# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

John H. Reagan Building Room JHR 140 105 W. 15th Street Austin, Texas

> December 17, 2015 9:10 a.m.

### BOARD MEMBERS:

J. PAUL OXER, Chair JUAN MUÑOZ, Vice-Chair LESLIE BINGHAM ESCAREÑO, Member T. TOLBERT CHISUM, Member TOM H. GANN, Member J.B. GOODWIN, Member

TIMOTHY K. IRVINE, Executive Director

# INDEX

AGENDA TTEM	PAGE
ROLL CALL CERTIFICATION OF QUORUM	8
Resolution Commemorating and Recognizing December 15, 2015, as Homeless Persons' Memorial Day in Texas	9

### CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 1: APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PRESENTED 11
IN THE BOARD MATERIALS:

## EXECUTIVE

a) Board Meeting Minutes Summaries for September 11, 2015, and October 15, 2015

#### LEGAL

- b) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action regarding the adoption of an Agreed Final Order concerning Spring Hill Apartments (HTC 70133 / CMTS 2301)
- c) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action regarding a Report to Board regarding the initiation of an administrative penalty contested case hearing concerning Oak Park Apartments (HTC 91056 / CMTS 965) and adoption of an Agreed Final Order
- d) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on Report to Board regarding the initiation of an administrative penalty contested case hearing concerning South more Park Apartments (HTC 94004/CMTS 1204) and adoption of an Agreed Final Order

#### HOUSING RESOURCE CENTER

e) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on the 2016 State of Texas Consolidated Plan: One-Year Action Plan

#### SECTION 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM

f) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on the 2016 Section 8 Payment Standards for Housing Choice Voucher

Program ("HCVP") for additional service
areas

### COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

g) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
Action on Awards for Program Year 2015
Community Services Block Grant
Discretionary Funds Notice of Funding
Availability I: Services to Native
American; and Migrant and Seasonal
Farmworker Populations

### MULTIFAMILY FINANCE

- h) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action on Determination Notices for
  Housing Tax Credits with another Issuer
  15409 Pleasant Hill Village Apartments
  Houston
  15411 Denton Apartments Denton
  15417 87th Street Odessa
  15421 Urban Oaks Austin
- i) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible 12 Action regarding the HOME funds available to 1500 MLK, LLC for development of Royal Gardens Mineral Wells (Pulled)
- j) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on the application of the At Risk Set-aside to an application undergoing a conversion under the Rental Assistance Demonstration ("RAD") program

#### HOME PROGRAM

k) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible 13
Action on an amendment to a HOME
Homeowner Rehabilitation Assistance
Household Commitment Contract issued
under Reservation Agreement 2012-0800
for the reconstruction of a single
family home by WREM Literacy Group, Inc.
under the Disaster set-aside 1002069

#### ASSET MANAGEMENT

- 1) Presentation, Discussion and Possible
  Action regarding Material Amendments to
  Housing Tax Credit Application and Land
  Use Restriction Agreement
  94023 Creekwood Apartments Houston
- m) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action to Approve Ownership Transfer

- and Material Amendment to the Housing Tax Credit Land Use Restriction Agreement 12252 Gulf Coast Arms Apartments Houston
- n) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action to Approve a Waiver of 10 TAC
  §10.101(a) regarding One-Hundred Year
  Floodplain
  14182 Prairie Gardens Abilene
- o) Presentation, Discussion and Possible
  Action regarding Placed in Service
  Deadline Extension pursuant to Major
  Disaster Declaration
  13187 Barron's Branch Waco
  13201 Trails at Carmel Creek Hutto
  13240 Summit Place Dallas
  13117 The Estates at Ellington Houston

#### RULES

- p) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action on proposed amendments to 10 TAC
  Chapter 1, Subchapter A, General Policies
  and Procedures §1.23 concerning State of
  Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual
  Report, and directing their publication
  for public comment in the Texas Register
- q) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on the draft 2016 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report to be published in the Texas Register for public comment
- r) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action on an order adopting amendments
  to 10 TAC Chapter 5 Community Affairs
  Programs, Subchapter A, General
  Provisions §5.7 concerning Fidelity Bond
  Requirements, and directing that it be
  published in the Texas Register
- s) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action on an order adopting the repeal
  of 10 TAC Chapter 12, concerning the
  Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules,
  and an order adopting the new 10 TAC
  Chapter 12 concerning the Multifamily
  Housing Revenue Bond Rules, and directing
  its publication in the Texas Register

t) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Actions proposed: first, amendments to 10 TAC Chapter 10 Uniform Multifamily Rules, Subchapter F, Compliance Monitoring, §10.620 (concerning Monitoring for Non-Profit Participation or HUB Participation); second, the proposed repeal of §10.610 (concerning Tenant Selection Criteria) and §10.614 (concerning Utility Allowances); and, third, the proposed new §10.610 (concerning Written Policies and Procedures) and §10.614 (concerning Utility Allowances) and directing that these be published for public comment in the Texas Register

#### BOND FINANCE

- u) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action on Resolution 16-008 authorizing
  the form and substance of amendments to
  the Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bond
  Trust Indenture; authorizing the execution
  of the 62nd Supplemental Single Family
  Mortgage Revenue Bond Trust Indenture and
  other documents and instruments
  relating to the foregoing; making certain
  findings and determinations in connection
  therewith; and containing other provisions
  relating to the subject
- v) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible
  Action on Resolution 16-009 authorizing
  the filing of one or more applications for
  reservation with the Texas Bond Review
  Board (the "BRB") with respect to
  qualified mortgage bonds

# CONSENT AGENDA REPORT ITEMS

### ITEM 2: THE BOARD ACCEPTS THE FOLLOWING REPORTS:

- a) TDHCA Outreach Activities, November 2015
- b) Report Regarding Reduced Contract Amounts resulting from the Voluntary Financial Commitment of Funds from Homeless Housing and Services Program ("HHSP") Subrecipients for Youth Count Texas!
- c) Report on the Site and Neighborhood review process for HOME funded multifamily developments

Finance Division Total and Unencumbered Fund Balances and Transfers to the Housing Trust Fund ACTION ITEMS ITEM 3: REPORTS Report on the Section 811 PRA Program a) 19 b) Report on Area Accomplishments by 2.1 Deputy Executive Director, Brooke Boston 28 C) Report Regarding the Progress of Youth Count Texas! ITEM 4: COMMUNITY AFFAIRS 37 Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on the Award of Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program and Community Services Block Grant Program contracts to Community Services of Northeast Texas, Inc. to provide services in Delta, Franklin, Hopkins, Lamar, Rains, Red River, and Titus counties ITEM 5: ASSET MANAGEMENT a) Presentation, Discussion and Possible 42 Action regarding Material Amendments to Housing Tax Credit Application and Land Use Restriction Agreement 94039 Willow Pond Apartments Dallas b) Presentation, Discussion, and Possible 58 Action regarding Placed in Service Deadline Extension pursuant to the Force Majeure Provision in the 2015 Qualified Allocation Plan 13119 Emma Finke Villas Beeville ITEM 6: MULTIFAMILY FINANCE 61 Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on Timely Filed Appeals and Waivers under any of the Department's Program Rules 15093 Stonebridge at Childress Childress ITEM 7: HOME PROGRAM 102 Presentation, Discussion, and Possible Action on amendments extending the contract period for HOME Household Commitment Contracts issued under Reservation Agreements issued to the City of Paris and WREM Literacy Group for the reconstruction of single family

Report on the Draft Computation of Housing

d)

homes that are located in areas subsequently designated as federal declared disaster areas, and Board authorization for staff to consider approving future extension requests for activities in federally declared disaster areas

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS OTHER THAN ITEMS FOR WHICH THERE WERE POSTED AGENDA ITEMS	105
EXECUTIVE SESSION	100
OPEN SESSION	100
ADJOURN	108

# 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. OXER: Good morning, everyone. I'd like to 3 welcome everyone to the December 17 meeting of the Texas 4 Department of Housing and Community Affairs Governing 5 Board. 6 We begin with roll call. Ms. Bingham? MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Here. 7 MR. OXER: Mr. Chisum? 8 9 MR. CHISUM: Here. 10 MR. OXER: Mr. Gann? MR. GANN: Here. 11 MR. OXER: Mr. Goodwin? 12 13 MR. GOODWIN: Here. 14 MR. OXER: Dr. Muñoz? 15 DR. MUÑOZ: Present. 16 MR. OXER: And I am here. We've got a full 17 house today so we're in business. 18 Tim, lead us in the pledge. (The Pledge of Allegiance and the Texas 19 20 Allegiance were recited.) MR. OXER: Okay. Michael, I believe you're 21 22 going to read the resolution. 23 MR. LYTTLE: Yes, sir. 24 This is a Board resolution reading as follows: 25 "Whereas, December 21, 2015, is National

Homeless Persons' Memorial Day, which annually falls on the longest night of the year;

"Whereas, the purposes of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs include providing safe, decent and affordable housing to individuals and families of low, very low, and extremely low income and families of moderate income; and serving as the lead agency for addressing at the state level the problem of homelessness;

"Whereas, the Department thereby has a goal to improve the living conditions of persons who are at-risk of homelessness and persons experiencing homelessness;

"Whereas, it is the policy of the Department to support equal, accessible housing opportunity through the assistance provided by its poverty-alleviation and homelessness prevention programs and services;

"Whereas, the Department applauds all those who work in partnership with persons in poverty and persons experiencing homelessness to provide housing and move toward self-sufficiency;

"Whereas, the Department encourages Texans and Texas communities to forge local partnerships that can prevent and minimize homelessness and to provide housing that allows persons at risk of homelessness or experiencing homelessness to move towards self-sufficiency; and

"Whereas, the Department encourages Texans during the longest night of the year to honor and remember individuals and families who face hardships, or have lost their lives due to extreme conditions of living in places not meant for human habitation, combined with the various health conditions that may be attributed to loss of housing;

"Now, therefore, it is hereby resolved, that in the pursuit of the goals of providing safe, decent, affordable housing and improving the living conditions of persons who are at risk of homelessness and persons experiencing homelessness, the Governing Board of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs does hereby commemorate and recognize December 21, 2015 as Homeless Persons' Memorial Day in Texas and encourages all Texas individuals and organizations, public and private, to join in this observance of National Homelessness Persons' Memorial Day.

"Signed this Seventeenth Day of December 2015."

MR. OXER: And I should recognize that all members of the Governing Board have signed that committing to the resolution.

Is that sufficient, or do we need to have a formal motion?

MR. IRVINE: It will get signed. We need to

1 adopt the resolution. 2 MR. OXER: All right. With respect to that 3 then, regarding the resolution read into the record just 4 now, are there any questions from the Board? 5 (No response.) 6 MR. OXER: Motion to consider? 7 MR. GANN: I so move. MR. OXER: Motion by Mr. Gann to approve the 8 9 resolution read into the record. Those in favor? 10 (A chorus of ayes.) MR. OXER: 11 Those opposed? 12 (No response.) 13 MR. OXER: There are, of course, none. 14 Thank you, Michael. 15 All right. Let's get to work here. I would 16 point out that in the formal agenda that we have, it 17 suggests or shows the resolution recognizing December 15 18 when it was actually read in as December 21, so the formal resolution will be the official record. 19 20 With respect to the consent agenda, I understand we're going to pull item 1(I) and 1(k). You 21 22 folks down here want to speak n 1(k). Is that correct? 23 1(I)? Okay. Just making sure. I believe it's (k). Did 24 we get that right? And the reason I'm asking is we're

going to take that up on the formal action agenda, so you

25

1 don't have to worry about speaking to it on the consent 2 Is that clear to everybody? agenda. 3 We're pulling item 1(I) and 1(k) from the 4 consent agenda. Are there any questions from the Board? 5 In that case, we'll have a motion to consider. MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Mr. Chair, I move to 6 7 approve the consent agenda, with the exception of items 1(I) and 1(k). 8 9 MR. OXER: Motion by Ms. Bingham to approve the consent agenda as modified. 10 11 MR. CHISUM: Second. MR. OXER: Second by Mr. Chisum. Are there any 12 13 questions? No public comment. Motion by Ms. Bingham, 14 second by Mr. Chisum to approve the consent agenda as 15 modified, pulling 1(I) and 1(k). Those in favor? 16 (A chorus of ayes.) 17 MR. OXER: And opposed? 18 (No response.) MR. OXER: There are none. 19 20 Okay. Let's get to work. On item 1(I), Marni, 21 we're going to take that first? 22 MS. HOLLOWAY: Good morning, Chairman Oxer, 23 members of the Board. We are requesting that 1(I) not be considered at the meeting today. We will be bringing it 24 25 back to you next month.

MR. OXER: Okay. So this is just for delay of consideration.

MS. HOLLOWAY: Yes.

MR. OXER: Thank you for that.

With respect to 1(k), Jennifer, are we going to do this one next?

MS. MOLINARI: Good morning, Chairman, Board members. My name is Jennifer Molinari, HOME Program director.

