	<u>Term</u>				
\$	6639,769	n/a		n/a			n/a			
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year allocation of	f low-inco	me housi	ng tax cre	dits				
Proposed 1	Use of Funds:	New construction Se	t-Aside:	⊠ Go	eneral [Rural		Non-Profit		

SITE DESCRIPTION								
Size: 7.39 acres 321,908 square feet Zoning/ Permitted Uses: C-1, C-3								
Flood Zone Designation: C Status of Off-Sites: Partially Improved								
DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS								
Total #Rental #Common # of Units: 100 Buildings 25 Area Bldngs 1 Floors 1 Age: n/a yrs Vacant: n/a at /								
Number Bedrooms Bathrooms Size in SF								
52 2 1 900								
32 3 2 1,000 16 4 2 1,200								
Net Rentable SF: 98,000 Av Un SF: 980 Common Area SF: 3,000 Gross Bldng SF 101,000								
Property Type: Multifamily SFR Rental Elderly Mixed Income Special Use								
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS								
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS								
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, stucco exterior wall covering, drywall interior wall surfactomposite shingle roofing								
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES								
Carpeting & tile flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, microwave over fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer and connections, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters, evaporate cooling								
ON-SITE AMENITIES								
Community room/daycare, management offices, kitchen, restrooms, equipped children's play area, perimeter fencing								
Uncovered Parking: 200 spaces Carports: n/a spaces Garages: n/a spaces								
OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS INTERIM CONSTRUCTION OF GAP FINANCING								
Source: Bank of America Contact: Valerie Williams								
Principal Amount: \$2,400,000 Interest Rate: 8.5%								
Additional Information:								
Amortization: n/a yrs Term: 2 yrs Commitment: None Firm MacConditional								
LONG TERM/PERMANENT FINANCING								
Source: Bank of America Contact: Valerie Williams								
Principal Amount: \$1,523,260 Interest Rate: 8.5% as of February 25, 2002								
Additional Information:								
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 30 yrs Commitment: LOI Firm Conditional								
Annual Payment: \$140,551 Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 02/ 26/ 2002								

			LIH	ITC SYNDIC	ATION						
Source:	The Richman C	Group Capital			Contact	Peter N	/IcHugh				
Address:	599 West Putn	•	•		City:	Greenwic					
State:		Zip: 0683	0 Phone	: (203)	869-0900	Fax	(20	3)	869-1034		
Net Procee	ds: \$4,797			` ′	oer \$1.00 of 10-yr LI	—— нтс) 75¢	`	´ -			
Commitme	Commitment										
Additional	Additional Information: Commitment letter reflects proceeds of \$4,797,788 based on credits of \$6,397,690										
	Communication retrieves proceeds of \$1,777,700 based on creaks of \$0,377,070										
					_						
				PPLICANT E							
Amount:	\$480		Source:	Deferred D	Developer Fee)					
Amount:	\$5,000		Source:	Alianza Pa	ra El Desaro	llo Comuni	ario, Inc	(GRA	ANT)		
			-								
			VALUA	ATION INFO	RMATION						
			А	PPRAISED V	'ALUE						
Land Only	:	\$644,000			Date of	Valuation:	02/	26/	2002		
Appraiser:	Zacour & Ass	ociates, Inc.	City:	El Paso		_ Phone:	(915)	581-	1141		
				ASSESSED V	ΔIIIF						
Land: 32.2	2 acres	\$1,403,315			ment for the Y	Zear of:	2000				
	acres prorate	\$43,554				Paso CAD					
	al 7.39 acres	\$321,865		Tax R	<u> </u>	877639					
			EVERNOE (CITE DDG	DEDTY CONT	7001					
TD 0.Ct.	G ()		EVIDENCE of		PERIY CONI	ROL					
Type of Sit	·	•	contract (7.39 a			D (10/	21/	2002		
	Expiration Date:	12/			cipated Closin	0	12/	31/	2002		
Acquisition		380,000	Other Terms	s/Conditions		earnest mon					
Seller:	Patriot Castner Joi	int Venture			Rela	ted to Devel	opment T	eam N	1ember:	Yes	
		REV	VIEW of PREV	IOUS UNDE	RWRITING RE	PORTS					
Castner Palms was submitted and underwritten in the 2001 LIHTC cycle. The underwriting analysis recommended the project be approved with an LIHTC Allocation not to exceed \$568,701 annually for ten years.											
		PROP	OSAL and D	EVELOPMEN	NT PLAN DES	CRIPTION					
• (13) I • (8) B	PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION Description: Castner Palms is a proposed new construction development of 100 units of affordable income housing located in southeast El Paso. The development is comprised of 25 residential buildings as follows: (13) Building Type 2 B/R with four two-bedroom units; (8) Building Type 3 B/R with four three-bedroom units; (4) Building Type 4 B/R with four four-bedroom units;										

Based on the site plan the apartment buildings are distributed evenly throughout the site separated by parking lots, with the community building located near the rear of the development. The 3000-square foot community building plan includes the management office, a 1,313-square foot community room, kitchen, and

restrooms.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with CJ Treehouse, Inc. (dba Children's Academy of El Paso) to provide daycare for a period of five years. In return for this service, the provider will have control of the common area building Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 6:30 pm on a rent-free basis. Daycare services will be provided for up to 41 children living within the project for an annual payment of \$41,000. The service provider will also share 50% of the utility expenses for the building. The daycare services will be provided at no additional charge to participating tenants. In addition, The Greater El Paso Housing Development Corporation will provide two seminars annually on pre-qualification for homeownership for a fee of \$500 per year.

Schedule: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in December of 2002, to be completed in December of 2003, to be placed in service in April of 2004, and to be substantially leased-up in March of 2004.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. All of the units (100% of the total) will be reserved for low-income/elderly tenants. One of the units (1%) will be reserved for households earning 30% or less of AMGI, one unit (1%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, 40 units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and 58 units (58%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

<u>Special Needs Set-Asides</u>: Eight units (8%) will be reserved for households with handicapped/developmentally-disabled individuals.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has also elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated February 19, 2002 was prepared by Zacour and Associates, Inc. and highlighted the following findings:

Definition of Market/Submarket: "The focus of this market study is Census Tracts 1.01, 1.06, 1.07, 1.08, 1.09, 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, 2.03, 2.04, 2.05, 2.06, 3.01, 3.02, 4.01, 4.02, 4.03, 4.04, 102.06, and 102.07. These tracts constitute the majority of city's Northeast Planning Area and their geographic boundaries may generally be described as: The Texas-New Mexico state line to the north; Fred Wilson Road to the south; The Fort Bliss Military Reservation to the east; and the Franklin Mountains State Park to the west." (p. 24)

<u>Total Regional Market Demand for Rental Units:</u> "It is estimated that there is still a need for 3,085 housing units to meet the needs of those people below the poverty level income range. The 2000 Census shows that 34% of the residents of this area are renters. Therefore, it is estimated that at least 1,050 units out of the needed 3,085 housing units would need to be apartments or other types of rental property." (p. 70)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY						
Type of Demand	Units of Demand	% of Total Demand				
Cost Burdened Renters	1,050	72%				
Growth/Household Change	45	3%				
Substandard Households	372	25%				
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	1,467	100%				

Ref: p. 71

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "MSA Segment Capture Rate of 12.4%" (p. 71) This is based upon the inclusion of 82 additional unstabilized units in this market area.

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed 8 comparable apartment projects totaling 1,071 units in the market area. (p. 51)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)									
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential				
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$325	\$325	\$0	\$540	-\$215				
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$407	\$407	\$0	\$540	-\$113				
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$375	\$375	\$0	\$600	-\$225				
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$470	\$470	\$0	\$600	-\$130				
4-Bedroom (30%)	\$205	\$205	\$0	\$720	-\$515				
4-Bedroom (40%)	\$310	\$310	\$0	\$720	-\$410				
4-Bedroom (50%)	\$415	\$415	\$0	\$720	-\$305				
4-Bedroom (60%)	\$520	\$520	\$0	\$720	-\$200				

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "The occupancy rate for the northeast has fluctuated from as low as 85% to as high as 98% during the 1990's. The current occupancy rate of 87% is lower than the current city-wide average. Apartment complexes in the vicinity of the subject which were surveyed have higher occupancy rates than those throughout the whole area." (p. 52)

<u>Absorption Projections</u>: "It is projected that the average absorption rate for the subject would be 12 to 15 units per month. At this rate, the subject's estimated absorption period should be seven to nine months." (p. 61)

Known Planned Development: "Additionally, the following low-income housing units have been approved but have not yet been constructed in the market study area: Patriot Hills 22 units, Cooperstown 16 units, Cooperstown South 20 units, Arrowhead Place 24 units." (p. 71) Adding the proposed 100 units provides total planned units of 182.

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Location: The proposed site is located in the Northeast sector of the City of El Paso. The North-South Freeway provides direct access to the El Paso Central Business district via Interstate 10 which is about 10 miles south of the subject property. From a residential standpoint, this area of El Paso appeals to Fort Bliss military personnel and the civilian workers who make up approximately seven percent of El Paso's total work force. The City of El Paso lies in the extreme westerly section of the State of Texas on the United States/Mexico border approximately 264 miles from Albuquerque, New Mexico and 565 miles from Dallas, Texas

Population: "Since 1980, the population of the subject census tracts increased by 27,789 persons. The population of the market area grew at an annual rate of 0.71% per year from 1990 through 2000. The most growth appears to have occurring in those census tracts with the greatest supply of developable land. If the population of the market study area grows at the projected rate of 0.75% per annum, there will be an additional 3,531 persons residing in the study area by the year 2005 and an additional 3,666 persons by the year 010. However, it is unlikely this population growth can be sustained without the addition of new housing units within the market study areas." (p. 33)

Adjacent Land Uses: The subject neighborhood appears to be in a stage of moderate growth. There are an increasing number of residential subdivisions located in the northern part of the neighborhood, and the completion of several major roadway projects have made the neighborhood more accessible. Recent community developments within the neighborhood include a new 10,000 seat sports complex and public golf course. Commercial development is also evident throughout the subject neighborhood, particularly along Dyer Street, the North-south Freeway, and Woodrow Bean Road (Trans Mountain Road/Loop 375). (p. 26)

Site Access: "The subject site is located on the north side of Cohen Avenue to the west of Kenworthy Drive.

The subject is also just to the east of Gateway Boulevard North and the south of Trans Mountain Road (Loop 375), which provides access to both the east and west side of the city." (p.62)

<u>Public Transportation</u>: Intracity bus service is provided by Sun Metro, which links most areas of the city. The distance to the nearest bus stop from the site is unknown.

Shopping & Services: "The site is surrounded by commercial development, is near single-family homes and is in close proximity to churches, schools and parks. Commercial services are located along Gateway Boulevard North, Dyer Street, McCombs Street, Trans Mountain road, Fairbanks Drive and Kenworthy Drive. Medical office buildings are located on Kenworthy Drive. Thus, the subject site is accessible to major roadway, commercial services, schools, medical services and churches." (p. 62)

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: A Credit Underwriting Division/TDHCA staff members performed a site inspection on May 10, 2001 and found the location to be acceptable for the proposed development..

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

Soil Mechanics International prepared a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated March 22, 2001. Carlos Figueroa, the engineer that conducted the investigation concluded, "while our study found no conclusive evidence that the sites are contaminated or that they contain contamination, we recommend that the properties be closely monitored (pg. 4). Thus this recommendation, if not altered by a subsequent report or letter from this engineer or another duly qualified ESA inspector, is added as a condition of this report.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: The 2002 maximum rent limits were used by the Applicant in setting the rents and these rents are achievable per the Market Study. Estimates of secondary income and vacancy and collection losses are also in line with TDHCA underwriting guidelines. The Applicant stated that tenants will pay water and sewer in this project, and rents and expenses were calculated accordingly.

Expenses: The Applicant's total expense estimate of \$2,884 per unit is 8% less than the TDHCA database-derived estimate of \$3,143 per unit for comparably-sized projects. The Applicant's budget shows several line item estimates, however, that deviate significantly when compared to the database averages, particularly: general and administrative (\$9.7K lower), management (\$8.9K lower), payroll (\$3.9K lower), repairs and maintenance (\$5.3K lower), utilities (\$23.6K higher), trash (\$10.8K lower), property tax (\$11.2K lower)

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's estimated total estimated operating expense is inconsistent with the Underwriter's expectations and the Applicant's net operating income is not within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate. Therefore, the Underwriter's NOI will be used to evaluate debt service capacity. Due primarily to the difference in expenses, the Underwriter's estimated debt coverage ratio (DCR) of 1.03 is slightly less than the program minimum standard of 1.10. Therefore, the maximum debt service for this project should be limited to \$131,321 in order to achieve an acceptable 1.10 DCR.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: The Applicant's proposed site cost of \$380K (\$3.88/SF or \$50,938/acre) is supported by the appraisal value of \$644K. The seller, Patriot Castner Joint Venture is an entity comprised of several individuals, one of which is Tropicana Building Corporation, 49% owner of the General Partner. The Joint Venture acquired the site as part of a larger 61.7901-acre parcel in May 1994 at a cost of \$1,450,000. This amounts to a prorated cost of \$23,467 per acre or \$173,421 for the subject 7.39 acres. The assessed value for the 7.39 acres is \$43,544 per acre. The Applicant provided documentation of holding costs and improvements made to the adjacent site that would provide justification for a higher non-arm's-length sale price. The Underwriter used a total substantiated acquisition and holding cost of \$245,185 for the 7.39 acres since this is still less than the\$380,000 transfer price claimed by the Applicant, the Applicant's total development funding sources needed, \$6,326,528, should be reduced by the difference of \$134,815.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$6,360 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is \$128K lower than or within 5% of the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate, and is therefore regarded as reasonable as submitted.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant's contractor's fees for general requirements, general and administrative expenses, and profit are all within the maximums allowed by TDHCA guidelines. The Applicant's developer fees,

however, exceed 15% of the Applicant's adjusted eligible basis and therefore the eligible portion of the Applicant's developer fee must be reduced by \$131,041.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's verifiable estimate and is therefore generally acceptable. Therefore, the Applicant's total development cost, as adjusted, is used to establish the eligible basis method of determining the credit amount. As a result, an adjusted eligible basis of \$5,692,988 is used to determine a credit allocation of \$624,635 from this method. This will be compared to the gap method below to provide a final recommendation.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with four types of financing from four sources: a conventional interim to permanent loan, private grant, syndicated LIHTC equity, and deferred developer's fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through Bank of America in the amount of \$2,400,000 during the interim period and \$1,523,260 at conversion to permanent. The commitment letter indicated a term of 24 months for the construction portion and 30 years for the permanent at a fixed interest rate. While the commitment letter indicates an underwriting rate of 8.5% it also provides a chart of forward rate lock options, all of which are well below the 8% maximum underwriting rate guideline for the 2002 application cycle.