Item 1(k) is a staff recommendation related to an amendment request by WREM Literacy Group related to a home that is currently under construction and was not completed by the end date of December 3, 2015. Because the cumulative total of the extension requests related to this household exceeds fifteen months and because of language that was added in the last extension request that also went before the Board for approval, Board authority to grant the extension is necessary.

WREM has notified the Department that continued heavy rains in the area have further delayed the construction completion, and they have provided us photographic evidence to this point. Staff is presenting this request to the Board because we believe it is in the best interest of the household and of the Department to finish the house in compliance with the contract terms,

and additionally, this will ensure that the displaced 1 2. household will finally be able to return to their home. 3 If it's approved, staff would recommend that 4 the current contract be extended from December 3, 2015 to 5 March 3, 2016. And we also have public comment, I 6 believe, here with us today. So with that, I would be 7 happy to answer any questions that you have. MR. OXER: So staff recommendation is to extend 8 9 this contract which I gather there's going to be some comment to that effect as well. 10 11 MS. MOLINARI: Yes, sir. 12 MR. OXER: Okay. Any questions from the Board? 13 Dr. Muñoz. DR. MUÑOZ: March 16 gets it finished? 14 MS. MOLINARI: Yes, it sure does. 15 16 MR. OXER: Walk in, finished, dried in, start 17 moving in, hanging the pictures and set the furniture. 18 Right? MS. MOLINARI: We believe that it is, and we've 19 20 also got our administrator here and the construction contractor who could speak to the specifics of that. 21 22 DR. MUÑOZ: It's already been extended once? MS. MOLINARI: Yes, sir. It's actually already 23 been extended twice, once by staff and then once at the 24 25

September 3 Board meeting.

1	MR. OXER: And policy allows once to be
2	extended by staff and then it's special consideration by
3	the Board if we extend any more than that. Is that
4	correct?
5	MS. MOLINARI: Yes, sir.
6	DR. MUÑOZ: What happens if it's not finished
7	by March?
8	MS. MOLINARI: The administrator and I would
9	let her speak to that a little more has committed to
10	getting this house finished, regardless of any
11	circumstances or actions that the Board takes.
12	MR. OXER: Remind us again the location on
13	this.
14	MS. MOLINARI: I believe that this household is
15	in Ennis.
16	MR. OXER: Any other questions from the Board?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. OXER: Motion to consider on item 1(k)
19	DR. MUÑOZ: So moved.
20	MR. OXER: Motion by Dr. Muñoz to approve staff
21	recommendation on item 1(k). Do I hear a second?
22	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: I'll second.
23	MR. OXER: Second by Ms. Bingham.
24	We have a request for public comment. We'll
25	take you from this row, just walk back down the line here,

1 so anything you'd like to say on this, but I have to 2 suggest that we'll have questions about if you think you 3 can get this completed. The real question is: It looks 4 like you're going to get the extension, is there anything 5 you really want to say? Come up and put that on the 6 record. 7 (General laughter.) MR. ALKEBULAN: Good morning. My name is 8 Cranston Alkebulan, and I'm with Avila [PHONETIC] State 9 Construction, we're the builder for the home there. And 10 11 again, we just want to stay thank you for the extension, 12 and it will be completed. 13 MR. OXER: Those storms that came through your 14 location, we just got a couple of nights ago, too, in 15 Houston, so there's been plenty of rain around there. 16 MR. ALKEBULAN: Absolutely. We've been blessed 17 with rain, we needed it. 18 MR. OXER: The good news is we've got plenty of rain, the bad news is it all came in one spot at one time. 19 20 MR. ALKEBULAN: Yes, sir. 21 MR. OXER: Anything else for Mr. Cranston? 22 DR. MUÑOZ: What if it's not completed by March? 23

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

take one brick or one piece of sheetrock, which are the

MR. ALKEBULAN: If every single day we had to

24

25

1	things that are lacking over there, by March, sir, it will
2	be done.
3	MR. OXER: Because March 16 we're going to have
4	somebody call you and figure out what's going on.
5	MR. ALKEBULAN: March 3, actually, just for
6	clarity, sir.
7	MR. OXER: Whatever the date was.
8	DR. MUÑOZ: That's on the record now.
9	MR. ALKEBULAN: Absolutely.
10	MR. CHISUM: I'm sorry. I've got two dates.
11	DR. MUÑOZ: March 3, 2016.
12	MR. OXER: That's why the sixteen got confused.
13	MR. CHISUM: Thank you.
14	MR. OXER: Any questions?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. OXER: Thank you. Just a quick reminder,
17	housekeeping, just the regulars here, come up to speak,
18	sign in, name and contact, so Nancy can identify you on
19	the record.
20	We welcome your comments, ladies, if you'd like
21	to make any other contribution, but it looks like this is
22	going in the direction you want it.
23	SPEAKER FROM AUDIENCE: I really wanted to take
24	a picture, and I was denied my civic right.
25	MR. OXER: Don't let it be said that you were

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	denied your civic rights here. We'll go down and make
2	that picture.
3	(General laughter.)
4	SPEAKER FROM AUDIENCE: Thank you very much.
5	MR. OXER: You're welcome.
6	All right. There's been a motion by Dr. Muñoz
7	with respect to item 1(k) formerly on the consent
8	agenda, now on the action agenda motion by Dr. Muñoz,
9	second by Ms. Bingham, to approve staff recommendation to
10	extend the contract date to March 3, 2016. Those in
11	favor?
12	(A chorus of ayes.)
13	MR. OXER: And opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. OXER: There are none.
16	Merry Christmas, and keep working over the
17	holidays. I'm telling you, you don't want to wait.
18	MR. CHISUM: Don't miss that date.
19	MR. OXER: They're generous but demanding up
20	here, Cranston.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. OXER: Okay. I guess we're ready to go to
23	the action items. Is that correct?
24	Brooke, you've got some reports for us?
25	MS. BOSTON: I do.

MR. OXER: Good morning. Merry Christmas.

MS. BOSTON: Good morning. Brooke Boston. I am one of our deputies.

The first item is actually on the Section 811

Program, and our Section 811 Program manager, Spencer

Duran, would have been here to present to you, but he had a medical emergency. I'm actually thrilled to say he's fine but still not back. Recently over the last few months you've taken significant actions relating to 811, you put it in the QAP for the 2016 QAP, before that you had put it in the 2015 QAP for the first time.

As you may recall, the 811 Program provides project-based rental assistance to extremely low income persons with disabilities as they receive long-term services, and we put the points into the QAP to incentivize the ability to have properties in Texas who would actually participate and provide those units for us. Without that carrot, we weren't sure that we would get that participation.

So I actually wanted to kind of update you a little bit to see if that had been successful, and it was resoundingly successful, we got exactly what we wanted: it had the desired effect of prompting the participation. We generated an initial pool of eighteen properties which will be 192 units. Every one of the applications that

received a tax credit that was in an eligible MSA did participate in the 811 Program except one, essentially meaning that basically you had to have participated to get your award, so it had the intended effect.

Of the eighteen properties, seven are for existing properties which is what we were kind of hoping for because that means we can actually get a tenant in the units in early 2016 because the properties are already up and built. And then the remaining properties, eleven of those are for new construction and so those units will be brought on, of course, when those properties are done in about two years, and then we'll have those 811 units.

In tandem with that -- which is great, and then you guys kept it in the 2016 QAP and made some adjustments, which is wonderful, so we're excited to see that go into the next year -- we also wanted to try and expand the pool of other properties that are existing properties to see if we could get units participating so we could get people in sooner than that two-year construction build-out period, and so we also just recently released a request for applications, so that's kind of just going to be effectively like an open NOFA or open period, so any property that can come in and meet our qualifications, we can get them set up with tenants quickly.

So there's a lot going on with 811, as you can imagine. Now that the properties are finally coming online, we've got just a lot of contractual stuff going on, but we anticipate having our first tenants in probably in the first couple of months of 2016, so we'll report back on that when we have our first tenants.

MR. OXER: I think things are headed in the

MR. OXER: I think things are headed in the right direction at speed.

MS. BOSTON: Yes. The program staff is doing an excellent job.

MR. OXER: Under your direction, I'm confident that that's true.

Any questions from the Board?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: Thanks, Brooke.

MS. BOSTON: Sure. And actually the next item I think is me.

So the next item, as you know, I periodically get up and talk to you guys about some of the excellent things happening in the Department. I didn't want to miss that chance in December. I'm so grateful for everybody at the Department. And I just wanted to remind you, I know you get a ton of exposure to all the great things going on in some of our bigger areas that have ongoing Board agenda items, so I wanted to draw attention a little bit to some

of the things you see less of.

So this time I just kind of wanted to focus a little bit on numbers. You may recall over the last year there have been several organizational changes which included, among other things, that our loan servicing and single family asset management functions moved under Homero Cabello, who had historically only been over our Office of Colonia Initiatives and Trust Fund, so we moved those two activities to him. Also, when Marni abandoned us for multifamily, we moved NSP, Neighborhood Stabilization Program, under Homero as well. So all of those areas combined now under Homero are jointly called the Single Family Operations Division.

And since the creation and merger of that area being able to kind of streamline the staff and kind of play off of their strengths, one of their first initiatives was they took a really big push on delinquent loans, and we're thrilled to report that the single family delinquency loans went from 18 percent to 11 percent in five months, just through pure cold calling, working with every single person. So every single delinquent borrower right now is in some type of repayment plan with us, and the goal that Homero and his team have set for themselves is to reduce delinquencies by 5 percent by the end of next year, which we think is doable. So that's amazing and

1	exciting.
2	DR. MUÑOZ: From eighteen to five?
3	MS. BOSTON: Eighteen down to eleven in the
4	last five months, and then we think by next year.
5	DR. MUÑOZ: From eighteen to five?
6	MS. BOSTON: Yes.
7	MR. OXER: Do we have any comments from our
8	resident real estate and banking division over here on the
9	left?
10	MR. GOODWIN: Good job.
11	MS. BOSTON: And I'm sorry that Homero is
12	actually not here today.
13	MR. OXER: You guys listening at home out
14	there, tell Homero good job. Okay?
15	MS. BOSTON: And probably the greatest benefit
16	of that is not only bringing those households into
17	compliance, but when all of those delinquencies start
18	coming in, we're now bringing more money back into those
19	two programs which is Trust Fund and HOME.
20	MR. OXER: To be rolled over and used again.
21	MS. BOSTON: Right. So we're recycling and
22	getting more program income to spend than we had been able
23	to anticipate, which is awesome.
24	Similarly, on the NSP front, again, because of

just getting the leverage of the staff, we've been able to

25

close thirty loans since that merger, or thirty loans that will be closed by the end of the year. So I would say all of those are attributed in no small part to kind of leveraging the size of the team and cross-training and the willingness and excitement for the staff. They have all been really enthused about getting to learn each other's stuff, which is what we had kind of hoped.

The other numbers I wanted to brag to you about are our My First Texas Home Program, which is our first time homebuyer program. You hear a lot from Monica on the bond side of kind of getting the money for the program, and I just wanted to share this is like a huge conduit of activity that we share very literally with you in terms of true production of what we do. So in September and October of this year we've seen some unprecedented activity. In September we originated 135 loans totaling \$18.7 million, and then followed that in October with 156 loans totaling \$21.7 million. Those were the highest numbers we've had for that activity since July of '14.

Separately, for our MCC program, which is our Mortgage Credit Certificate Program, we similarly issued an unprecedented number of certificates in those two months. On September we issued 189 certificates, and this is ones that aren't layered with a loan, totaling \$30.7 million, and in October we did 143 at \$23.3 million. And

then we also have ones that we call combos which are where they both get a loan as well as the MCC certificate, and in those months we also did 62 of those in September for \$8.7 million, and 56 of those in October for \$7.2 million.

And all of those are high above what our normal kind of average rate would be for those months, so amazing kudos to Cathy Gutierrez and her team, and then, of course, Monica and her team because it's kind of all in tandem that they make all that happen.

With all that said, it would be easy for me to go on and on about all the other great back office stuff that happens, but I just want to say wonderful kudos to our staff and all the hard work that they do.

MR. OXER: Sounds like the machine is working and it's well oiled and it's sort of making progress.

One of the things that everybody will probably recognize in this, the big programs that are contentious and turbulent, like the Tax Credit Program, get a lot of attention because they're in a constant state of flux when we're evaluating, processing, creating, QAP-ing, all that kind of stuff, quirk killing. But one of the things about the back office programs, once they get in place and rolling, then they can accelerate and get well beyond what we were worried about at the time and we just don't hear about it.

Do a quick summary here, Brooke. That was for all those things over a fixed period. What was that period and what was the total on it?

MS. BOSTON: It was just over the two months, so for all those loans that I was talking about, it was just over two months. And you know, it's funny, I thought I should add these together, and I didn't. So that's almost \$300- in September-October for the loans and then closer to \$400- for the MCCs.

MR. OXER: So that's \$700- total?

MS. BOSTON: Plus the combos, so another \$150-, so \$850-. Very bad rounding, probably.

MR. OXER: Bobby Wilkinson, you're out there?

There he is. I meant to say hi to you, appreciate you coming by. Pass the word, would you? The gang is working here, doing a great job of it, and we appreciate the effort that they make. So thanks, Brooke, very much, and congrats to the staff.

MR. IRVINE: Can I?

MR. OXER: Tim, please.

MR. IRVINE: I just want to layer on a couple of comments about that. You mentioned turbulence. This is not just a smooth push-it, push-it, push-it product, this is a product that is constantly, intricately refined, and our staff works really hard in connection with our

bond finance folks and our FAs and everybody to put together the product that day by day is the best product for Texans.

I also want to say that we are a business development entity. We're in the economic development article of the General Appropriations Act for a reason. We are a big part of the engine for new home construction in Texas, and that's largely fueled by this program, and very proud of it.

MR. OXER: When I had the opportunity to testify before the legislature with respect to the Sunset provision for TDHCA, one of the ways that I characterized the agency and its efforts was that TDHCA is a state-owned workforce, housing development, finance bank with grant windows for energy assistance, weatherization, and community development and stabilization. So the very fact that we seem to be operating as a bank with that much success is more than a little bit satisfying to me that we're actually doing what we said we would do and doing it well.

So I congratulate all of the staff, and I think on behalf of the rest of the Board I'd say we all up here appreciate all that you do out there.

Anything else from the Board? Any other questions?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: Good.

On item 3(c), Elizabeth, did you have some report item?

MS. YEVICH: Good morning, and happy holidays.

And I will go on and on to continue on with Brooke's good news here. I am Elizabeth Yevich, the director of the Housing Resource Center, and I'm here for report item 3(c) which is regarding the progress of the Youth Count Texas!

During the last legislative session, two somewhat similar bills were passed and both directed TDHCA to conduct a study, both involved looking into the homeless population, and both landed in my division, Housing Resource Center, known as HRC, to coordinate. And what I'm here to report on today is House Bill 679, authored by Representative Sylvester Turner, and this bill requires TDHCA, in conjunction with the Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless, known as the TICH, to conduct a study on homeless youth.

A report on the study is due to the Texas

Legislature no later than December 1, 2016, and the

Housing Resource Center is working with someone most all

of you know and are familiar with, Naomi Trejo, with

TDHCA, who has moved from the Housing Resource Center to a

newly created position called the Coordinator for

Homelessness Programs and Policy.

Now, this bill requires a physical count of youth experiencing homelessness in Texas. Currently, HUD requires what is known as a point in time, or a PIT count, and that is an annual count of persons experiencing homelessness, and the HUD guidance says these must be conducted within the last ten days of January and that they must be I alignment with what is known as the Continuum of Care, or the COCs, governance charter. So to satisfy the count of youth experiencing homelessness required by this legislation, we have initiated what is called Youth Count Texas!, and that is for a statewide count, so this is a very intensive count and it's a needs assessment of Texas homeless and unstably housed youth. The youth count is anticipated to be held in conjunction with the point in time, or the PIT count.

This extensive study is being conducted in three phases. The first phase was the survey tool development. We completed that last summer, we held it in July and August, and we contracted with the Texas Network of Youth Services -- they are known as TNOYS -- and they gathered input and developed the surveys that are going to be used.

Phase II is what we are currently in and that is caused survey implementer, our implementation phase,

and this phase began several months ago in September and it's scheduled through March 2016, and we have again contracted with TNOYS to create training for the survey implementation, to provide technical assistance for the COCs, and provide data collection methodology in the system and to deliver reports on the implementation.

2.

Now, Phase III will be the final phase, the data analysis. It's expected to start later on this spring and that data from Phase II, along with the data collected from other Texas state agencies, will be analyzed to examine the number and needs of the homeless youth and the degree to which the current programs are meeting these needs, identify sources of funding that might be available to provide services to homeless youth, and to develop a strategic plan establishing steps to be taken and timelines for reducing youth homelessness in the state.

So to further elaborate, with me here this morning is April Ferrino. She's a policy analyst with TNOYS. April.

MS. FERRINO: Good morning. My name is April Ferrino. I am a policy analyst with Texas Network of Youth Services, also known as TNOYS, as you heard.

TNOYS is a nonprofit organization that represents youth service providers, and we provide

training and technical assistance to these providers and the community at large. We also advocate for young people to have access to resources and support and opportunities that they can lead fulfilling and healthy lives.

So as Elizabeth mentioned, we are the coordinators for youth count activities, and once we were awarded the contract, we formed collaborations with several organizations, and these include the Continuum of Care organizations, Texas Homeless Network, the University of Texas, and the Texas Homeless Education Office.

So to conduct the youth count, as Elizabeth mentioned, one survey was developed, two versions of it. We just finished in November developing an electronic toolkit which is accessible via our website to all potential organizations who would want to participate in Youth Count Texas! And in that electronic toolkit it includes count planning, community outreach and partnership information, how to do the surveys and how to go about counting youth, as well as how to train volunteers and to debrief the volunteers after the count is conducted.

Thus far, we're also liaising with the Continuum of Care organizations through weekly webinars, and during these webinars the attendees are learning about the resources that we provided in the toolkit in a more

in-depth basis.

And lastly, as Elizabeth mentioned, the organization and data collection will be handled by a researcher at the University of Texas, Dr. Cynthia Osborne, and that data collection will begin to occur in February and March, and it will be submitted to TDHCA for the analysis of the data to be conducted in the third phase.

I'll be happy to answer any questions you may have.

MR. OXER: Good. Any questions from the Board?

I have a question. And this is an extension of a federal program?

MS. FERRINO: No. Youth Count Texas! was the result of House Bill 679 that was passed in the 84th Session.

MR. OXER: Okay. So it's a Texas program.

What I was aiming at was to see how this compares to other states, if you know anything about that, just as a point of curiosity.

MS. FERRINO: I can't say with complete certainty, but I know it is rare that other states have conducted a full count of homeless youth, and I know Texas will be looked at for us undertaking this effort and I think the process evaluation that is part of the

deliverable of this contract will provide a lot of light on how the process went, what lessons were learned, both locally and at the state level, so that if this effort is replicated in future years, it can built upon, as well as other states and other even large counties, such as Cook County/Los Angeles, could learn from these efforts.

MR. GOODWIN: I have a question.

MR. OXER: J.B.

MR. GOODWIN: What type of information other than just a gross count, how are you breaking the categories down? Are you breaking down children between zero and five, children between five and fifteen?

MS. FERRINO: Sure. So on the survey we ask for their age or date of birth, whichever they're able to provide, so as I understand your question, I think that information will be conducted in the analysis part of the project which is the third phase which hasn't actually occurred yet. But just generally speaking, in youth homeless populations, providers generally think of youth 17 and under, and then those 18 to 24 in terms of really two distinct populations in terms of their needs and their homelessness situation.

MR. GOODWIN: Are you also gathering information on cause of homelessness?

MS. FERRINO: Yes. That is listed on the

1	survey for the youth to indicate why they're homeless.
2	MR. GOODWIN: And if you don't mind me asking,
3	what's the cost of this program to count?
4	MS. FERRINO: I'd defer to TDHCA for the exact
5	budget figure.
6	MR. GOODWIN: I recognize we're doing it under
7	the House bill, but I'd just like to know what is the
8	State of Texas spending.
9	MS. YEVICH: Elizabeth Yevich, Housing Resource
10	Center.
11	I believe in the legislation that we had
12	indicated for this study it was \$200,000.
13	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: I have a question. Is
14	there a definition for unstably housed?
15	MS. FERRINO: I would say there are several
16	definitions of unstably housed.
17	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Would any of them
18	include migrant families, children of migrant families,
19	any other like regularly transient?
20	MS. TREJO: Good morning. My name is Naomi
21	Trejo. I'm a coordinator for homelessness programs and
22	policy.
23	And regarding your question about migrant
24	families, migrant families are included in House Bill 679
25	if they meet certain criteria also listed in House Bill

679, and we are working with the Texas Education Agency to determine which ones will be counted in Phase III.

2.

MR. OXER: And while we appreciate that you're looking at the housing status for the youth that are out there, which is something principally that we address, how is this information shared? In addition to the education aspect of it, how is this information made available and shared with the other agencies in the state?

MS. TREJO: We're working very closely with the other agencies and specifically Texas Education Agency, Texas Homeless Education Office and Department of Family Protective Services. I'm in communication with them at least monthly to determine what data they already have that we can use in Phase III. And then we have also part of the Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless, many of those state agencies are also members of that council and a special work group was created for that council for youth homelessness. They were involved in Phase I which was the development of the survey, they're being involved in Phase III, and they will also be involved in Phase III.

MR. OXER: So we have a survey that TDHCA will handle, we'll take into account certain data needs that they will perhaps need and we'll add that to the survey to benefit them as well. Right?

MS. TREJO: That's correct.

1	MR. OXER: Good. Thanks, Naomi.
2	Anything else?
3	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: No. Thank you.
4	MR. OXER: J.B. anything else?
5	MR. GOODWIN: No.
б	MR. OXER: Okay. Elizabeth, anything else?
7	Great job. You know, kids are our future, a stable home,
8	whatever it is, however it's defined as stable, is the
9	foundation for building stability in that community.
10	MR. LYTTLE: Mr. Chairman, I just want to
11	clarify something, if I may, for Mr. Goodwin.
12	MR. OXER: Yes.
13	MR. LYTTLE: The cost of the study is covered
14	by every biennium the legislature appropriates \$240,000 to
15	TDHCA for educational research and studies on affordable
16	housing, so the cost of that legislation was encompassed
17	by those funds. That's what we're using to pay for it.
18	MR. OXER: So we basically get money every
19	legislative session to do some sort of educational or
20	academic investigation.
21	MR. LYTTLE: That's correct.
22	MR. OXER: And that's what we used it for this
23	time.
24	MR. LYTTLE: Yes, sir.
25	MR. OXER: Is that good, J.B.? Okay.

1 That seems to complete the report items. 2 MS. SYLVESTER: Just a quick correction. 3 Sylvester. Clarification. There's a small amount of the 4 money that's being paid for, as reflected in the Board writeup, through the HHSP program. 5 6 MR. OXER: Okay. We are sticklers for detail 7 around here. That's okay. 8 MR. DeYOUNG: If you want detail, Megan is your detail person. 9 10 MR. OXER: I got it, I got it. 11 MR. DeYOUNG: Michael DeYoung, Community Affairs Division director. 12 13 Item 4 on your agenda addresses the award of a 14 new are of services for the CSBG and CEAP services for a 15 seven-county area northeast of the Dallas-Fort Worth area. 16 A while back in the summer, the agency formerly 17 known as NETO, Northeast Texas Opportunities, voluntarily 18 relinquished both programs back to TDHCA. We came to you for authorization to release an RFA to get applicants for 19 20 the new service provider in the area. We released the RFA and we got four applications back. Those applications 21 22 were scored. The applicants included two councils of 23 governments and two nonprofits. 24 The way the CSBG Act is drafted, it is fairly

detailed as to how we go about awarding these areas of

25

coverage, and the two councils of governments, Texoma

Council of Governments and ArkTex Council of Governments,
were the two highest scoring respondents to the RFA but
they are both political subdivisions of the state, and as
such, the CSBG Act requires that we give priority to a
private nonprofit if there's one in the area who is
already a recipient of the CSBG funds. And we did have
one applicant that was adjacent to the area, they serve
the Texarkana area and Linden and a couple of other
communities, that is Community Services of Northeast
Texas, they're a private nonprofit, and they went through
all the previous participation review, they got a
favorable recommendation out of the EARAC committee, and
we are presenting them for a final permanent award of the
CEAP and CSBG programs.

CSBG, again, you'll recall, is the case management and kind of an umbrella grant for these organizations, and then the CEAP program is the utility assistance.

Our interest is getting these contracts in place as quickly as possible so that the new provider can reach out, expand his current office to include these new seven counties, which is about doubling of his service area. There will be a lot of work training and bringing his new staff on board and getting them up to speed on all

the rules and regulations, but we hope to be providing services in the area as quickly as possible, looks like probably a February 1 start date for new services, provided all the training works out and he can get all the people in place.

I had a conversation with him today -- or yesterday -- I apologize -- and he's excited about getting started as quickly as possible. So we'll merge the contracts and on January 1, he'll have the funds and his contract ready to go.

MR. OXER: Any questions from the Board? So we're essentially, even though NETO abandoned this -- not abandoned -- relinquished this contract, there's still going to be no gap in services? Or was there a small gap that we're sort of patching over right now?

MR. DeYOUNG: I would say there was a gap in services. We were able to make payments through Texoma Council of Governments, we had a temporary contract with them. Probably there were some individuals who probably struggled to find another provider during that time. They could reach out to Texoma and get services, but if they didn't get the link, there may have been a drop in services for one or two individuals. If anybody was enrolled in the program, we took care of them throughout the end of the year through Texoma Council of Governments.

And we'd like to say on the record that we appreciate Texoma Council of Governments stepping in to fill a void. At the drop of a that, they were in the area within a matter of days, making the payments and trying to find any clients that needed assistance. There may have been some individuals who didn't get assistance during that time, but if they were already enrolled, they were taken care of well by Texoma Council of Governments

MR. OXER: But we were meticulous in paying attention to the detail of being able to pursue this, perpetuate this program as best as possible transition to a new provider so that we didn't lose anybody in the net.