Private Grant: There is a commitment letter for \$5,000 in the form of a Grant from Alianza Para El Desarrollo Comunitario, Inc. This is to provide subsidy for 30% AMFI housing.

LIHTC Syndication: The Richman Group Capital Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$4,797,788 based on a syndication factor of 75%. The funds would be disbursed in a four-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 25% paid at closing;
- 2. 50% paid in monthly installments on a draw basis as needed for development costs incurred;
- 3. 10% paid upon the latest of the following: (i) Completion of construction of the Apartment Complex and receipt of certificates of occupancy for all units, (ii) Preliminary low-income Housing Tax Credit certification, (iii) Receipt of a payoff letter from the contractor for the Apartment Complex (the Contractor) which states that upon receipt of installment No. 3 the construction contract will be paid in full by the installment No. 3 or the contractor will defer any amounts owed to it to receipt of installment No. 4, (iv) Receipt of an estoppel letter from each lender to the Partnership, (v) Receipt of certificates of insurance complying with the requirements described herein;
- 4. 15% Paid upon the latest of the following: (i) Achievement of Breakeven Operations, (ii) Receipt of an estoppel letter from each lender to the Partnership, (iii) Receipt of final Low-Income Housing Tax Credit certification, and (iv) Receipt of form 8609.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$480 amount to less than 1% of the total fees.

Financing Conclusions: Based on the underwriter's proforma, the proposed debt cannot be adequately serviced at a 1.10 DCR. This analysis indicates that the debt service should be limited to not more than \$131,321, which will result in a reduction in the total potential debt amount. It has also been noted that the underwriting rate indicated by the lender, 8.5%, is significantly higher than the forward commitment rates quoted in the letter and the 8% maximum guideline. Thus the underwriter used a 8% interest rate 30 year amortization assumption to estimate the permanent debt amount and size the gap of funds needed. Since the Applicant's total development costs were within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate, the Applicant's adjusted development costs were used to determine eligible basis. The applicable percentage rate was adjusted downward from 8.45% in order to reflect the current underwriting rate of 8.44%. These adjustments combined to decrease the recommended tax credit allocation to \$624,635 per year, resulting in syndication proceeds of approximately \$4,684,291.

The Applicant initially anticipated the need to defer \$480 in developer fee, but based on the Underwriter's analysis, it is now anticipated that the need to defer developer fees will increase to \$11,015. In the event of a cost overrun, there will be a sufficient amount of developer fee to defer.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The exterior elevations are simple and functional, with varied rooflines. All units are of average size for market rate and LIHTC units, and have covered porch with a small outdoor storage closet. Each unit has a semi-private exterior entry that is shared with one other unit. The units are in one-story fourplex structures with stucco exterior finish and hipped roofs.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The principals of Tropicana Building Corporation (TBC) are 95% owners of the General Partner and also own the Developer, General Contractor, Cost Estimator, and Property Management Company. These are common relationships and generally do not appear to be prohibited by the LIHTC program. Tropicana Building Corporation (TBC) is also the seller of the land. Any potential excess profit from the identity of interest land sale will be mitigated by the increase in the amount of deferred developer's fee or the exclusion of such profit from the Underwriter's gap analysis as discussed above.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant and General Partner are single-purpose entities created for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and therefore have no material financial statements.
- Tropicana Building Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, and 49% owner of the General Partner, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of 12/8/01 reporting total assets of \$5.6M and consisting of \$303K in cash, \$43K in receivables, \$26K in stocks and securities, \$5M in real property \$144K in machinery, equipment, and fixtures, and \$81K in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$5.5M, resulting in a net worth of \$73K.
- Lower Valley Housing Corporation the 51% owner of the General Partner, submitted an unaudited financial statement as of 12/19/01 reporting total assets of \$4.2M and consisting of \$68K in cash, \$885K in receivables, \$3.2M in real property \$19.3 in machinery, equipment, and fixtures, and \$8.9K in other assets. Liabilities totaled \$3.8M, resulting in a net worth of \$327K.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant and General Partner are new entities formed for the purpose of developing the project.
- Tropicana Building Corporation, the Developer, General Contractor, and 49% owner of the General Partner, submitted a TDHCA-issued "Certificate of Experience" dated December 12, 2001.
- The 49% owner of the General Partner/Developer/Contractor, Tropicana Building Corporation, listed participation in the development of four previous affordable housing projects of 92 units.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's estimated operating expenses/operating proforma are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable ranges.
- The seller of the property has an identity of interest with the Applicant.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$624,635 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Per the ESA provided by the Applicant, and unless an alternative opinion is provided by a duly qualified ESA inspector the site should be "monitored closely."
- 2. Receipt, review, and acceptance of a revised permanent loan commitment reflecting a decrease in

the debt service to not more than \$131,321, and

3. Should the terms of the proposed permanent debt be different than 8% interest over a 30 year amortization, the previous condition and credit allocation should be re-evaluated.

Underwriter:	Carl Hoover	Date:	May 17, 2002
Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Lisa Vecchietti	Date:	May 17, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	May 17, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Castner Palms, El Paso, LIHTC #02053 Type of Unit | Number | Bedrooms | No. of Baths | Size in SF | Gross Rent Lmt. | Net Rent per Unit | Rent per Month | Rent per SF | Tht Pd Util | Trash Only

mg (F00)	^	1	000	6400	4205	AE 050	40.36	402.00	A15 00
TC (50%) 18	2	1	900	\$408	\$325	\$5,850	\$0.36	\$83.00	\$15.00
TC (60%) 34	2	1	900	490	407.00	13,838	0.45	83.00	15.00
TC (50%) 12	3	2	1,000	471	375.00	4,500	0.38	96.00	15.00
TC (60%) 20	3	2	1,000	566	470.00	9,400	0.47	96.00	15.00
TC (30%) 1	4	2	1,200	316	205.00	205	0.17	111.00	15.00
TC (40%) 1	4	2	1,200	421	310.00	310	0.26	111.00	\$15.00
TC (50%) 10	4	2	1,200	526	415.00	4,150	0.35	111.00	15.00
TC (60%) 4	4	2	1,200	631	520.00	2,080	0.43	111.00	15.00
TOTAL: 100	<u>.</u>	AVERAGE:	980	\$495	\$403	\$40,333	\$0.41	\$91.64	\$15.00
101AL. 100		AVERAGE.	500	Ų 1) J	9403	\$40,555	QU. 11	Q71.04	Q13.00
INCOME 'ot	al Net Re	ntable Sq Ft	98,000		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL GROSS REN		-			\$483,996	\$483,996			
	1								
Secondary Income		Per	Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	12,000	12,000	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mo	nth
Other Support Income	e: (desci	ribe)			0				
POTENTIAL GROSS INC	OME				\$495,996	\$495,996			
Vacancy & Collection	Loss	% of Potentia	l Gross Income:	-7.50%	(37,200)	(37,200)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee or Other No					0	(0.7200)			
= =		I UNITED OI	CONCESSIONS			A450 706			
EFFECTIVE GROSS INC	OME				\$458,796	\$458,796	i)		
<u>EXPENSES</u>		% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT			PER SQ FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
General & Administra	ative	7.34%	\$337	\$0.34	\$33,698	\$24,000	\$0.24	\$240	5.23%
Management		6.95%	319	0.33	31,884	22,940	0.23	229	5.00%
Payroll & Payroll Ta	v	5.21%	239	0.24	23,889	20,000	0.20	200	4.36%
= =									
Repairs & Maintenand	ce	8.62%	395	0.40	39,543	34,200	0.35	342	7.45%
Utilities		6.11%	280	0.29	28,042	51,600	0.53	516	11.25%
Trash		3.92%	180	0.18	18,000	7,200	0.07	72	1.57%
Property Insurance		3.43%	158	0.16	15,753	16,160	0.16	162	3.52%
	2.877639	12.74%		0.60	58,438	47,200	0.48	472	10.29%
			584						
Reserve for Replacem		4.36%	200	0.20	20,000	20,000	0.20	200	4.36%
Other Expenses: Supp	port Ser	9.83%	451	0.46	45,100	45,100	0.46	451	9.83%
TOTAL EXPENSES		68.52%	\$3,143	\$3.21	\$314,347	\$288,400	\$2.94	\$2,884	62.86%
NET OPERATING INC	•	31.48%	\$1,444	\$1.47	\$144,450	\$170,396	\$1.74	\$1,704	37.14%
DEBT SERVICE		31.40%	51,444	\$1.47	Ş111,1JU	\$170,390	Ş1./4	\$1,704	37.14%
					4140 551	A140 FF1			
Bank of America		30.63%	\$1,406	\$1.43	\$140,551	\$140,551	\$1.43	\$1,406	30.63%
Alianza Para El Desarr		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
LIHTC Syndication Prod	ceeds	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0		\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH FLOW	-	0.85%	\$39	\$0.04	\$3,899	\$29,845	\$0.30	\$298	6.51%
AGGREGATE DEBT COVERAG	בר המחדר				1.03	1.21			
						1.21			
ALTERNATIVE DEBT COVER	RAGE RAT	IO			1.10				
CONSTRUCTION COST							_		
Description	Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
				_			_		
Acquisition Cost (si	ite or bid		\$2,452	\$2.50	\$245,185	\$380,000	\$3.88	\$3,800	6.01%
Off-Sites		0.00%	0	0.00	0		0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework		10.24%	6,360	6.49	636,000	636,000	6.49	6,360	10.05%
Direct Construction		56.92%	35,339	36.06	3,533,893	3,405,600	34.75	34,056	53.83%
Contingency	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00	0	3,103,000		0	
						040 406	0.00		0.00%
General Require	5.82%	3.91%	2,425	2.47	242,496	242,496	2.47	2,425	3.83%
Contractor's G a	1.94%	1.30%	808	0.82	80,832	80,832	0.82	808	1.28%
Contractor's Pro	5.82%	3.91%	2,425	2.47	242,496	242,496	2.47	2,425	3.83%
Indirect Constructi		3.06%	1,900	1.94	190,000	190,000	1.94	1,900	3.00%
Ineligible Expenses		0.73%	455	0.46	45,500	45,500	0.46	455	0.72%
Developer's G & A	2.00%	1.64%	1,016	1.04	101,574	116,481	1.19	1,165	1.84%
Developer's Profit		10.63%	6,602	6.74	660,233	757,124	7.73	7,571	11.97%
-	00.								
Interim Financing		2.46%	1,530	1.56	153,000	153,000	1.56	1,530	2.42%
Reserves		1.24%	770	0.79	77,000	77,000	0.79	770	1.22%
TOTAL COST		100.00%	\$62,082	\$63.35	\$6,208,210	\$6,326,528	\$64.56	\$63,265	100.00%
Recap-Hard Construction	on Costs	76.28%	\$47,357	\$48.32	\$4,735,717	\$4,607,424	\$47.01	\$46,074	72.83%
SOURCES OF FUNDS		,0.200	<i>+,55,</i>	7-0132	7-,.33,,1	7-,00,,121		7-0,0/3	, 2.05%
		04 5 **	415 000	415 54	d1 E22 262	41 EO2 060	RECOMMENDED		
Bank of America	~	24.54%	\$15,233	\$15.54	\$1,523,260	\$1,523,260	\$1,491,407		
Alianza Para El Desarr			\$50	\$0.05	5,000	5,000	5,000		
LIHTC Syndication Prod		77.28%	\$47,978	\$48.96	4,797,788	4,797,788	4,684,291		
Deferred Developer Fee	es	0.01%	\$5	\$0.00	480	480	11,015		
Additional (excess) Fu	ınds Rea	-1.91%	(\$1,183)	(\$1.21)	(118,318)	0	0		
TOTAL SOURCES			,,	/	\$6,208,210	\$6,326,528	\$6,191,713		
					, - , 0 , 2 2 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, - , - , - , - 3		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Castner Palms, El Paso, LIHTC #02053

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Multiple Residence Basis

CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost			\$41.05	\$4,023,302
Adjustments			-	
Exterior Wall Finis	h		\$0.00	\$0
Elderly			0.00	0
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(1.96)	(192,080)
Floor Cover			1.82	178,360
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	7952	2.28	223,451
Plumbing	\$585	144	0.86	84,240
Built-In Appliances	\$1,550	100	1.58	155,000
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.41	138,180
Garages/Carports		0	0.00	0
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$56.86	3,000	1.74	170,586
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL			48.79	4,781,040
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		1.95	191,242
Local Multiplier	0.87		(6.34)	(621,535)
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTI	ON COSTS		\$44.40	\$4,350,746
Plans, specs, survy, bl	3.90%		(\$1.73)	(\$169,679
Interim Construction Ir	3.38%		(1.50)	(146,838)
Contractor's OH & Profi	11.50%		(5.11)	(500,336)
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$36.06	\$3,533,893

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$1,523,260	Term	360
Int Rate	8.50%	DCR	1.03
Secondary	\$5,000	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.03

Additional	\$4,797,788	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.03

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$131,321	-
0	_
0	_
\$13,129	

Primary	\$1,491,407	Term	360
Int Rate	8.00%	DCR	1.10
Secondary	\$5,000	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.10
Additional	\$4,797,788	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.10

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.0	0% YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$483,996	\$498,516	\$513,471	\$528,875	\$544,742	\$631,505	\$732,087	\$848,690	\$1,140,568
Secondary Income	12,000	12,360	12,731	13,113	13,506	15,657	18,151	21,042	28,279
Other Support Incom	me: (d ₁ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOM	ME 495,996	510,876	526,202	541,988	558,248	647,162	750,238	869,732	1,168,847
Vacancy & Collection	on Los (37,200)	(38,316)	(39,465)	(40,649)	(41,869)	(48,537)	(56,268)	(65,230)	(87,664)
Employee or Other I	Non-Re: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOM	ME \$458,796	\$472,560	\$486,737	\$501,339	\$516,379	\$598,625	\$693,971	\$804,502	\$1,081,184
EXPENSES at 4.0	0%								
General & Administr	rative \$33,698	\$35,046	\$36,448	\$37,906	\$39,422	\$47,963	\$58,355	\$70,997	\$105,093
Management	31,884	32,840	33,826	34,840	35,886	41,601	48,227	55,909	75,136
Payroll & Payroll '	Tax 23,889	24,844	25,838	26,871	27,946	34,001	41,367	50,330	74,500
Repairs & Maintenar	ace 39,543	41,125	42,770	44,481	46,260	56,283	68,476	83,312	123,322
Utilities	28,042	29,164	30,330	31,543	32,805	39,912	48,559	59,080	87,453
Water, Sewer & Tras	sh 18,000	18,720	19,469	20,248	21,057	25,620	31,170	37,923	56,136
Insurance	15,753	16,383	17,038	17,720	18,428	22,421	27,279	33,188	49,127
Property Tax	58,438	60,776	63,207	65,735	68,364	83,176	101,196	123,120	182,248
Reserve for Replace	ements 20,000	20,800	21,632	22,497	23,397	28,466	34,634	42,137	62,373
Other	45,100	46,904	48,780	50,731	52,761	64,191	78,099	95,019	140,651
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$314,347	\$326,602	\$339,337	\$352,573	\$366,327	\$443,634	\$537,362	\$651,015	\$956,040
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$144,450	\$145,958	\$147,400	\$148,766	\$150,052	\$154,991	\$156,609	\$153,487	\$125,144
DEBT SERVICE	-							-	
First Lien Financing	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321	\$131,321
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$13,129	\$14,637	\$16,079	\$17,445	\$18,731	\$23,670	\$25,288	\$22,166	(\$6,177)
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.10	1.11	1.12	1.13	1.14	1.18	1.19	1.17	0.95

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Castner Palms, El Paso, LIHTC #02053

	APPLICANT'S TOTAL	TDHCA TOTAL	APPLICANT'S REHAB/NEW	TDHCA REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$380,000	\$245,185		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$636,000	\$636,000	\$636,000	\$636,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$3,405,600	\$3,533,893	\$3,405,600	\$3,533,893
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	ts			
Contractor overhead	\$80,832	\$80,832	\$80,832	\$80,832
Contractor profit	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496
General requirements	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496	\$242,496
(5) Contingencies				
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$190,000	\$190,000	\$190,000	\$190,000
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$153,000	\$153,000	\$153,000	\$153,000
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$45,500	\$45,500		
(9) Developer Fees			\$742,564	
Developer overhead	\$116,481	\$101,574		\$101,574
Developer fee	\$757,124	\$660,233		\$660,233
(10) Development Reserves	\$77,000	\$77,000		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$6,326,528	\$6,208,210	\$5,692,988	\$5,840,525

Deduct from Basis:					
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligib	le basis				
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis					
Non-qualified non-recourse financing					
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]				
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)					
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS		\$5,692,988	\$5,840,525		
High Cost Area Adjustment		130%	130%		
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS		\$7,400,884	\$7,592,683		
Applicable Fraction		100%	100%		
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS		\$7,400,884	\$7,592,683		
Applicable Percentage		8.44%	8.44%		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS		\$624,635	\$640,822		

Syndication Proceeds 0.7499 \$4,684,291 \$4,805,687

Actual Gap of Need \$4,684,291
Gap-Driven Allocation \$624,635

TDHCA # 02061

Region 10

Rural Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Development Name: Painted Desert Townhomes, Ltd. TDHCA #: 02061

DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS

Region: 10 LIHTC Primary Set Aside: R
Site Address: 12682 Rio Negro Dr Additional Elderly Set Aside

Site Address: 12682 Rio Negro Dr. Additional Elderly Set Aside

City: Purpose / Activity: NC

Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation Special Needs: 1 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled

OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Painted Desert Townhomes, Ltd.

Principal Names:	Principal Contact:	Percentage Ownership:
Investment Builders, Inc.	Ike J. Monty	100 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %
NA	NA	0 %

TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION

Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: \$160,173 Allocation over 10 Years: \$1,601,730 Credits Requested: \$161,276 Eligible Basis Amount: \$160,173 Equity/Gap Amount: \$169,925

UNIT INFORMATION								BUILDING INFORMATION		
Eff 1BR 2BR 3BR 4BR 5BR					BR 5	BR	Total	Total Development Cost:	\$1,649,596	
30%	0	0			0	0	0	Gross Building Square Feet:	21,528	
40%	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	Total NRA SF:	20,328	
50%	0	0	5	3	0	0	8	Gross/Net Rentable:	1.06	
60%	0	0	8	3	0	0	11	Average Square Feet/Unit:	1,016	
MR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot:	\$81.15	
Total	0	0	14	6	0	0	-	Credits per Low Income Unit	\$8,009	
Total LI Units: 20							20	INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION		
Owner/Employee Units: 0							0	Effective Gross Income:	\$92,685	
Total Project Units: 20						20	Total Expenses:	\$55,133		
Applicable Fraction: 100.00						•	100.00	Net Operating Income:	\$37,552	
Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction attributable to low income units.					he square	foot fraction	on	Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio:	1.25	

DEVELOPMENT TEAMNote: "NA" = Not Yet Available

Developer: Investment Builders, Inc. Market Analyst: Ipser & Assoc.

Housing GC: Investment Builders, Inc. Originator/UW: NA

Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: Zacour & Assoc.

Cost Estimator: Investment Builders, Inc. Attorney: Locke Liddell & Sapp LLP

Architect: McCormick Architecture, LLC Supp Services: YWCA Consumer Credit Counseling

Services

Property Manager: Alpha-Barnes Real Estate Services Accountant: Robert H. Woolley Jr., CPA

Engineer: Conde Engineering, Inc.

Syndicator: MuniMae Midland, LLC Permanent Lender: Munie Mae Midland, LLC

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION

Points Awarded: 121 Site Review: Acceptable Underwriting Finding: AC

Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued)	
Project Name: Painted Desert Townhomes, Ltd.	Project Number: 02061
PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY Note: "O" = Oppose	ed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment
# of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms(r	not from Officials): Support: 3 Opposition: 0
$\ \square$ A resolution was passed by the local government i	n support of the development.
Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction:	Comment from Other Public Official
Local Official: NC	Dolores Briones, County Judge, S
TX Rep.: Manny Najera, Dist. 75 S	
TX Sen.: Eliot Shapleigh, Dist. 29	
US Rep.:	
US Sen.:	
CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT	
Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation verifying the r	
	a limited program of sampling and analysis has been conducted to ts on both soil and shallow groundwater at the site as recommended in
water and waste water lines that can adequately service the prop	aste water service provider clarifying the actual distance to the nearest bosed development. If such lines are not currently available directly at the action of adequate linkages, an estimated cost of such linkages, and an oper. This condition should be met prior to carry over.
Receipt, review, and acceptance of permanent financing commits	ments reflecting a total annual debt service of at least \$30,041.
Alternate Recommendation:	
RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER AN	ID DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON:
	equired Set Aside
•	-
Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date	David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date
RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD A	ND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON:
The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Advisor above reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reason	ory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the n, that reason is identified below:
Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee	Date
☐ BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCRI	PTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable):
Approved Credit Amount: Date	e of Determination:
Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board	Date Control of the c

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02061	LIHTC 9%	✓ LIHTC 4% □						
Project Name:	Painted Desert Townhomes	HOME	\Box HTF \Box						
Project City:	Clint	BOND	□ SECO □						
Housing Con	npliance Review								
Project(s) in	material non-compliance								
	participation								
Status o	Status of Findings (individual compliance status reports and National Previous Participation and Background Certification(s) available)								
Projects Mo	nitored by the Department								
# reviev	ved 20 # not yet monitor	ed or pending review	16						
# of projects	grouped by score 0-9: 19 10	0-19: 1 20-29:	: 0						
Members of	the development team have been disbarred	by HUD							
National Pre	evious Participation Certification Received		N/A						
Non-G	Compliance Reported								
Completed	by Jo En Taylor Con	npleted on 05/10/20)02						
Single Audit									
Status of Fir	ndings (any outstanding single audit issues	are listed below)							
single aud	dit not applicable no outstanding iss	ues outstanding	issues 🗸						
Comments:	Delinquent Single Audits were submitted	5/13/2002 (see next 2 p	pages)						
Completed	by Lucy Trevino Con	npleted on 05/23/20	002						
Program Mo	nitoring								
Status of Fir	ndings (any unresolved issues are listed bel	ow)							
monitor	ing review not applicable	monitoring review pe	ending [
review	review	ved; unresolved issues	found						
Comments:	6								
Completed	by Ralph Hendrickson Con	npleted on 05/01/20)02						

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs monitoring review n reviewed; no unres Comments:	ot applicable	monitoring reviewed; unresolv	review pending
Completed by E. Wei	lbaecher	_ Completed on	06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Completed by		_ Completed on	
Executive Director: Ec	dwina Carrington	Date	e Signed: _ June 10, 200

DATE: May 28, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02061

DEVELOPMENT NAME										
		Doint	ed Desert T		homos					
		Fame	eu Desert I	OWII	nomes					
			APPLICA	NT						
Name:	Painted Desert 7	Townhomes, Ltd.	Ту	ype:	For	Profit	Non-Pro	fit	Municipal	Other
Address:	8800 Yermolan	d Drive, Suite A	C	ity:	El Pas	0			State	TX
Zip:	79907 Conta	Ike J Monty	P	hone:	(915)	599-12	45 I	Fax:	(915)	594-0434
		PRINC	CIPALS of the	APPL	ICANT					
Name:	Investment Buil	ders, Inc.	(%	6):	0.01	Title:	Mai	naging	General	Partner
Name:	MuniMae Midla	and, LLC	(%	6):	99.99	Title:	Lim	nited Pa	artner	
Name:	Ike J Monty		(%	⁄ 6):	N/A	Title:	100	% own	er of GP)
			GENERAL PA	RTNE	R					
Name:	Investment Buil			ype:		Profit	Non-Pro	ofit	Municipal	Other
Address:	8800 Yermolan	d Drive, Suite A		City: El Paso State: TX					: TX	
Zip:	79907 Conta	ict: Ike J Monty	P	hone:	(915)	599-12	45 <u>1</u>	Fax:	(915)	594-0434
		P	ROPERTY LOC	CATIC	ON					
Location:	12682 Rio Neg	ro Drive						QCT	\boxtimes	DDA
City:	Clint		County:	E	l Paso				Zip:	79836
			_						_	
			REQUES	T						
;	Amount	Interest Rate		<u>An</u>	<u>nortizatio</u>	<u>n</u>			<u>Term</u>	
\$	3161,276	N/A			N/A				N/A	
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year alloc	cation of low-	incon	ne housii	ng tax cred	lits			
Proposed Use of Funds:		New construction	Set-Asid	e:	☐ Ge	eneral [R	Rural		Non-Profit
<u> </u>										
			SITE DESCRIF	PTION	I					
Size: 1	.804 acres	78,408	square feet	Zoni	ng/ Perm	itted Uses:	_N	J/A (Co	unty of E	l Paso)
Flood Zon	e Designation:	Zone X	Status of Of	f-Site	s:	Partially Ir	nprov	ed		

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS							
Total # Rental # Common # of Units: 20 Buildings 4 Area Bldngs 1 Floors 2 Age: N/A yrs Vacant: N/A at / /							
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF							
2 2 942							
12 2 2.5 942 6 3 2.5 1,190							
Net Rentable SF: 20,328 Av Un SF: 1,016 Common Area SF: 1,200 Gross Bldng SF 21,528							
Property Type: Multifamily SFR Rental Elderly Mixed Income Special Use							
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFIC ATIONS							
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS STRUCTURAL MATERIALS							
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, 90% stucco exterior wall covering with 10% wood trim, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing							
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES							
Carpeting & VCT/ceramic tile flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, microwave oven, fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer connections, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters, evaporative cooling							
ON-SITE AMENITIES							
Community room, management offices, laundry facilities, kitchen, restrooms, central mailroom, equipped children's play area, perimeter fencing with limited access gate							
Uncovered Parking: 42 spaces Carports: N/A spaces Garages: N/A spaces							
OTHER SOURCES of FUNDS							
INTERIM to PERMANENT FINANCING							
Source: MunieMae Midland, LLC Contact: Daniel J Flick							
Principal Amount: \$322,000 Interest Rate: Lender rate + 40 bps; 120 bps collar; underwritten at 8%							
Additional Information: \$572,337 interim loan for 24 months at WSJ + 1%, minimum 6%							
, ,							
Annual Payment: \$\sum_{\$28,364}\$ Lien Priority: \$\sum_{1}^{st}\$ Commitment Date \$02/\$ 19/ 2002							
LIHTC SYNDICATION							
Source: MunieMae Midland, LLC Contact: Mark R George							
Address: 33 N Garden Ave, Suite 1200 City: Clearwater							
State: FL Zip: 33755 Phone: (727) 461-4801 Fax: (727) 443-6067							
Net Proceeds: \$1,241,698 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 77¢							
Commitment Proposal Firm Conditional Date: 02/ 25/ 2002							
Additional Information:							
APPLICANT EQUITY							
Amount: \$85.898 Source: Deferred developer fee							

VALUATION INFORMATION							
ASSESSED VALUE							
Land: 1.807 acres	\$19,678	Assessment for t	the Year of: 2001				
Building: to be demolished	\$8,843	Valuation by:	El Paso County Appraisal District				
Total Assessed Value:	\$28,521	Tax Rate:	2.769201				

EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL								
Type of Site Control: Earnest money contract								
Contract Expiration Date: 08/	31/ 2002	_ Anticipated Cl	losing Date:	08/	31/	2002		
Acquisition Cost: \$ 100,000	Other Terms/Con	nditions:	\$5K earnest mone	ey; \$1.28	per square	e foot		
Seller: Juan Antonio Casteneda		1	Related to Devel	opment '	Геат Ме	mber:	No	

REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS

No previous reports.

PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION

<u>Description</u>: Painted Desert Townhomes is a proposed new construction development of 20 units of affordable housing located in Clint, El Paso County. The development is comprised of four residential buildings as follows:

- One Building Type A with six two-bedroom units;
- One Building Type B with four two-bedroom units;
- One Building Type C with six three-bedroom units; and
- One Building Type E with two two-story two-bedroom units and two one-story two-bedroom units;

Based on the site plan the townhomes are distributed evenly throughout the site, with the community building located near the entrance to the site. The 1,200 square foot community building plan includes a large community room, kitchen, restroom and laundry as well as a leasing/management office.

It should be noted that the site is in a rather remote location that is characterized by the site inspector as only 30% developed. The inspector noted that the site does not visibly appear to be served by water and sewer. The letter of services provided by Lily Terrazas of the Lower Valley Water District vaguely indicates "that we have both water and waste water service lines in the area." Receipt review and acceptance by carryover of a letter from the water district reflecting the actual distance to the nearest water and waste water lines that can adequately service the proposed development is a condition of this report. If such lines are not currently available at the site, the letter should include an estimated time frame for construction of adequate linkages, an estimated cost of such linkages and an estimate of the portion of the cost that will be borne by the developer of this property.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with several local service agencies to provide the supportive services to tenants. The YWCA Consumer Credit Counseling Service agrees to provide budget and credit counseling; Laubach Literacy Council of El Paso agrees to provide literacy training; Terra del Sol will provide computer training and homebuyer education; and Santa Lucia Community Development Organization will include the youth residing at the subject in its Youth Build Program. Each service will be provided for a period of five years and a fee of \$25 per month, or a total annual fee of \$1,500. However, the Applicant has included an annual cost of \$2,060 for supportive services.

Schedule: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in March of 2003, to be completed in September of 2003, to be placed in service in September of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in November of 2003.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI)

set-aside. All of the units (100% of the total) will be reserved for low-income tenants. One of the units will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, eight of the units (40%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and 11 units (55%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

<u>Special Needs Set-Asides</u>: One unit will be handicapped-accessible and one unit will be equipped for tenants with hearing or visual impairments.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated March 18, 2002 was prepared by Ipser and Associates and highlighted the following findings:

Definition of Market/Submarket: "Data for the El Paso East CCD [County Census Division] along with El Paso County data are presented in this section to identify the characteristics of the subject's surroundings that influence the subject's marketability. Data for the subject's neighborhood, defined as the Town of Clint, is also presented...The primary market area is defined as the City of Clint, with the secondary market area extending across most of the El Paso East CCD." (p. 2-5)

<u>Total Demand for Rental Units</u>: "A major factor in demand for the affordable rental housing in the subject's defined market is the area's population and job growth, along with the aging of some of the existing housing stock." (p. 2-12)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE El Paso East CCD DEMAND SUMMARY								
Type of Demand	Units of Demand	% of Total Demand						
Household Growth	83	21%						
Resident Turnover	270	70%						
Other Sources (10%)	35	9%						
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	388	100%						

Ref: p. 3-4

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "At this time Clint has an insufficient number and percentage of multifamily units, thus, there is no concern regarding concentration of income limited housing." (p. 2-18) The analyst did, however, calculate a capture rate of 6.33% based on demand in the El Paso CCD for 388 units and other units allocated or under construction in the area of 72 units. (p. 3-5) The Underwriter recalculated the capture rate based on the analyst's demand to be 23.7% (20+72/388).

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: "The comparable market data used in this report consists of 238 units in Fabens and Horizon City, including 3 private market, conventional complexes (166 units), one LIHTC property (48 units), and one RD-USDA rental-assisted development (24 units). Additionally, the 23 properties in southeast El Paso contain a total of 2,769 units." (p. 2-21)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)									
Unit Type (% AMI) Proposed Program Max Differential Market Differential									
2-Bedroom (40%)	\$267	\$267	\$0	\$565	-\$298				
2-Bedroom (50%)	\$348	\$348	\$0	\$565	-\$217				
2-Bedroom (60%)	\$430	\$430	\$0	\$565	-\$135				
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$402	\$403	-\$1	\$682	-\$280				
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$497	\$498	-\$1	\$682	-\$185				

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

<u>Submarket Vacancy Rates</u>: "Overall, among a total of 28 locations in Fabens, Horizon City and southeast El Paso, the physical occupancy was 93.7%, and the economic or leased occupancy was 95.6%." (p. 2-22) **Absorption Projections**: "The subject's absorption is estimated at approximately 8 to 10 units per month.

For the Subject's 20 units, about 2 months will be required for initial absorption to reach 92.5% or higher occupancy." (p. 2-25)

Known Planned Development: Seventy-two units are expected in Horizon City and Socorro. (p. 3-4) The Underwriter has identified these units as the 36-unit Western Whirlwind and the 36-unit Mission Pass. These are the only known developments currently under construction in the market analyst-defined market area (El Paso East CCD). Two new construction developments have also applied for tax credits in the current cycle. Both of these developments are located outside the El Paso East CCD and, therefore, should not affect the potential capture rate for the subject.

Effect on Existing Housing Stock: "The construction of the proposed development will have no impact on the market. Relocations are expected from Clint's and the surrounding communities' older housing to the new superior units, particularly those in poor condition." (p. 2-13)

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: The subject lies on the north side of Ranch Road 1110 and the west side of Rio Negro Drive on the outside edge of he incorporated limits of Clint, which places it in the unincorporated community of San Elizario. Clint is located in the southeastern portion of El Paso County, 20 miles southeast of El Paso's downtown.

Population: The El Paso East CCD, with a 2000 population of 104,049, accounted for 15.3% of the county's population, while Clint, with a 2000 population of 980 persons, accounted for only 0.1% of the county's total. Despite the growth in the El Paso East CCD, Clint has been losing population. However, the market analyst believes that with active new development, Clint could turn the losses to growth. Population in the El Paso East CCD is expected to increase to 136,449 and Clint is expected to have a total population of 1,032 by 2005. The final 2000 Census reported 25,953 households in the El Paso East CCD and 308 households in Clint.

Adjacent Land Uses: The surrounding are to the north, west and east contains single family homes and mobile homes scattered over a very low density. To the south is open land.

<u>Site Access</u>: Access to the subject is from Rio Negro Drive, immediately north of FM 1110. FM 1110 is an east-west thoroughfare through Clint and Elizario. Approximately 0.9 miles east, FM 1110 crosses State Highway 20, an old route into downtown El Paso.

Public Transportation: The availability of public transportation is unknown.

Shopping & Services: The subject is located within the Clint Independent School District. The elementary school, junior high, high school and school district administration offices are within 2 miles. El Paso Community College-Mission Del Paso Campus is approximately 11 miles north. The public library is less than 2 miles from the subject site. The area's commercial and institutional development is primarily along Alameda Avenue in Clint, along Socorro Road in San Elizario and some along Ranch Road 1110. There are several convenience stores and small grocery stores in Clint and San Elizario. The closest supermarket is located seven miles north of the site in Socorro. The closest pharmacy is eight miles south in Fabens. A wide variety of health care services are available in El Paso.

<u>Special Adverse Site Characteristics</u>: The title commitment lists several liens against a John A Casteneda and indicates that if this person is one and the same as Juan A Casteneda, the seller of the subject property, the liens listed must be cleared by the closing. Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation verifying the resolution of these issues is a condition of this report. In addition, the access to water and waster water services in this remotely located site are in question and should be re-confirmed.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: TDHCA staff performed a site inspection on April 4, 2002 and found the location to be acceptable for the proposed development. The inspector rated the overall linkage features of the site as fair with proximity to grocery and recreational facilities receiving poor ratings as did visibility and appeal to potential residents. The inspector also noted that this project will be the first new construction in the area in some time. It would appear from the inspector's report that development of the site at this time may be considered pioneering.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated March 18, 2002 was prepared by AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc. and contained the following findings and recommendations:

"During the course of this assessment, no direct evidence was found to indicate that hazardous materials are present in the soil or groundwater at the development site. Our review of data available from regulatory agencies indicates that the development site is not currently subject to any environmental agency activities. Our review of available regulatory data also suggests that adjacent properties are unlikely to have adversely impacted the on-site environment." (EXECUTIVE SUMMARY)

"A building was constructed on the subject site in 1973 and was utilized as a Laundromat for 11 years. For a short period of time, a soil analysis facility occupied the building...Given the history of use of the building and the presence of septic tanks at the site, there is a slight possibility for chemical or biological soil impacts on the subject property. It is recommended that a limited program of sampling and analysis be conducted to confirm the presence or absence of such impacts in both soil and shallow groundwater at the site. There also exists a potential for unidentified subsurface features related to septic tanks and leach fields. These structures can likely be easily managed and properly disposed during preliminary site development/demolition activities.

As with any parcel with history of agricultural land use, a slight potential exists for pesticide, herbicide and fertilizer residuals to be encountered in soils. Although not specifically recommended, baseline sampling would be required to quantify the concentrations of these chemical compounds." (p. 13) Therefore, receipt, review and acceptance of the results of the limited sampling proposed is a condition of this report.

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: The Applicant utilized the 2002 LIHTC rent limits in calculating the developments potential gross rental income. Both the Applicant's secondary income and vacancy assumptions were also inline with the Underwriter' estimates.

Expenses: The Applicant's total operating expense figure is \$5K, or 9%, less than the Underwriter's TDHCA database-derived estimate. Several of the Applicant's line-item expenses also differed by more than 5% or \$1,500 as compared to the Underwriter's line-items, including: property insurance (\$4K higher), and property tax (\$3K higher). In addition, the annual fee reflected in supportive services contracts totals only \$1,500, yet the Applicant included a cost of \$2,060. The underwriting analysis only includes only the \$1,500 total fee.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Overall, the Applicant's net operating income (NOI) is not within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate and, therefore, the Underwriter's NOI is used to determine the development's ability to service debt. The Underwriter's proforma and the proposed financing structure result in a debt coverage ratio (DCR) that exceeds the Department's maximum DCR guideline of 1.25. In order to limit the development's DCR to a maximum of 1.25, the development's annual debt service must be at least \$30,041.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

<u>Land Value</u>: The acquisition price is assumed be reasonable since the acquisition is an arm's-length transaction.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$4,650 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is just over 5% lower than the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant's general requirements, contractor's general and administrative fees, and contractor's profit exceed the 6%, 2%, and 6% maximums allowed by LIHTC guidelines based on their own construction costs. In addition, their contingency cost exceeds the 5% maximum guideline for new construction developments. Consequently the Applicant's eligible costs in these areas have been reduced with the overage, \$8,310, effectively moved to ineligible costs.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the underwriter's estimate; therefore, the Applicant's estimate, adjusted for overstated contractor fees and contingency cost, will be used to determine the development's eligible basis and total funding need.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with three types of financing: a conventional interim to permanent loan, syndicated LIHTC equity, and deferred developer's fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through MunieMae Midland, LLC in the amount of \$572,337 during the interim period and \$322,000 at conversion to permanent. The commitment letter indicated a term of 24 months for the construction portion and 15 years for the permanent. The permanent loan will be amortized over 30 years at a fixed interest rate calculated by adding 40 basis points to the lender's index rate. The lender's current underwriting rate of 8.0% is used in this analysis.

LIHTC Syndication: MunieMae Midland, LLC has also offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$1,241,698 based on a syndication factor of 77%. The funds would be disbursed in a three-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 70% upon admission to the partnership, closing of the construction loan and development land acquisition;
- 2. 20% upon completion of the development and receipt of cost and credit certifications; and
- 3. 10% upon closing of the permanent loan, receipt of Form 8609, 90% physical occupancy for three consecutive months, and 1.15 debt service coverage for ninety days.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$85,898 amount to 47% of the total proposed fees.

<u>Financing Conclusions</u>: As stated above, the Applicant's total development cost estimate, adjusted for overstated contractor fees and contingency cost, was used to calculate the developments eligible basis. The adjusted basis resulted in a recommended annual tax credit allocation of \$160,173, which is \$1,103 less than requested. In addition to an overstated basis estimate, the Applicant utilized an overstated applicable percentage of 8.45% rather than the current underwriting rate of 8.44%.

The Underwriter's proforma and the proposed financing structure result in a debt coverage ratio (DCR) that exceeds the Department's maximum DCR guideline of 1.25. In order to limit the development's DCR to a maximum of 1.25, the development's annual debt service must be at least \$30,041. The proposed permanent financing terms and the minimum annual debt service result in a permanent loan of \$341,175, which is \$19,175 more than proposed. This difference reduces deferred developer fees to a total of \$75,213, which appear to be repayable from cashflow within 10 years of stabilized operation.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The submitted architectural plans indicate attractive stucco exterior for both the residential and community buildings. The unit floorplans offer washer/dryer closets and adequate storage.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The Applicant, developer, general contractor and cost estimator are related entities. These are common identities of interest for LIHTC-funded developments.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant is a single-purpose entity to be formed for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and, therefore, has no material financial statements.
- The General Partner, Investment Builders, Inc., submitted a financial statement as of September 30, 2001 reporting total assets of \$7.9M consisting of cash, receivables, prepaid expenses, property and equipment, partnership interests, investments in developments, and deferred developer fees. Liabilities totaled \$7.1M, resulting in stockholders' equity of \$793K.
- Ike J Monty, 100% owner of the General Partner, also submitted a personal financial statement.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant is a new entity formed for the sole purpose of developing the project.
- The General Partner has completed 28 affordable housing developments since 1996. The majority of the developments are located in El Paso and funded with LIHTC proceeds. At least five of the developments on the previous participation list included disclosures of some form of non-compliance that may or may

not have been corrected. Therefore this report, as all underwriting analysis reports, is subject to a review of the developer's compliance scores for previous developments to determine eligibility for this application cycle.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES

- The Applicant's estimated operating expenses and operating proforma are more than 5% outside of the Underwriter's verifiable ranges.
- The development could potentially achieve an excessive profit level (i.e., a DCR above 1.25) if the maximum tax credit rents can be achieved in this market.
- The significant financing structure changes being proposed have not been reviewed/accepted by the Applicant, lenders, and syndicators, and acceptable alternative structures may exist.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$160,173 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Receipt, review, and acceptance of documentation verifying the resolution of issues listed in title commitment;
- 2. Receipt, review and acceptance of documentation verifying that a limited program of sampling and analysis has been conducted to confirm the presence or absence of chemical or biological impacts on both soil and shallow groundwater at the site as recommended in ESA I;
- 3. Receipt, review and acceptance of a letter from the water and waste water service provider clarifying the actual distance to the nearest water and waste water lines that can adequately service the proposed development. If such lines are not currently available directly at the site, the letter should include an estimated time frame for construction of adequate linkages, an estimated cost of such linkages, and an estimate of the portion of the cost that will be borne by the developer. This condition should be met prior to carry over; and
- 4. Receipt, review and acceptance of permanent financing commitments reflecting a total annual debt service of at least \$30,041.

Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Lisa Vecchietti	Date:	May 28, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	May 28, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Painted Desert Townhomes, Clint, LIHTC 02061

Type of Unit Number Bedrooms No. of Baths Size in SF Gross Rent Lmt. Net Rent per Unit Rent per Month Rent per SF

TC 40%	1	2	2	942	\$327	\$267	\$267	\$0.28	\$60.00	\$28.00
TC 50%	1	2	2	942	408	348	348	0.37	60.00	28.00
TC 50%	4	2	2.5	942	408	348	1,392	0.37	60.00	28.00
TC 60%	8	2	2.5	942	490	430	3,440	0.46	60.00	28.00
TC 50%	3	3	2.5	1,190	471	403	1,209	0.34	68.00	32.00
TC 60%	3	3	2.5	1,190	566	498	1,494	0.42	68.00	32.00
									-	
TOTAL:	20		AVERAGE:	1,016	\$470	\$408	\$8,150	\$0.40	\$62.40	\$29.20
		_							•	·
INCOME			entable Sq Ft	20,328		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
POTENTIAL		EN.I.				\$97,800	\$97,728	\$10.00		
	Secondary Income Per Unit Per Month: \$10.00 2,400 2,400 Other Support Income: (describe) 0 0								Per Unit Per Mo	nth
			ribe)			0	0 \$100,128			
	POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME Vacancy & Collection Loss % of Potential Gross Income:					\$100,200 (7,515)	(7,512)	T 500		
							(7,512)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
Employee or Other Non-Rental Units or Concessions 0 EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME \$92,685 \$92,										
EXPENSES	GROSS I.	INCOME	A OR PGT		DDD 60 DD	\$92,000	\$92,616	DDD 00 DD	DDD 1911	A 00 007
	& Administ	trativo	<u>% OF EGI</u> 5.08%	PER UNIT \$235	PER SQ FT \$0.23	\$4,705	\$4,560	PER SQ FT \$0.22	PER UNIT \$228	% OF EGI 4.92%
		LIALIVE		232		4,634	4,620			
Manageme	ent & Payroll	Tav	5.00% 7.55%	232 350	0.23	7,000	6,980	0.23 0.34	231 349	4.99% 7.54%
=	& Payroll & Maintena		7.55₹ 8.49%	350	0.34	7,865	8,550	0.42	349 428	7.54% 9.23%
Utilitie		211CC	8.49% 4.12%	393 191	0.39	3,819	4,020	0.42	428 201	9.23% 4.34%
		raah				7,008	5,630			
	ewer, & Ti		7.56%	350	0.34	3,252	7,030	0.28	282	6.08%
	Insurance	a.293017	3.51%	163	0.16 0.49	9,879	11,080	0.35 0.55	352	7.59% 11.96%
Property	for Replac		10.66% 4.32%	494 200	0.49	4,000	4,000	0.20	554 200	4.32%
	Serv/Comp		3.20%	149	0.15	2,970	3,530	0.17	177	3.81%
	=	Security								
TOTAL EXP			59.48%	\$2,757	\$2.71	\$55,133	\$60,000	\$2.95	\$3,000	64.78%
NET OPERA			40.52%	\$1,878	\$1.85	\$37,552	\$32,616	\$1.60	\$1,631	35.22%
DEBT SERV			30.59%	\$1,418	\$1.39	\$28,353	\$28,364	\$1.40	\$1,418	30.63%
Additional		7	0.00%	\$1,410	\$0.00	0	920,304	\$0.00	\$1,410	0.00%
Additional		-	0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH	-	-	9.93%	\$460	\$0.45	\$9,199	\$4,252	\$0.21	\$213	4.59%
AGGREGATE	DEBT COVER	RAGE RATIO				1.32	1.15			
ALTERNATIV						1.25				
CONSTRUCT						1.25	•			
Descri		Factor	% of TOTAL	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% of TOTAL
Acquisiti	_			\$5,000	\$4.92	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$4.92	\$5,000	6.06%
Off-Sites		(Site Of Di	0.00%	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00%
Sitework	,		5.51%	4,650	4.57	93,000	93,000	4.57	4,650	5.64%
Direct Co	natruati	on	50.16%	42,360	41.68	847,206	803,110	39.51	40,156	48.69%
Conting		5.00%	2.78%	2,350	2.31	47,010	51,668	2.54	2,583	3.13%
-	gency Require		2.78% 3.22%	2,351	2.31	54,387	54,387	2.68	2,583	3.13%
	tor's G						1			
			1.07%	906	0.89	18,129	18,129	0.89	906	1.10%
	tor's Pr		3.22%	2,719	2.68	54,387	54,387	2.68	2,719	3.30%
Indirect			6.30%	5,318	5.23	106,350	106,350	5.23	5,318	6.45%
Ineligibl	_		3.64%	3,073	3.02	61,452	61,452	3.02	3,073	3.73%
Developer			3.61%	3,052	3.00	61,038	61,038	3.00	3,052	3.70%
Developer			7.23%	6,104	6.01	122,075	122,075	6.01	6,104	7.40%
Interim F	unancing		6.16%	5,200	5.12	104,000	104,000	5.12	5,200	6.30%
Reserves			1.18%	1,000	0.98	20,000	20,000	0.98	1,000	1.21%
TOTAL COS			100.00%	\$84,452	\$83.09	\$1,689,034	\$1,649,596	\$81.15	\$82,480	100.00%
Recap-Hard		tion Costs	65.96%	\$55,706	\$54.81	\$1,114,119	\$1,074,681	\$52.87	\$53,734	65.15%
SOURCES O						4200 000	4202 222	RECOMMENDED		
First Lien		~	19.06%	\$16,100	\$15.84	\$322,000	\$322,000	\$341,175		
Additional LIHTC Synd			0.00% 73.52%	\$0 \$62,085	\$0.00 \$61.08	1,241,698	0 1,241,698	1,233,209		
Deferred D			73.52% 5.09%	\$4,295	\$4.23	85,898	85,898	75,213		
Additional				\$1,972	\$4.23	39,438	05,638	75,213		
TOTAL SOU		r unus req	2.33%	Ų±,3/Δ	V1.74		\$1,649,596	\$1,649,596		
_01111 000						72,000,001	7 - 10 - 27 1 3 2 0	72/010/000		

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Painted Desert Townhomes, Clint, LIHTC 02061

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Townhome Residence Basis

Average	Quality	TOWITTORIE ICC	saluence basi	
CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT
Base Cost			\$46.41	\$943,457
Adjustments				
Exterior Wall Finis	h		\$0.00	\$0
Elderly			0.00	0
Roofing			0.00	0
Subfloor			(2.23)	(45,331
Floor Cover			2.43	49,397
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	670	0.93	18,827
Plumbing	\$675	36	1.20	24,300
Built-In Appliances	\$2,000	20	1.97	40,000
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0
Floor Insulation			0.00	0
Heating/Cooling			1.83	37,200
Garages/Carports			0.00	0
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$65.29	1,200	3.85	78,343
Other:			0.00	0
SUBTOTAL			56.38	1,146,193
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		2.26	45,848
Local Multiplier	0.87		(7.33)	(149,005
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTI	ON COSTS		\$51.31	\$1,043,035
Plans, specs, survy, bl	3.90%		(\$2.00)	(\$40,678
Interim Construction Ir	3.38%		(1.73)	(35,202
Contractor's OH & Profi	11.50%		(5.90)	(119,949
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$41.68	\$847.206

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$322,000	Term	360		
Int Rate	8.00% DCR		Rate 8.00%		1.32
Secondary	\$0	Term			
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.32		

Additional	\$1,241,698	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$30,041
0
0
\$7,511

Primary	\$341,175	Term	360
Int Rate	8.00%	DCR	1.25
Secondary	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.25
Additional	\$1,241,698	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.25
·	·		•

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$97,800	\$100,734	\$103,756	\$106,869	\$110,075	\$127,607	\$147,931	\$171,493	\$230,472
Secondary Income	2,400	2,472	2,546	2,623	2,701	3,131	3,630	4,208	5,656
Other Support Income: (de	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	100,200	103,206	106,302	109,491	112,776	130,738	151,561	175,701	236,128
Vacancy & Collection Los	(7,515)	(7,740)	(7,973)	(8,212)	(8,458)	(9,805)	(11,367)	(13,178)	(17,710)
Employee or Other Non-Re	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$92,685	\$95,466	\$98,330	\$101,279	\$104,318	\$120,933	\$140,194	\$162,524	\$218,418
EXPENSES at 4.00%									
General & Administrative	\$4,705	\$4,893	\$5,089	\$5,293	\$5,504	\$6,697	\$8,148	\$9,913	\$14,674
Management	4,634	4,773	4,916	5,064	5,216	6,047	7,010	8,126	10,921
Payroll & Payroll Tax	7,000	7,280	7,571	7,874	8,189	9,963	12,122	14,748	21,831
Repairs & Maintenance	7,865	8,180	8,507	8,848	9,201	11,195	13,620	16,571	24,529
Utilities	3,819	3,972	4,131	4,296	4,468	5,435	6,613	8,046	11,910
Water, Sewer & Trash	7,008	7,288	7,580	7,883	8,198	9,975	12,136	14,765	21,856
Insurance	3,252	3,383	3,518	3,659	3,805	4,629	5,632	6,852	10,143
Property Tax	9,879	10,274	10,685	11,113	11,557	14,061	17,107	20,814	30,809
Reserve for Replacements	4,000	4,160	4,326	4,499	4,679	5,693	6,927	8,427	12,475
Other	2,970	3,089	3,212	3,341	3,474	4,227	5,143	6,257	9,262
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$55,133	\$57,292	\$59,536	\$61,868	\$64,293	\$77,922	\$94,458	\$114,520	\$168,410
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$37,552	\$38,173	\$38,793	\$39,411	\$40,025	\$43,010	\$45,737	\$48,004	\$50,009
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041	\$30,041
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$7,511	\$8,132	\$8,752	\$9,370	\$9,984	\$12,969	\$15,696	\$17,963	\$19,968
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.25	1.27	1.29	1.31	1.33	1.43	1.52	1.60	1.66

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Painted Desert Townhomes, Clint, LIHTC 02

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA
ga maganya	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS
(1) Acquisition Cost				
Purchase of land	\$100,000	\$100,000		
Purchase of buildings				
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost				
On-site work	\$93,000	\$93,000	\$93,000	\$93,000
Off-site improvements				
(3) Construction Hard Costs				
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$803,110	\$847,206	\$803,110	\$847,206
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirement	s			
Contractor overhead	\$18,129	\$18,129	\$17,922	\$18,129
Contractor profit	\$54,387	\$54,387	\$53,767	\$54,387
General requirements	\$54,387	\$54,387	\$53,767	\$54,387
(5) Contingencies	\$51,668	\$47,010	\$44,806	\$47,010
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$106,350	\$106,350	\$106,350	\$106,350
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$104,000	\$104,000	\$104,000	\$104,000
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$61,452	\$61,452		
(9) Developer Fees				
Developer overhead	\$61,038	\$61,038	\$61,038	\$61,038
Developer fee	\$122,075	\$122,075	\$122,075	\$122,075
(10) Development Reserves	\$20,000	\$20,000		
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$1,649,596	\$1,689,034	\$1,459,834	\$1,507,582

Deduct from Basis:		
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis		
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis		
Non-qualified non-recourse financing		
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]		
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)		
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$1,459,834	\$1,507,582
High Cost Area Adjustment	130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$1,897,784	\$1,959,856
Applicable Fraction	100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$1,897,784	\$1,959,856
Applicable Percentage	8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	\$160,173	\$165,412

0.7699

\$1,233,209

\$1,273,544

Syndication Proceeds

TDHCA # 02067

Region 10

General Set-Aside



LOW INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2002 DEVELOPMENT PROFILE AND BOARD SUMMARY FOR RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS TDHCA#: Development Name: Meadowbrook Townhomes, Ltd. 02067 **DEVELOPMENT LOCATION AND DESIGNATIONS** LIHTC Primary Set Aside: G 10 Region: Additional Elderly Set Aside Site Address: 11520 Vista Del Sol Dr. Purpose / Activity: NC City: El Paso **Development Type:** Family County: El Paso 79936 Zip Code: **V** TTC **~** DDA □ QCT Set Asides: AR=At Risk, NP=Nonprofit, G=General, R=Rural Special Needs: 2 Units for Handicapped/Developmentally Disabled Purposes: N=New Construction, A=Acquisition, R=Rehabilitation OWNER AND PRINCIPAL INFORMATION Owner Entity Name: Meadowbrook Townhomes, Ltd. **Principal Names: Principal Contact:** Percentage Ownership: Investment Builders, Inc. Ike J. Monty 100 % NA NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA 0 % NA NA 0 % NA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION INFORMATION Annual Credit Allocation Recommendation: Allocation over 10 Years: \$235,505 \$2,355,050 Credits Requested: \$239,536 Eligible Basis Amount: \$235,505 Equity/Gap Amount: \$247,409 **UNIT INFORMATION BUILDING INFORMATION Total Development Cost:** \$2,407,799 1 BR 2 BR 3 BR 4 BR 5 BR Total **Gross Building Square Feet:** 32.125 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 30% Total NRA SF: 31,325 40% 0 0 0 3 5 0 8 1.03 5 Gross/Net Rentable: 0 0 2 3 0 50% 0 1.253 Average Square Feet/Unit: 12 60% 0 0 0 5 7 0 Cost Per Net Rentable Square Foot: \$76.87 MR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Credits per Low Income Unit \$9,420 Total 0 0 0 10 15 0 Total LI Units: 25 **INCOME AND EXPENSE INFORMATION** Owner/Employee Units: 0 \$126,296 Effective Gross Income: **Total Project Units:** 25 \$73,619 **Total Expenses:** 100.00 Applicable Fraction: \$52,677 Net Operating Income: Applicable fraction is the lesser of the unit fraction or the square foot fraction Estimated 1st Year Debt Coverage Ratio: 1.19 attributable to low income units Note: "NA" = Not Yet Available **DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

Developer: Investment Builders, Inc. Market Analyst: Prior & Assoc.