MR. DeYOUNG: Correct. And then what will be happen is there's a process where we will formally get all the records for anybody who was served either by Northeast Texas Opportunities, NETO, the former provider, Texoma, the temporary provider, and we'll try and get everybody who was enrolled in the program in the past or currently in this year in their records so they can reach out to them and say we are now the new provider in the area, and CSNT will roll forward as the permanent provider.

MR. OXER: And that includes, in addition to all the social networking and digital outreach, active knocking on doors for those people who wouldn't necessarily have that.

MR. DeYOUNG: Yes. In fact, we'll coordinate 1 2 with Michael's staff to put out some public notices to let 3 the public know throughout the papers that there is a new 4 provider and what contact numbers they should be calling, 5 get the website out there for them. Our records will all 6 reflect this on January 1. And one of the things that 7 goes on in our office, we receive a lot of calls just on our 1-800 line, we'll be referring them over to CSNT. 8 9 They'll also have another array of services that CSNT provide, they do meals, elderly meals, rural meals. 10 11 this is a good solution for the population of these seven counties. They'll get all the services they were getting 12 13 from NETO, and quite possibly some more. 14 MR. OXER: Good. Any questions? 15 MR. GOODWIN: Move approval. 16 Okay. Motion by Mr. Goodwin to MR. OXER: 17

approve staff recommendation on item 4. Is there a second?

MR. CHISUM: Second.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OXER: And a second by Mr. Chisum. request for public comment. Motion by Mr. Goodwin to approve staff recommendation on item 4, second by Mr. Those in favor? Chisum.

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. OXER: And opposed?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: There are none. Thanks, Michael.

MR. DeYOUNG: Thank you.

MR. OXER: Raquel. Raquel, you've changed. I like your tie.

(General laughter.)

MR. GOURIS: Thank you. Tom Gouris, deputy executive director.

Unfortunately, Raquel had a flood in her house and she's dealing with that, so she hopefully will be with us later in the day or later in the week for sure. But I'm here to speak to the next couple of items.

The first one is 5(a) and it's a LURA amendment, it's essentially a cleanup amendment for a twenty-year-old tax credit development known as Willow Pond Apartments. It received a tax credit allocation in 1994 for 394 units in 41 buildings. A couple of things have happened. They had given us notice shortly after that that they didn't have that many units anymore because one of the buildings, I think it was Building 26, was not repairable and it was demolished and they'd been operating under that presumption that we were okay with that because back in 1995 we're not sure how that transpired, but we never corrected the LURA, at any rate. So now they have 386 units in 40 buildings. The original LURA actually was

for a couple of extra buildings -- well, actually a couple fewer buildings because they were combined is what happened, and so we're separating them showing the number of buildings that they actually have. So right now they have 40 buildings and 386 units, so that's the cleanup piece.

2.

But another portion of that was one of the units they were using, actually a couple of units they were using for community service sort of activities. One was a kids club and the ownership transferred a couple of years back to the current group, WPA Investments, and the property had been operating with this kids club or with some community space in some of these units and they continued to do that. When we went out and talked to them about it with the new ownership, they said, Well, it's always been like that. And it turns out that as far as we can tell that they had been using the unit for a kids club, so that kids club is being operated by nonprofit and it provides after-school care and a place for the kids to be after school.

They also asked at this time for four units to be converted to serve households at an upper income, at up to 80 percent area median income. They said that this just something so that folks who were now over income or who were just slightly over could still stay at their

1 property or live at their property. We didn't find on 2. that point that there was any evidence that they're having 3 difficulty leasing units or that they needed those four 4 extra units to be financially viable. So that piece of 5 the request we're not making a recommendation to move forward with. 6 7 They had a public hearing on October 6 that was well attended and folks seemed to be wanting to see the 8 9 kids club, in particular, unit be used for that purpose. 10

well attended and folks seemed to be wanting to see the kids club, in particular, unit be used for that purpose. So we're recommending that the cleanup to this LURA be to approve that one of the units be allowed to be used for that community room or kids club room, but that we deny the request to convert four of the units to market rate. And I believe the owner is here to speak to that issue.

Any questions?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OXER: Any questions from the Board?
(No response.)

MR. OXER: So we're essentially correcting or making legal something that's been going on for a long time with respect to the kids club area.

MR. GOURIS: That's correct.

MR. OXER: So it's been like that so this is just putting it down and documenting the fact that it's okay like it is.

MR. GOURIS: It's not a requirement, it wasn't

a requirement of the LURA to use it that way, it wasn't something they requested or had as an amenity back in 1994, but it was something that's been used for a long time.

MR. OXER: Essentially grandfathered in.

MR. GOURIS: And it does appear to provide a benefit for the community.

MR. OXER: And with respect to the market units, or elevated rent on the four units, it was just something they requested to satisfy. What happens to the folks that are there that have graduated out of the income status?

MR. GOURIS: Well, typically there is a provision that you can graduate out of the status and continue to live there until you get to a status that's significantly higher.

What they're asking for is not to increase the rents for these four units but only to increase the level of affordability being targeted there, in essence, allow folks who wouldn't otherwise qualify initially to live in the property to live in the property but still at the rents that everybody else is receiving. So it's not an economic need to do it and it would reduce the number of units that are affordable at the 60 percent level. So we don't really have a provision for saying you can have tax

1 credit units that are above that level if you ask, and so 2 we're not recommending that change. MR. OXER: So staff recommendation is to 3 4 approve the community use room and disapprove the 5 escalation of the four units that they requested. MR. GOURIS: Correct. 6 7 MR. OXER: And those are combined in the resolution? 8 9 MR. GOURIS: That's correct. MR. OXER: Question, Dr. Muñoz? 10 DR. MUÑOZ: The kids club, that wasn't 11 authorized, that just sort of evolved? 12 13 MR. GOURIS: That's correct. 14 MR. OXER: We're essentially back authorizing 15 it for twenty years? 16 MR. GOURIS: After some period of time. 17 the years they've had this compliance issue come up. allowable under the Code to use a unit for a common area 18 but it wasn't authorized, and this is making it 19 20 authorized. MR. OXER: We're formalizing what's been the 21 22 actual de facto case for some time. 23 MR. GOURIS: Correct. 24 MR. GANN: I would point out that that is loss 25 of income to the owner because that's free to the young

1 people in that area. So a good plus. 2 MR. CHISUM: Question, Mr. Chairman. Is there any liability associated with grandfathering the kids club 3 4 back to this organization? MR. GOURIS: Well, I think that we're cleaning 5 6 up going forward, I don't know that we're suggesting any 7 grandfathering. MR. IRVINE: Wouldn't really refer to it as 8 9 grandfathering, it's simply approving a material amendment 10 so that going forward the LURA will reflect that that is a 11 permissible use and not taking any action with respect to 12 the prior misuse. 13 MR. GOURIS: That's correct. 14 MR. CHISUM: Thank you for the clarification. 15 MR. OXER: Any other questions? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. OXER: Hang on. You can't leave yet. 18 MR. GOURIS: Okay. MR. OXER: So this is to approve the community 19 20 use and not approve the escalation in rents. That's what the resolution is for. 21 22 MR. GOURIS: Not to approve the change in the income level for four units. 23 24 MR. OXER: Okay. Just making sure we're clear 25 on that. We'll have to have a motion to consider before

1 we'll take public comment. 2 MR. GANN: I make a motion to consider as 3 presented. DR. MUÑOZ: 4 Second. Okay. We'll take Mr. Gann's motion 5 MR. OXER: 6 to approve staff recommendation as presented, and 7 Dr. Munoz as a second. We have public comment. MR. MABUS: Good morning, Chairman and members 8 9 of the Board. Thank you for the opportunity to come 10 speak, and thank you for the presentation of what we're attempting to do at Willow Pond. 11 MR. OXER: The first thing I have to ask you to 12 13 do is identify yourself. 14 MR. MABUS: Yes. Sorry. My name is William Richard Mabus, I go by Rick, and I am a part of the 15 16 ownership interest at Willow Pond and part of the general 17 partnership interest, and office there every day and 18 office directly below the apartment that we're referring to as the kids club, so I can vouch for the fact that 19 20 they're there. MR. OXER: You know it has been used. 21 22 (General laughter.) MR. MABUS: It has been used. It sounds like a 23 24 troop of elephants slamming around on my rooftop, so I 25 have to get all my important phone calls done by three in

the afternoon.

2.

I wanted to clarify a couple of things on that, and I appreciate the explanation. It's actually not just a kids club but that's where we found our most important need is. The intent is to eventually be able to provide job training assistance for the adult residents that are there and those type of services. Behind Every Door, that is running this program, they have actually partnered with the local elementary schools and it's not intended to be after-school daycare, it's intended to be after-school tutoring programs.

They have direct relationships with the teachers at Hodgkiss Elementary School. The kids will walk in the door in the afternoon and say, I'm ready to do my stuff, I'm ready to have some fun. And they say, Well, you need to get your homework out first. Well, I don't have any homework. Well, no, I know you have homework, your teacher emailed me your homework assignments; get your math book out, open it to page whatever, and let's get this math done. And they've also partnered with Hodgkiss to send representatives within the Dallas business community there in our immediate area into the elementary school during the business days to read for thirty minutes at a time with different students, preferably students that first came from our apartment

complex, but then students within the elementary school. So it's a really neat, really important service that they're providing.

One last thing I'll mention, too, that they've done is they've partnered with Paul Quinn College, they have a Lexia Reading program. Paul Quinn College is a very unique university in Dallas that's done some really fantastic things, and education majors come up from Paul Quinn, which is in south Dallas. They come to our apartment complex and they have a huge bank of iPads that they pull out with the kids and they're also providing reading assistance with these kids. So it's a really neat partnership that they've been able to forge that's kind of drawing a bridge between north and south Dallas and our low income residents.

That's a really wonderful thing and we appreciate the recommendation to moved forward with that because it's really critical to what we're trying to do there. And it is worth noting that we are offering to give away income. When we assumed the responsibilities of this property about six years ago this spring -- maybe seven years ago now -- we knew it was a low income property but we absolutely refused to be slum lords and we knew we had an opportunity to serve our tenant base there. We can do better but that's what we are intent on doing,

and we are convinced that at some point maybe we'll make a living doing that, but in the meantime we can serve these residents, so that's what we intend to do and we appreciate the consideration for this.

2.

The reference to the 60 percent -- the reference to that third item which was the request to remove the income restriction from those units, the reasoning for that and the reason behind that is that a starting schoolteacher in DISD now makes \$50,000, if we had a single schoolteacher applying to live at our apartment complex and wants to live in north Dallas, they are now over qualified to be able to live there. And I hope my research is correct, that was off the DISD's website. A starting police officer makes above our minimum threshold, and I believe it was \$42,000 -- I'll have to double check that, but I know they make above what our 60 percent limit is.

So what we've requested is for that limit to be moved to at least 80 percent so that maybe we can get our community civil servants living in the north Dallas area in our low income neighborhood so that they can be representatives to our youth of our apartment complex that you can be a police officer in Dallas, you can be a schoolteacher, you can be these things. And so that's the intent of that request. It had nothing to do with trying

to move in the next oil baron -- not to be insulting to oil barons.

MR. OXER: There are fewer of them now than there used to be, by the way.

MR. MABUS: Maybe so.

2.

MR. OXER: With oil at \$34 a barrel, there's not that many left.

MR. MABUS: So that was the intent of that request, and I just wanted to have the opportunity to be able to speak to that and explain further why we would ask for that.

MR. OXER: Thanks, Rick. Any questions?

MR. GOODWIN: I have a question. So if we moved it to 80 percent, would the schoolteacher and the policeman be qualified?

MR. MABUS: With some of the brand new requirements, I'm not sure that they would. It would hopefully give us an opportunity to catch some of the -- because they just had pay raises for DISD, I believe, but I do think we could possibly get a teacher that's been with the district five or six years that would be willing to consider moving there. With the Dallas Police Department, I do not know that answer, I would have to research that. And I apologize for not having that information for you.

MR. OXER: And that's for four units out of 1 2 386, basically 1 percent of the population to be a 3 resident and exemplar of civil service, perhaps. 4 MR. MABUS: Yes. MR. GANN: I've got a question. 5 6 MR. OXER: Mr. Gann. 7 The way I see this, though, this is MR. GANN: no more income for you, this is just letting you have an 8 9 opportunity to put some people in there that could 10 actually help protect this whole program you're starting and doing, which I admire you for. 11 MR. MABUS: Yes, sir, that's exactly right. 12 13 MR. GANN: So there's no income to them, no 14 more increased income. They're just trying to get a 15 couple of people in there that might could really help 16 There's nothing better than having a policeman come 17 by his house in his car every once in a while and just 18 check on his family, it helps a whole lot in a lot of different things. And I hope that works. 19 20 I want to check on that and make sure. Is there anything that we have to do, Tim? I mean, I know 21 22 we've got to vote on it to change it, but where is the 23 negative?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

that the program is federally statutorily designed to

MR. IRVINE:

Well, I think that the negative is

24

25

serve 60 percent and under area median income households, and we at the staff level have had some initial discussions about the need to serve 80 percent households, but frankly, I would like to go through that on a more public discourse basis and look at what's the optimal way to address 80 percent households, if that's an appropriate thing for this Department to be doing with its resources and to develop a policy decision that applies uniformly. I'm always nervous when you start to do one-off exceptions to a program that's already structured a specific way.