Housing GC: Investment Builders, Inc. Originator/UW: NA

Infrastructure GC: NA Appraiser: Zacour & Assoc.

Cost Estimator: Investment Builders, Inc. Attorney: Locke Liddell & Sapp LLP

Architect: McCormick Architecture, LLC Supp Services: YWCA Consumer Credit Counseling

Services

Property Manager: Alpha-Barnes Real Estate Services Accountant: Robert H. Woolley Jr., CPA

Engineer: Conde Engineering, Inc.

Syndicator: MuniMae Midland, LLC Permanent Lender: MuniMae Midland

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION

Points Awarded: 133 Site Review: Acceptable Underwriting Finding: AC

 $\label{thm:conditions} \mbox{Underwriting Findings: A=Acceptable, AC=Acceptable with Conditions, NR=Not Recommended and Conditions are also as a condition of the conditions of the condition of the conditions of the conditions$

2002 Development Profile and Board Summary (Continued)	
Project Name: Meadowbrook Townhomes, Ltd.	Project Number: 02067
PUBLIC COMMENT SUMMARY Note: "O" = Oppo	sed, "S" = Support, "NC" or Blank = No comment
# of Letters, Petitions, or Witness Affirmation Forms	(not from Officials): Support: 1 Opposition: 0
\square A resolution was passed by the local government	t in support of the development.
Local/State/Federal Officials w/ Jurisdiction:	Comment from Other Public Official
Local Official: Ramond C. Caballero, Mayor,	S
TX Rep.: Joseph C. Pickett, Dist. 79	S
TX Sen.: Eliot Shapleigh, Dist. 29	
US Rep.:	
US Sen.:	
CONDITIONS TO COMMITMENT	
Alternate December 1-there	
Alternate Recommendation:	
RECOMMENDATION BY PROGRAM MANAGER A	ND DIRECTOR OF HOUSING PROGRAMS IS BASED ON:
✓ Score	Required Set Aside
☐ To serve a greater number of lower income families for a lo☐ To ensure the Development's consistency with local needs☐ To ensure the allocation of credits among as many different Comment: This development was one of the highest scoring of	or its impact as part of a revitalization or preservation plan entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is built
Brooke Boston, Acting LIHTC Co-Manager Date	David Burrell, Director of Housing Programs Date
RECOMMENDATION BY THE EXECUTIVE AWARD	AND REVIEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS BASED ON:
The recommendation by the Executive Award and Review Adviabove reasons. If a decision was based on any additional reasons.	isory Committee for the 2002 LIHTC applications is also based on the on, that reason is identified below:
Edwina Carrington, Executive Director Chairman of Executive Award and Review Advisory Committee	
LI BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL AND DESCI	RIPTION OF DISCRETIONARY FACTORS (if applicable):
Approved Credit Amount:	ate of Determination:

Date

Michael E. Jones, Chairman of the Board

Compliance Status Summary

Project ID #:	02067		LII	HTC 9% ⊻	LIHTC 4% \square
Project Name:	Meadowbrook Tow	nhomes		HOME \square	HTF \Box
Project City:	El Paso			BOND \square	SECO \square
Harring Com					
	pliance Review				
3	material non-compliance				
No previous	participation				
Status of	f Findings (individual com Participation and Back				ıs
Projects Mon	nitored by the Department				
# review	ved	# not yet monitored	l or pendin	g review 1	6
# of projects	grouped by score	0-9: 19 10-1	19: 1	20-29: ()
Members of	the development team have	ve been disbarred by	y HUD		
National Pre	vious Participation Certifi	cation Received		N	/A
Non-G	Compliance Reported			-	
Completed	by Jo En Taylor	Comp	oleted on	04/29/2002	
Single Audit					
Status of Fin	dings (any outstanding si	ngle audit issues ar	e listed be	low)	
single aud	lit not applicable 🗌 n	o outstanding issue	es 🗌 ou	ıtstanding issu	ies 🗸
Comments:	Delinquent Singe Audits	were submitted 5-1	3-2002 (se	ee next 2 page	es)
Completed	by Lucy Trevino	Comp	oleted on	05/23/2002	
Program Mon	nitoring				
Status of Fin	dings (any unresolved iss	sues are listed below	w)		
monitori	ing review not applicable	n	nonitoring	review pendi	ng
review	ed; no unresolved issues	✓ reviewed	d; unresolv	ved issues four	nd 🗌
Comments:					
Completed	Ralph Hendrickson	Сотр	oleted on	05/01/2002	

Community Affairs	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable 🗸	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Housing Programs monitoring review n reviewed; no unres Comments:	ot applicable	monitoring reviewed; unresolv	review pending
Completed by E. Wei	lbaecher	_ Completed on	06/06/2002
Multifamily Finance	Status of Findings	(any unresolved issue	es are listed below)
monitoring review n	ot applicable	monitoring	review pending□
reviewed; no unres	solved issues	reviewed; unresolv	ved issues found
Comments:			
Completed by		Completed on	
Completed by		_ Completed on	
Executive Director: Ec	dwina Carrington	Date	e Signed: _ June 10, 200

DATE: May 28, 2002 PROGRAM: 9% LIHTC FILE NUMBER: 02067

		D	EVELOPMI	ENT NA	ME					
		Mead	lowbrook	Town	homes					
		Wicad	<u>IOW DI OOK</u>	TOWL	momes					
			APPLIC	CANT						
Name:	Meadowbrook 7	Townhomes, Ltd		Type:	For	Profit	Non-Profi	t	Municipal	Other
Address:	8800 Yermolan	d Drive, Suite A		City: El Paso State:			TX			
Zip:	79907 Conta	Ike J Monty		Phone	(915)	599-12	245 F	ax:	(915)	594-0434
		PRINC	CIPALS of t	ne APP	LICANT					
Name:	Investment Buil	ders, Inc.		(%):	0.01	Title:	Man	aging	General	Partner
Name:	MuniMae Midla	and, LLC		(%):	99.99	Title:	Limi	ted Pa	artner	
Name:	Ike J Monty			(%):	N/A	Title:	1009	6 owr	er of GP	
			GENERAL	PARTNE	:R					
Name:	Investment Buil			Type:		Profit	Non-Profi	t	Municipal	Other
Address:	8800 Yermolan	d Drive, Suite A		City:	El Pas	o			State	TX
Zip:	79907 Conta	nct: Ike J Monty		Phone	(915)	599-12	245 F	ax:	(915)	594-0434
		P	ROPERTY L	OCATIO	ON					
Location:	11520 Vista De	el Sol Drive						QCT	\boxtimes	DDA
City:	El Paso		County:	Е	l Paso				Zip:	79936
			_						-	
			REQL	EST						
	Amount	Interest Rate		<u>A</u> 1	nortizatio	<u>)n</u>			<u>Term</u>	
\$	6239,536	N/A			N/A				N/A	
Other Req	uested Terms:	Annual ten-year alloc	cation of lo	w-incoi	ne housii	ng tax cree	dits			
Proposed	Use of Funds:	New construction	Set-A	side:	⊠ Ge	eneral [Ru	ıral		Non-Profit
			SITE DESC	RIPTIO	V					
Size: 1	.67* acres	72,745	square feet	Zon	ing/ Perm	nitted Uses	: <u>C</u> 1	/Com	mercial D	istrict**
Flood Zon	e Designation:	Zone C	_ Status of	Off-Site	es:	Raw Land				

^{*} Currently land locked; Davis Street Corporation (identity of interest seller) is responsible for construction of ROW

^{**} Allows multifamily developments, not to exceed 29 units per acre

DESCRIPTION of IMPROVEMENTS			
Total # Rental # Common # of Units: 25 Buildings 6 Area Bldngs 0 Floors 2 Age: N/A yrs Vacant: N/A at / /			
Number Bedrooms Bathroom Size in SF			
8 3 2.5 1,190			
2 3 2 1,190 15 4 3.5 1,295			
Net Rentable SF: 31,325 Av Un SF: 1,253 Common Area SF: 800 Gross Bldng SF 32,125			
Property Type: Multifamily SFR Rental Elderly Mixed Income Special Use			
CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS			
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS			
Wood frame on a post-tensioned concrete slab on grade, 90% stucco exterior wall covering with 10% wood trim, drywall interior wall surfaces, composite shingle roofing			
APPLIANCES AND INTERIOR FEATURES			
Carpeting & VCT/ceramic tile flooring, range & oven, hood & fan, garbage disposal, dishwasher, refrigerator, microwave oven, fiberglass tub/shower, washer & dryer connections, laminated counter tops, individual water heaters, evaporative cooling			
ON-SITE AMENITIES			
Community room, management office, laundry facilities, kitchen, restrooms, equipped children's play area, perimeter fencing with limited access gate			
Uncovered Parking: 52 spaces Carports: N/A spaces Garages: N/A spaces			
OTHER SOURCES of FUNDS			
LONG TERM/PERMANENT FINANCING			
Source: MuniMae Midland Contact: Daniel J Flick			
Principal Amount: \$503,000 Interest Rate: Bank Index + 40 bps; 125 bps spread; 8% underwriting rate			
Additional Information: \$874,953 interim loan for 24 mo @ WSJ Prime + 1%, minimum of 6%			
Amortization: 30 yrs Term: 15 yrs Commitment: None Firm Conditional			
Annual Payment: \$44,605 Lien Priority: 1st Commitment Date 02/ 20/ 2002			
LIHTC SYNDICATION			
Source: MuniMae Midland, LLC Contact: Mark R George			
Address: 33 N Garden Avenue, Suite 1200 City: Clearwater			
State: FL Zip: 33755 Phone: (727) 461-4807 Fax: (727) 443-6067			
Net Proceeds: \$1,844,244 Net Syndication Rate (per \$1.00 of 10-yr LIHTC) 77¢			
Commitment None Firm Conditional Date: 02/ 25/ 2002			
Additional Information:			
ADDITIONAL FOLLOW			
APPLICANT EQUITY			

VALUATION INFORMATION						
APPRAISED VALUE						
Land Only:		\$189,000	D	ate of Valuation:	03/	01/ 2002
Appraiser: Zacour & Ass	ociates, Inc.	City:	El Paso	Phone:	(915)	581-1141
		AS	SSESSED VALUE			
Land: 16.205 acres	\$1,572,331		Assessment for	r the Year of:	2001	
Prorota 1 acre:	\$97,028		Valuation by:	El Paso County	y Apprais	al District
Prorated Value: 1.67 acres	\$162,036		Tax Rate:	2.953003		

	EVIDENCE of SITE or PROPERTY CONTROL										
Type of Si	te Control:	Earnest	money	contract							
Contract 1	Expiration Date	:	08/	31/	2002	Anticipated	Closing Date:	08/	31/	2002	
Acquisitio	on Cost: \$	174,2	40	Other T	Cerms/Con	ditions:	\$100 earnest mo	ney			
Seller:	Davis Street Cor	rporatio	n*				Related to Deve	elopment	Team Me	ember:	Yes

REVIEW of PREVIOUS UNDERWRITING REPORTS

No previous reports.

PROPOSAL and DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESCRIPTION

Description: Meadowbrook is a proposed new construction development of 25 units of affordable housing located in east El Paso. The development is comprised of six rows of three- to four-bedroom townhomes. Based on the site plan the townhomes are distributed evenly throughout the site, with the office attached to a residential building and located near the entrance. The 800 square foot community building plan includes a large community room, kitchen, restroom and laundry as well as a leasing/management office.

<u>Supportive Services</u>: The Applicant has contracted with several local service agencies to provide the supportive services to tenants. The YWCA Consumer Credit Counseling Service agrees to provide budget and credit counseling; Laubach Literacy Council of El Paso agrees to provide literacy training; Tierra del Sol will provide computer training and homebuyer education; and Santa Lucia Community Development Organization will include the youth residing at the subject in its Youth Build Program. Each service will be provided for a period of five years and a fee of \$25 per month, or a total annual fee of \$1,500. However, the Applicant has included an annual cost of \$2,060 for supportive services.

<u>Schedule</u>: The Applicant anticipates construction to begin in March of 2003, to be completed in September of 2003, to be placed in service in September of 2003, and to be substantially leased-up in November of 2003.

POPULATIONS TARGETED

Income Set-Aside: The Applicant has elected the 40% at 60% or less of area median gross income (AMGI) set-aside. All of the units (100% of the total) will be reserved for low-income tenants. Eight of the units (32%) will be reserved for households earning 40% or less of AMGI, five of the units (20%) will be reserved for households earning 50% or less of AMGI, and 12 units (48%) will be reserved for households earning 60% or less of AMGI.

Special Needs Set-Asides: Two units will be handicapped-accessible and one unit will be equipped for tenants with hearing or visual impairments.

<u>Compliance Period Extension</u>: The Applicant has elected to extend the compliance period an additional 25 years.

^{*} Ike J Monty is President of Davis Street Corporation and Investment Builders, Inc.

MARKET HIGHLIGHTS

A market feasibility study dated February 15, 2002 was prepared by Prior & Associates and highlighted the following findings:

<u>Definition of Market/Submarket</u>: "The subject is located near the boundary of the city's eastern section, which is recognized by the El Paso Apartment Association as the east submarket. For this reason, the subject's market area includes the eastern section of the city. The market area...approximate boundaries are, North: Fort Bliss and El Paso International Airport, South: Interstate 10, East: Joe Battle Boulevard (El Paso City Limits), and West: US Highway 62." (p. IV-1)

ANNUAL INCOME-ELIGIBLE SUBMARKET DEMAND SUMMARY						
	Market	Analyst	Underwriter			
Type of Demand	Units of	% of Total	Units of	% of Total		
	Demand	Demand	Demand	Demand		
Household Growth	94	2%	74	1.5%		
Resident Turnover	4,892	98%	4,986	98.5%		
TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND	4,986	100%	5,060	100%		

Ref: p. IX-2

<u>Capture Rate</u>: "...the subject would have to attract 0.5% of the primary market area's tenants in its proposed price range to attain full occupancy." (p. IX-1) The Underwriter calculated a concentration capture rate of 5% based upon a revised supply of unstabilized comparable affordable units including the subject of 159 divided by a revised demand of 5,060.