2.

MR. OXER: Any other comments?
(No response.)

MR. OXER: And just for the record, Rick, it's laudable that you're trying to do that to attract some of these folks in there, and I think everybody in here recognizes the benefit of trying to create an atmosphere and environment where kids grow up recognizing civil responsibility, for example. You're doing the right thing but we don't have a mechanism to do it right now and do it the right way. What I'd like to really do is see if we could engage this in a conversation to figure out something, like Tim said, to figure out a mechanism within the tools that we have to build that environment that you're talking about that doesn't look like we're preempting something just exercising discretion. But this

is something that I think we all agree, certainly everybody up here agrees, it's something we ought to be looking at.

MR. MABUS: Well, and I'd like to offer our input or our feedback or our involvement if there is an opportunity for that discussion. It's been amazing to see. We didn't necessarily buy Willow Pond as a community outreach effort, we bought it as an investment when the economy was falling apart, and rather quickly we realized that all of a sudden we didn't know we maybe had a calling in front of us to do the right thing within Dallas. And so it's been a really unique experience. We all office there every day. The first three years we were there, I had a hit put out on me by a drug dealer because I was running him off our property. I didn't get into real estate to get dead either.

So this has become a really unique opportunity for us to really see this at the ground level, and it's been really eye-opening for us, and if we can share any of our experience and wisdom that we've gained through this with the members of the Board, we'd be happy to, or with TDHCA.

MR. OXER: It's probably evident the direction that this vote is going to be just because of the mechanism and mechanics that we've got to deal with, but I

would very much like to hear, even if it's an invited report to you to tell us what you've found where we can figure this out because that's exactly the direction that I think everybody has indicated they would like to see this go but we've got to figure out how to do that within the constraint of the rules that we use to constrain ourselves, as well as the community that we're working within.

MR. GOODWIN: I didn't hear Tim say we have rules that prevent us from doing this.

MR. OXER: Well, we don't.

MR. GOODWIN: I heard Tim say we have rules that I would prefer not to do this, and that's maybe Tim's preference. My preference is to do this. Other members of the Board may disagree with me, but I applaud the actions that you've taken and four units is peanuts. And maybe we can do this and look up a year from now and say did we accomplish this, or six months from now, use this as a test case or a test study.

MR. OXER: And maybe that's the right thing.

You know, we spend a lot of time, particularly on like the QAP and other things, exercising discretion, as we've noted, rarely and lightly, but in one of those more important moments when we have opportunity, it may be that we want to use this as the tip of the compass and not a

1 map so we can figure out which direction we want to go. 2 Dr. Muñoz. J.B., well, I thought I did hear 3 DR. MUÑOZ: 4 the E-D say that there are rules, statutes, federal and state, that may prevent us from. Otherwise, I might be 5 6 inclined to endorse the position of my esteemed colleague. 7 So Tim, maybe you just restate that. MR. IRVINE: Well, perhaps this is an 8 9 appropriate subject for discussion with counsel in executive session. I mean, the federal statutes that 10 11 create the Tax Credit Program target 60 percent and under, 12 and that goes into issues into what constitutes eligible 13 basis and so forth. This is a property that's outside of 14 its tax credit period, so the taxes are not an actual 15 issue in that regard. 16 MR. OXER: Let's make this easy on both of us 17 right now. We're going to table this until we come back from exec session and then we'll decide. 18 19 MR. MABUS: Are we okay to keep running our 20 kids club? MR. OXER: We're going to have an answer for 21 22 you today, but I'm just saying this is something that 23 we'll need to have some advice with counsel, which we do 24 in exec session. So if the Board is satisfied with that.

Is there a reason we can't vote

MR. GOODWIN:

25

1 on the kids club and table the second part? 2 MR. OXER: Well, right now the resolution is 3 combined components, and actually we vote on the 4 resolution, not the components of it. 5 MR. GOODWIN: Okay. 6 MR. OXER: Thanks, Rick. 7 Thank you very much. Appreciate MR. MABUS: 8 the opportunity. 9 MR. GOURIS: Any other questions on that? MR. OXER: Any other questions on that? 10 there an echo in here? We'll hold that one off until 11 12 later. I assume, Mr. Gann and Dr. Muñoz, you'd be willing 13 to table the motion until we return from exec session? 14 MR. GANN: Yes. DR. MUÑOZ: Yes. 15 16 MR. OXER: Okay. Next. 17 MR. GOURIS: The next item then is 5(b), and it is the consideration of a return of credit and 18 reallocation under the force majeure provisions 11.6 in 19 20 the QAP. This project, Emma Finke Villas, was allocated 21 22 \$391,709 in credit in 2013 for rehabilitation of 76 units 23 in Bellville, Texas. We've had a significant number of 24 transactions this cycle because of all the weather issues

and other issues come up and get very close to that placed

25

in service deadline which is December, the end of this month, and suggest to us that they've had weather delays and indicated weather delays, and we've actually approved a number of disaster-related delays due to weather, in combination with other events.

MR. OXER: We seem to have a lot of weather problems in Texas, huh?

MR. GOURIS: We do, yes.

Unfortunately, this property had some additional problems and had some weather problems.

Unfortunately, it wasn't in a declared disaster area, and therefore, couldn't take advantage of the disaster declaration extension. What's happened instead is -- in addition, I mean, is that they had delays in getting their loan structure approved with USDA and getting all that transaction done, it was a rehabilitation, so they had to get all that worked out before they could start moving tenants. They had time issues to have to move the tenants, and then one of the buildings that they were going to rehab in the first group of buildings -- because they were doing this sort of in phases -- burned down, and so that caused some further delays.

They've been working dutifully to try to get everything accomplished still with the deadline that they had. They expect to done as early as the end of January

1 now with that last phase and have placed in service by They've asked for the force majeure treatment where 2 3 they've returned the credits now and those credits are set 4 aside and will be reallocated, upon your approval, through a carryover out of the 2015 allocation and so they will be 5 6 able to place some units in service this year and the rest 7 of them early next year. MR. OXER: Is it all the credits or a 8 9 percentage of the credits? MR. GOURIS: All of the credits would be 10 11 returned. MR. OXER: All of them returned, they're back 12 13 in the pool and they're reallocated. 14 MR. GOURIS: And it's set aside and reallocated 15 under force majeure through a carryover this year so 16 they'd have 2015 credits. They could place some of the 17 buildings in service. 18 MR. OXER: So we're doing a swap on the credits. 19 20 MR. GOURIS: That's correct. 21 So this is kind of a last resort way to help 22 solve a development that's had some trouble getting 23 accomplished, give us this tool that hopefully very seldom 24 happened to be used, but in this case it merits use.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. OXER: And you're feeling confident that

25

1	the application is warranted?
2	MR. GOURIS: Yes, sir.
3	MR. GOODWIN: Move approval.
4	MR. OXER: Okay. Motion by Mr. Goodwin to
5	approve staff recommendation on item 5(b).
6	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Second.
7	MR. OXER: Second by Ms. Bingham. There's no
8	request for public comment. Motion by Mr. Goodwin to
9	approve staff recommendation on 5(b), second by Ms.
10	Bingham. Those in favor?
11	(A chorus of ayes.)
12	MR. OXER: And opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. OXER: There are none.
15	MR. GOURIS: Thank you.
16	MR. OXER: Item 6. Marni, you're back.
17	MS. HOLLOWAY: Yes, I am. Good morning,
18	Chairman Oxer, members of the Board, Mr. Irvine, Mr.
19	Eccles.
20	Item 6 is presentation, discussion and possible
21	action on an appeal filed for application 15093,
22	Stonebridge at Childress in Childress Texas. This
23	applicant received an award of housing tax credits at the
24	July Board meeting which was conditioned, through their
25	underwriting report, on the receipt of certain

documentation on or before the carryover documentation delivery date which was November 2. The applicant failed to provide the required documentation at that date, and as a result, the application was terminated on November 24, 2015.

On November 25, the applicant requested an extension of the carryover documentation delivery date to December 17 of 2015 -- which, of course, is today -- and implicit, of course, in this request was a waiver of the rule regarding the carryover documentation delivery date and an appeal of the termination is implicit in appealing the denial of their extension in their letter. So the request for extension was denied by the executive director on November 30, and the applicant filed an appeal to the Governing Board, which is what we're considering today, on December 1 of 2015.

The underwriting report, the condition that we are discussing included this condition: Statements from the lender and equity provider that they have performed a market study, including a statement of the level of review and based on that study they intend to move forward with processing their applications. That's the underwriting condition that we're discussing.

This condition was based on concerns that the capture rates for certain unit types were reported to be

as high as 333 percent in the original market study provided. When our underwriting staff went back to double check those calculations, they came up with 614 percent on some of these individual unit types. The underwriting report further recommended that the HOME funds that had been requested in the original application be denied on the basis of this very high risk because of these capture rates.

The recommendation for the housing tax credits was conditioned on that market study, and was, in part, based on communications with the original equity provider that they would engage their own study using a different methodology than the market study that was provided with the application. The statement did not represent a review of the existing market study, and therefore, the condition in the underwriting report was specifically phrased "Performed a market study," because that's what we were told was going to happen.

The underwriting staff was seeking confirmation from the lender and the investor that they had independently considered these capture rates and that they would not raise these as concerns as we were moving forward to closing the transaction. The underwriting report was published on July 15, the applicant did not appeal the condition at that time.

The commitment notice included a restatement of 1 2 that condition, was timely returned by the applicant on 3 September 17, without appeal of that condition from the 4 underwriting report. The carryover agreement was returned 5 on October 30 of 2015 without any of the carryover 6 supporting documentation or a request for extension. So 7 we got the agreement back before the due date, we didn't get the stuff that comes with it and we didn't get a 8 9 request for extension. 10 TDHCA staff contacted the applicant on November 2, which was the due date, just as a courtesy to remind 11 12 them --13 DR. MUÑOZ: Can I ask a question? 14 MS. HOLLOWAY: Yes. 15 DR. MUÑOZ: Could you just go back. What did 16 we get and not the stuff that goes with it? Like how do 17 we get something but not the things that are required of 18 it? 19

MS. HOLLOWAY: So the carryover agreements are issued by our staff and are specific to each transaction.

DR. MUÑOZ: And I'm asking this because you said that we did receive that in time.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOLLOWAY: We received part of it, we received the agreement back. There's a set of documentation that needs to come back with that agreement

and that's what we didn't receive.

MR. OXER: It's like having an incomplete application.

MS. HOLLOWAY: Right. So our staff contacted the applicant and said: Hey, I've got your agreement, I don't have this other information. The applicant provided documentation before the end of the day on November 2, so they were able to gather some documents together. They claim that that package meets all of those conditions; we disagree.

Part of the carryover package documentation that was required is resolution of conditions of the commitment notice that instructs the applicant to state each condition in the commitment that's due at the time of carryover. The applicant listed another underwriting condition on that form and provided information responsive to it, and we were fine. They did not include the market study condition on their package when it came back, they just left it out completely.

Real estate analysis staff contacted the applicant on November 6, so we're now four days past the deadline regarding the omission of the market study regarding those conditions. On that day the applicant provided a letter from the lender that had substantially the same language the lender had previously provided that

was not responsive to the condition.

On November 9, the applicant provided another letter from the equity provider -- but this is a different equity provider, this isn't the one we started with -- which stated they would not be conducting their own market study and that they found the original market study acceptable.

On November 24, the application was terminated under Section 10.402(f)(1) due to the applicant's failure to provide the carryover documentation related to the underwriting condition or request an extension prior to the deadline.

On November 25, the applicant requested an extension of the carryover deadline to December 17, as I mentioned earlier, so that would be to today. The basis and justification for the extension was related to some very unfortunate events that occurred for the applicant shortly before the commitment was due, and we are very sympathetic to this chain of events. They occurred two and a half months after publication of the underwriting report, so the applicant knew back in July that this was going to be a condition.

The request for extension was denied on November 30 because part of rule clearly states that carryover extensions will not be granted past December 1,

so the rule will not allow us to extend to December 17.

The appeal letter includes statements that the applicant believes they met the underwriting requirement with the letters provided on November 6 and 9, despite neither the lender nor the equity provider providing a market study.

MR. OXER: An independent market study.

MS. HOLLOWAY: An independent market stud.

Additionally, more detailed letters were provided from the lender and from the equity provider with the appeal that describe their reviews and their processes, and it also included a statement from the lender that they would be engaging a new market study that they planned to present at this meeting.

I will tell you that we did receive this market study via email yesterday. Staff has not had an opportunity to review it at all.

So the applicant has not timely met the conditions of the underwriting report that they knew about back in July. They did not timely request an extension of the carryover deadline. When they were, in fact, allowed additional time, they still failed to meet those requirements, therefore the application was terminated. The extension request was not timely provided, we got it almost three weeks after the deadline, and did not

1 establish adequate basis. These most recent letters and 2 the market study that they're presenting today would be, 3 in fact, six weeks later for that carryover deadline. 4 Therefore, staff is recommending denial of the appeal of both the termination and the request for 5 6 extension. 7 MR. OXER: Thanks, Marni. Any questions from the Board? Clear? Okay. 8 MS. HOLLOWAY: I think there's some folks here 9 to talk. 10 MR. OXER: I'm confident that we do. 11 12 Before we'll take public comment, we'll have a 13 motion to consider with respect to item 6. 14 MR. GANN: I so move staff as presented. 15 MR. OXER: Motion by Mr. Gann to approve staff 16 recommendation on item 6 as presented. Is there a second? 17 MR. GOODWIN: Second. 18 MR. OXER: Second by Mr. Goodwin. We have request for public comment. 19 We'll 20 start here. MR. SPICER: Good morning, Chairman, members of 21 22 the Board. I'm Jeff Spicer with State Street Housing, and as Marni had mentioned, an unfortunate confluence of 23 24 events that have led us to this point that we want to

discuss, and we won't go into those events, those are

25

detailed in your board book.

We did submit the carryover package in a timely manner. The package, we acknowledge, was unintentionally deficient. We had submitted right prior to the deadline on November 2, and as we were trying to pull that together quickly, due to the unforeseen events, we had unintentionally missed one item, and unfortunately, it was the item that staff found most important in the book.

While we acknowledge it was unintentionally deficient, we also understand that staff failed to appropriately give us notice to satisfy this deficiency. According to Multifamily Rules, Subchapter E, the post-award and asset management requirements, Section 10.401(d), the Department may cancel a commitment, a determination notice or carryover allocation prior to the issuance of IRS Forms 8609 with respect to a development and/or apply administrative penalties if the application or development owner or the development, as applicable, fails, after written notice and a reasonable opportunity to cure, to meet any condition of such commitment or determination notice.

Staff provided us neither a written notice nor a reasonable opportunity to cure. Had we been provided such an opportunity, we would not be here today.

The requirement, and as in the board book what

staff was looking for, the underwriting staff was seeking express confirmation from the lender and investor that they have independently determined that based on their understanding of the market, they would not raise capture rate or rent/market rent issues as a basis or concern for not closing. Both our lender and our equity provider at that date had done their full market due diligence, and as stated in their letters, were going to proceed to close this development. They had done full market due diligence. We have our equity provider here today to state that.

We did change equity providers along the way. We found, as often happens, a better deal. Someone gave us additional money, they were putting in 80 percent of their funds during construction. They believe in this deal.

So as I will summarize real quickly for you, staff failed to provide written notice, as required by the rules; staff failed to provide sufficient opportunity to cure. We have thoroughly addressed the market conditions, and had done so well prior to this date. We had started engaging the equity provider back on August 19, asked them specifically to look at the market and make sure that was not going to be a concern before moving forward. We have already started our closing calls, and prior to receiving

the termination in October, we had already started towards closing this development. Both our lender and equity provider are willing and able to state that there is no market concern of theirs and we are willing to close this transaction.

Thank you.

MR. OXER: Okay. Any questions from the Board? (No response.)

MR. OXER: Mr. Spicer, would you like some assistance in getting signed in up there? Peggy, help him out.

MR. BOTTS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Hunter Botts. I'm with Affordable Housing Partners, a Berkshire Hathaway company. We're a direct equity provider buying credits directly for our own use for Berkshire Hathaway. As such, we do not order independent market studies, we rely on our asset management group to do its own internal market study.

To give you a little timeline on this, myself and members of our organization have known this developer for some time, and when we saw that they received the award on this development, we sought them out and said, Hey, we would like to competitively make a proposal to you. So on August 6, we received the RFP package from the developer. On August 12, we sent our proposal,

knowing this was going to be a competitive bid situation.

On August 19, the developer asked us to review the market study to see if we were going to be able to be comfortable with the market. I did inform them that we don't routinely commission a new market study. We've closed quite a few transactions here in the State of Texas, both 4 percent and 9 percent, and we've never ordered an outside market study, we rely on our own internal asset management group to go do that.

So subsequently, what we did was we contacted all of the managers of the comps that were listed in the market, we identified some additional properties that we felt should have been identified as comps, we spoke with the executive director of the local housing authority, we visited the site, we visited all the comps. And so we got back with them and said yes, we've satisfied ourselves to the market, acknowledging that there does seem to be some softness in the 60 percent AMI units at max rents, but we got through normal stressing procedures within our analysis anyway, and so we had done that and everything proved up fine.

So basically, we sent a revised -- there were some still ongoing negotiations relative to price and payin schedule, as Jeff mentioned -- we sent a revised proposal on October 15, received the signed proposal on

October 21, and had our first closing call with our legal counsel and their whole team on October 28. So we satisfied ourselves to the market, the way we always do, we don't rely on third party market studies, and we were in the process of moving forward to close this transaction and had several scheduled closing calls until this particular issue has come up.

2.

We don't have all of the construction and permits and those kinds of things, but as it relates to the market itself, which seems to be the concern of staff, we have satisfied ourselves as the equity provider that we're comfortable with those market conditions. And as Jeff has stated, we're contributing 80 percent of our total equity in during the construction, so that essentially, the construction loan is no greater than what the permanent loan is sized to be, so there's no construction loan overhang for the developer to have to be concerned about. And we stand here today to tell you that we're satisfied with those market conditions.

MR. OXER: Okay. Any questions from the Board? (No response.)

MR. OXER: And I would point out that independent doesn't necessarily mean third party. Independent can be within your own staff.

And I want to get a clarification of this,

Marni and Tom, independent means it's not simply accepting
the report that was done by the applicant. Is that
correct?

MR. GOURIS: Yes.

MR. OXER: Okay. So potentially -- and I don't want to get shocked over here with a cattle prod from our general counsel, but an independent review of the market study that was done by the applicant, it doesn't have to be third party to constitute independent. Is that correct?

MR. ECCLES: Yes, sir.

MR. IRVINE: I would actually offer a slight spin on it. I want to make sure that the equity provider and the lender have done whatever they believe is appropriate to make themselves comfortable with the market and that they would not raise capture rate or market scope concerns as an impediment to moving forward.

MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir.

MR. OXER: And you're willing to state that for the record?

MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir. As I stated, we had started our closing call process with the developer back in October. We were moving towards closing, understanding that closing at that time was projected to be sometime end

of January, early February, and we had had several scheduled calls subsequent to the initial call, so we were moving forward with that.

MR. OXER: Any questions?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: Thank you.

John, good morning.

MR. SHACKELFORD: Good morning.

MR. OXER: So far.

MR. SHACKELFORD: Yes. Chairman Oxer, members of the Board, Mr. Irvine, Mr. Eccles, thank you for allowing us to come before you today.

In addition to what Mr. Spicer mentioned about the notice requirement that we feel like TDHCA in this instance overlooked complying with their own rules in that regard, also in the carryover manual it states on page 4 that if deficiencies are found in a carryover submission, the Department will notify the development owner who will be given a specified length of time to correct the deficiencies. So I submit to you that in this particular instance TDHCA looked past their own rule that Mr. Spicer cited to you as far as giving notice in a reasonable length of time. Also, in the carryover manual the Department failed to give notice as required of the deficiency and allow them to have a specified length of

time to provide what was necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You heard Mr. Botts mention about where they are with the equity, and I apologize for the delay, but a new capture rate analysis was done and provided to the Department yesterday. Lisa Vecchietti could not be here, she's with Lancaster Pollard, but she sent an email and has sent it to Tom, Marni and Brent Stewart, and if I may quote a little bit from it, she says: I apologize for the delay and the last-minute input. We're in the midst of year-end closings. Bob Coe -- who was the market analyst that was engaged -- and I had a call with my underwriter this morning after scheduling issues. Based on the information provided by the engaged market analyst's preliminary capture rate analysis, there is a market for the proposed units, and Lancaster Pollard intends to continue with processing and submittal of application to USDA for a permanent loan through the 538 guaranteed loan program.

And then it goes on, I can read the rest of it if you like, but essentially, I think that was the gist of what we were trying to communicate to you, what Mr. Botts communicated is in this particular development both the lender and the equity provider are fully engaged and they're absolutely moving forward on this particular transaction, despite a market study being done and

provided on a timely basis.

And I don't know if you had an opportunity to review my letter that's in your board packet or not, the reason why I asked for a waiver request was because of the rule that by December 1 all these had to be finalized on carryovers, and so I'm in the unfortunate position of bringing up that dirty word I know that we have at the Board and the Department of asking for a waiver in this instance to go beyond the December 1 date to today's date.

MR. OXER: But only to today's date?
Essentially what you're saying is you got it in, plus if
the equity provider and the lender are satisfied and
there's no overhang on the construction loan. I think,
tell me if we're wrong, Tim, this whole thing was put in
place so that we didn't get tax credits in there until the
end of the season, sign this thing, and somebody says we
don't want that because you didn't do this. If the lender
and the equity provider are confident --

MR. SHACKELFORD: In closing the deal.

MR. OXER: -- in closing the deal, if they're headed down the track to close this thing.

MR. SHACKELFORD: Correct, that we won't be in a situation where we're giving back credits and creating an issue for you all.

MR. IRVINE: And I did not hear the unequivocal

clarity on the lender's side that I heard on the investor's side. I heard the word "preliminary" and moving forward with processing this. Could you speak to that? Do we have this kind of certainty from the lender as well?

2.

MR. SHACKELFORD: Could you tell us what Hunter did?

MR. SHACKELFORD: Well, Lisa would have to be the one, Ms. Vecchietti, with Lancaster Pollard, to do that. I know in the email she's confident. I can represent to the Board she's confident the deal is going to close. So I can represent to the Board that is the case. Lancaster Pollard has engaged this Bob Coe to do a full market study analysis, but there wasn't enough time to get it done from when this issue reared its head to such an extent that they sought to engage it. Jeff Spicer then, knowing that we had the Board meeting today, asked the guy that Lisa Vecchietti engaged if he could do something short of that that we could present to the Board by today.

DR. MUÑOZ: I have a question. Your characterization of this point rearing its head, and then I understood earlier that there was ample time and notification, beyond that there was additional communications that afforded you the opportunity to

provide it, to provide it, but I've heard at least three times the intimation that staff went outside of its rules. That's the part that's giving me some pause. You obviously feel that way, I'm not sure that they feel that way.

2.

MR. OXER: And it seems to be hanging, John, on the written notification of the insufficiency or deficiency. Is that correct?

MR. SHACKELFORD: Right, that's correct, that's correct. And I don't deny that Mr. Spicer was aware on July 15 that there was going to be a condition that was required, that's a given. But like most developers, they usually don't wait until they get the commitment in August before you start moving towards satisfying carryover.

If you read the Board book and the letter that I provided, you'll see what we claim were the extenuating circumstances that the very next day after the commitment came out by TDHCA what their family was dealing with. And there was another incident that was occurring right before the November 2 deadline that, if you would just take my word, there was another significant family issue going on with them that created even more turmoil and stress in the household that they had to get past right on that same day.

And then we did not get written notice. Mr.

Stewart was kind enough to call Jeff Spicer and say: Hey, where are these things; we haven't got it. Not denying that staff was helpful. Ben Sheppard called on the 2nd saying you didn't get everything in.

MR. OXER: There was a lot of things going, they've got turbulence, staff was helping, so we nicked a piece off the rule and we didn't get the letter or something like that.

MR. SHACKELFORD: Right. And the thought, from conversations with Mr. Stewart was: Look, the bottom line is real estate analysis was looking for some independent verification from the lender and the equity provider that they're going to be good to go. And so Mr. Spicer thought he was satisfying what Brent was looking for with these letters, saying they've looked at it, they've done their own analysis, they're good to go. We tried to beef up those letters after we got Ms. Holloway's termination letter, beef up those letters as soon as possible.

The termination notice came out on November 24, two days later was Thanksgiving, so trying to get anybody engaged in going from that point afterwards until you get back to Monday, November 30, you're running out of days until now it's December 17. So we've tried the best we could with what we had to work with and the calendar and that kind of thing.

1 MR. OXER: Okay. Stay where you are. 2 Hunter, come back up, if you would. And the 3 reason I ask you to do that is so we can get you on the 4 record so Nancy can spot you. 5 You're the equity provider in this? 6 MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir. 7 MR. OXER: Okay. Even if it's a lender who's going to take this out, ultimately you carry this on the 8 9 back-end. You have the equity in this. 10 MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir. 11 MR. OXER: And you're willing to state 12 unequivocally you're in the deal? 13 MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir. We've satisfied 14 ourselves, our due diligence. 15 MR. OXER: And I would remind Nancy and the 16 record, Hunter Botts. You have to identify yourself every 17 time you come up to speak. MR. BOTTS: Hunter Botts with Affordable 18 Housing Partners, a Brookshire Hathaway company. 19 20 We have satisfied ourselves to the market due diligence. I can't state here today that all of the due 21 22 diligence has been complete because we've been going 23 through that process when we started the closing calls. 24 We still have plans and specs, we still have building

permits, there are still other things that are ongoing as

25

part of the natural due diligence process, but as far as addressing staff's concern that we as the equity investor have satisfied ourselves and have done our due diligence relative to the market conditions, yes, sir, that is correct.