<u>Market Rent Comparables</u>: The market analyst surveyed nine comparable apartment projects and five single-family homes. "All of the surveyed four-bedroom units are occupied single-family home rentals." (p. VII-7)

RENT ANALYSIS (net tenant-paid rents)								
Unit Type (% AMI)	Proposed	Program Max	Differential	Market	Differential			
3-Bedroom (40%)	\$308	\$308	\$0	\$698	-\$390			
3-Bedroom (50%)	\$402	\$402	\$0	\$698	-\$296			
3-Bedroom (60%)	\$497	\$497	\$0	\$698	-\$201			
4-Bedroom (40%)	\$342	\$342	\$0	\$805	-\$463			
4-Bedroom (50%)	\$447	\$447	\$0	\$805	-\$358			
4-Bedroom (60%)	\$552	\$552	\$0	\$805	-\$253			

(NOTE: Differentials are amount of difference between proposed rents and program limits and average market rents, e.g., proposed rent =\$500, program max =\$600, differential = -\$100)

Submarket Vacancy Rates: "The average vacancy rate in the city of El Paso fluctuated between 8.0% and 10.0% during the past two years. The average vacancy rate in 14 surveyed projects, which include nine multifamily projects and five single family homes, was 6.9% in January 2002." (p. vi)

Absorption Projections: Not discussed in submitted market study.

Known Planned Development: "There are no apartment projects under construction in the market area. Other than the subject, there are two age-restricted projects planned in the market area. These projects, which are both proposed by the subject's sponsor, will have a total of 112 units that will be restricted to seniors 55 years of age or older." (p. vi) The analyst also lists several proposed and existing LIHTC projects, including: Lee Seniors (19 units), Western Eastside Senior (19 units) Bienvivr Parkside Senior (36 units), and Jardin Sereno Senior (28 proposed units that are the subject of another 9% LIHTC application). (p. VII-3) The analyst also did not include Eldorado Place II (32 units).

The Underwriter found the market study provided sufficient information on which to base a funding recommendation.

SITE and NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTERISTICS

<u>Location</u>: The subject is located near the intersection of Vista Del Sol and George Dieter in the east portion of El Paso, approximately four miles northeast of the CBD. El Paso is located along Interstate 10, 634 miles west of Dallas.

<u>Population</u>: The development market area has 143,942 residents. Claritas, Inc. projects that the PMA's population will increase 1.5% per year to 154,783 by 2006. The PMA is projected to gain 966 households per year through 2006. This increase is 38.8% of the total for the El Paso MSA.

Adjacent Land Uses: Adjacent land uses include:

- North: Vista Del Sol Drive, convalescent center, residential neighborhood
- South: Market-rate apartment complex, Scott Simpson Drive, nursing home
- East: Park, James Chisum Drive, residential neighborhood
- West: Shopping Center, George Dieter Drive, private school

<u>Site Access</u>: The site is directly accessed from Vista Del Sol. Interstate 10, 2 miles south of the subject, connects El Paso with Dallas, Phoenix, Arizona, Las Cruces, New Mexico and Interstate 25, which extends northward.

<u>Public Transportation</u>: Intracity bus service is provided by Sun Metro, which links most areas of the city. The nearest bus stop is at George Dieter and Vista Del Sol, 20 yards form the subject.

Shopping & Services: A large grocery store, shopping center, public library, two medical facilities, and two childcare facility are located within two miles of the subject. Approximately three miles north is the YMCA and 8.3 miles northwest is the El Paso San Juan Senior Center. The subject is in the Socorro School District and residents will be served by Vista Del Sol Elementary (0.9 miles), Desert View Middle School (0.7 miles) and Montwood High School (3.2 miles). The closest four-year college is located 0.7 miles north and El Paso Community College is 9.2 miles west.

<u>Site Inspection Findings</u>: The site has not been inspected by a TDHCA staff member, and receipt, review, and acceptance of an acceptable site inspection report is a condition of this report.

HIGHLIGHTS of SOILS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REPORT(S)

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment report dated March 15, 2002 was prepared by AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc. and contained the following findings and recommendations:

"...there are no recognized environmental conditions on the subject property which would preclude further site development. As noted above, minor de minimus conditions exists as a result of past dumping on the subject property. It is recommended that all deleterious materials encountered be removed from the site and disposed of according to applicable regulations."

OPERATING PROFORMA ANALYSIS

<u>Income</u>: The Applicant utilized 2002 LIHTC rent limits in calculating the development's potential gross rent. In addition, the Applicant's secondary income and vacancy assumptions are inline with underwriting guidelines.

Expenses: The Applicant's total operating expense figure is comparable to the Underwriter's TDHCA database derived estimate. However, the Applicant's water, sewer and trash estimate is \$3K lower and property tax estimate is \$2K higher than the Underwriter's estimates.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Overall, the Applicant's net operating income is within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate; therefore, the Applicant's proforma should be used to determine the development's ability to service debt. Both the Applicant's and the Underwriter's proformas result in a debt coverage ratio (DCR) that is within the Department's guideline of 1.10 to 1.25.

CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE EVALUATION

Land Value: Ike J Monty, president and owner of Investment Builders, Inc., the general partner, is also president of Davis Street Corporation, the current owner of the subject site. In compliance with the 2002 QAP, the Applicant has supplied documentation verifying the original acquisition price of the property and subsequent holding costs. These costs total \$117,551, which is \$57K less than indicated in the submitted cost schedule (E102B). The Underwriter has maintained the proposed acquisition cost of \$174,240 in the

Applicant's total development cost estimate, while including only the verifiable acquisition and holding costs of \$117,551 in the TDHCA total development cost estimate. Should the Applicant's costs be used to size the development, the difference in acquisition costs will be reduced from the anticipated sources of funds.

<u>Sitework Cost</u>: The Applicant's claimed sitework costs of \$5,782 per unit are considered reasonable compared to historical sitework costs for multifamily projects.

<u>Direct Construction Cost</u>: The Applicant's direct construction cost estimate is comparable (within 5%) to the Underwriter's Marshall & Swift *Residential Cost Handbook*-derived estimate.

<u>Fees:</u> The Applicant's general requirements, contractor's general and administrative fees, and contractor's profit exceed, by a modest amount, the 6%, 2%, and 6% maximums allowed by LIHTC guidelines based on their own construction costs. Consequently the Applicant's eligible fees in these areas have been reduced with the overage effectively moved to ineligible costs. In addition, the Applicant included \$25K (\$21,250 in eligible basis) in soft cost contingencies as an indirect construction cost. The Underwriter added this to contingency costs that are limited to 5% of site work and direct construction costs. As a result, the contingency cost is overstated by \$34,156.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The Applicant's total development cost estimate is within 5% of the Underwriter's estimate; therefore, the Applicant's estimate, adjusted for overstated land cost and fees, will be used to determine the development's eligible basis and permanent financing need. The adjusted basis of \$2,146,420 indicates an annual tax credit allocation of \$235,505.

FINANCING STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

The Applicant intends to finance the development with three types of financing: a conventional interim to permanent loan, syndicated LIHTC equity and deferred developer's fees.

Conventional Interim to Permanent Loan: There is a commitment for interim to permanent financing through MuniMae Midland, LLC in the amount of \$874,953 during the interim period and \$503,000 at conversion to permanent. The commitment letter indicated a term of 24 months for the construction portion and 15 years for the permanent. The interest rate during the construction period will be based on the Wall Street Journal Prime rate plus 1%, or a minimum of 6%. The permanent interest rate will be based on the Bank's index plus a spread of 40 basis points with a collar of 125 basis points, underwritten at 8%. The loan will be amortized over 30 years.

<u>LIHTC Syndication</u>: Midland Equity Corporation has offered terms for syndication of the tax credits. The commitment letter shows net proceeds are anticipated to be \$1,844,244 based on a syndication factor of 77%. The funds would be disbursed in a three-phased pay-in schedule:

- 1. 70% upon admission to partnership, closing of construction loan and acquisition;
- 2. 20% upon completion of construction and cost certification; and
- 3. 10% upon closing of permanent loan, receipt of Forms 8609, 90% physical occupancy for three consecutive months and 1.15 debt coverage ratio for ninety days.

<u>Deferred Developer's Fees</u>: The Applicant's proposed deferred developer's fees of \$117,244 amount to 43% of the total proposed fees.

Financing Conclusions: As stated above, the Applicant's total development cost estimate, adjusted for overstated fees and contingency cost, was used to determine the development's eligible basis and recommended tax credit award of \$235,505 annually for ten years, or \$4,031 less than requested. A portion of this difference can be attributed to the Applicant's use of a slightly overstated applicable percentage. Based on the current syndication commitment, the recommended credit amount would result in proceeds of \$1,813,208. Based on the Applicant's total development cost estimate, less the difference in the proposed and verifiable land costs of \$56,689, the developer would need to defer \$91,591 in fees, or \$25,654 less than anticipated. The deferred fee appears to be repayable from development cashflow within the 10 years of stabilized operation.

REVIEW of ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

The submitted architectural plans indicate attractive stucco exterior for both the residential and community buildings. The unit floorplans offer washer/dryer closets and adequate storage.

IDENTITIES of INTEREST

The Applicant, developer, general contractor and cost estimator are related entities. These are common

identities of interest for LIHTC-funded developments. Ike J Monty, president and owner of Investment Builders, Inc., the general partner, is also president of Davis Street Corporation, the current owner of the subject site. This identity of interest land sale was discussed in more detail in the construction cost estimate evaluation section of this report.

APPLICANT'S/PRINCIPALS' FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS, BACKGROUND, and EXPERIENCE

Financial Highlights:

- The Applicant is a single-purpose entity to be formed for the purpose of receiving assistance from TDHCA and, therefore, has no material financial statements.
- The General Partner, Investment Builders, Inc., submitted a financial statement as of September 30, 2001 reporting total assets of \$7.9M consisting of cash, receivables, prepaid expenses, property and equipment, partnership interests, investments in developments, and deferred developer fees. Liabilities totaled \$7.1M, resulting in stockholders' equity of \$793K.
- Ike J Monty, 100% owner of the General Partner, also submitted a personal financial statement upon request.

Background & Experience:

- The Applicant is a new entity formed for the sole purpose of developing the project.
- The General Partner has completed 28 affordable housing developments since 1996. The majority of the developments are located in El Paso and funded with LIHTC proceeds. At least five of the developments on the previous participation list included disclosures of some form of non-compliance that may not have yet been cured. Therefore this report, as well as underwriting reports for all the applications in this allocation cycle, is subject to a review of the developer's compliance scores on previous developments to determine eligibility for this application cycle.

	SUMMARY OF SALIENT RISKS AND ISSUES
•	The seller of the property has an identity of interest with the Applicant.

RECOMMENDATION

☑ RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF AN LIHTC ALLOCATION NOT TO EXCEED \$235,505 ANNUALLY FOR TEN YEARS, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS.

CONDITIONS

1. Receipt, review, and acceptance of an acceptable TDHCA site inspection report.

Credit Underwriting Supervisor:	Lisa Vecchietti	Date:	May 28, 2002
Director of Credit Underwriting:	Tom Gouris	Date:	May 28, 2002