MR. OXER: Any other questions?

MR. CHISUM: Yes. Did you give the developer

anything in writing confirming that?

MR. OXER: Tolbert, turn your mic on.

MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir. We provided two letters, actually, that were forwarded to staff indicating that we were comfortable with the market and that that was not going to prevent us from proceeding with our closing process, in addition to any number of conversations that we may have had, but there are two letters that had been provided to that effect.

MR. OXER: And the second one was that beefed up that John was referring to. Is that right?

MR. BOTTS: Yes, sir. It just gave a little more detail. The first letter stated that we were okay with the market and we had done our due diligence, but staff came back and wanted a little more detail, so we provided more detail in the second letter.

MR. SHACKELFORD: Excuse me. Mr. Chisum, both those letters are in your board packet.

1 MR. CHISUM: Thank you. MR. OXER: That's the discussion on the record 2 3 so we can keep it out. 4 Tim, did you have a comment? MR. IRVINE: Yes. I just wondered if staff had 5 6 any further comments that they wanted to make in this 7 regard. MR. OXER: Marni, do you want to address this? 8 9 MR. IRVINE: And as Marni comes up, we've all talked about the market and comfort with the market. I 10 11 think it might be useful if we at least described what the 12 market is. 13 MS. HOLLOWAY: Describe what the market is? 14 MR. IRVINE: Yes. What's the market for this 15 development? 16 MR. GOURIS: If it's okay, she might have some 17 items to correct on the record, and then maybe I'll 18 address the market issue. MR. SHACKELFORD: Recognizing that I am not 19 20 part of the REA staff, I'm not going to comment on that 21 part. 22 So a couple of things. Mr. Shackelford mentioned the deficiency process that's described in the 23 24 carryover manual. Yes, in fact, deficiencies are

mentioned as a possibility. Let me read to you what's on

25

the first page of the carryover manual, which is included in your board book. It says: Please note that the development owner is responsible for the timely delivery of complete carryover documentation. Late deliveries will be accepted only if an extension has been approved pursuant to 10.402(f) of the Uniform Multifamily Rules. Commitments for credits will be terminated if the carryover documentation or an approved extension is not received by the November 2 deadline.

2.

That statement echoes what is in 10.402(f)(1) that says: Commitments will be terminated if the carryover documentation has not been received by this deadline unless an extension has been approved. This termination is final and not appealable, and immediately upon issuance of the notice of termination, staff is directed to award the credits to other qualified applicants based on the approved waiting list. So we were following that section of the rule. When we did not receive the full documentation, we terminated the application.

MR. OXER: I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. HOLLOWAY: Regarding Mr. Hunter's comments on the process that they were going through, we were not apprised of that information. We were not told, we were not consulted while they were doing all of their work

1 that's been described to us, so our real estate analysis 2 staff has not had an opportunity to evaluate that 3 information, consider it in light of their condition. 4 And yes, there are more complete letters provided in the appeal, but again, here we are on December 5 6 17, the due date was November 2. 7 MR. IRVINE: And the submittal we just received was received too late to make into the board materials. 8 9 MS. HOLLOWAY: Yes. 10 MR. IRVINE: A 71-page appraisal. MS. HOLLOWAY: And there are emails at ten 11 12 o'clock this morning. I can't do anything with those 13 right now. 14 MR. OXER: You're good but you're not that 15 fast. Right? 16 MS. HOLLOWAY: Right, absolutely. 17 MR. GOURIS: Tom Gouris, deputy executive director. 18 So the market for this property, originally a 19 20 market study suggested that the market for this property was the entire county of Childress. When we went through 21 22 underwriting, the application was to also include HOME funds with this transaction, and because those are direct 23 24 award, that's direct funding from us and a liability to

the state, our underwriting division, when they looked at

25

the market area, said this isn't going to work, this is not viable, we don't recommend the HOME award. They were, in essence, I think, convinced to move forward and recommend the possibility of a tax credit award subject to confirmation that the lender and equity provider had that confidence and that we could understand how they got there. And that's why we took the extra step in saying: Share with us how you got there, get an independent market analysis to help us with that.

That's the information that was available back in July. That's the conversations that were had between our underwriter and the then equity provider and lender back in July -- at least the then equity provider. And so there was an understanding that that equity provider was going to do their own independent underwriting market study, and our condition was sort of built off of that representation from that equity provider. That equity provider is no longer in the transaction, we have a different one who is comfortable with it based on their own analysis.

Back to the market now with this new market study, again, we haven't had time to really thoroughly evaluate it. A couple of things we have noted: it was commissioned by Mr. Spicer, initially there was no reference to it being used by anyone other than us and Mr.

Spicer, so the lender and the syndicator weren't included in the mix. The email that we just received from Ms. Vecchietti indicated that she's comfortable with that situation, that in order to avoid delays from their accounting and ordering their own market study, she was okay with Jeff hiring this market study.

2.

As far as the scope of this new market study, the market area that is defined by this market analyst is extremely problematic. In order to get to sort of an acceptable conclusion from the study, they used eleven counties in the Panhandle area, which is over 7,000 square miles, roughly equivalent to the size of the State of New Jersey. It is an extraordinarily large market area.

The reason that's a problem is because someone living in that market who would potentially be --

MR. OXER: They're not going to live in Garden City and move down to Newark.

MR. GOURIS: For example, if they lived in Pampa which is not even at the full extreme of this market area -- I'm sorry -- if they worked in Pampa and they wanted to move to Childress from Pampa and had to commute that distance, it's 103 miles. If they had to do that five times a week there and back, at 57 cents a mile, that cost to them would be \$29,000 a year. That's not an affordable situation; it's a market area that just doesn't

make sense.

2.

In Ms. Vecchietti's letter this morning, she indicated that they did a quick review of it and she got comfortable with a five-county area. I don't know which five counties those are, but even that would be a significant area to establish the viability of a transaction.

So the request from underwriting wasn't just that we wanted to make sure that the lender and the syndicator were comfortable with this, but we wanted to understand the how they got comfortable with it because we weren't comfortable with it and we wanted to make sure that they wouldn't later on not be comfortable with it for some other reason, recognizing that this is a very difficult market area to get comfortable with.

MR. OXER: Thanks, Tom.

John. Make it quick, one minute, please.

MR. SHACKELFORD: I'll be very quick. The five counties that Ms. Vecchietti asked about was Childress, Collingsworth, Hall, Cottle and Hardeman. Those are the five counties, Mr. Gouris, that you didn't have that information available.

And the other thing, with all due respect to Mr. Gouris, I take issue with him saying what now staff was looking for in connection with these market studies

because he's now questioning the market studies or the analysis that the lender in this instance is relying on.

Again, I go back to what Ms. Holloway said was the condition that we're talking about. Statements from the lender and equity provider indicating they have performed a market study, including statement of level of review and based on that study they intend to move forward with processing their application. Nowhere in that condition does it say that the independent study or market study that had to be obtained by lender equity provider had to satisfy real estate analysis, it just said you go do something you've got to do to make sure, in effect, we're not going to have an issue with getting credits back because you guys decide not to close.

So I would say that what Mr. Botts has already testified to, they're good to go, and what the lender is advising in an email to staff and what Ms. Vecchietti said -- she's available to talk to staff today, she couldn't be here this morning but she's available to talk to staff today -- that the lender is good to go.

MR. OXER: So in summary, the condition on this is essentially that the lender, the equity holder, the developer are all saying this is a good deal, they're ready to go, the law firm is into it, and they're looking for a way around some of the timely availability of some

1 of the data to support their position, but they're willing 2 to say they're in the deal. 3 MR. SHACKELFORD: Right. 4 MR. OXER: All right. Is that clear? MR. CHISUM: Mr. Chairman. 5 MR. OXER: Mr. Chisum. 6 7 MR. CHISUM: If we do not approve this, does the deal collapse? 8 9 MR. OXER: As the resolution is written, approval of the staff recommendation terminates the deal. 10 11 So to answer your question, if we approve the resolution, 12 the deal collapses. 13 MS. HOLLOWAY: Marni Holloway, director of 14 Multifamily Finance. As directed by our rule, if this appeal is 15 16 denied, we will move immediately to award the credits to 17 the next application in line on the waiting list. 18 MR. OXER: So the credits are not lost, that's 19 not the question on this. We've always got more projects 20 than we have money. MR. CHISUM: Right. I understand. 21 22 MR. OXER: The question is does this deal 23 warrant the provision of a waiver and an extension in this 24 case to support their request with the lender and the

25

equity holder.

1 MS. HOLLOWAY: As I stated earlier, it's 2 staff's position that this applicant has not timely met 3 these requirements. We have not had an opportunity to 4 evaluate statements, and while I understand that there are 5 these emails going back and forth right now as we speak --6 MR. OXER: The documentation that you have 7 available to make a decision on doesn't support what the request is based on the documentation you had up through 8 9 yesterday. DR. MUÑOZ: Tom, and for the executive 10 director, is the issue of the incompleteness of the 11 12 documentation in dispute at all in terms of what was 13 required by rule was not provided in a timely way? Is 14 that in dispute at all from your position, or the ED? 15 I mean, I understand, I appreciate the likely 16 viability of the deal, but how many times have we denied 17 applicants because of a deadline? 18 MR. OXER: They were late for four hours on a deadline. 19 20 DR. MUÑOZ: And so I mean, it is going to make it very difficult to sit up here and say to someone: 21 22 Well, we said five o'clock, and yeah, sure, it was 5:03. 23 I mean, we've been in this position before and I think

side of the rule and what we are required to do regardless

that the Board, at least most recently has erred on the

24

25

of circumstance, unforeseen or otherwise. I mean, this is the basis for likely what will be my position.

MR. OXER: A very informed and sophisticated gentleman told me one time that every rule without a hard edge and a penalty is just a guideline.

MS. HOLLOWAY: This is true.

MR. GOURIS: That's exactly right. And the rule is structured in a way so that the actions that have been taken, the termination and all the things that have taken place, gets to a point where your role isn't to terminate the transaction, the transaction has been terminated, your role is to reinstate, if that's what you choose to do, and grant a waiver to extend these deadlines and extend the provisions.

Because, in fact, they are claiming, if you all find it acceptable, that they've provided now the information we requested months and months ago and was required to be provided in the carryover document, they're claiming that they provided that today or yesterday with that independent evaluation, which we haven't had time to evaluate, which has all these concerns. And so they would contend that they provided it, they would contend, possibly, that they provided it originally with the original commitment letters because the original commitment letters said roughly the same thing: We're

willing to move forward with this transaction. We needed something more than that and we asked them specifically for that.

end, aside from whatever written notice issues really has to do with at application when we underwrote this deal, we probably needed to be more firm and say: Hey, we don't recommend this deal at this point. But we had conversations with their equity provider and their lender who had indicated to us that they were going to be moving forward with more information and that that information could easily be obtained by carryover. For whatever reason, nothing happened, nothing happened, and they got a different equity provider who has a different way of doing it, who didn't apparently read or didn't take issue with the comments that were in the underwriting report that were published on the web, that were available to them to do their due diligence.

MR. OXER: Okay. Anybody who has been at more than of these meetings over this period of time will recognize that we spend a lot of time trying to maintain the integrity of our rule.

You have a comment, sir?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Brett Johnson with Overland Property

Group out of Kansas City. I'm here representing second place, The Reserves at Perryton which, incidentally, is in that eleven-county area.

I don't like being up in this position against a competitor I respect. Jeff and I go head to head all over Region 1 and 2. If the roles were reversed, he would be here saying the same thing I am. In fact, he has been because I lost a deal to him a few years ago because I didn't meet the deadline and follow a rule. It's not fun, it's not some way that I want to get a deal, but unfortunately, those are the rules that we use. There is market in Perryton that will take these credits and use them.

I want it to be clear that if we allow this to happen, a lot of rules will have to be waived, and those would be precedent-setting waivers which would make this much more unfair to the rest of us that apply every year.

MR. OXER: Make it a bunch of guidelines instead of rules.

MR. JOHNSON: Exactly, and that was very well stated, and Dr. Muñoz, you said it very eloquently, they're there for a reason. I'm sorry it happened, Jeff. This team, I know them. Hunter. It's not a good way for me to get a deal. I don't like having to do that this way but it's a rule, and I had to follow them, all of our

documents are in place, and we ask that you consider what staff is recommending.

MR. OXER: Good. Thanks, Brett.

MR. JACK: Good morning.

MR. OXER: So far.

MR. JACK: My name is Darrel Jack and my company is Apartment Market Data. We performed the original market study, and going to what Mr. Irvine asked earlier about what is the market in Childress. The market is thin. The QAP drives developers to small rural Texas based on first and second quartile census tracts and schools that score. That's the whole reason that Mr. Spicer ended up in Childress along with two other developers, and Mr. Spicer won the resolution from the city.