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST: Comparative Analysis

Meadowbrook Townhomes, El Paso, LIHTC 02067

Maria a C	W.mb		W6	04 /: c=	Course Day 1	Wat Bank	Don't no	Dank a see	Mark 7 3 200 / 2	1 When C : =
Type of Unit TC 40%	Number 1	Bedrooms 3	No. of Baths	Size in SF	Gross Rent Lmt. \$377	Net Rent per Unit \$308	Rent per Month \$308	Rent per SF \$0.26	\$69.00	\$32.00
TC 50%	1	3	2	1,190	471	402	402	0.34	69.00	32.00
TC 40%	2	3	2.5	1,190	377	308	616	0.26	69.00	32.00
TC 50%	1	3	2.5	1,190	471	402	402	0.34	69.00	32.00
TC 60%	5	3	2.5	1,190	566	497	2,485	0.42	69.00	32.00
TC 40%	5	4	3.5	1,295	421	342	1,710	0.26	\$79.00	\$37.00
TC 50%	3	4	3.5	1,295	526	447	1,341	0.35	79.00	37.00
TC 60%	7 25	4	3.5 AVERAGE:	1,295	631 \$520	552 \$445	3,864 \$11,128	0.43 \$0.36	79.00 \$75.00	37.00 \$35.00
	1000000				Ç320			Ų0.50	Ç73.00	ψ33.00
INCOME			entable Sq Ft	31,325		TDHCA	APPLICANT			
	GROSS REN	I.				\$133,536	\$133,536			
Secondary	=	. (1		Unit Per Month:	\$10.00	3,000	3,000	\$10.00	Per Unit Per Mo	onth
-	pport Income		ribe)							
	GROSS INC				7 500	\$136,536	\$136,536	T 500		
_	& Collection or Other No			1 Gross Income:	-7.50%	(10,240)	(10,236)	-7.50%	of Potential Gr	oss Rent
	GROSS INC		I UNITES OF	Concessions		\$126,296	\$126,300			
EXPENSES	GROSS INC	JI*IE	% OF EGI	PER UNIT	PER SO FT	\$120,290	\$120,300	PER SO FT	PER UNIT	% OF EGI
	& Administra	tive	4.66%	\$235	\$0.19	\$5,881	\$6,550	\$0.21	\$262	5.19%
Managemen			5.00%	253	0.20	6,315	6,300	0.20	252	4.99%
_	anc & Payroll Ta		6.93%	350	0.28	8,750	9,440	0.20	378	7.47%
-	& Payroll 18		8.83%	446	0.28	11,156	10,890	0.35	436	8.62%
Utilitie:			8.83₹ 4.54%	230	0.36	5,738	5,310	0.35	436 212	4.20%
	s ewer, & Tras	h	8.31%	420	0.18	10,500	7,420	0.17	212	5.87%
	ewer, & fras Insurance	11	3.97%	200	0.16	5,012	6,210	0.24	248	4.92%
Property		.953003	9.34%	472	0.38	11,796	13,850	0.44	554	10.97%
	for Replacem		3.96%	200	0.16	5,000	5,000	0.16	200	3.96%
	v/comp/sec	CIICD	2.75%	139	0.11	3,470	4,030	0.13	161	3.19%
TOTAL EXP	=		58.29%	\$2,945	\$2.35	\$73,619	\$75,000	\$2.39	\$3,000	59.38%
NET OPERA			41.71%	\$2,107	\$1.68	\$52,677	\$51,300	\$1.64	\$2,052	40.62%
DEBT SERV			11.710	ŲZ,107	Ų1.00	Ų327077	Ų31,300	Ų1.01	ŲZ,03Z	10.020
First Lien	Mortgage		35.07%	\$1,772	\$1.41	\$44,290	\$44,605	\$1.42	\$1,784	35.32%
Additional	Financing		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
Additional	_		0.00%	\$0	\$0.00	0	0	\$0.00	\$0	0.00%
NET CASH	FLOW		6.64%	\$335	\$0.27	\$8,387	\$6,695	\$0.21	\$268	5.30%
	DEBT COVERAG					1.19	1.15			
ALTERNATIVE CONSTRUCT	E DEBT COVER	AGE RAT	10			1.19	l			
Descri		Factor	A 6 momay	DDD 1911	DDD 00 DD	TDHCA	APPLICANT	PER SO FT	DDD 1917	A . C
	.on Cost (si		<pre>% of TOTAL 4.90%</pre>	PER UNIT	PER SQ FT	\$117,551	\$174,240	\$5.56	<u>PER UNIT</u> \$6,970	<pre>% of TOTAL 7.07%</pre>
Off-Sites		te or bro	0.00%	\$4,702 0	\$3.75 0.00	\$117,551	3174,240	0.00	\$6,970	0.00%
Sitework	•		6.03%	5,782	4.61	144,560	144,560	4.61		5.87%
	nstruction				4.61	1,272,905	1,248,370		5,782	
Conting		E 00%	53.08%	50,916	2.26	70,873	105,305	39.85	49,935	50.65%
	-	5.00%	2.96% 3.53%	2,835 3,382	2.26	84,539	84,539	3.36 2.70	4,212 3,382	4.27%
_			٥٠ د د د	5,502	2.70	04,555	01,000	2.70	5,302	1.14%
General	_	5.96%	1 100	1 107	0.00	20 100	20 100	0 00	1 100	
General Contrac	tor's G (1.99%	1.18%	1,127	0.90	28,180	28,180	0.90	1,127	
General Contrac Contrac	ctor's G a	1.99% 5.96%	3.53%	3,382	2.70	84,539	84,539	2.70	3,382	3.43%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect	ctor's G actor's Pro Construction	1.99% 5.96%	3.53% 3.71%	3,382	2.70 2.84	84,539 88,850	84,539 88,850	2.70	3,382	3.43% 3.61%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl	ctor's G { ctor's Pro Construction Expenses	1.99% 5.96% on	3.53% 3.71% 2.96%	3,382 3,554 2,837	2.70 2.84 2.26	84,539 88,850 70,922	84,539 88,850 70,922	2.70 2.84 2.26	3,382 3,554 2,837	3.43% 3.61% 2.88%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer	ctor's G (ctor's Proceedings of Constructions of Expenses C's G & A	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer	ctor's G { ctor's Professor Construction of Expenses c's G & A c's Professor Construction of C	1.99% 5.96% on	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F	ctor's G { ctor's Professor Construction of Expenses c's G & A c's Professor Construction of C	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves	ctor's G a ctor's Pro Construction to Expenses c's G & A c's Profitation	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS	ctor's G actor's Pro Construction Expenses c's G & Acts Profit	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77% 9.53%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$95,916	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$76.55	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,397,902	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,464,488	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$98,580	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42% 100.00%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS Recap-Hard	ctor's G { ctor's Pro Constructi e Expenses c's G & A c's Profit Financing ET Construction	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77% 9.53%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS Recap-Hard SOURCES O	ctor's G { ctor's Pro Constructi e Expenses c's G & A c's Profit cinancing CT Construction F FUNDS	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77% 9.53%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46% 100.00%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$95,916	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$76.55	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,397,902 \$1,685,596	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,464,488 \$1,695,493	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67 \$54.13 RECOMMENDED	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$98,580	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42% 100.00%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS Recap-Hard SOURCES O First Lien	ctor's G { ctor's Pro Constructi e Expenses c's G & A c's Profit Financing CT Construction FUNDS Mortgage	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77% 9.53%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$95,916	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$76.55	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,397,902	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,464,488	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$98,580	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42% 100.00%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS Recap-Hard SCUNCES O First Lien Additional	ctor's G { ctor's Pro Constructi e Expenses c's G & A c's Profit Financing CT Construction FUNDS Mortgage	1.99% 5.96% pon 4.77% 9.53%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46% 100.00% 70.29%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$95,916 \$67,424 \$20,120	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$76.55 \$53.81 \$16.06	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,397,902 \$1,685,596 \$503,000	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,464,488 \$1,695,493 \$503,000	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67 \$54.13 RECOMMENDED \$503,000	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$98,580	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42% 100.00%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS Recap-Hard SOURCES O First Lien Additional LIHTC Synd	ctor's G actor's Pro Construction.	1.99% 5.96% On 4.77% 9.53%	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46% 100.00% 70.29% 20.98% 0.00%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$95,916 \$67,424 \$20,120 \$0	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$76.55 \$53.81 \$16.06 \$0.00	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,397,902 \$1,685,596 \$503,000 0	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,464,488 \$1,695,493 \$503,000 0	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67 \$54.13 RECOMMENDED \$503,000	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$98,580	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42% 100.00%
General Contrac Contrac Indirect Ineligibl Developer Developer Interim F Reserves TOTAL COS Recap-Hard SOURCES OFirst Lien Additional LIHTC Synd	ctor's G { ctor's Pro Construction Expenses C's G & A C's Profit Financing CONSTRUCTION OF FUNDS Mortgage Financing Lication Proceedings	1.99% 5.96% on 4.77% 9.53% on <i>Costs</i>	3.53% 3.71% 2.96% 3.78% 7.56% 5.34% 1.46% 100.00% 70.29% 20.98% 0.00% 76.91% 4.89%	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$95,916 \$67,424 \$20,120 \$0 \$73,770	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$76.55 \$53.81 \$16.06 \$0.00 \$58.87	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,397,902 \$1,685,596 \$503,000 0 1,844,244	84,539 88,850 70,922 90,661 181,322 128,000 35,000 \$2,464,488 \$1,695,493 \$503,000 0 1,844,244	2.70 2.84 2.26 2.89 5.79 4.09 1.12 \$78.67 \$54.13 RECOMMENDED \$503,000 0 1,813,208	3,382 3,554 2,837 3,626 7,253 5,120 1,400 \$98,580	3.43% 3.61% 2.88% 3.68% 7.36% 5.19% 1.42% 100.00%

MULTIFAMILY FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST (continued)

Meadowbrook Townhomes, El Paso, LIHTC 02067

DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Residential Cost Handbook

Average Quality Townhome Residence Basis

Average Quartey Townhome Residence Basis						
CATEGORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SQ FT	PER SF	AMOUNT		
Base Cost			\$44.50	\$1,393,828		
Adjustments						
Exterior Wall Finis	h		\$0.00	\$0		
Elderly			0.00	0		
Roofing			0.00	0		
Subfloor			(1.21)	(37,759)		
Floor Cover			2.43	76,120		
Porches/Balconies	\$28.10	1853.5	1.66	52,083		
Plumbing	\$675	116	2.50	78,300		
Built-In Appliances	\$2,000	25	1.60	50,000		
Stairs/Fireplaces			0.00	0		
Floor Insulation			0.00	0		
Heating/Cooling			1.83	57,325		
Garages/Carports			0.00	0		
Comm &/or Aux Bldgs	\$65.29	800	1.67	52,229		
Other:			0.00	0		
SUBTOTAL			54.98	1,722,126		
Current Cost Multiplier	1.04		2.20	68,885		
Local Multiplier	0.87		(7.15)	(223,876)		
TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTI	ON COSTS		\$50.03	\$1,567,134		
Plans, specs, survy, bl	3.90%		(\$1.95)	(\$61,118)		
Interim Construction Ir	3.38%		(1.69)	(52,891)		
Contractor's OH & Profi	11.50%		(5.75)	(180,220)		
NET DIRECT CONSTRUCTION	COSTS		\$40.64	\$1,272,905		

PAYMENT COMPUTATION

Primary	\$503,000	Term	360
Int Rate	8.00%	DCR	1.19
Secondary	\$0	Term	
		_	
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.19

Additional	\$1,844,244	Term	
Int Rate		Aggregate DCR	1.19

RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE:

Primary Debt Service Secondary Debt Service Additional Debt Service NET CASH FLOW

\$44,290
0
0
\$8,387

Primary	\$503,000	Term	360
Int Rate	8.00%	DCR	1.19
Secondary	\$0	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Subtotal DCR	1.19
Additional	\$1,844,244	Term	0
Int Rate	0.00%	Aggregate DCR	1.19
<u> </u>			

OPERATING INCOME & EXPENSE PROFORMA: RECOMMENDED FINANCING STRUCTURE

INCOME at 3.00%	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	YEAR 10	YEAR 15	YEAR 20	YEAR 30
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT	\$133,536	\$137,542	\$141,668	\$145,918	\$150,296	\$174,234	\$201,985	\$234,156	\$314,686
Secondary Income	3,000	3,090	3,183	3,278	3,377	3,914	4,538	5,261	7,070
Other Support Income: (d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME	136,536	140,632	144,851	149,197	153,672	178,149	206,523	239,417	321,756
Vacancy & Collection Los	(10,240)	(10,547)	(10,864)	(11,190)	(11,525)	(13,361)	(15,489)	(17,956)	(24,132)
Employee or Other Non-Re	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	\$126,296	\$130,085	\$133,987	\$138,007	\$142,147	\$164,787	\$191,034	\$221,460	\$297,624
EXPENSES at 4.00%									
General & Administrative	\$5,881	\$6,117	\$6,361	\$6,616	\$6,880	\$8,371	\$10,185	\$12,391	\$18,342
Management	6,315	6,504	6,699	6,900	7,107	8,239	9,552	11,073	14,881
Payroll & Payroll Tax	8,750	9,100	9,464	9,843	10,236	12,454	15,152	18,435	27,288
Repairs & Maintenance	11,156	11,603	12,067	12,549	13,051	15,879	19,319	23,505	34,793
Utilities	5,738	5,967	6,206	6,454	6,712	8,166	9,935	12,088	17,893
Water, Sewer & Trash	10,500	10,920	11,357	11,811	12,284	14,945	18,183	22,122	32,746
Insurance	5,012	5,212	5,421	5,638	5,863	7,134	8,679	10,560	15,631
Property Tax	11,796	12,268	12,759	13,269	13,800	16,790	20,428	24,853	36,789
Reserve for Replacements	5,000	5,200	5,408	5,624	5,849	7,117	8,658	10,534	15,593
Other	3,470	3,609	3,753	3,903	4,059	4,939	6,009	7,311	10,822
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$73,619	\$76,500	\$79,495	\$82,608	\$85,843	\$104,034	\$126,100	\$152,872	\$224,778
NET OPERATING INCOME	\$52,677	\$53,585	\$54,492	\$55,399	\$56,304	\$60,754	\$64,934	\$68,589	\$72,846
DEBT SERVICE									
First Lien Financing	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290	\$44,290
Second Lien	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET CASH FLOW	\$8,387	\$9,294	\$10,202	\$11,109	\$12,014	\$16,464	\$20,644	\$24,298	\$28,556
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO	1.19	1.21	1.23	1.25	1.27	1.37	1.47	1.55	1.64

LIHTC Allocation Calculation - Meadowbrook Townhomes, El Paso, LIHTC 020

	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA	APPLICANT'S	TDHCA		
	TOTAL	TOTAL	REHAB/NEW	REHAB/NEW		
CATEGORY	AMOUNTS	AMOUNTS	ELIGIBLE BASIS	ELIGIBLE BASIS		
(1) Acquisition Cost						
Purchase of land	\$174,240	\$117,551				
Purchase of buildings						
(2) Rehabilitation/New Construction Cost						
On-site work	\$144,560	\$144,560	\$144,560	\$144,560		
Off-site improvements						
(3) Construction Hard Costs						
New structures/rehabilitation ha	\$1,248,370	\$1,272,905	\$1,248,370	\$1,272,905		
(4) Contractor Fees & General Requirements						
Contractor overhead	\$28,180	\$28,180	\$27,859	\$28,180		
Contractor profit	\$84,539	\$84,539	\$83,576	\$84,539		
General requirements	\$84,539	\$84,539	\$83,576	\$84,539		
(5) Contingencies	\$105,305	\$70,873	\$69,647	\$70,873		
(6) Eligible Indirect Fees	\$88,850	\$88,850	\$88,850	\$88,850		
(7) Eligible Financing Fees	\$128,000	\$128,000	\$128,000	\$128,000		
(8) All Ineligible Costs	\$70,922	\$70,922				
(9) Developer Fees						
Developer overhead	\$90,661	\$90,661	\$90,661	\$90,661		
Developer fee	\$181,322	\$181,322	\$181,322	\$181,322		
(10) Development Reserves	\$35,000	\$35,000				
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	\$2,464,488	\$2,397,902	\$2,146,420	\$2,174,429		

Deduct from Basis:		
All grant proceeds used to finance costs in eligible basis		
B.M.R. loans used to finance cost in eligible basis		
Non-qualified non-recourse financing		
Non-qualified portion of higher quality units [42(d)(3)]		
Historic Credits (on residential portion only)		
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$2,146,420	\$2,174,429
High Cost Area Adjustment	130%	130%
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$2,790,346	\$2,826,758
Applicable Fraction	100%	100%
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$2,790,346	\$2,826,758
Applicable Percentage	8.44%	8.44%
TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAX CREDITS	\$235,505	\$238,578

Syndication Proceeds

0.7699

\$1,813,208

\$1,836,870