But the issue really here is today is the original market study that was delivered to the Department and used for the original underwriting, it met the requirements of the QAP. For the 2015 round, there is no underwriting of the capture rate by unit type, and I think you need to understand that. The only rule is for the overall capture rate. The issue, as I understand it from my discussions with Brent Stewart and with Mr. Spicer, is: Is a syndicator, an equity provider really going to go to a small market like this? And that was the concern that

caused the additional letter on making this contingent on the application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you have to remember, the original market study and the underwriting of that study met the QAP requirement at the time that the application was submitted. And so this is extra that you're asking the developer to do outside the QAP. And as you make your decision, you're right, rules matter, and I think everybody in the audience these last several years understands that this Board really applies the rules in a very black and white manner. But the black and white manner is that this project met the original requirement for the capture rate and that there's nothing in the QAP for 2015 that requires an underwriting or even gives the Department the authority on the issuance of the tax credits to hold back tax credits based on a high capture rate by unit type. That didn't come about until the 2016 OAP.

So it's not the developer's fault that he's gone out to small rural Texas, it's the way that the rules are. But as rules apply, the capture rate test was met for this project or the award never would have been made. He met threshold.

Thank you.

MR. OXER: Good. Thanks, Darrel.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Are there any other questions from the Board?

Any other public comment on this item?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: Tom, did you have something you want to say, or Marni, something else? Mr. E-D?

MR. IRVINE: I had a comment. To me it all really comes down to one pretty simple thing, that between November 24 when the notice of termination was given and today has been a lapse of 23 days, and I personally have not heard an unequivocal statement from the lender that they have performed whatever analysis they deemed appropriate, based on a common understanding of the market, that they're comfortable.

MR. OXER: Thirty seconds, Tom.

MR. GOURIS: I just wanted to clarify a point that Mr. Jack made. The underwriting rules did not specifically address, he's correct, they didn't specifically address individual unit capture rate, but it didn't prohibit the staff from commenting on that because if it had, it would say all you have to do is these things and we don't have to look at it at all. We evaluate the market study very thoroughly, we need to be able to do that, and when things come up that are out of the ordinary, we bring that out in our underwriting or in questions to the market analyst.

1 In this particular case, coincidentally, we have included a new rule in the 2016 that specifically 2 3 addresses this issue in order to make sure that everyone 4 is aware, hey, this is a common sense thing, even if you meet the overall capture rate but you far exceed the 5 individual unit capture rate, your deal is not going to be 6 7 able to move forward. And that's what Mr. Jack was referring to, that new rule is a new rule for 2016, but it 8 9 wasn't something that we were going to walk past or walk 10 over, in the past it just wasn't something that we thought 11 we needed to put in a rule, and obviously we did. 12 MR. OXER: Thanks, Tom. 13 MR. ECCLES: Tom, I have a couple of questions. 14 MR. GOURIS: Sure. 15 MR. ECCLES: First, when was notice given to 16 the developers of the need and condition of a market 17 study? 18 MR. GOURIS: In July with the original 19 underwriting report. 20 MR. ECCLES: And that was in writing? MR. GOURIS: And that was in writing, and there 21 22 was an opportunity to appeal those conditions at that time 23 and they were not appealed.

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. OXER: Okay. Is there any other public

MR. ECCLES: Okay. That's it.

24

25

comment, request for comment?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: Any other questions? With respect to item 6, there's been a motion by Mr. Gann, second by Mr. Goodwin, if I recall correctly, to approve staff recommendation to deny the appeal for the request for carryover and to terminate the application. There's been public comment. With respect to item 6, those in favor?

(A chorus of ayes.)

MR. OXER: And opposed?

(No response.)

MR. OXER: There are none. The request for carryover is denied and the application is terminated.

All right. We have an executive session to go into. We're going to take a break for about half an hour, but I need everybody to be still and listen. If you're not going to be still, at least be quiet as you move out.

The Governing Board of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs will go into executive session at this time. The board may go into executive session pursuant to Texas Government Code 551.074 for the purposes of discussing personnel matters, pursuant to Texas Government Code 551.071 to seek and receive the legal advice of its attorney, pursuant to Texas Government Code 551.072 to deliberate the possible purchase, sale,

exchange of real estate, and pursuant to Texas Government Code 2306.039(c) to discuss issues related to fraud, waste or abuse with the Department's internal auditor, fraud prevention coordinator or ethics advisor.

2.

The closed session will be held in the anteroom of this room, which is JHR 140, which is right back here.

The date is December 17, 2015 and the time is 11:11.

We'll be back at approximately 11:45 for what we expect will be a brief completion on this, and we don't expect to be here through lunch.

With that, we're recessed.

(Whereupon, at 11:11 a.m., the meeting was recessed, to reconvene this same day, Thursday, December 17, 2015, following conclusion of the executive session.)

MR. OXER: The Board is now reconvened in open session at 11:47. We did pretty good on that one. During the executive session, the Board did not adopt any policy, position, resolution, rule, regulation or take any formal action or vote on any item.

We have before us now one last item -- or two items actually, the last action item being item 5(b), as I recall. Is that correct?

MR. IRVINE: We've got to finish up 5(a), if there's any more discussion or questions or comments.

MR. OXER: And on 5(a) there was a motion by

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	Mr. Gann, second by Dr. Muñoz to approve staff					
2	recommendation to approve the use of the community area					
3	but deny the escalation of rates to 80 percent AMI on					
4	those four units. Is that a correct representation on					
5	that?					
6	MR. IRVINE: Basically to adopt staff's					
7	recommendation.					
8	MR. OXER: Right. Okay. That said, is there					
9	any more comments from the Board? Any more contribution					
10	from the staff?					
11	(No response.)					
12	MR. OXER: There appears to be no request for					
13	public comment. With respect to item 5(a), motion by Mr.					
14	Gann, second by Dr. Muñoz to approve staff recommendation					
15	on the item. All in favor?					
16	(A chorus of ayes.)					
17	MR. OXER: And opposed?					
18	(No response.)					
19	MR. OXER: There are none.					
20	I think we have one single item left.					
21	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Mr. Chair, may we just					
22	make a statement to Rick?					
23	MR. OXER: Please.					
24	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Rick I shouldn't					
25	speak on behalf of the Board I like what you're trying					

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1	to accomplish and I think there was sufficient discussion					
2	with legal counsel.					
3	MR. ECCLES: Don't talk about the executive					
4	session.					
5	MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Okay. I like what					
6	you're trying to accomplish and we look forward to working					
7	with you in the future and other developers that have the					
8	same goals to try to find a way to make that happen in the					
9	future.					
10	MR. OXER: Thanks for your contribution, Rick.					
11	We appreciate the effort.					
12	MR. CHISUM: Thank you.					
13	MR. OXER: We'll get there, we just don't have					
14	the tool or the map yet, so we're working on it. Okay?					
15	DR. MUÑOZ: And Rick, my colleague on the dais					
16	was certain speaking for me as well.					
17	MR. OXER: Indeed.					
18	Okay. Down to the last item here.					
19	MS. MOLINARI: Good afternoon and hello again.					
20	Jennifer Molinari, HOME Program director.					
21	Item 7 is staff recommendation related to two					
22	amendment requests. One is for the City of Paris and the					
23	other is from WREM Literacy Group, whom you heard from					
24	earlier today. Both of these administrators have					
25	requested extensions to household commitment contracts,					

representing five households under their two agreements, for an extension request that would exceed twelve months. Staff has requested the first extension request as allowed, however, under our current rules 10 Texas Administrative Code 23.27(f), we cannot approve more than one three-month extension, so we are asking for Board authorization to extend their household commitments for three additional months.

2.

In addition, we are requesting Board authorization that would grant staff the ability to approve household commitment extension requests in instances where staff determines that construction delays are a direct result of the inclement weather and activities are within federal disaster declaration counties as designated in 2015. We are asking for this authorization because we have seen an uptick in the amount of amendment requests that have come through our office from activities that were within the federal disaster declaration counties resulting from the weather events in both May and October of 2015.

If staff is granted this authorization, staff would only approve extension requests for up to an additional three months without requiring further Board approval, provided that the administrator could prove up any weather-related delays and that the activity is in a

1	disaster county.				
2	And so with that, I will be happy to answer any				
3	questions that you might have.				
4	MR. OXER: Any questions from the Board?				
5	(No response.)				
6	MR. OXER: So what you're really asking for,				
7	Jennifer, is just authorization to use what looks like				
8	good sense in saying that people run into problems with				
9	the weather every once in a while.				
10	MS. MOLINARI: Yes, sir. Specifically since				
11	we've had so many requests this year.				
12	MR. CHISUM: so moved, Mr. Chairman.				
13	MR. OXER: All right. There's a motion by Mr.				
14	Chisum to approve staff recommendation on item 7. Do I				
15	hear a second?				
16	MR. GOODWIN: Second.				
17	MR. OXER: And second by Mr. Goodwin.				
18	Is there any request for public comment? There				
19	appears to be none.				
20	Those in favor?				
21	(A chorus of ayes.)				
22	MR. OXER: And opposed?				
23	(No response.)				
	(No response.)  MR. OXER: There are none. It's unanimous.				

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

agenda where we receive public comment on matters other than items for which there were posted agenda items. The purpose of this is to build the agenda for future meetings. So we'll have comment here if we have one.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REV. ANENE: Good morning, Board Chair and Board members. My name is Reverend Ebenezer Anene [PHONETIC]. I came from Galveston County, and I echo what the beautiful lady is saying about extension of time due to weather.

I'm doing my last project with the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, the last project we're doing for our previous contract, and due to the weather, the flooding and also the fact that we had issues with asbestos that the city required us to go through several stages to remove this asbestos, we had a time delay on the last project. Unfortunately, the homeowner has been displaced. The home to date has been closed in -- in other words, it's been dried in, but time ran out for us and that's why I was told to come and ask for a request to put the issue on the agenda for your next meeting so that we'll be able to complete the home for the The man has grandkids that are so happy to homeowner. move into a home before Christmas, and I told them I wish I was Ebenezer Scrooge, I would have helped them more, but unfortunately that didn't happen.

1 But we pray and ask that you guys will please 2 include that in the agenda so we'll be able to complete 3 this home for them. 4 MR. OXER: Thanks for your comments, Reverend. Any other questions from the Board? 5 6 (No response.) 7 MR. OXER: Okay. There's no other request for public comment. Does any of the staff or those left in 8 9 the audience have anything to say or would like to make a 10 comment? MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Mr. Chair. 11 I apologize, 12 I'd like to go back. So based on the motion and the vote 13 we just took, in the future if there are developments out 14 there that are possibly needing extensions due to weather, 15 did we just authorize staff to possibly make those decisions? 16 17 MR. IRVINE: In those narrow situations where 18 they're federally disaster declared counties. MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Understood. Thank you 19 20 for the clarification. MR. OXER: And I believe this is correct -- but 21 22 tell me, Beau and Tim -- even if they have that and they 23 deny that request, the applicant still has the option to 24 come in and make the appeal for a waiver. Is that 25 correct?

1 MS. BOSTON: Just to clarify --2 MR. OXER: And you are? 3 MS. BOSTON: Brooke Boston. They would still 4 need to be timely filed requests, and so in this case, the reason this situation would not fall under the authority 5 6 you had just granted us because a timely filed request was 7 not made. MS. BINGHAM ESCAREÑO: Understood. Thank you. 8 MR. OXER: Anything else? Any other questions 9 from the Board? Any questions from members of the Board 10 on the dais? Mr. E-D? 11 12 (No response.) 13 MR. OXER: Okay. As the chairman, I get the 14 last word. Last meeting of the year. I hope everybody 15 has a happy holiday. It's a good thing that we do to make 16 a hard effort to make sure that we do this the best it can 17 be done. There's a reason that Texas leads the country in 18 the way we do this, so thank you to everybody out there. It's a pleasure to be a part of this Board and I look 19 20 forward to being here for all fourteen meetings we have scheduled for next year. 21 22 With that, we'll accept a motion to adjourn. 23 MR. CHISUM: So moved, and Merry Christmas. MR. OXER: Motion by Mr. Chisum and holiday 24

greetings to adjourn. Do I hear a second? I'll second

25

1	that. No public comment required. Those in favor?
2	(A chorus of ayes.)
3	MR. OXER: And opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. OXER: There are none. Merry Christmas,
6	everybody. See you in January. Be safe and come back; we
7	need all of you back.
8	(Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., the meeting was
9	adiourned.)

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: TDHCA Board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: December 17, 2015

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 109, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

12/24/2015 (Transcriber) (Date)

On the Record Reporting 3636 Executive Cntr Dr., G22 Austin, Texas 78731

2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9 10

1

## ERRATA PAGE December 17. 2015 TDHCA Board of Directors Meeting

PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	MADE BY
49	13; 21	Hodgkiss	typographical error	ACornier
		Elementary to		
		Hotchkiss Eleme	ntary	